



YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

VOTES & PROCEEDINGS

22ND WHOLLY ELECTIVE COUNCIL

1974 SECOND SESSION

VOLUME 2

SPEAKER: MR. R.A. RIVETT

INDEX TO VOLUME 2
 1974 SECOND SESSION OF 22ND COUNCIL
 APRIL 16 - APRIL 22
 PAGES 365-669

BILLS	NO.	FIRST READING	SECOND READING	DEBATE	AMENDMENTS	THIRD READING	ASSENT
1ST APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE, 1974-75	3			142-70; 188-52; 527-30; 531-76; 585-612			
FINANCIAL AGREEMENT ORDINANCE, 1974	4			656-69			
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE COURT OF APPEAL ORDINANCE	20	369	369				
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE INTERPRETATIONS ORDINANCE	14	368	368				
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE MINING SAFETY ORDINANCE	18						
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE	19	369	369				
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE NOTARIES ORDINANCE	16	368	369	396-7			
ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE	15	368	368	364-6			
ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION	12			369-411	430-44	447	448

MOTIONS	NO.	MOVED	DEBATE	AMENDMENTS	RESULTS
LEGISLATIVE RETURNS #29 & 31 BE DISCUSSED IN COMMITTEE	19	445			CARRIED
MOTION APPOINTING TIM COLE TO THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION		445			CARRIED
SESSIONAL PAPER #18 AND LEGISLATIVE RETURN #25 BE DISCUSSED IN COMMITTEE	17	365			CARRIED

MOTIONS	NO.	MOVED	DEBATE	AMEND- MENTS	RESULTS
MOTION INVITING JUSTICE BERGER TO ATTEND COMMITTEE		629			AGREED
TELEVISION BE PROVIDED TO YUKON COMMUNITIES USING MONIES FROM 1974-75 MAIN ESTIMATES	18	413	413-21		

MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS	NO.	MOVED	RESULTS
PLANS OF PROPOSED WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL	2		TABLED, P. 532

SESSIONAL PAPERS	NO.	TABLED	DEBATE
ECONOMICS OF YUKON WILDLIFE	16		518-19

LEGISLATIVE RETURNS	NO.	TABLED	DEBATE
COMMISSIONER'S ORDERS 1974/10, 1974/17, AND 1974/19- EXPLANATION	26	365	
DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS, APPOINTMENT OF	31	413	445
ENVIRONMENTAL INSPECTOR, APPOINTMENT OF	34	481	
FARO, MUNICIPAL AID BASIS	25		365
IMPAIRED DRIVING CHARGES, STATISTICS	39	579	
ISOLATED POST ALLOWANCE FOR FEDERAL CIVIL SERVANTS	43	579	
LAND USE REGULATIONS AND INLAND WATERS REGULATIONS, APPLICATION OF	32	413	
LIQUOR LICENSING APPLICATION	35	481	
MAIN-STEELE LOTS, PLEBISCITE	27	365	
MUNICIPAL ORDINANCE, CHANGES REQUESTED	28	413	
NOT PLANS	40	579	
NCPC MARSH LAKE PROJECT, WITHDRAWAL	44	579	
NORTHERN PIPELINE, SUMMARY OF STUDIES	42	579	
POLICY MANUAL, OMISSIONS	41	579	
SPORTS GRