

Property of
M. L. A. Lounge



The Yukon Legislative Assembly

Number 15

2nd Session

23rd Legislature

Debates & Proceedings

Tuesday, March 24, 1975

Speaker: The Honourable Donald Taylor



The Yukon Legislative Assembly

Tuesday, March 25, 1975

Mr. Speaker reads Daily Prayer

Mr. Speaker: Madam Clerk, is there a quorum present?

Madam Clerk: There is, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: I will now call this House to order

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

Mr. Speaker: We will proceed with the daily routine. Are there any documents or correspondence for tabling this morning?

Are there any reports of Committees?

Introduction of Bills?

Are there any Notices of Motion of Resolution? The Honourable member from Whitehorse Riverdale?

Mr. Phelps: Mr. Speaker, I'd like to give Notice of Motion, seconded by the Honourable Member from Watson Lake, re relocation of Northern Resources Offices.

Mr. Speaker: Are there any further Notices of Motion or Resolutions?

Are there any Notices of Motion for the Production of Papers?

We will then proceed to Orders of the Day.

ORDERS OF THE DAY

Mr. Speaker: There being no orders standing on the order paper, no motions, we will now proceed to the question period.

Mr. Speaker: Madam Clerk, could you ascertain if Mr. Commissioner could attend the House at this time?

Madam Clerk Leaves Room

Mr. Speaker: I will declare a brief recess.

Recess

QUESTION PERIOD

Mr. Speaker: At this time I will call the House to order. We have in attendance this morning Mr. Commissioner to assist us in our question period. Have you any questions?

The Honourable Member from Whitehorse Porter Creek.

Question re: Sewage Lagoon In Porter Creek

Mr. Lang: I have a written question for the Minister of Local Government. When will the sewage lagoon be constructed in Porter Creek and what will the financial arrangements be?

Mr. Speaker: Are there any further questions?
The Honourable Member from Whitehorse Porter Creek.

Question re: Costs Of Commissioner's Tour

Mr. Lang: A written question for the Commissioner. I would like a financial breakdown in the overall costs of last year's Commissioner's tour.

Mr. Speaker: Are there any further question? Order please. Are there any further questions?
The Honourable Member from Kluane.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Speaker, I have a reply to the question that the Honourable Member from Porter Creek asked yesterday, a written question and a written reply.

The first part of the question: "What has taken place in relation to Crossroads in respect of (a), the lot that was to be set aside for their proposed building." The answer to that is as follows:

"The proposed building lot which Mr. Lang mentioned is presumably the Riverdale lot which was discussed with Crossroads Board by the Whitehorse City Council. Shortly after these discussions took place approval in principle was given to the Yukon Housing Corporation by the City Council to proceed with plans to develop a multi-housing project on this property. However at no time has the Territorial Government made any commitment to provide Crossroads with a specific building lot.

Before any commitment can be made by the Government to Crossroads to assist them in obtaining a suitable building site and possible funds for construction of a new building, the government feels obligated to explore what government buildings are available or will be available when the government vacates some of its existing offices and moves into the new Territorial Building. This investigation, which involves a thorough review of possible accommodation, has already been embarked upon, and Mr. Van Nugt a member of the Board of Directors of Crossroads, was present when one such building, the Selwyn House was assessed. After the government's investigation is completed, the assessments of

possible accommodations that had been compiled will be presented to the Crossroads Board for their consideration."

(b) "What has taken place in relation to Crossroads with respect of the receiving home that was to be made available for the interim period."

"Presently the government is leasing Crossroads, the former girls' residence at 105 Hanson Street, and until arrangements can be completed for a permanent facility, is prepared to lease the children's group home at 502 Hoge Street to Crossroads. Father Kearns and one of the staff members of Crossroads have viewed a similar group home and have indicated that the accommodations would be suitable for their needs."

(c) "When will this information be put into writing for Crossroads so that they can formulate their plans!"

"Discussions have been held with members of the Board of Crossroads regarding provision of temporary accommodation for their treatment program and they are being advised of the availability of the Hoge Street building by letter."

Thank you Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Are there any further questions this morning? Mr. Commissioner?

Mr. Commissioner: Mr. Speaker, I was asked yesterday by Councillor Whyard concerning CN Telecommunications centralized accounting system, that appears to be a departure from what they're presently doing.

I would advise and reply, Mr. Speaker that in January 1975, the area superintendent for CN Tel informed our Administration that their company was in the process of centralizing telephone accounting in Edmonton. We were informed that this would not create any inconvenience to customers in the payment of their accounts, and that there would be certain changes in bill investigations but any problem that might occur would be of a minor nature and could be remedied without much difficulty.

Although the transfer of Yukon telephone accounts to Edmonton has now been completed, this does not prevent customers from paying their telephone bills locally. Customers can continue to call at the CN Telecommunications office on Elliott Street to pay their telephone accounts or they may mail payment to Edmonton in a self addressed envelope which will be enclosed with their monthly telephone account or they may make payment at any chartered bank in their area.

Along with that, the question about a bank service charge, Mr. Speaker, that is something over which very obviously we have no control. If the banks make a service charge for this, I'm afraid that is another problem entirely. The main reason for transferring telephone accounts to Edmonton was to centralize the accounting for Whitehorse, Fort Nelson, Hay River, Yellowknife and Inuvik in Edmonton, where a staff and modern computerized equipment is available to adequately cope with the increased accounting activity and in order to develop a more efficient and less costly accounting operation.

The centralized accounting centre will also permit a more intensive and efficient ticket investigation. The investigation of long distance tolls and suspected fraud

calls constitute a large percentage of the accounting department's work and it had reached a point where this was beyond the capacity of the smaller northern offices to cope adequately with this work.

The loss of revenue because of fraud calls had increased to a point where it was necessary to develop more efficient patterns of investigation.

As a result of the transfer of telephone accounting from Yukon to Edmonton, the local office has reduced clerical staff by five. However these employees have been given the opportunity of taking other positions within the local operation and have also been offered the opportunity of transferring to the centralized accounting service in Edmonton.

Mr. Speaker: Are there any further questions?

I would like to thank Mr. Commissioner for attending our question period this morning and we will now proceed to processing of Public Bills.

PUBLIC BILLS

Mr. Speaker: What is your pleasure?

Bill Number 17, Third Reading

The Honourable Member from Kluane.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Speaker, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that Bill No 17 be given third reading at this time.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable member from Mayo, that Bill No 3 entitled Custody of Federal Parole Violators Agreement Ordinance be given third reading. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to the Bill?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I beg to move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that the title to Bill No 17 be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo, that the title to Bill No 17, namely, Custody of Federal Parole Violators Agreement Ordinance be adopted as written. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the motion is carried and that Bill No 17 has passed this House.

Motion Carried

Bill Number 18, Third Reading

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Speaker, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo, for leave that Bill No 18 be given third reading at this time.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by Honourable Member from Mayo, that Bill No 18, Supervision of Federal Parolees Agreement Ordinance be given third reading at this time. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I should declare that the motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to the Bill?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that the title to Bill No 18 be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo, that Bill No 18, Supervision of Federal Parolees Agreement Ordinance be adopted as written. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Bill Number 21, Third Reading

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Speaker, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that Bill No 21 be given third reading at this time.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable

Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that Bill No 21, Court Worker Agreement Ordinance be given third reading at this time. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title for the Bill?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that the title to Bill No 21 be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Kluane, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo, that Bill No 21, Court Worker Agreement Ordinance, or that the title to Bill No 21, Court Worker Agreement Ordinance be adopted as written. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the motion is carried and that Bill No 21 has passed this House.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: We have now Member's Bill Number 23. What is your pleasure? The Honourable Member from Pelly?

Bill Number 23, Third Reading

Mr. McCall: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Klondike that Bill 23 be given a Third Reading at this time.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Pelly River, seconded by the Honourable Member from Klondike that Bill Number 23, namely, An Ordinance to Amend the Mining Safety Ordinance be given third reading at this time.

Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion as carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to the Bill.

Mr. McCall: Mr. Speaker, I move seconded by the Honourable Member from Klondike that the title An Ordinance to Amend the Mining Safety Ordinance be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Pelly River, seconded by the Honourable Member from Klondike that the title to Bill Number 23, An Ordinance to Amend the Mining Safety Ordinance be adopted as written. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the Motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Bill 23 has passed this House.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that Bill number 20 An Ordinance to Amend the Taxation Ordinance be given Third Reading.

Bill Number 20, Third Reading.

Mr. Speaker: That was seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Whitehorse North Centre, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that Bill number 20, An Ordinance to Amend the Taxation Ordinance be given Third Reading at this time.

Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed?

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion as carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to the Bill?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that the title to Bill Number 20 namely, An Ordinance to Amend the Taxation Ordinance be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Whitehorse North Centre, seconded by the Honourable Member from Mayo that the title to Bill Number 20, An Ordinance to Amend the Taxation Ordinance be adopted as written.

Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion as carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Bill Number 20 has passed this House.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane that Bill Number 12, An Ordinance to Amend the Rehabilitation Services Ordinance be now read a third Time.

Bill Number 12 Third Reading

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Mayo, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane that Bill Number 12, An Ordinance to Amend the Rehabilitation Services Ordinance be given Third Reading at this time.

Are you prepared for the Question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to the Bill.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane, that the title to Bill Number 12, An Ordinance to Amend the Rehabilitation Services Ordinance be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Mayo, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane, that the title to Bill Number 12, namely, An Ordinance to Amend the Rehabilitation Services Ordinance be adopted as written.

Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Bill Number 12 has passed this House.

Bill Number 13, Third Reading.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane that Bill Number 13, An Ordinance to Amend the Labour Standards Ordinance be now read a Third Time.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Mayo, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane that Bill Number 13, entitled an Ordinance to Amend the Labour Standards Ordinance be read a Third Time. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion as carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Are you prepared to adopt the title to Bill Number 13?

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane that the title to Bill Number 13, An Ordinance to Amend the Labour Standards Ordinance be adopted as written.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Mayo, seconded by the Honourable Member from Kluane, that that title to Bill Number 13, namely, An Ordinance to Amend the Labour Standard Ordinance be adopted as written.

Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed.

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare that the Motion is carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: Bill Number 13 has passed this House. What is your further pleasure?

Mr. Lang: Mr. Speaker, I move that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair and the House resolve in the Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering Bill, Sessional Papers and Motions.

Mr. McCall: I will second that Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: It have been moved by the

Honourable Member from Whitehorse Porter Creek, seconded by the Honourable Member from Pelly River that Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair and the House resolve in the Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering Bills, Sessional Papers and Motions. Are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I declare the Motion as carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: The Honourable Member from Whitehorse Riverdale will take the Chair in the Committee of the Whole.

Mr. Speaker leaves the Chair.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Mr. Chairman: I will call the Committee to order and declare a ten minute recess.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I will now call the Committee to order and I understand that we have some of the Bills with amendments, ready to puruse. And so I feel that we should have, invite Mr. Miller to attend as witness and proceed through the -- those Bills, we can deal with Sessional Paper No 2 after that is finished.

Do I have agreement from the Honourable Members that we invite Mr. Miller?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Madam Clerk, would you please invite him.

Mrs. Watson, do you have some papers to be handed out.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: That's No 8.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Yes.

Mr. Chairman: We'll carry on then with the one amendment to Bill No 6. And that reads, --is respect to Section 4(1)(d), and it is proposed that this be amended to now read "(d) maintenance of a child conceived and born as a result of rape."

Mr. Taylor, should we have a motion on this amendment?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, perhaps the Minister involved would be prepared to move the appropriate amendment.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, I wasn't able to hear the Honourable Member.

Mr. Chairman: I'm wondering whether we could have a motion on this particular amendment.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I would move that Section No 4, subsection 1, paragraph (d) be amended so the word "born" to read "conceived and born."

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mrs. Watson and seconded by Mr. McIntyre that Section 4(1)(d) of Bill No 6 be amended by deleting the word "born" and inserting in its place the words "conceived and born". Is there any discussion?

Are we ready for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Is it agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: I will proceed to the preamble of that bill, "The Commissioner of the Yukon Territory, by and with the advice and consent of the Council of the said Territory, enacts as follows:" And the title of Bill No 6, Compensation for the Victims of Crime Ordinance. Are we clear?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: I'll entertain a motion then.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I would move that Bill No 6, Compensation for the Victims of Crime Ordinance be moved out of Committee as amended.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: I second the motion.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mrs. Watson, seconded by Mr. McIntyre that Bill No. 6 entitled Compensation for the Victims of Crime Ordinance be moved out of the Committee as amended.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: One possible notation is that it should read reported out of Committee, it's not possible to move anything out.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Taylor. Are you ready for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: Do you have some amendments on Bill 14, Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I have amendments to the Government Employee Housing Plan Ordinance, Bill No. 14 if the Committee would like copies of them.

Mr. Chairman: Yes please, Mr. McKinnon.

Would you like a short recess to consider these amendments? And this bill.

One reason I'm suggesting this is that I'd like to look through the Ordinance again myself. We just received these amendments. I'll declare a five minute recess.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I'll call the Committee to order and we're dealing with amendments to Bill No. 14, the first amendment pertains to Section 2, "employee" means a person employed in the Public Service of the Territory appointed pursuant to the Public Service Ordinance or School Ordinance, but does not include."

You'll note that the words, "who is a head of a household" have been deleted in the wisdom of the administration. Are we agreed on that amendment?

We'll move on to the next amendment, I would entertain a motion for the amendments as a whole when we finish. That deals with Section 3, subsection 2, which we'll now read, "Where an employee dies, his widow or widower or such other person as may be entitled to the housing unit, on the death of the employee is eligible for the benefits of the plan." Is that agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: And there's another amendment which they haven't given us and this deals with Section 1, and involves transposing -- pardon me, Section 3, subsection 1, and involves transposing Section 3, subsection 3 in effect to subsection 1 and what would be done is to add the letter "g". and after the letter "g", come the words, "transferred from one community to another community."

Now in order for this to make sense I'd better read from 3, subsection 1(e) down to the new proposed subsection g, "(e) is laid off by the employer," the word 'or' to be deleted there. "(f) retired for ill health by the employer, or (g) transferred from one community to another community."

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McIntyre.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: It reads "is transferred"

Mr. Chairman: Is, very well. We'll try that again. (g) is transferred from one community to another community.

The reason for this is that the old sub 3, would allow every employee, even one who had not been in service

for a continuous two years, to become eligible under the plan. Is that agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Is it agreed further that the Section 3 be amended further by deleting subsection 3 be amended further by deleting subsection 3 in its entirety.

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I will ask for a motion, Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I move that the amendments to Bill 14 as read from the Chair be now agreed to.

Mr. Chairman: Do we have a seconder?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: I second that.

Mr. McCall: I second that, Mr. Chairman

Mr. Chairman: That was a tie and in the event of a tie, I always go for the lady.

It has been moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mrs. Watson that the amendment to Bill No. 14 as read from the Chair be now agreed to. Question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: Now I understand that Mrs. Whyard would like to have an opportunity to make some further comments on this Bill in Committee before it is reported out of Committee as amended. So, if the Honourable Members agree, we could delay that motion until tomorrow morning. Are you all agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: We now turn to, I suspect Bill 19. Do we have some amendments on that, Mr. McKinnon?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Yes, Mr. Chairman, if I could ask the Clerk to help me distribute them to members. They're quite extensive, Heavy.

Mr. Chairman: Now again, these proposed amendments have been more or less sprung on the Members. Do you want a five minute recess to read through the amendments and compare..

I declare a five minute recess.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I will call the Committee to Order. What I propose to do is to read through the amend-

ments and then to entertain a general Motion, like the Motion that was put forth in the last Bill.

The first amendment, Section 2, "piped sewer system" includes sewage treatment facilities and equipment, sewage lift stations, trunk and force mains, buildings whose principal use is to house sewage treatment equipment, land required for plant, but does not include collection mains."

Is that clear?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: Is it agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: "Unorganized area means an area of the Territory designed as an unorganized area pursuant to section 61."
Agreed?

Mr. Legal Advisor: There is an error in that. It should be designated, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: We are agreed with that correction?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 10, subsection 2, "The Commissioner shall include the average per foot cost of the construction of a sewer collector main or a water distribution main in the Territory in the previous year."

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: 19, subsection 3, "The amount payable by the Commissioner pursuant to subsection (1) shall be adjusted annually by regulation at the commencement of each financial year by a percentage in accordance with changes in the input index for non-residential construction for the previous year as described in the Statistics Canada publication "Prices and Price Indexes" catalogue number 62-002 using the prices fixed in subsection (1) as the base figures."
Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Legal Advisor: One Point. I circulated two copies of this particular index this morning if Members wish to actually see it.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Legal Advisor. Mrs. Whyard?

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, my problem is -- in this section, we refer to the commencement of each financial year, and back in section 10(2), I have a note saying end of calendar year. Were we to include that, or is that an amendment, rather than the financial year. The Commissioner shall calculate the average per foot cost at the end of calendar year. Otherwise the section doesn't stipulate when and this does.

Mr. Legal Advisor: Mr. Chairman, with respect might I point out that the construction season is incorporated in a year and the results will be known by the end of the year, I would think. In that regard I doubt that an amendment is required, perhaps.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Anything arising?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 39 subsection 1. "The Commissioner may pay the approved cost of arterial roads and collector roads in a local improvement district."

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: One question before we leave that Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: What was the reason for deleting "highways"?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: It is superfluous Mr. Chairman, the Commissioner does pay the total cost of any highways in the Territory.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Thanks. Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 45 subsection 3, "The amounts payable by the Commissioner pursuant to subsection (1) shall be adjusted annually by regulation at the commencement of each financial year by a percentage in accordance with changes to the input Index for non-residential construction for the previous year as described in the Statistics Canada publication "Prices and Price Indexes" catalogue number 62-002 using the prices fixed in subsection (1) as the base figure." Agreed?

Mr. Chairman: Section 57, subsection 1. "Where the Board becomes the owner of any building constructed, reconstructed or purchased pursuant to a payment, pursuant to Section 45, and the user charges agreed on between the trustees and the Commissioner are insufficient in any year to meet the costs of heating, lighting and maintenance of the building, the Commissioner shall pay the insufficiency and impose an annual levy not exceeding two mills on the assessment of every property in the district, in respect of each building in the ensuing year."

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, there should be a further amendment to Section 57(1), it should read, "The Commissioner shall pay the insufficiency and impose a levy not exceeding two mills." Not an annual, but a levy.

Mr. Chairman: Can you explain the reason for that, Mr. McKinnon?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Yes, Mr. Chairman, the reason of the section is of course, that the building has insufficient users charges and there is a loss, then the Commissioner in the ensuing year after the loss is incurred, imposes a levy not exceeding two mills on the assessment of every property, to make up the insufficiency in the user charges, in that ensuing year the members running the building and the local government officials get together and decide what are the user charges that are going to provide for the operation and maintenance of the building. If you leave it as an annual levy, it could come about that even though the charges are paid and that the operating expenses have been made that the Commissioner could keep the annual levy going, even though the cost of the operation and maintenance of the building has been relieved through the imposition of the two mill levy.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, anything arising? Are we agreed on the amendment as amended?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 60 is to be deleted entirely, is that correct. ?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Yes, Mr. Chairman, this is relatively complicated amendment. You will see that section 60 and Section 77 both are asked to be deleted in their entirety and replaced by a new section which is Section 91. Mr. Chairman, the intent of Section 60 is to ensure that a sewage education unit visit each local improvement district twice a year. That's where there's not a piped sewer system or where Local Government has not provided a sewage education unit on a permanent basis. The intent of Section 77 is identical except that it applies to unorganized areas. Both these sections are replaced by a new section 91 which states, Mr. Chairman, in Section 91, in the general section, that in these areas where there is not this education unit that the Commissioner shall provide two annual visits by the sewage education unit to every community where such services are not being provided under Section 87 or Section 90 or by a locally based private operator and make a charge for this service at a rate uniform throughout the Territory.

I think Mr. Chairman that it cleans up the provisions of the Ordinance quite nicely, with the general section.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. McKinnon. Anything arising?

Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I would point out that this means that all the remaining sections will have to be renumbered accordingly. Is that agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Now, Section 62(1) and which section will be numbered accordingly. The following amendment" (1) the Commissioner may pay the cost of an illuminated outdoor skating rink together with a

heated changing room.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, there was some discussion whether we were talking about the heated changing room being illuminated or whether it was the outdoor skating rink and we just changed the wording to make sure that the language would be understood, that we were talking about the outdoor skating rink. And thus programming had a lot of fun with lit, illuminated, lighted or lighting, that's the word that we came up with, at any rate, to try and make it absolutely clear what we were talking about.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. McKinnon. It's agreed is it?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: What is now Section 63(1) to be renumbered accordingly. "The Commissioner may pay the approved cost of building a community social and recreational facility, in an unorganized area and recover an amount of not less than 10 per cent of the costs pursuant to Section 65" I'm wondering whether or not that shouldn't be amended now to read Section 64.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, we've already agreed that the sections after Section 60 would be renumbered accordingly. And as long as we've agreed to that, in the amendments, I think that we can give the final draft of the Ordinance in its properly numbered form.

Mr. Chairman: That's agreed is it?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: What is now Section 64(1) (c) "Swimming pool (enclosed for summer use) \$30,000." Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: 64, subsection 3, "The amounts to be approved by the Commissioner pursuant to subsection (1) shall be adjusted annually by regulation at the commencement of each financial year by a percentage in accordance with the changes in the input index for non residential construction for the previous year as described in the statistics Canada Publication, "Prices and Price Indexes," catalogue number 62-002, using the prices fixed in subsection 1, as the base figure.

Mr. Chairman: Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: That is now 74(1), "Where the Commissioner becomes the owner of any building constructed, reconstructed or purchased pursuant to a payment pursuant to Section 63 and the user charges agreed on between an organization representative of the area and the Commissioner are insufficient in any year to meet the costs of heating, lighting and maintenance of the building, the Commissioner shall pay the insufficiency and impose a levy not exceeding two

mills on the assessment of every property in the area in respect of each such building in the ensuing year." Is that agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: What is now Section 77 to be deleted, agreed?

Same reason as the deletion of 60. Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 84(2)(b) "\$1,000.00".

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: This is rather interesting, nobody would admit the responsibility from where the \$300 came from, so it was relatively easy to get it up to a thousand dollars. It would mean, Mr. Chairman, that Whitehorse could have two and possibly three cemeteries eligible depending if the Indian cemetery were maintained by the city and Dawson would have three cemeteries eligible, and I'm informed that Faro isn't eligible because they do not have a cemetery because no one can afford to die there, if my information is correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. McCall: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Section 91. Subheading, "General, The Commissioner shall provide two annual visits by a sewage education unit to every community where such services are not being provided under Section 87 or Section 90, or by a locally based private operator and make a charge for this service at a rate uniform throughout the Territory."

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Sections 91 to 109 to be renumbered accordingly. Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 94, I note that it says now 95(1) but it will be actually 93(1) with the deletion of the other two sections. 94(1) then. "Where a by-law proposing an expenditure is submitted to the taxpayers pursuant to the Municipal Ordinance, and is rejected by the taxpayers, the Commissioner shall pay to the municipality, one half of the cost incurred by the municipality in respect of the project planning or consultants' fees."

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 99(1). "Where a capital project has been approved by the Commissioner pursuant to this Ordinance, the Commissioner shall pay the portion of the costs agreed upon to the Municipality in installments on evidence of the payment by the Municipality in respect of the work."

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, just -- I wonder if we could have a brief explanation of why the words "the final payment shall be made in full" were deleted?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, when we got back to the legislative programming we were amazed that no one had picked up that beautiful non sequitor "And the final payment shall be made in full", it was just bad drafting so we eliminated it.

Mr. Chairman: Okay.
Section 103(1). We agreed on that last one?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 103(1). "When a capital project has been approved (a) the work may be carried out by the Commissioner and on completion shall be turned over to the Board for operation and maintenance; or (b) where it is a project for the construction of a facility pursuant to Section 45, the Board may construct the project in accordance with approved plans and specifications."

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Section 106 (1) "Where an application has been approved (a) the Commissioner may plan and carry out the project including its design, inspection and the acquisition of land for the project or (b) where it is a project for construction of a facility pursuant to Section 64, a community organization may construct the project in accordance with approved plans and specifications." Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McKinnon, can you move substantially the same motion that we had on the last one.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would move that the amendments to Bill No. 19 as read from the Chair be now agreed to.

Mr. Chairman: Do we have a seconder?

Mr. Berger: I second it.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Berger that the amendments to Bill No. 19 as read from the Chair be now agreed to. Are you ready for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: May we then have a motion on the Bill.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman--

Mr. Chairman: Oh, preamble, right.
Sorry, Mr. McKinnon.

Mr. Chairman: The preamble. "The Commissioner of the Yukon Territory, by and with the advice and consent of the said Territory, enacts as follows:"

The title of Bill Number 19 Community Assistance Ordinance.

Clear?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: The chair will now entertain a Motion.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I would move Bill Number 19 out of Committee as amended.

Mr. Chairman: Secunder?

Mr. Fleming: I second that Motion, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Fleming that Bill Number 19 entitled Community Assistance Program be reported out of Committee as amended.

Question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: Just for the record, I read the Motion incorrectly, I said Community Assistance Program, it is Community Assistance Ordinance.

That concludes the amendments, I gather, that we have for this morning, is that correct? Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if the Legal Advisor has anything to report on the telex that he sent to the Solicitor-General regarding Bill Number 12?

Mr. Legal Advisor: No, Mr. Chairman. Yesterday, shortly after lunch I sent a telegram to the -- Mr. Roger Tasse the Deputy Minister of the Department of the Solicitor-General with a copy to the Canadian Director of Correction Service and asked them for input into this particular problem and would they please comment on whether or not they could or should amend the agreement and the Ordinance to suit and I told them that the House was in session and we were discussing the Bill currently and would they please expedite their reply. And we haven't had a reply. I haven't been down to my office since 10:00 as of the last knowledge.

we've had no reply.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Legal Advisor. Is it the members wish to turn to Sessional Paper Number 2 at this time?

Mr. Miller, you may be excused, thank you.

The Honourable Members have had a chance to peruse through the Sessional Paper in detail and to discuss some of the aspects of it with other Honourable Members. Do we have a motion?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Yes, Mr. Speaker, in discussing this with other Members, and amongst ourselves, I would now propose a motion dealing with Sessional Paper Number 2 as follows: That the number of members to hold office on (a) recreation and amateur sports committee (b) historic sites and monuments board and (c) the Yukon Tourist Advisory Council be fixed as follows: And that one member be appointed to each Board or Council by the Commissioner on the recommendation in writing of each Member of this House and that the Member so appointed hold office until after the election of the next House unless such person appointed resigns prior to that time in which case the successor should be appointed by the Commissioner on the recommendation of the member of the constituency concerned. This is seconded by the Honourable Member from Hootalinqua, Mr. Fleming.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. It has been moved by Mr. Taylor, and seconded by Mr. Fleming, re Sessional Paper Number 2, that the number of Members to hold office on (a) recreation and amateur sports committee, (b) Historic sites and Monuments Board and (c) the Yukon Tourist Advisory Council, be fixed at 12 and that one member be appointed to each Board or Council by the Commissioner on the recommendation in writing of each Member of this House and that the member so appointed hold office until after the election of the next House, unless such person appointed resigns prior to that time, in which case the successor should be appointed by the Commissioner on the recommendation of the member of the constituency concerned. Is there any discussion? Dr. Hibberd?

Dr. Hibberd: A simple point, Mr. Chairman, I think I had already proposed a motion and that was still before us. If I could be permitted to withdraw that motion.

Mr. Chairman: Yes, Dr. Hibberd, you're right. Thank you. Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman--

Mr. Chairman: Yes, can we have a seconder for the withdrawal of the motion.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, possibly just to clear up the procedural aspects of this situation, if a motion has been placed before the Committee and has been read from the Chair of course, it becomes the property of the Committee and in order to withdraw the mover must ask for permission to withdraw as must the seconder and if both should agree, then the House, the Committee should be asked if they agree.

and if this is the case the motion is then considered withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Taylor. Who is the seconder of your motion, Dr. Hibberd?

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, if I seconded the motion, I'm happy to withdraw that.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Whyard. Are we agreed that the motion be withdrawn?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare it withdrawn. Can we now proceed with this motion without reading it a second time?

Are there any comments? Or debate? Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, has it been seconded?

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: There is one thing that I would like clarification on, and that is the term of office of the Committees that you are suggesting and you were saying after the next Territorial election. I think it leaves quite a grey area there, how soon after or wouldn't it be better to specify for the next three years or the next four years, then there is a continuity in the Committees.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Probably praising the Motion I haven't made it abundantly clear I did think on that one this morning. It is intended to mean, for the record, that the Members of these Committees having been appointed by Members of this House will serve on a continuous basis and will continue to serve until the next House determines their reappointment, or indeed, their rejection from the Committees. It is intended in this Motion to provide the continuity desired by the Honourable Members.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Anything further? Are we ready for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: Do we require a Motion to carry this out of Committee, Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: No, it is just reported.

Mr. Chairman: That being the case I will declare a recess until 2:00 p.m.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Possibly before we recess Mr.

SP # 3 Water

Chairman, I would like to indicate to you and the Committee, at this time, in relation to Sessional Paper Number 3 that many of the Members have indicated, in private discussion, that they still would like further time to consider the important decisions requested in Sessional Paper Number 3 respecting the Yukon Territory Water Board.

Perhaps it would be wise at this time to suggest that the whole matter be left to die in Committee and taken up again at the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Is that agreed by the Members?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: May I declare a recess then until 2:00 p.m.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I will call the Committee to order. I understand that Mr. Gillespie has certain questions he would like to give answers to, which relate to Bill 2, which I propose we commence our proceedings with. So I would like to know whether or not it's agreed by the Honourable Members that we invite Mr. Gillespie to appear before us a witness.

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I understand you have certain answers to questions you'd like to give.

Mr. Gillespie: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I was concerned that Council might end this current session before I had a chance to answer some of the questions put during the course of the last couple of weeks and I appreciate this opportunity to give them now.

The first one relates to the Bill entitled Compensation for Victims of Crime Ordinance and the question was, which provinces have this legislation, the answer is that the NWT and all provinces except Nova Scotia which has a Bill before its legislature now and Prince Edward Island which does not. All the other provinces except these two have such legislation.

Another question put I believe by Mr. Fleming, who questioned when no affidavit is required to renew driver's licenses, why is one required for a liquor cafe and other licenses. That is the renewal of these licenses.

Mr. Fleming noted the difficulty in some small communities that operators have been getting to a J.P. or other person who can sign an affidavit.

The answer is that many operators propose or make in fact, structural alterations, make changes in ownership or management, revise hours when they are open or in some other way, affect the basis upon which a license renewal is issued. In short, the information contained in the original license application is no longer accurate in all respects and a new affidavit is therefore needed.

Affidavits can be signed by Commissioners of Oaths, Justices of the Peace and Notaries Public for a small fee. They may also be obtained from the RCM

Police and liquor vendors free of charge. Most highway licensees travel to a community where these services are available, at least once between the time they receive the application and when it must be returned early in the calendar year. I don't think this is too onerous an imposition, Mr. Chairman, but some operators may not be aware of the choice of sources there are for obtaining affidavits.

Another question, do we have control over the levels set for sport fishing license fees and if so, when did YTG acquire this control. The fee schedule-- this is the answer, the fee schedule is set in regulations under the Fisheries Act, by the Department of Fisheries. The Yukon Territorial Government, however, is consulted before changes are made to the regulation. And since April 1, 1972, the Territorial Government has issued the sport fishing licenses as provided enforcement as required, and has retained the revenue.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McIntyre.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: I'd just like to call Mr. Gillespie's attention to the fact that there are no Commissioners for Oaths in the Yukon Territory at the present time, except Notaries Public who are ex officio, but there is no such an appointment as a Commissioner for Oaths, distinct from being a Notary Public.

Mr. Chairman: That's correct.

Mr. Gillespie: I stand corrected, Mr. Chairman.

Finally, this is a comment rather than an answer to a motion put by the Member from Whitehorse West, that the Motor Vehicles Ordinance be amended to include mandatory medical examinations for drivers over the age of 70. I would just like to say, Mr. Chairman, that we are very actively looking at this and consider it to be a very good suggestion, but we'll be coming back with a conclusion to that at some point later in this year.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Gillespie, is there any comments or questions arising from these answers. Mr. McIntyre?

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a comment regarding these application forms which require an affidavit. Now the Income Tax Act does not require a person to swear an affidavit to the accuracy of their Income Tax Return. The penalty is otherwise provided. The same thing will be applied to the new Canada Minerals Act when it comes into effect, because it's been found that the number of affidavits that are being taken in the Territory at the present time under the Mining Acts and other Territorial legislation, has made an affidavit almost meaningless. And that there is far more importance attached to a certification of a document, with the penalties provided, for giving false information within the document itself, than there is in taking an affidavit.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. McIntyre. Mr. Fleming.

Mr. Fleming: Mr. Chairman, I would support the

member from Mayo also in his statement and also, I don't know, as far as the question I did ask, I know it was answered, but I already knew all these things. But there are many places where there are no RCMP and as for the people on the highway going to centres and so forth and so on, that is their own prerogative. It's not a necessity. They do so only, sometimes for these things and as far as it being said that the car license or a driver's license and so forth and so on, isn't changed maybe, but I might change my car, but I still go down and just pick up a license, the same thing. I can't quite see the difference in it at all myself.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I could ask Mr. Gillespie a question. Are the Territorial agents empowered to -- are the Notary Publics, are they empowered to verify some of these documents?

Mr. Gillespie: Mr. Chairman, my information is that they are empowered to verify these documents.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I'm wondering, are the -- in fact, are the RCMP and the territorial agents and this type of person, are they ex officio notaries, because I don't believe the Territorial agents are in fact Notary Publics.

Mr. Gillespie: Mr. Chairman. I think the answer is that they are in fact Notary Publics and hold a commission as such.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McIntyre.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: The Territorial agents are appointed notary publics, it's part of their duties. But the RCMP officers are permitted to take affidavits and other types of declaration under their appointment, as officers of the RCMP, in our Evidence Act, the interpretation.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. McIntyre. Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I think that this really should be looked into because I don't think all Territorial agents are notarized because this has come up quite recently, where people wanted documents, and it was a requirement of a Notary Public and the Territorial agent was not able to do it and the RCMP are only allowed to notarize immigration documents and this type of thing. I'm talking about land deals. So I think there should be a review done on it and this clarified for the information of the public.

Mr. Chairman: Possibly Mr. Legal Advisor could be of some assistance.

Mr. Legal Advisor, we're discussing Notary Publics in the Yukon Territory and whether or not various Territory employees are Notary Publics. Can you expand on --

Mr. Legal Advisor: I'm not sure, we could supply

the members with a list of all the people who carry notarial appointments. Amongst them are a large number of Territorial employees. And also, there would be, but I wouldn't have any particular way of tracking this down, certain employees are authorized to administer oaths in connection with the matters over which they have jurisdiction. Such as liquor vendors and such like people in relation to licenses. The lands people in relation to their transactions and so on. But not holding official notarial appointments. Now under I think it is, the Evidence Act, there are a further list of people who are authorized to administer oaths and these include officers of the RCMP, provided that they sign that they are an officer of the RCMP at the time they administer the oath. Just so the police are included in this list.

So for the purpose of administering oaths and witnessing documents generally, there are a wide number of people who are authorized to do this. For notarial purposes, there is a special list. It includes all practicing lawyers, and all people who have done the examination and paid the fee to the Territorial court, and a number of officials who hold this appointment.

Notarial appointments are slightly different to others in that each notary must possess a seal, not for necessity of use within the Territory, but in order to have it verified in any foreign jurisdiction. It is common for documents to go to New York, Washington and so on, and they would require very often, not only the seal of a Notary, but a verification from the Commissioner that in fact, this person did hold a notarial appointment. And these are very important things.

Mr. Chairman: This is exactly then what Mrs. Watson is referring to, that a great number of the so-called people with this authority have very narrow limitations. Mr. Fleming?

Mr. Fleming: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Mr. Legal Advisor now, a couple of days ago there was three licences issued from the Liquor Board in respect of a licence for selling off-sale liquor and beer and also for selling beer and wine with the meals, it come out of town and there was nobody available but the R.C.M.P. and they did sign, as a Commissioner for Oaths on that piece of paper. Am I to understand now that it will be legal or it won't be.

Mr. Legal Advisor: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would think that would be legal. All that is required is that a person takes an oath before a person authorized to administer an oath. So, that in effect, what the signing officer is doing is, he is using his authority under the Evidence Ordinance, I forgot the Section number, witnessing the fact the person did swear that that was true.

Mr. Fleming: It better be or I will be in trouble again.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I have a copy of an application for a liquor licence here and it may be that it does call specifically for a Notary Public. I am not sure, but I am a Notary Public myself and I see

many government documents which do ask for a Notary Public.

Could the Administration possibly not consider, in terms of some licences, in doing something along the lines that the Honourable Member from Mayo suggested, is have a section which simply states that "I hereby certify this to be true" and the applicant sign the document without having to go hunt up a Notary Public for, you know, minor documents of this nature.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Mr. Gillespie?

Mr. Gillespie: Mr. Chairman, we will have to look into the legal complexities of this. I must admit I don't understand all of them now. We will also have to see just what resources there are in the Territory by a review of this list that was referred to by the Legal Advisor. We will endeavour to come back with a list of that sort at the first opportunity.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Any further comments or questions arising?

Mr. McIntyre are you preparing a question at this point? Shall we move on or are you about to --

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: No we are just reading the Ordinance where it say who can take an oath.

Mr. Gillespie: He is my legal advisor, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Legal Advisor: Section 60 of the Evidence Ordinance details at great length a number of people who can take the oath. A judge, magistrate, an officer of the court, a Commissioner for taking oaths, a Notary Public, the head of a town, city or village, and so on. A number of people are covered in this.

Mr. Chairman: I would agree with Mrs. Watson that it is an area that requires further study.

Well we are now dealing with Bill Number 2. Mr. Gillespie, do you have anything further?

Mr. Gillespie: No.

Bill Number 2

Mr. Chairman: You may be excused. Thank you for attending.

We are dealing with Bill Number 2. There is certain items in the green book which we by-passed on the first go around for various reasons, some because we hadn't passed the enabling legislation and some because there seemed to be a great amount of controversy and there was a request that witnesses be called.

I think that we could, perhaps, go back to these items.

The first item I would like to deal with occurs on page 48 of the green book. It is Item 803, Criminal Injuries Compensation, \$12,000.00. We held this up pending the clearing of Bill number 6 through Committee.

Is this clear?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: The next Item is Court Worker Program on the same page, Item 804. Fifteen thousand dollars. This was held up for the same reason.

The next item I would like to turn to is on page 68, Community Assistance Program. That's number 2612. Community Assistance Ordinance 3,280,000 dollars, is that clear? Or are there questions?

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: We now come to page 31, that some people have been referring to as the \$40,000.00 question. It's Item 534. Social Service agencies, \$40,000. This was held up pending the calling of witnesses before this Committee.

Mr. McCall: Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McCall.

Mr. McCall: I was just wondering if the administration has reconsidered this part of this budget here, this budget estimate.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, we can reconsider all we like, but we have just so many dollars available and I think we're all aware that when this budget was brought before the House, that there had to be substantial cuts made from the original forecast before you even see it here. So actually, there has not been a reduction in the grants to social service agencies this year. Because we have taken the Crossroads which was included in the \$57,000 last year, and allocated a specific \$25,000 for Crossroads, we are actually have increased it mind you not by a great deal, but there has been some increase and the increase has been in the subsistence and maintenance for people who need assistance in paying day care facility fees. Now the other day we had a meeting with the people from the day care centre, trying to establish the administrative framework which they should follow in order to submit applications to the department to get certain people who do require need for making day care payments so that the government could provide the assistance, and subsidization in this area. We have allocated \$20,000.

Now this past year we paid \$13,197 in assisting individuals who send their children to day care. Now we have undertaken to review the minimum levels at which assistance would be available. And we would be meeting with the child care organization again. We also hope that they will make this assistance, make the information that this assistance is available, provide the information to all the people who are using their facilities because I'm quite sure last year there were people who were paying full day care costs and who could have well have received some assistance on an individual basis.

Also the day care centre, when one family had two or three children, gave them a reduced rate. Now if they had not done that, and had used the payments to the day care as a deductible expense, they probably would have been able to collect the reduction that they

made to the parents from the Territorial government. So that there were some administrative things that weren't clear and I think we've clarified that for them so that with the coming year, they shouldn't have many problems as they had last year.

And also, because they'll be able to charge a more realistic fee, and the people who can't afford to pay the realistic fee, will be getting some subsidization from the government, based on need.

Now the Yukon Family Counselling Service, is \$20,000. Now last year, the Yukon Family Counselling Service received a grant of \$15,375. So there is an increase of approximately \$5,000 this year.

Last year, Crossroads received a grant of \$20,000. This year we've made available a grant of \$25,000. Now I can understand the concern of these organizations, and Mrs. Alfred when she appeared here as a witness said one of their biggest concerns was the fact that the government lacked a policy for contributions to social service agencies.

I must agree with her on this. That the government does lack a policy so that people do not realize from year to year on what basis they can expect funding. And I'm sure that they don't want to next year go through this whole route of two weeks of lobbying to try to get some recognition. This should not be necessary. If they're carrying on a good function, they should know pretty well that they'll be able to get some funding to carry on the programs that they've embarked upon the year before.

And as I said, I am quite prepared to bring forward a policy for adoption before next budget year. But, on the other hand, the various organizations plan for expansion and this is to their credit too. When they plan for their expansion, they submit tentative budgets to the Territorial Government. We did have tentative budgets submitted by these various organizations, but we just were not able this year with the financial situation that we were in, to even discuss the expansions that they were hoping to do. For example, Crossroads. I think were planning at the time when they made their request, a new building with additional staff, and they were looking for \$74,000.

Yukon Family Council were looking for \$30,500 so we more or less split what they were looking for with what they got last year. Of course, the Yukon Child Care Centre were looking for complete subsidization of day care, not on an individual basis, but of the day care itself, and they were looking for \$110,000, so we had a request there from the three organizations for \$215,000. Then we also had a request from the YWCA, who again were suggesting a very good program, and which was suggested this morning in the submission Skookum Jim Hall for a program coordinator of the Territory, which certainly has a lot of merit.

But because of our financial assistance we couldn't even consider it. \$16,830. So we were looking at approximately \$250,000 in grants for these various organizations and without a policy. So what we have done is try to keep them operating for another year at the level that they would have been operating at before. The one organization, Child Care, who did wind up in the red this year, we hope, through our administrative assistance, and the subsidization which is a policy which is in existence today, we can assist them

to not going into the red this coming year.

The Yukon Family Counselling will have the additional \$5,000 to their \$20,000. The Crossroads, the \$25,000 plus the subsistence that we pay for people who are in Crossroads that we refer to Crossroads. And I think you will note last year we paid almost \$8,000 in subsistence payments to people that the Welfare branch had referred to Crossroads for treatment.

So there is some assurance. I would also like to point out that because there was a lack of policy in this, that there had been planning and proper policy approval for the sheltered workshop on the rehabilitation. Now I think the various organizations are aware that we've been working on this policy for over two years, almost three years; the sheltered workshop. Because the policy was determined and there had been provision made for it in the forecasting, there had to be some reduction but at least it was there, the Social Service Agencies, the organization, the Mentally -- the organization for the people with -- mentally retarded people, now have funds to the amount of \$68,000 in there to go ahead to plan a sheltered workshop to operate for the government.

This again, and I must accept some criticism for the government on the fact that there has not been a policy developed over all these years for grants to social service agencies.

I will undertake to try to come up with something and to plan something with these people so that they will know on an ongoing basis and they don't have to go through this uncertainty year after year.

We are very hopeful that with the funding that is in the budget this year that they can carry on at the same level, or carry on, especially Yukon Family Counselling, as they did last year. Meanwhile we will be planning for what will happen in the next year and the years on. Thank you.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mrs. Watson. Mr. McCall?

Mr. McCall: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to, first of all, thank the Honourable Minister for the enlightened explanation as to what we could do if we had some money.

What I am concerned about is that these good people that came to see us last week as witnesses, put their case strong and loud that they don't have the money and they will not have the money in this budget. By the looks of it I don't think they will have the money on the next budget, the way things are going.

I strongly suspect, come next year when we are reviewing the 75-76 or the 76-77 budget we will be getting the same explanation why there is no funds available. I am very concerned because when we consider that these services take on extreme responsibilities to the society that we live in, yet are grossly ignored by our government. If they are recognized it is very meaningless as to whatever projects and plans that the Government has and the budgets they put forward for. I cannot accept the fact, and I will not, accept the fact that the \$40,000.00 budgeted in this budget is acceptable.

Thank you.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. McCall. Dr. Hibberd?

Dr. Hibberd: Mr. Chairman, I would also like to thank the Minister for the information she has given us, however, I would like to draw to her attention and to the attention of the Members that this item of \$40,000.00 for all voluntary agencies has, as we all know, received considerably more lobbying than anything else that has been proposed.

We also know that the budget for the department of Welfare is a very large one. I agree with the Minister that most of those funds are locked in. The fact still remains that the government has not responded to the needs of the community.

I think the reason for this is that I think they still fail to recognize what is the vital role of the voluntary social services agencies. These are very vital. I would like to quote from some information that we have all received because I think it should be brought out and on record.

What are these private social service agencies? What are their advantages?

First of all they can operate at less cost than the government departments would partly through the use of voluntary help. They are more in touch with the every day needs of the community primarily because it involves the people who are aware of the need, such as the mother who requires child care facilities, or who has a retarded child.

They are more maneuverable and more flexible to adjust to the changes in operation and local conditions and quicker to respond to respond to emerging problems.

Their conditions of operation make for more personal service and give better expression to the users side of social service.

There have been many representations made to us that exemplify the importance of these agencies, and I would like to quote some of these, again, that we have received.

This is from one of our local doctors.

"Please allow me as a physician practicing in the Yukon to strongly recommend that the submitted budget from the social service agencies be approved in full especially the budget for the Yukon Family Services Association needs to be approved in order for this service to be able to continue. No other agency in town performs personal and marital counselling which is so needed.

"The resident psychiatrist, of course does become involved in counselling, but his time is limited. This leaves the practicing physicians in Whitehorse with a large case load of people in marital conflict for which there will be no other alternate counselling service.

"Very few of the practicing physicians have been trained as counsellors and in my experience many patients that I myself have referred to the Yukon Family Counselling Service, a better marriage counsellor than Mr. Dave Shaw would be difficult to find. It would be a great pity for this service which has been set down and find the community lose the services of its only marriage counsellor.

Another area of private social service where there is a great need is in the area of homemaking.

Homemakers started on a LIP grant and proved a need in the community. This need is brought to the attention of practicing physicians who unfortunately have had no place to turn to look for assistance for families in need of a homemaker."

I would further quote. "It is my personal feeling that such organizations perform a most useful function in our community and do fulfill a need that is not provided by government service. I say this from my personal experience in my medical practice and have made use of both organizations on many occasions. I have found the Family Counselling Service to be most helpful and there is really no other individual or group in town able to provide this particular service. The Family Counselling Service provides a service that cannot be provided by the Mental Health Services in the Yukon because of limitation of time.

These groups are required to provide help far more deep seated physiologically and psychiatric problems. As a result, without the services of Mr. Shaw, many families and individuals seeking marital counselling and help in special social problems would be deprived of any help.

As far as the Homemakers Service is concerned this provides a need in the community where the individuals are in hospital or ill at home and are unable to care for the family.

It is an accepted fact in this present age that a voluntary agency can provide a most useful function in our society. Government services cannot pretend to cover all social needs.

I feel therefore that it would be a backward step on the part of the Territorial Council if they were to refuse funds to these volunteer agencies thereby preventing them from fulfilling a needed role in our, I hope, enlightened society."

I quote further. "In my three years of service I have had many occasions to call upon the service and have grown confident in its capabilities. In fact I have found that I am able to get people to seek help from this service where they would not avail themselves of parallel government resources. The glaring reason for this preference is the personal nature of the service. The Yukon Family Counselling Association, they are not another social insurance number they are somebody. From my particular point of view this is an invaluable asset."

We have letters from lawyers and they tell us, in almost every interview with a client in a matrimonial case the possibility of a reconciliation is discussed and if there is any hope at all, marriage counselling and the service of the Yukon Family Services Association are recommended. As far as the writer is aware in many cases this is the only organization available in the Yukon to render this assistance.

There are further questions which arise as a result of this budgetary item. I would be interested in knowing how these funds if we are given this blank figure, how are they to be dispensed? Is it the responsibility entirely of the Department of Welfare to allocate who shall get the funds? Is there any input from the voluntary agencies for the dispensation of these funds?

If this is the case is there a format that can be drawn up and written down so that these agencies will indeed know where they stand.

If there is a format for the dispensation of funds in that the services are required to submit a statement and a report on a quarterly basis. There have been an instance where these funds have been refused and in fact the information had been sent to the Department of Welfare and later it was found that it was merely lost in the Department but in the meantime the agency itself was without funds.

Another question that has arisen is that the Y.W.C.A. has submitted a brief under which they have requested a social coordinator and I am wondering if this is included in that \$40,000.00 or whether the government is still considering that possibility?

In conclusion, Mr. Chairman, there are two basic considerations. One, is the immediate need of these agencies who simply cannot survive, as we know beyond their March 31st is their deadline unless they have some assurance that there are more funds to become available for them to continue their service. The second one the Minister has already alluded to and that is that of a review board. It is my understanding that under Bill 15 of the previous sitting of this House, there was to be an arrangement made whereby there will be input from the voluntary agencies to the formulation of the overall umbrella type committee to seek out the role of the voluntary agencies and how they would be funded.

I gather, however, that this has not been acted on and quite the reverse has been happening and that the responsibility for the administration for the dispensation of the funds, for the basic policies under which these voluntary agencies must operate, now falls entirely within the jurisdiction of the Department of Welfare without the input from the voluntary agencies.

I would suggest that such a Review Board be formed on the basis that there are members on this Board from these voluntary agencies and I would further suggest that such a format be drawn up in writing, because there have been many assurances in the past that this would happen, it has not come about. I would therefore suggest that this be drawn up in writing before this item is passed.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd. Mrs. Watson.,

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I cannot at the present time locate in this mass of paper that I have, Sessional Paper 15, which was the Sessional Paper that was put out last year when the grants to social service agencies increased from about 12 or 14 thousand dollars to \$57,000. In that Sessional Paper, there was an indication that the various social service agencies would be -- could form themselves into a committee to determine how the \$57,000 should be allocated to the various agencies.

But originally, there's something too that we forget, and this is another thing that cannot be forgotten, that either some decision has to be made on it. When family counselling first was funded by the Territorial Government, there was a requirement that they provide a certain sum of money themselves and then the Territorial Government would dollar for dollar or whatever it was.

The Sessional Paper again alluded to this agreement, between the Social Services, Yukon Social

Service Agencies in the Territorial Government for every dollar they dollar they raised, the \$2 -- the government would give them \$2.

Now I'd like to get the Sessional Paper. Now this is something that has been glossed over completely. Now if we're going to throw this out, and this is how the Social Service agencies originally got money for their activities. Now are we going to drop this or aren't we. And this is the type of thing, no one has made decisions along the way on this. I come in here, with one month, I'm expected to come up with a quarter of a million dollars. Let's be realistic.

I don't mind, I don't mind making the effort to bring forward a policy to working with the various organizations, to see whether the umbrella type of board is feasible. Skookum Jim Hall thought it wasn't. Skookum Jim Hall thought it wasn't. So the various organizations are going have to be consulted with. But I am positive that we can come up with something that will appease and please everyone. And let's write a new policy. Are they going to be responsible for some money raising functions themselves? That's still in the Sessional Paper. It was in the original. Now these are the types of things I'd like to have an opportunity to get clarified. For example, --Mr. Chairman, I'll sit down and let someone else speak.

Mr. ChChairman: Thank you Mrs. Watson. Dr. Hibberd wants to carry on.

Dr. Hibberd: With deference to the Minister, we realize your difficulty in assuming the position of Minister, I'm defending a budget which you are not actually responsible for.

But I do think the voluntary agencies have totally preoccupied themselves with trying to draw programs and they're frustrated at every turn. They draw up a program and next year it's no good. Because the policy of the government has changed.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd. Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I can understand this, but on the other hand, I think the government recognizes the value of social service agencies in providing a social service and assisting the government and I think one of the finest examples is the fact that the government is not going to endeavour to carry on and provide a sheltered workshop. They have called upon these various organizations to do the actual planning, the setting up and then charging the government for space in order to recover the costs.

So I don't think we can say that we don't recognize the value, and the type of work that they can do for us.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mrs. Watson. Dr. Hibberd.

Dr. Hibberd: I think my point, Mr. Chairman, was that it is the responsibility of the government to participate with the voluntary agencies in the formulation of an overall plan. Not for the government to say you do this, we'll do this. There must be a more cohesive effort; we must feel more responsive to the people who are directly involved in these situations. They have the

knowledge and they are willing to cooperate. They should not be dictated to by the government agency itself. They must -- they are willing to cooperate.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd, Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I couldn't agree more with the Honourable Member, I just haven't had the opportunity to have a look at the whole thing. I couldn't agree more with you that you have to have meetings with these people and we have to have -- but we have to have an understanding between the government agencies and the private organizations so that we don't have a duplication. So that we do have -- there has to be a clear understanding and that isn't available at the present time. And I'm certainly not saying that I don't think these people are -- cannot contribute to the planning at all. No, I would expect them to and I would hope that they would assist.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I've said little in the discussions related to the Social Services, shall we say applications for funds and I have a great deal of sympathy with them all. More particularly in -- for instance, in the area of day care, but you must understand that in discussing this subject earlier, and in relation to the establishment indeed of kindergartens and the suggestion that we have pre school kindergartens and this type of thing, that you're not talking about the allocation of \$40,000. When you do this and when you accept these programs, you're talking in terms of millions of dollars, over short periods of time.

For instance in day care alone, it would be my assessment that a small expenditure of say 10 or 20 thousand dollars towards this end, though it be desirable is in effect, within the next two years or so, an annual expenditure somewhere in the area of a million dollars or better in a year. Once you've bought the concept that the government is going to fund these programs.

And the tragedy I think of the whole thing is the fact that it is so desirable and I think it has been pointed out by members here, necessary, all these applications in the social assistance field is that there is no money.

Now indeed my experience, both on finance committees and in this house over the years has shown that we can have any of these programs if we're prepared to go to the tax payer of the Yukon and raise the revenues required to fund the programs. And I think that we've got to be honest with ourselves, and say, are we prepared to go to the people of the Yukon and impose additional taxation upon them. I don't know. I really don't know. But I think that in these considerations, sympathetic as we are to these situations, and I think we all really are, where is the money going to come from. Can we curtail some other good project in favour of promoting one project. Or do we go to the people and impose taxation upon them at this time or next year, upon a people who are already overtaxed, and many are not finding they must leave the Yukon because they just frankly can't afford to live here.

These are the questions I think that fairly the other side of the coin that must be looked at, when we look at

questions like that.

Mr. McCall: I think we're wandering away from the problem that we have at hand here. We're asked to consider this budget estimate which I feel personally is just not good enough. It's just totally unacceptable. When you look at other budget estimates this budget that we're going to pass, before the week is out, we have heard of appeasing various agencies et cetera. I give them talking grants, this sort of thing, but to me this is just not realistic in this day and age. These people, agencies that I'm talking about, like I just said before, serve a very necessary function.

Now, I cannot believe that the explanation that has been given us today, are going to quieten those people down because it sure as hell won't quieten me down.

I'll not swallow it, I cannot, because the Honourable Member from South Centre brought up some very valid points, I have not done a great deal of research, but I've listened to people and I think the government misled the people when they wrote this budget.

And I think they're going to miss them again the next budget. Maybe again in the following budget. We have heard the Honourable Minister, with no disrespect, there is no policy, well maybe that's how they establish the budgets anyway, just draw lines through figures. Just play a guessing game. With people, with agencies. With figures. It does not add up. I cannot accept it, it's just unrealistic.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd is next. No?

Mrs. Whyard.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, if I could return to the figures quoted by the Minister, for a breakdown of each share to each of these agencies, because as far as I'm concerned, this is the first intimation I've had as to which -- what exact amounts have already been assigned to these agencies. I thought this was an overall figure and it had put them in the position of competing. Could we have those figures again.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Possibly I was misleading in that. They haven't been assigned, that's true. However, if you'll look at your budget, establishment 534, subsistence and maintenance--applies for subsistence and maintenance of children in a child care centre. So we are voting or asking that \$20,000 be provided to assist needy people who have their children in a child care centre.

Now the grants, loans and contributions, the \$20,000 then is for the various agencies.

Now my understanding was that the Y was not interested, no I could be wrong, and these people will have to determine it themselves because I plan on following this Sessional Paper, where they set up their own committee to allocate the rest of the funds.

Now the last year, Yukon Family Counselling operated on a \$15,375 budget. Now that was the contributions from the government. Now they may have had other funds. And the Dirnan House, Coffee House, received \$3,484 but we don't have a Dirnan Coffee House at the present time.

Crossroads \$20,000 and the youth hostel \$4,833. Well the only reason that we had to provide assistance for the youth hostel was because they had not made the application to the Secretary of State -- in time to get the federal funding that was available. But this won't happen this year. So there will be no necessity for us to provide any assistance there.

So that of the applications that we had, Crossroads we've made provision in the budget. Family Counselling, child care, we've made provision in the budget. Then we have the Yukon Family Counselling and the YWCA. And the YWCA request was for a program coordinator, it was for a specific program so that if they didn't get the full sum of money they really couldn't embark upon it, the \$16,000, it would pay the salary of the coordinator.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Whyard.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, for clarification further, I assume from what the Minister has just explained that there is \$20,000 in this current year's budget for picking up assistance for day care users. That leaves out of your \$40,000, \$20,000 for family counselling, home makers et cetera.

Could I ask the Minister please, Mr. Chairman, what portion of that \$40,000 is recoverable, under Social Service Agencies for example, there's an item of \$12,500, do we recover that in addition or is that part of the figure.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: The most of that is recovered under the subsistence and maintenance for child care because we only recover under the Canada Assistance Plan if we prove a need. And we are subsidizing people in need in the child care centre.

Now the other \$2,000.00 that we are requesting, we probably can get some of the money back on the fact that the family counselling would be provided for people in need. But we don't have the family counselling people do an assessment of a person's financial situation, and because of that, we probably are not able to get back as much as we can from Canada Assistance.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, does that mean that we are actually spending only \$8,000 out of our own budget, since we recover 12 of that 20?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: We are spending 28,000. we recover 12 out of the 40.

Mr. Chairman: Ms. Millard.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to submit that it all sounds very good but it's certainly not going to do any good. \$20,000 from subsidizing families in need of child care is not going to help them out of the situation that they're in. They need \$5,000 at the moment to break even. They have a food bill of something like \$1,400. Subsistence and maintenance for families in need is not going to make any difference to child care associations. They will probably have to close. This is the policy for the moment.

And certainly, we're not considering all the other situations that are involved here. We're skimming over the surface, certainly I agree family counselling needs the money that they're being given but there's a lot more that just isn't being considered.

I would like to support the Honourable Member who had gotten up and said that they disagree that \$40,000 is enough. And that is has to be relooked at.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Ms. Millard.

Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I found that section of the Sessional Paper, which outlined last year the basic criteria for the program last year with the establishment of a social services Advisory Board.

And then I would go on, the Government will contribute funds available to the single fund raising project on the basis of \$2 of government funds for each \$1 raised by the project up to the total sum unexpended in appropriation number 534.

And one of the stipulations was that there would be one fund raising endeavour for all social service projects, at throughout the Whitehorse area, and the money that was raised, from that project would be met \$2 government, to \$1 from their fund raise.

And last year they were not able to get going in organizing themselves for some fund raising project, but they made no indication that they are looking at this type of thing this year.

Now whether they want to drop this part of a Sessional Paper, there has been no indication of that.

Another thing, I think this is an extremely good report and I'm having copies of it ordered for you, it's entitled Poor Kids and it was the one that the Honourable Member from Dawson asked for.

There's some very interesting statistics in the back of this book, and with our discussions of child care, I think that it might be a good time to bring up some of the statistics.

We have the lowest number of poor children in the Territory, second lowest percentage of poor children in the Territory. In Canada. Unfortunately, Newfoundland has 92,783 children, 45 per cent are classed as poor children. In the Yukon Territory, we have, they have here 1,160 children of those 17 per cent are classed, we have 1,160 children and that's 17 per cent of our total population.

And it's very interesting too, 451 of those poor children are found in the urban area, Whitehorse. 723 are found in the rural areas of the Yukon Territory. It's also very interesting, 834 of those poor children are husband and wife families. 77 of those poor children are one parent male headed family, and 249 are one parent female headed family. So the statistics I think are quite enlightening in the fact that we do not really, compared to other parts of the country, not that we're accepting our fate, but we aren't, I don't think, in the crisis situation that we sometimes think we are, compared to other jurisdictions.

If there is going to be some type of assistance made available for child care, or daycare, it should be in the rural communities because we have more of the poor children who need that kind of care in our rural

communities.

So any policy that we evolve has to take into consideration all of these things. Any daycare policy.

Mr. Chairman: Dr. Hibberd.

Dr. Hibberd: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I would submit that we have just recently reviewed and in my absence, the special education branch and their needs and it became very evident through visiting specialists that have been here in the Yukon, that the requirements because of learning difficulties, of various kinds, we require one and a half times the facilities that are available in British Columbia.

Because the need is one and a half times greater. I would also submit to the Minister in view of the Sessional Paper she mentioned, these voluntary agencies certainly have tried to raise money. They have had their bazaars, they have done this, they have worked very hard, at raising it.

In an area such as this, it is a very, very limited way to get money on a voluntary basis. We don't have a Red Feather Campaign, and it's not a practical solution in such a small population as this. It's very difficult to raise money. And they have tried.

I really question and I think what most of us are questioning, is that the Minister in defending the program has pointed out that they are supplying money to these various agencies, but we are trying to point out to her that the priorities of the government are inaccurate. The important areas which are in desperate need now are these things that we're talking about.

Sure most of your funds are locked in but I am sure there are areas where more funds could be made available to these areas that are indeed desperate. We're talking about a \$500,000 revolving fund for which its going to help ten houses, for territorial government employees.

I would also like to go back to one other thing not involving finances which I think is of basic importance to this item that we're discussing. I would really like to have some form of a commitment from the Minister that there will be some form of review Board formed that will involve not only the government but those voluntary agencies immediately concerned. Can she give us any commitment?

Mr. Chairman: Thank you.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman I'd like to question that. A Review Board - to do what?

Dr. Hibberd: Mr. Chairman, we've been talking at considerable length about there should be a plan formulated to how their funds should be dispensed, what policies should be made, for all the voluntary agencies and for the government agencies. So that's exactly what it is. A review board of the philosophy of what your welfare agencies are going to do on both sides of the fence. And therefore they should both be involved in it.

Mr. Chairman: Ms. Millard.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, I can certainly rise in support of that suggestion. I think any future planning

on this part of the budget and many other parts also should have a lot more input from the elected members of council, as well as the people who are directly involved in the situation. That's only democracy which I hope that someday we'll have in the Yukon as well as everywhere else.

I've been handed some information which might be to the members -- might be informative to members. Apparently the grant to Yukon Family Services last year was \$20,500 so this year in fact it is being cut and that is after a letter from Mr. Tanner of August 26, 1974.

Of course we must then understand that with inflation, and a new expanded program which covers a wider ground is very necessary, they must now have \$28,500 to keep operating. So it just doesn't make it all the more shocking.

I suggested when we first went to the budget that parent fee subsidies come under establishment number 531 and Mrs. Watson suggested that she would look into this. This is child welfare services.

I know that's very unusual situation, but we're facing unusual times also, and certainly child care can support the idea that we are facing unusual times. We have to consider putting things under other things if that's the way it has to be, and it's just not being looked at broadly enough for me.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd.

Dr. Hibberd: Mr. Chairman, I asked the question previously of the Minister which you did not give her an opportunity to answer.

Mr. Chairman: I apologize, Dr. Hibberd. Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I would be very happy to include some of the members of the public on any review board that we were constructing to determine the provision of social services to the community and the involvement of the private organizations in the delivery of these services, yes, I'd be very happy to.

Mr. Chairman, I stand corrected and yet not. Yukon Family Counselling last year was approved \$20,500. They have expended to date, \$15,375.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Dr. Hibberd?

Dr. Hibberd: I hate to harp on this, Mr. Chairman, but I am really asking for a commitment from the Minister, and merely not the fact that she would be happy to include.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, if anyone is here to take an affidavit, --

Mr. Chairman: Don is a Notary Public.

Mrs. Watson: Yes, I'll make that commitment.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mrs. Watson. Ms. Millard.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, just on a small note here. I have further information that the last quarter statistics for the Family Counselling Organization have not been received so that is why it looks as if there's only \$15,000 expended. Certainly it has been over that this year, for the total year.

Mr. Chairman: Wait a second now. Mrs. Watson, did you wish to comment?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, of course they haven't drawn out all of their expenditures, expense to date, and probably their last month's or last 2 months, so they'll likely use up their 20,500. Maybe not, they have still almost 5,000 to go.

Mr. Chairman: Dr. Hibberd. You were commenting, were you not, Dr. Hibberd? Not for the record?

Any further questions or comments on item 534.
Mr. McCall.

Mr. McCall: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd just like to comment on one particular item that, at the time I brought it up over a week ago, it was apparently found very amusing. A lot of people took it as a very serious item. What I was talking about at that particular time, which I'm hoping to jog a few people's memories, was a little motion that went to the floor which never went through the House, concerning the re-allocation of \$6,000. This particular item we've been discussing and debating today, is where I wish for it to go. And I think now people are realizing the necessity of extra funds, because at that time I felt the immediate necessity for the funds, although I was badgered into believing several items pertaining to that \$6,000, I am hoping, that the people concerned who have to make serious decisions as to the grant that will be given by this government, I am hoping that they will consider the social services that we're now debating today, need also funds and the idea I put forward at that time, it may help them.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McCall, I'm allowing comments, but we certainly don't want to get into a debate about that \$6,000 again. Mr. McKinnon.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: I have a few comments on this

Mr. Chairman: Just before I allow you, Mr. McKinnon. Ms. Millard of course wanted to rise but I'm not going to allow it. I just wanted you to be --

Ms. Millard: There are more chauvinists in this crowd than I thought.

Mr. Chairman: I wanted you to be recognized, Ms. Millard. Mr. McKinnon?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make a few comments on this very historical occasion. Historical because it's our first controversy of our four years together.

Mr. Chairman: I'm glad you didn't say hysterical

Mr. McKinnon.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I am surprised that it is my colleague to my right, the Honourable Minister of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation, that is receiving, if there is any wrath, involved, the wrath of the council. I rather thought when I got stuck with this budget which was in its final appearance at that point, when the elected members of the Executive Committee did get involved in it, and I looked at her budget and she had an increase of some \$914,255, I thought she did pretty good, she got a lot of new programs in there. There's the Detox program, a court worker program, which I agreed with completely and I looked at the latter colleague, the Honourable Minister of Education and he had an addition of \$1,870,726 and I said he did pretty good, he shouldn't have any problems at all explaining the nice new programs and the new schools he got. Then naively I went into the Department of Local Government and I had a grand total of an increase of some \$168,000, which just took up the increase in salaries of the officials of the Department of Local Government without one new program being involved, without anything added to the budget, and I was in there saying, well what about an Assisted Home Ownership Program? What about the field of home owner grants, that every other province gets into? What about the field of a higher grand in aid to municipalities, more in the Municipal Aid Ordinance, I felt you can't have any of those programs in the next year because all that money has gone into new programs of the Department of Education and the Department of Welfare. And I, as the Minister of Local Government, thought that the priorities, a lot of them, were in the areas of the people that I would represent, as Minister of Local Government, which I think happens to be the person who is trying to really make a living, raise a family, and own a home in the Yukon Territory which I personally give a very great priority to.

So I thought I'd question the department heads very closely, in Executive Committee and Legislative Programming Committee when they came in, with the Minister of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation and particularly, the one that really got to me and I have to admit it did was when the Director of Social Welfare came out with the statistics that 6,115 people in the Yukon Territory opened and were involved in cases with the Department of Welfare. They got to be kidding me. That's a quarter of the population. It must be the beautiful term that people use like my colleague to my right, of being a recidivist. When it comes back again, no that was an entirely new case that was opened. So I looked at it a little further and I looked under other areas of welfare, there's 380 units in the housing program, of low rental housing, if the average family is 2.3, that's another thousand people or so in the Yukon that are receiving some kind of benefits from the Welfare Branches of the Department of the Territorial Government.

The Yukon Association of Non Status Indians and the Yukon Native Brotherhood claim that amongst their group, there's about 4,000 of them. I would venture to say if I went down to the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development and took

into consideration the Winter Work Programs and other programs available, both under YANSI and YNB that probably half of those people are receiving some type of benefit from government departments which could be classified in the welfare range.

That Mr. Chairman, really brings us up to about one half of the population in the Yukon in a year, come in contact with some kind of a social agency in the Yukon Territory. I said jocularly to the Honourable Member from Porter Creek, well, I knew that there was only a few people working in the Yukon Territory any longer and I'm not so sure of you any longer.

But really, have we reached that point, where half of the people in the Yukon Territory are receiving some sort of help from government agencies. To me it's rather an indictment on our total society. I can't accept the fact that government has come to the point where they have to help half of its citizens in this type of area. I don't agree with the point that society refuses and renegs on the principle that you are your brother's keeper. I don't think, my life and my family's life is lived on this type of an attitude. I think that people who know me know that this is not the way that we approach the problem, is not to shove it into a government agency, but rather open our hearts and our home to people who for some reason just don't have the ability to make it on their own.

Mr. Chairman, I have to really wonder about where the priorities are being set and where this government is going. I was for a long time, as Honourable Member knows, on the opposite bench. I enjoyed being in opposition to whatever government happened to be around at the time. I had no problem with it at all because I thought, and I still do think, that there's an awful lot of silly things that are done in government. I have no difficulty in accepting that thing at all.

But I also accepted the fact that if one wanted the responsibility of true opposition, then he was supposed to come up with alternative programs and I would agree with this concept of responsible opposition also, and I have no problem at all, within the vote of my Honourable colleague, the Minister of Health, Welfare and Education, if somebody can show the priorities to this government, to myself, and to the majority of people around this table, that in other programs in the field of social assistance, in the field of child welfare, that monies should be voted from one establishment to another because the social service agencies have a greater priority. I would accept that the prerogative of this council that right with no question whatsoever. I have gone to my satisfaction, through that budget, and haven't seen an area where monies could be voted out of other establishments into the area of the social assistance grant.

That is the duty of a viable and responsible opposition, to be able to show government where that does and by their numbers sway the day. Of course, the other alternative of course, is the question, if there cannot be any money found in the other separate establishments, to move into the establishment under the social services assistance which we're talking about now, with the private agencies.

Then it's the duty if we consider it to be such a priority, to raise taxes upon the people of the Yukon Territory, to be able to provide those monies which these agencies are asking for...

Mr. Chairman, I have, since we originally went through this budget, with the knowledge that this of course would be coming up in the final review, have asked everyone of my constituents that I have come into contact with in the last several weeks, I have asked every one, wherever I happened to be, whether it was in a beer parlour or whether it happened to be in the curling rink, if they would agree with a raise in taxation, to supply further money for social service agencies, or for different other programs which were not in the Yukon Territorial Budget this time and the answer universally, without exception, of the people that I represent, I'm talking strictly from my own constituency and my own bailiwick was in the negative.

Mr. Chairman, I think that -- and I don't disagree with the point that the Honourable Members are making at all, I say that it is their responsibility to show this government where establishments can be changed in the Department of Social Welfare, under areas where we're not locked in agreements with the federal government, and I think that this government would only be too happy to change those priorities if such could be proven.

The other one is where they cannot show that to the government, that they would add an increase in taxation to the people of the Yukon Territory and in that instance, Mr. Chairman, I would be extremely happy, then I would consider it, because this government has made the point that there is going to be no tax increase on the people of the Yukon Territory this year which I consider to be a responsible and a decision which the majority of the Yukon have, without doubt, which the vast majority of the people that I represent agree on, because then I can consider it as a vote of no confidence in this government and could go away from the third floor with a lilt in my heart and a spring in my step for the first time in a couple of months.

So those are the alternatives, Mr. Chairman and certainly Honourable Members who criticize, and I agree with opposition and criticism, should be prepared to accept, and as I say, within the vote, having gone through it myself, as carefully as possible and not seeing establishments where it can be taken from Peter and given to Paul, if other Honourable Members can find that, then more power to them.

In the field of increased taxation, I only say, Mr. Chairman, that considering the Yukon 1975 and the people that I represent, which are the people who are looking for some assistance in owning a home and raising their families, from this Honourable Member at least, Mr. Chairman, no way.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. McKinnon. Dr. Hibberd?

Dr. Hibberd: Mr. Chairman, I rise at this time with a good deal of frustration. We have been going at it for sometime now and what we have been trying to convince the members of the Government is the fact that they have got their priorities wrong.

He tells us that we don't have any knowledge of our priorities. We have been trying to get through to them. This is what the priority stuff is all about.

I wish the Minister had been listening to our arguments. I also would remind the Minister that he

has privy to information that we don't when this budget is drawn up.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McKinnon?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, with respect, in Executive Committee when the figures that I was asking questions on. I said that these have never been available to the people of the Yukon Territory before, why aren't they? The Minister and the Director of Her Department agreed that for the first time in the history of the formation of the budget of the Yukon Territory that these figures would be available. I think it is so important that this Council make the policy and the direction in which this government is heading over the next four years. That is a fact, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Whyard?

Mrs. Whyard: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I am happy that the Minister laid down the challenge to find sources of funds which might be transferred from one vote to another to underwrite, underscore our concern for this particular item which we all agree has been short-changed this year and which we are hopeful will not be shortchanged next year.

I am a veteran of the days when we had an Indian Agent charged in court because he used money from one vote for other purposes were more important to the people of this Territory. At that time my faith in justice was upheld by the findings of the court. In fact, the Honourable Judge who sat on the case said that he hoped if such a decision ever had to be made, and it came before him that he would have the courage to do the same thing.

This afternoon, I am going to do the same thing. I am going to move a few items from other votes into this one just as a sort of practice for what I hope the members of Financial Advisory Committee will follow through on.

I can find in about two seconds \$20,000.00 which would make up what we need out of the 62 basic bare, minimum requests here by not paving parking lots in a couple of areas under our Public Works vote --

A Member: That is under capital.

Mrs. Whyard: Well, it is my money that you are spending.

A Member: No that is Fed money.

Mrs. Whyard: \$10,000.00 for boat launching ramps which has not yet been allocated, this is just in case we do happen to put them in --

A Member: It is capital.

Mrs. Whyard: \$500,000.00 for a bill that would provide a purchase back plan for Territorial Government employees' houses. There is money there in that budget. I don't know why you can't assign it to where the priority needs are.

Do you want me to go through the Welfare vote, Mr. Chairman, the Health Welfare and Rehabilitation vote

and find the required funds to make up the balance that we need, is that the question the Minister is asking? If so, I think we can do it right here this afternoon.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, the capital funds come from a capital grant, an outright capital grant from the Federal Government. The only -- and I had the same problem as the Honourable Member did when I first arrived in this House. I said "look it, here is the French language program, here is this program, the buy back program and all these monies, which I don't think are priorities as far as the people that I represent." I was told, "fine. You don't consider them priorities, move them out of the budget." Federal government will happy not to bring that capital grant to the Yukon, but you cannot transfer them from capital to Operation and Maintenance. The only thing you can do under the Yukon Act is to eliminate them from the budget so you can't have them period. As far as I understand, and as far as the latest session with the Assistant Commissioner who used to be the Treasurer of the Yukon Territory, before caucus, that that was exactly the position that was still--under the Yukon Act because of their constitutional position find themselves. We can do it. We can make a political football out of it we can say we are going to transfer, which we know we can't do under the Yukon Act from Capital into here. All we are going to do is those funds are going to be removed from the Yukon Territory from the Capital budget for the next fiscal year. That is all.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Whyard?

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, would you agree that you can transfer from the Department of Education any surplus funds to the Department of Social Welfare-

A Member: No--

Mrs. Whyard: -- as long as they are not Capital or project capital. I can find plenty there at least.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: The one ability that we have, Mr. Chairman, in any vote, this Council and this is their right and their prerogative is say, look it, under Establishment number 500, Disease Control \$81,000.00, under Establishment 501, \$146,200 Mental Health, we only need 110,000 and the other 36 can go into a different Establishment within that vote. That is the total maneuverability and flexibility that the Members of this Council has. You know, it is a constitutional position that you are locked into the vary same way as these three Members that you chose out of the 12 that are locked into. It is nothing else than this Yukon Act that sets up our colonial system of government and that is the facts of life no matter how much we hate to admit them, and you do.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Fleming?

Mr. Fleming: Mr. Chairman, I think the Minister probably -- from Whitehorse North Centre did not answer my question although I am -- as he said before,

some of us are new here. I would ask in this -- I guess I would ask it of the Minister of Health and Welfare if in this Department anywhere is there one place in that area of all these votes here that we could, if it became necessary or if we felt it was right, is there anywhere in there that we could allot any money from one to the other in any of that area?

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, the maneuverability within that budget is extremely limited. As I said before, forecasts are done on case loads, cost per case and this type of thing. The forecasts were cut before they ever got in here. There is only one area where there is not a specific identification and yet there is a specific identification, but we don't know the costs and I don't think you would dare touch it and that is the detoxification centre.

I would certainly advise not touching that because we really need that.

A Member: Right now.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Right.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Berger is next.

Mr. Berger: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

The Honourable Minister from Whitehorse North Centre spoiled everything I wanted to say before, take it from Peter to give it to Paul.

I do have another item here and I ask the Honourable Member from Kluane once before already, what is an employable unemployed? Because a total of \$88,181.00 given out last year on this thing. I think we can honestly say, at least, I could probably do it, if we cut this in half there is plenty of money for all social services.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman this is probably right. Under the Social Assistance Act, if people come in and swear to their need that they don't have liquid assets that they don't have any form of income, we are obligated to pay them. There is not very much we can do about it, by the law.

I might add that we do all the screening, maybe we don't do enough, but I do know that they do contact Manpower, the various contacts that they can before they provide assistance especially if it is an employable unemployed person. Rather than give social assistance they see if they can get them a job and get them employment. It is pretty tough.

Mr. Berger: I would just like to make one more comment. I think we all had the same experience with those so called employable unemployed. I think those people have no intention, in most cases of finding a job. They are here for a week and in another place for another week. I think this is what the attention should be drawn to. How long were they in one particular place. Were they able to find a job. I think that was the biggest where the gib mistake that was made is that they are here for one week, they draw welfare money and go up to Mayo and they may go up to Dawson and they do exactly the same thing again. Then they come

back to Whitehorse. They do this all summer long actually

Mr. Chairman: If I might just interject. I think we are drifting off the topic, which is \$40,000 for social service agencies.

I would like to get back to that. Dr. Hibberd is next and then Mr. Taylor.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I just wish to rise on a point of Order.

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I was going to rise earlier. It was mentioned a short while ago that it was possible to change a grant from allocation to another within a vote. This is not possible in this House in Supply.

I just wanted on that Point of Order to point out to the House that in Supply, in Committee, this Committee it is not allowable to attach a condition or an expression of an opinion to a vote or to change the destination of a grant.

I thought I should bring this to the attention --

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. I was only allowing this as a form of debate, not as a Motion. Dr. Hibberd?

Dr. Hibberd: Mr. Chairman, the Honourable Ministers are much more knowledgeable in this than I am, but I do think that the one power that we do have before us is that in some of these areas we can refuse the expenditure of that money.

Why can't you use that principle to refuse the expenditures of such monies in certain areas in an attempt to re-apply it. I am not talking about capital expenditures. Beyond that I sympathise with the Ministers but I really wish they would take our direction and squeeze what they can for the voluntary social services.

I would also like to add one last comment. It is not, certainly the fault of the Ministers but has been a recurring remark made by all the various agencies, that they have encountered constant delays, lack of information and changing of commitments. That has been the name of the game for them for several years. I do hope that the Ministers will take that into account and try and correct that situation.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Whyard?

Mrs. Whyard: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I am sorry I missed part of this debate and I hope I am not covering the same ground but I did want to say a word about Homemakers.

Mr. Chairman: Very well, go ahead.

Mrs. Whyard: The reason why I am specially interested in this facet is that I was Chairman of the first volunteer committee that helped organize or coordinate this trial service through the Y.W.C.A.

My particular interest in it is that I have a member of my family with this special training who went across

the world to get this special training and when she returned the Yukon could not hire her. They had no money in the budget for such a service. Some years later I was very happy to be asked to help the Y.W.C.A. try to set up this kind of a program because I know the need and every doctor that has written to us knows the need. We have some very eloquent letters here from recipients of that service, such as a paraplegic woman with two small children who could not possibly carry on without the certified nursing aid who is going in to here every day on this service. That is the kind of a gut need that I feel is of priority when it comes to spending dollars.

This Homemaker service began with L.I.P. grants for one worker who was the organizer surveys of needs, trying to find people, train them, advertise, in effect, get the thing off the ground.

The L.I.P. grants ran out, the Social Service Society took over and they have now got a working system but they have got to have full time commitment and a full time program to keep the wonderful, qualified people available for these calls.

These are not frivolous calls. These are not people going away on a holiday or out to go curling or off for a party, these are people, who for medical reasons, have a crisis in the home. The mother is rushed to hospital and there are small children there. They are really heartbreaking cases of real necessity.

If we cannot find the \$20,000.00 required to keep that Homemakers service alive in the next few months, under this vote, surely, Mr. Chairman, there could be funds under our medical services, under our Health Insurance, under one or the other votes which would cover this. This is definitely medical care. This, in many cases, avoids mental breakdown and mental care and you all know how much that is costing us in dollars and cents.

I would urge Mr. Chairman, that the Ministers please give some special priority to this need with a view to finding the funds under the other health vote or our medicare or some other plan. It is vitally necessary and in terms of the people that it is helping, it is not costing us that much.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Whyard. Mrs. Watson?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman I think under Health Care it wouldn't qualify as a Health Care under either form of insurance. Under the Medicare Program there are certain things that we can assist in funding. Under the Hospital Insurance there are only certain things that we can fund under this. They are insurable services.

I know what the honourable member is referring to and some work has been done in this regard to use it as an auxilliary service to cut down on the hospital inpatient days. This is being used quite -- or they're going into this quite extensively to cut down inpatient days, to cut down costs and to provide this.

I really don't know, but I'd be quite prepared to meet with the Homemaker people and see what they're looking at whether we can do it.

We may be able to use the Homemakers and we would be able to use the Homemakers under the Child Care rather than take the child into a Group Home, or

take them into a Receiving Home, often on an emergency basis a Homemaker would certainly be much better for the home.

So there may be some area within the Child Care that we could talk to the Homemaker people and see whether we can assist them.

I'm not, you know, I'm not saying -- making a commitment, but I'm certainly prepared to look at it with them.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Watson. Anything further on this item.

Mr. Lang: Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Lang.

Mr. Lang: Mr. Chairman, I've been fairly quiet throughout the whole debate. I would like to say I sympathize with everything that has been said here.

And if they can't find more money within these votes for the Social Services, fine, but I'd like to say that I am opposed to any more taxation.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Lang. Anything further. Clear.

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: Very well, I declare a 15-minute recess and then we'll come back and go through the items for the second time. All of them.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I now call Committee to order.

Is it agreed by the Members that we invite Mr. Miller to attend once again, as we will be going through Schedule A of Bill number 2 for the second time. Is that agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Miller. For the uninitiated we will be going through item by item just as it appears in Schedule A. If you have a specific question or comment on any item within that Main, then you are certainly free to ask a question or comment as the need strikes you.

Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, just for the edification of the newer Members of the House while we are waiting our witness.

This was a procedure established in Committee, oh, about the time that I first came to the House in 1961, in the interests of insuring that every Member had a final say in the budget, as you know we go through a budget and we clear item by item through the vote. Quite often a Member did not have an opportunity to get a point in. Something that he missed or overlooked while we were discussing the item. We devised at that period of time, a system which I think has worked very well and that is a final review of the budget which we are now about to undertake on a vote by vote basis.

I just thought as a matter of explanation, I don't

know of many other Houses in the Dominion that do this. We have done it and found that it is very good and works well for the Members.

Mr. Chairman: The Yukon is very progressive, you see.

We will be going through, as I have already stated, the fourteen items here. Instead of clear the response illicit will be agreed or disagreed.

One. Administrative Services, \$1,407,731.00 Any questions or comments?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman. I have a great deal of concern with this particular item in as much as the funds for this Assembly are contained in Vote 1.

As most Members are aware, I don't know whether our budget has fully provided for the expansion of this Legislature in this year or not. I don't know whether the Administration are aware of the fact that it is difficult with the funds available to us now in this estimate to for instance, have things like Standing Committees. We have no money with which to operate Standing Committees and the additional staff and so forth that would be required to operate them.

My biggest concern, of course, is with the reporting service recording our Hansards. I would like to say as the Speaker I found that the product that is being produced by these people, who are now under contract for this session only, has been excellent ---

Some Members: Hear hear.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: --and I would like to pass on to the Administration our full appreciation of the hard work and the expertise that has gone into this.

I am very concerned, as we consider now, sitting again at the next session, that there may not be sufficient funds to carry on on a contract basis with whoever does contract to do this work. Hopefully, the same people would come back.

I would like to know if monies are available or can be found within this budget to carry on during the balance of this fiscal year, or if indeed supplementaries will be constructed in order to provide for some of these things I have itemized, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Taylor. Mr. Miller?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, the first question raised by the Honourable Member respecting the level of dollars for the Council. This envisaged the enlarged Council. Certainly we weren't aware of the wishes of the House regarding Standing Committees.

All we can do for this particular year, I think, in that respect, is do what we can within the resources we now have.

With regard to the reporting service the decision was taken to try the reporting service on a trial basis at the end of which we would analyze the results and see which way we go from there. Until we have had a chance to get the expression from the Clerk of her experience, we just don't know. We will be waiting for that and then we will make our decision at that point.

With regard to Supplementary estimates. What you

see before you is now a deficit budget and unless we get some windfall money from somewhere we just don't have any more money for this current fiscal year. Our Department heads have been told the same thing. We just don't have any money for supplementary estimates. I am not saying that we can't find it, but at the moment we don't have it.

We will have to face the issue when it arises.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to say that we are getting down to the old question of price tags and democracies.

I think it is exceedingly important that the Legislative function functions. We have, in our wisdom, avoided, for instance the implementation of Standing Committees understanding, or course, we don't have the funds for them. We are attempting to economize wherever possible through the operation of the House, but, I feel it is also exceedingly important at this particular point in time that a decision be made on the future of the recording services of which I spoke earlier.

It is essential we get this Hansard out in the manner we are doing it and with the same degree of excellence that is being provided in order that the people of the Territory know indeed, in print, what we are actually talking about in this Chamber on their behalf. That is an extremely important function and I wouldn't one to underrate its actual importance.

It is also, I have learned as Speaker, from the people that are doing the work for us now, that they are going to have to know whether or not -- what our decision is in this regard in order that they may, indeed, be able to come back.

I would stress that there is some urgency in this matter and I would ask that the Administration give absolute priority to this item so that we may have some sort of a decision from the Administration as to what they are prepared to let us have, monetary wise for these services.

Mr. Chairman: As Chairman of Committees, I would certainly like to go on record as strongly supporting the comments of Mr. Taylor.

Anything further arising?

Mr. Lang?

Mr. Lang: Mr. Chairman, there is one item in this vote that concerns me very much. Is the expanding bureaucracy which is referred to as inter-governmental affairs. I would like to ask the Executive Committee to keep a very close look on this so we are not in the ground works of creating another government monstrosity.

I would like to say furthermore that I agree wholeheartedly with what Mr. Taylor said just before I stood up to speak.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. Mr. Lang, any further questions or comments on the first item, Administrative Services.

Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Two, Department of Treasury, \$1,362,523.00. Any questions or comments?
Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Three, Department of Education, \$10,789,712. Ms. Millard.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, I am sorry I didn't have the information yesterday when we were going through this certain section of this vote. But I do have now, and it seems to me pretty important, it's on Establishment number 324, Rehabilitation Services.

I think I'll just give you the information as I have it. In conversation between some social agencies, specifically mentally retarded, learning disabilities and social service societies and Bill Woods needs for a general rehabilitation centre were discussed and appeared to be substantial. This centre was to be for adults and young people beyond the school program. It was felt, however, that a feasibility study would have to be done to properly establish the extent and type of problems it would serve and the kind of operation it should be.

The private groups were advised that monies would probably be available from the Yukon Territorial Government for the groups, to spend on this independent study. So the request was made, a grant was obtained, \$3500, and the associations are proceeding on a joint basis to get the study done as soon as possible. In other words, we have spent \$3,500 on a study, to substantiate this Establishment number and we don't even have the study yet and we're going to go ahead and pass this whole thing. I wonder if the Minister of Education has some reply to this.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. McIntyre.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: I don't know what study you're talking about. The sheltered workshop?

Mr. Chairman: Ms. Millard?

Ms. Millard: No, Mr. Chairman, it seems to be a study that was a feasibility study which would properly establish the extent and type of problems that a general rehabilitation centre would serve and the kind of operation it would be.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: That is the sheltered workshop program I believe, but if you would care to give me a copy of what you have and also the name of the person that gave you the information, I'd be glad to look into it.

Mr. Chairman: Ms. Millard.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, am I to understand that the Department of Education does not know about \$3500 that was a grant that was given out to do a study prior to commitments on the budget.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Well I'm sure we know about the study, but I'd like more information from you as to exactly what you're talking about. You're talking about a study, what study?

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman, the study that is being presently prepared by the Association for the Mentally Retarded, the Association for Children with Learning Disabilities and the Yukon Social Service Society. They have been jointly asked by -- in conjunction with Bill Woods they have been jointly asked by the Territorial Government to do a study into the feasibility of the rehabilitation centre.

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: Well I'll bring that information to Council but I think the Honourable Member probably has received what information she has from someone well acquainted with it, they could have explained it to her just as well as I could.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. McIntyre.
Any further questions or comments on the Department of Education.

Ms. Millard?

Ms. Millard: Yes, Mr. Chairman, are we going to go ahead on this without knowing how -- having the knowledge of this study which we have paid for. Is that the request of the Department of Education?

Hon. Mr. McIntyre: It is not my request, it's the wishes of the House.

I think we should go ahead with it.

Mr. Chairman: Ms. Millard.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I think the letter from the three organizations yesterday that we got, and I have so many I'm getting a little bit mixed up, but, indicating that they had acquired the services of the consultant to do a feasibility study on the type of sheltered workshop that they would like to establish in the Yukon, the government has said, now we are prepared to start a sheltered workshop, what kind do you want. What kind of people should working in it and so on, and these three organizations have contacted a consultant to do a study to come back and report to them on the type of workshop that they would want to have. And it's very necessary to have that study, in order that you can proceed on your sheltered workshop. So by all means, I would vote this.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mrs. Watson.
Any further comments or questions?
Is it agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is the Department of Secretary and Registrar-General. \$1,025,081. Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Yes, Mr. Chairman, it was at this point that I was going to consider proposing a motion to reduce the salaries of one or more of the inspectors

under General Inspection Services, or propose the reduction of the salaries to a dollar.

I just wish to state at this time that it is not my intention, and I just wish to restate to the Administration, the desire of the people of the Yukon, certainly the ones that I represent, to take a close look at this function, and to attempt to get a more rational approach to the whole question of inspection by this department.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Taylor, any further comments or questions arising?
Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Department of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation, \$8,520,173.00. Any questions or comments arising?

Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Department of Local Government, \$2,146,170. Any questions or comments?
Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: Department of Tourism, Conservation and Information, \$1,898,531.00. Any questions or comments? Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would like to restate the matter of Game Wardens in the Yukon Territory, and restate my position and my suggestion to the Administration, that is that now whenever a position in the Game Department as Warden comes open, that a local Yukon person be selected to fill that position. Notwithstanding that someone from outside may have better qualifications, academically, as I stated at that time, I feel that there is sufficient expertise within our Department now to train local people to do this most important function.

I wish at this time to restate that position and I would hope that the Administration would listen closely to it. I note that within the Federal Government, in some departments, now, it is their intention to start training local people in the Yukon and the Northwest Territories, into some of the local oriented jobs within IA and ND and I see no reason why we can't start that program here in the Yukon at the Territorial Government level and I really believe that the game department is the place to start.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. Taylor. Any further comments or questions?
Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Department of Legal Affairs, \$1,642,840. Any questions or comments?

I have just one comment I'd like to make by way of clarification and that is that when we went through this, on the first go around, and I'm referring to Establishment number 800 on page 47, I was very

critical about the level of the services provided, in the court reporting field. I'd like to make it very clear that my criticism is of the budget, and of the fact that the department is very understaffed. I certainly was not referring to the qualifications or the courteousness of any of the individual court reporters. There seems to have been some misunderstanding amongst some employees of the government, not by the court reporters, thank goodness, but I'd like to say that I have found in my dealing and I speak for the whole profession, that they have been very courteous and very good employees.

Any comments or questions arising?

The next item is Department of Highways and Public Works, \$11,727,849. Any questions or comments?

Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Yukon Housing Corporation, \$1,653,500. Any questions or comments?
Mr. McCall?

Mr. McCall: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I just want to restate what I stated before, about the Yukon Housing Corporation as a whole. I think that there has been a lot of ignorance based on some of the priorities set, especially in the area I represent. I'm just hoping in the Administration's wisdom, not too distant future, that they can look at the priorities a little more seriously.

Mr. Chairman: Any further questions or comments?

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I'm interested in the Established number of 4450 which is the Government's Employee Housing Plan, The Revolving Fund, and I understand the Bill isn't through the House yet and really, an agreement with this vote on the budget, we're agreeing with the principle of the Employee Housing Plan and I understand Members wanted to debate this issue further, prior to the House accepting the budgetary items.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you Mr. McKinnon, you're quite correct. We'll stand that aside for now. Mrs. Whyard, do you have any comments about that?

Mrs. Whyard: Well Mr. Chairman, if you consider this is the proper time to enter into that, I'll be happy to launch my campaign.

Mr. Chairman: Really would that be the -- if I might just ask Mr. McKinnon, wouldn't that be the final item, the \$500,000 at the bottom of the schedule, that you're referring to?

Mr. McKinnon: Yes.

Mr. Chairman: Referring to Schedule A, Bill No. 2

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: I know it is Establishment number 4450 is the -- in the budget Mr. Chairman. That is under capital, I would imagine.

Mr. Chairman: That is the 500,000 revolving.

Hon. Mr. McKinnon: That's right.

Mr. Chairman: Yes, that's at the bottom of the schedule. A Mr. McKinnon, so I think we can proceed with Item 10, which is the Yukon Housing Corporation, at this time and leave the last item. Unless Mrs. Whyard would like to proceed with that at that time.

Mrs. Whyard: Whatever you say Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed then on Yukon Housing Corporation, \$1,653,500.

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is project capital. \$22,213,000. Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Yes, I have two items of consideration here, Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the Honourable Member from Hootalinqua. My colleague on my right who is just not able to be with us at this moment. One is the -- realtes to the desire of his community of Teslin to have a building, in the community, to house the territorial agent and liquor store and any other function of government that possibly, offices for their L.I.D. And this has been asked for budget, after budget, after budget and we don't seem to be able to get it into this budget so I would hope that the Administration Ex-com or the Financial Advisory Committee or whoever deals with the question, can find it in their hearts to consider having this facility for Teslin finally in this forth up and coming budget now under construction. And they waited a long time for this facility, and I think it's about time some consideration was given to them and my only other remark on behalf of the Honourable Member from Hootalinqua was to restate the desire of the people of Teslin to be included in the satellite television program this summer, more particularly, in as much as it was that community that has fought so long and so hard to attempt to get a program such as this under way and they've really spearheaded the thing so I leave the Committee and the Administration with those two thoughts.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Taylor, the Chairman shouldn't let this go by without putting in a plug for Carcross.

(Laughter)

Mr. Chairman: I'm of course speaking about the T.V. reception. Is it agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Loan Capital, \$3,050,000. Any questions or comments? Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Loan Amortization. \$1,527,000.

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: That leaves us with the Government Employees Housing Plan Revolving Fund, of \$500,000. Now, what are the wishes of the House. Mrs. Whyard do you feel at this time that you'd like to go back to Bill No. 14.

Mrs. Whyard: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Bill No. 14 has left in Committee and I don't want to delay this Assembly by leaving it there. If you want to consider it in Committee and vote it out before we call it 5:00, so it can be processed with other Bills or whatever that would certainly meet with my approval.

Mr. Chairman: Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The only thing I'm wondering, Mrs. Whyard, are you saying at this time you want to deal with that Bill or are you intending to deal with it at third reading.

Mrs. Whyard: This time is fine Mr. Chairman, is there's time available.

Mr. Chairman: Fine.
What are the wishes of the House?

Some Members: Agreed.

Bill No. 14

Mr. Chairman: Very well, we'll turn back to Bill No. 14, Government Employee Housing Plan Ordinance and we delayed entertaining a motion on that bill this morning because Mrs. Whyard wished to get hold of some additional information. Mrs. Whyard, do you have some comments to make about that bill?

Mrs. Whyard: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The purpose of this Ordinance is to enable to the government to institute a housing buy back plan for its employees. And under the plan, employees who qualify would be able to require the government to buy their houses, at 95 per cent of the appraised market value.

I can see the reason for introduction of this Ordinance, naturally any large employer such as the Yukon Territorial Government wants to have happy employees and they want employees who are going to stay once they are trained. One of the main requisites for having a happy employee is proper housing. There are two ways to assist in making adequate housing available.

One is to subsidize rental of government housing and my understanding is that this government is trying to get out of the landlord business and less in this department.

The other way is to assist in the construction of employee's own home. Now there are a number of ways to do this. This bill outlines a buy back plan for people who have already built their home or are going to when they join the government in future years. It would establish a revolving fund of half a million dollars for this purpose.

I think the terms are clear throughout the

Ordinance. My objection is two fold. I object to this bill on principle, I am opposed to \$500,000 of the taxpayers money being used for the benefit of perhaps one or two people per annum. And my second objection is that I think it's not the most practical way

to approach the problem. I cannot see a revolving fund operating successfully under these conditions. If you are going to buy at 95 per cent of the appraised market value, a home up to the value of \$60,000 as this Ordinance says, you are going to pay something like \$50,000 for a top quality house. The person who then comes in to buy it from you, whether it's a new employee in that settlement or whether it's sold to a member of the public, can only obtain a loan up to \$35,000 under the National Housing Act. There is a discrepancy there, of some \$20,000 or more, who picks that up?

If this is covered by the revolving fund, it's going to take 25 years to recover that amount, it's not going to revolve very fast. I can't see that this is a practical way to handle this housing problem. I am convinced that any house which met the qualifications of this bill, which require it to be of certain standards before the government buys it, would ensure that the house was in fact sold through the public market without the government getting into this business at all.

Now I am assuming that the people who had input into this Ordinance examined every other kind of housing plan, and I'm sure they examined such arrangements as the CNT has had for some 15 or 20 years in the Territory with its employees. Whereby the employer puts a second mortgage on that property, and assists the employee to build their own home, the second mortgage is a large one, and is non repayable.

C.M.H.C. puts up one half of that amount the employer puts up the other, which is a quarter, eh, of your costs. This works out actually on the average mortgage of \$15,000.00 at average interest of 9 bucks per thousand, 9 percent per thousand, that is costing \$135.00 a month, but the employee owns the home. He can leave at any time and know that he has an equity in a house and the incoming employee doesn't have to put up sixty thousand bucks minus your housing loan of the top of thirty five thousand to get his hands on it.

There are other plans such as the one used by B.C. Hydro at Hudson Hope, a subsidized rental housing program, but there is no equity in that and people want to invest and own something if they are going to sink that much rent into any kind of a building.

Mr. Chairman, I just feel that there are better ways to spend this money, as we have all seen today. I feel the interests of one or two employees of the Territorial Government in small settlements, which I am assured is the main purpose of this Bill, not to buy back houses in Whitehorse where we know there is a commercial market, but to benefit employees in small communities where normally there would be no one to buy this house. I cannot see that it should receive a priority of this 5. Mr. Chairman I must record my opposition to this Bill at this time.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Whyard. Any further questions arising or comments arising?

That being the case I will entertain a Motion of Bill 14 --

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, could I say something before you move Bill 14 out of Committee?

Mr. Chairman: Certainly.

Mr. Miller: One of the amendments that was mentioned from the Chair this morning, I believe, was an inaccurate amendment. With the permission of the House I think we should go back to review that amendment. It was in respect to section 3, if my memory serves me correctly -- no it was in respect to 3(3) and the placement of that into 3(1)(g).

The intention of putting that section, subsection 3 in under section 3 was so that every employee, regardless of his length of service, who was being transferred at the wish of the government would be eligible for the benefits of this plan regardless of whether he had two years continuous service or not.

That was the full intention and that is why section 3(3) was left out as a separate item. Transfers of employees the government must agree to that transfer if an employee desires the transfer. We don't anticipate any misuse of it and yet we would like the employee to have the advantage, if he does go out and buy a house in the first year, to be able to have the benefits of this plan because we have asked him to transfer to another community.

Mr. Chairman: Well it was -- I was the person who was recommending the amendment and since the government's policy is now clarified in that they are going to be dealing with those employees who remain in the service but are transferred from community to community in a different way than the other people who become eligible, I am certainly in agreement with deleting that amendment and leaving section 3, subsection 3 as is where is, if that can be agreed by the Honourable Members.

To put it another way what the government is now saying is that if they hire a person in Dawson and that person builds a home and then is transferred by the Government, with the consent of the government to say, Mayo, that he would become eligible for the plan even though he hadn't been with the government for two years continuous service. That is what they are saying.

If that is the principle behind it I support that principle.

Ms. Millard: Mr. Chairman to clarify things couldn't the words "regardless of length of employment" be added to that section?

Mr. Chairman: Well it was my concern Miss Millard, there is no need. That was my concern with the section in the first place. When I raised it it wasn't met and that is why I asked that it come within subsection (1).

Ms. Millard: But Mr. Chairman, it is obvious that the man who wrote it didn't even remember what the gist of the whole thing was so five years from now when someone comes along and is applied under this situation, will it be remembered unless the words are put in.

Mr. Chairman: I am sure if the judge is required he will seize upon the proper interpretation, Ms. Millard, but I certainly encourage further debate on the point. Mr. Taylor?

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Yes, just for clarification. I can't recall, did we in fact move an amendment to this section?

Mr. Chairman: No, Mr. Taylor, what happened was that we came up with a special kind of motion because of Bill 19 which was to follow. The Motion was that the amendments to Bill 14 as read from the Chair be now agreed to and that Motion was carried. I suppose we could do it at this time by agreement of the Members present ask that the amendments agreed to as read -- of the amendments read from the Chair that the last amendment be deleted.

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, once a motion has been agreed to, at one sitting of this Legislature, it is not possible to change it. But we may find some relief in the fact that the Bill, there has been no actual amendment to the Bill made and the effect of the motion could only be the source of a future amendment to the Bill.

But if there has been no amendment to the actual Bill, then in fact there is no need to withdraw anything.

But that is an expression of the House that cannot be altered during the course of the session. But if the Bill has not been physically amended, I would think that there is no problem.

Mr. Chairman: What you're suggesting, Mr. Taylor is that when this Bill comes back as amended from first and second reading that the administration in their wisdom would not carry through with the change to 3, sub 3 is that it?

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I don't think we should leave anything to the wisdom of the administration.

Mr. Chairman: I was hoping you'd say that, Mrs. Watson.

Mrs. Whyard.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, I could perhaps help with this situation, I have a motion I would like to present at this time.

Mr. Chairman: Perhaps before we hear the motion, what's your solution?

Mrs. Whyard: The solution is my motion. The message is the medium. The medium is the message.

Mr. Chairman: Well perhaps before we entertain your motion, Mrs. Whyard,--

Hon. Mr. Taylor: Maybe we should take a brief recess before we get into the procedure.

Mr. Chairman: Even without a recess, Mrs. Whyard, just a minute, I'll hear your motion in a second. I don't see why we can't go ahead with the amendment and if it appears to be desirable, this bill

can be amended next time. At the next session. It's a simple amendment.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, the intent must be in the recordings and I think that we now would have an amendment to that motion, wouldn't that be the next step?

So that there is an explicit interpretation in the recordings.

Mr. Chairman: It's a procedural-- Mrs. Whyard, please feel free to record the motion.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, I would move that in view of current budgetary limitation, it is moved that Bill No. 14, Government Employee Housing Ordinance remain in Committee.

Dr. Hibberd: I would second that motion.

Mr. Chairman: Does the motion mean that the Bill will be left to die in committee.

Mrs. Whyard: Correct, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: I'll at this time declare a brief recess. If you like, give them a copy of the motion as amended.

Mrs. Whyard: Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: This is only a five minute recess. We have lots of work to do.

Recess

Mr. Chairman: I call the Committee back to order and before we proceed on this Motion, I'd just like to explain the situation as I understand it, and I have to apologize for being a neophyte at this point, but -- if this Motion is carried, then of course the Bill would die in Committee. If the Motion is not carried, then we're back with the Bill as amended.

We cannot during this session, change the amendments as passed. So the end result would be if somebody were to move the Bill out of Committee. This is presupposing what might happen in the next Motion before us.

The end result would be Bill number 14 with the amendments as passed earlier today and if the Government then wished to amend that Bill they would have to do so at a later sitting.

And this would affect only Section 3, subsection 3 which is now part of 3(1)(g). So that's understood, I'll read the Motion.

It has been moved by Mrs. Whyard, and seconded by Dr. Hibberd that in view of current budgetary restrictions that Bill number 14 - Government Employee Housing Plan Ordinance be left to die in Committee. Is there any debate on this? Mr. Berger.

Mr. Berger: Mr. Chairman, I can't understand the Honourable Member from Whitehorse West. This Bill is a definite need in the outlying districts. I have a rough figure here, it's roughly about 45 percent of the Government employees are living in the outlying

outside of Whitehorse. Union employees, I am talking about, this is meant as permanent employees. And they have fought for this Bill, and asked for this Bill for many, many years, because there's a definite discrimination on the point of locally hired people. Because they can't unless the question arose to the Honourable Member from Mayo if the outlying people are eligible for further education, they are not.

So in other words, the Government employee from the outlying area trying to apply for a different position, trying to get education, he's not eligible for it because he cannot get assistance from the Federal Government. Neither can he sell his house because of marketing conditions in those areas.

It's not like Whitehorse where there's a constant fluctuating population. In a Community like Dawson, Mayo, Haines Junction anything like this, the population is usually fixed. We haven't the influx of people coming and going like you have in Whitehorse.

I was not opposed to Social Services because I think they're definitely needed in the Whitehorse area but again the outlying areas receive less than 10 percent of the benefits from any Social Services in the Whitehorse area. And just because Whitehorse insists, and some members of the Whitehorse area insist, on having the Social Services for Whitehorse they are trying to kill a Bill like this. I cannot understand it. I couldn't understand if any members from the outlying areas especially would vote for this type of Motion.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mr. Berger. Any comments or debate or questions?
Mrs. Watson.

Hon. Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I have to agree with the honourable Member that there is a need for this type of program in the outlying areas and I would certainly hate to see this Bill defeated.

I think there has been a little bit of misunderstanding with the concept of a revolving fund. And I think possibly Mr. Miller could explain a revolving fund much better than I could. So I would ask Mr. Miller if he would explain the revolving fund. It really doesn't affect our budget perse.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Watson. Mr. Miller.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, a revolving fund as used in Government, and it's only Government that tends to use these things, really what we are asking for is authority if somebody does exercise the option under the Ordinance to buy that house. We are not setting aside \$500,000.00 for this program. We're setting aside up to 500,000 of our working capital. Not our budgetary expenditure of our working capital.

So rather than have it in the bank drawing interest, what we're really saying is we may have it invested in houses. So we're not really talking about affecting the budgetary deficit or surplus of this particular years' operation. If there was a loss in this revolving fund, if we bought a house and had to sell it at a loss, we would have to come back to this Council for authority to replenish that revolving fund.

So the revolving fund is really just authority to spend without actually setting the money aside in the true sense of allocating \$500,000.00 for this program.

Mr. Chairman: Any further questions, comments or debate?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Question, are we agreed?

Some Members: Disagreed.

Mr. Chairman: I'll ask for a show of hands then. All those in favour of the Motion -- would you like me to read it again -- will please raise their hands?
Those opposed?

Mr. Chairman: I declare the Motion defeated.

Motion Defeated

Mr. Chairman: I'll then entertain a Motion on Bill 14.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I would move Bill number 14 be reported out of Committee without amendment.

Mr. Berger: I second it.

Mr. McKinnon: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, as amended.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you. It has been moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Berger that Bill number 14 entitled, Government Employee Housing Plan Ordinance be reported out of Committee as amended.
Question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: I'm sorry, before we look at the clock, let's go back then to Bill number 2. There was one item left in Schedule "A" and that is the last item - Government Employee Housing Plan Revolving Fund \$500,000.00 Is there any question or comment?

Mrs. Whyard: Yes.

Mr. Chairman: Mrs. Whyard.

Mrs. Whyard: Mr. Chairman, I just have to make one comment and that seems to be obvious, whether the funds are revolving or non-revolving, set aside or in fact there, in the bank or on paper. They always seem to be available for projects of this size, but the funds are not available for other issues which I would give a more serious priority to.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, Mrs. Whyard. Any further comments or questions. Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The total is \$69,464,110.00. Agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: The preamble. Whereas it appears by message from James Smith, Esquire, Commissioner of the Yukon Territory, and in the estimates accompanying the same, that the sums hereinafter mentioned in Schedule "A" of this Ordinance are required to defray certain expenses of the Public Service of the Yukon Territory and for the purpose relating thereto for the 12 months ending the 31st day of March, 1976. Therefore the Commissioner of the Yukon Territory by and with the advice and consent of the Council of the said Territory enacts as follows:

The title of Bill number 2 - First Appropriation Ordinance 1975-76. Clear.

Some Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: I will entertain a Motion then.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, it's strange for this Honourable Member to be making this Motion because I think it sure reflects the status of this whole, whole Council that we spend weeks and then other years and other Councils we spent weeks and weeks, too, going through the Main estimates. I think that there's not a Member in this House agrees or doesn't disagree with some of the points made by the Honourable Members. Some of these items in this Budget are not priority items as we would give them if we could honestly reflect the wishes of the constituents we are representing.

And it's so strange that after all the years of debating and arguing for the Budget on the Government's side, once as Chairman of the Financial Advisory Committee, and in opposition side, that at all times I could make that statement that this Budget as it was going through, did not truthfully and realistically reflect the true wishes of the people of the Yukon Territory. And that shows the position still of this Legislative Assembly.

And be that as it may, Mr. Chairman, on every occasion it was still reported in the Motion that I'll move now, that we move Bill number 2 - First Appropriation Ordinance, that it be reported out of Committee without amendment. Which is always the case, Mr. Chairman, no matter which side of the House you sit on.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you, do we have a seconder of that Motion?

Mr. Berger: I second that Motion.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Berger that Bill number 2 - First Appropriation Ordinance 1975-76 be reported out of Committee without amendment?

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare that Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Chairman: I would like to thank Mr. Miller for being present. He may be excused.

Mr. Lang: Mr. Chairman, I move that Mr. Speaker do now resume the Chair.

Mr. Chairman: Do we have a seconder to that Motion?

Mr. McCall: I second it, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. McCall that Mr. Speaker do now resume the Chair. Are you ready for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Chairman: Are we agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Chairman: I declare the Motion carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker Resumes Chair.

Mr. Speaker: At this time I will call the House to order. May we have a report from the Chairman of Committees.

Mr. Phelps: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Committee of the Whole convened at 10:30 a.m. to consider Bills, Papers and Motions. We had as witnesses during the day Mr. Miller and Mr. Gillespie

It was moved by Mrs. Watson, seconded by Mr. McIntyre that Section 4 (1) (d) of Bill number 6 be amended by deleting the word "born" and inserting in its place the words "conceived and born". This Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mrs. Watson and seconded by Mr. McIntyre that Bill number 6 entitled, Compensation for Victims of Crime Ordinance be reported out of Committee as amended. This Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mrs. Watson that the amendments to Bill number 14 as read from the Chair be now agreed to and this Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. McKinnon and seconded by Mr. Berger that the amendments to Bill number 19 as read from the Chair be now agreed to and this Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Fleming that Bill number 19 entitled, Community Assistance Ordinance be reported out of Committee as amended and this Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Fleming re Sessional Paper Number 2 that the number of members to hold office on (a) Recreation and

Amateur Sports Committee (b) Historic Sites and Monuments Board and (c) The Yukon Tourist Advisory Council be fixed at 12. And that one member be appointed to each Board, or Council by the Commissioner on the recommendation in writing of each Member of this House. And that the member so appointed hold office until after the election of the next House unless such person appointed resigns prior to that time. In which case his successor should be appointed by the Commissioner on the recommendation of the member of the Constituency concerned. That Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Berger that Bill number 14 entitled, Government Employee Housing Plan Ordinance be reported out of Committee as amended and that Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. McKinnon, seconded by Mr. Berger that Bill number 2 entitled, First Appropriation Ordinance 1975-76 be reported out of Committee without amendment. That Motion was carried.

It was moved by Mr. Lang, seconded by Mr. McCall that Mr. Speaker now resume the Chair, and that was carried.

Thank you.

Mr. Speaker: You have heard the report of the Chairman of Committees, are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: What is your further pleasure?

Mr. McCall: Well Mr. Speaker, I move we now call at 5 o'clock.

Mr. Lang: I second it.

Mr. Speaker: It has been moved by the Honourable Member from Pelly River, seconded by the Honourable Member from Whitehorse-Porter Creek that we now call it 5 o'clock are you prepared for the question?

Some Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Are you agreed?

Some Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I shall declare the Motion Carried.

Motion Carried

Mr. Speaker: This House now stands adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow morning.

Adjourned

LEGISLATIVE RETURN NO. 7
[1975 SECOND SESSION]

March 25th, 1975

Mr. Speaker,
Members of Council

On Monday, March 24th, Councillor Lang asked the following written question:

"What has taken place in relation to Crossroads in respect of:

- (a) The lot that was to be set aside for their proposed building.
- (b) Receiving home that was to be made available for the interim period.
- (c) When will this information be put into writing for Crossroads, so that they can formulate their plans?"

The answer is as follows:

- (a) The proposed building lot which Mr. Lang mentioned is presumably the Riverdale lot which was discussed with Crossroads Board by the Whitehorse City Council. Shortly after these discussions took place approval in principle was given to the Yukon Housing Corporation by the City Council to proceed with plans to develop a multi-housing project on this property. However, at no time has the Territorial Government made any commitment to provide Crossroads with a specific building lot.

Before any commitment can be made by the government to Crossroads to assist them in obtaining a suitable building site and funds for construction of a new building, it feels obligated to explore what government buildings are available or will be available when the government vacates some of its existing offices and moves into the new Territorial Building. This investigation, which involves a thorough review of possible accommodation, has already been embarked upon, and Mr. Van Vugt a member of the Board of Directors of Crossroads was present when one such building, the Selwyn House, was assessed. After the government's investigation is completed, the assessments of possible accommodations that have been compiled will be presented to the Crossroads Board for their consideration.

(b) Presently the government is leasing Crossroads the former girls' residence at 105 Hanson Street, and until arrangements can be completed for a permanent facility is prepared to lease the children's group home at 502 Hoge Street to Crossroads. Father Kearns and one of the staff members of Crossroads have viewed a similar group home and have indicated that the accommodations would be suitable for their needs.

(c) Discussions have been held with members of the Board of Crossroads regarding provision of temporary accommodations for their treatment programme and they are being advised of the availability of the Hoge Street building by letter.

Hilda P. Watson,
Minister of Health,
Welfare and Rehabilitation.

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/10/1954. The letter discusses the author's interest in the subject of the journal and the author's previous work in the field. The author mentions that he has been working on this subject for some time and that he has found some interesting results. He asks the editor to consider his manuscript for publication in the journal.

2. The second part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/15/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his interest in the journal. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

3. The third part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/20/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

4. The fourth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/25/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

5. The fifth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/30/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

6. The sixth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/5/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

7. The seventh part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/10/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

8. The eighth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/15/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

9. The ninth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 11/20/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

10. The tenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 11/25/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

11. The eleventh part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/1/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

12. The twelfth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/5/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

13. The thirteenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/10/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

14. The fourteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/15/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

15. The fifteenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 12/20/1954. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

16. The sixteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 12/25/1954. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

17. The seventeenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 1/1/1955. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

18. The eighteenth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 1/5/1955. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

19. The nineteenth part of the document is a letter from the author to the editor, dated 1/10/1955. The author thanks the editor for his letter and for his acceptance of his manuscript. The author informs the editor that he has received the proof of his manuscript and that he has made some minor corrections.

20. The twentieth part of the document is a letter from the editor to the author, dated 1/15/1955. The editor thanks the author for his letter and for his corrections. The editor informs the author that his manuscript has been accepted for publication in the journal.

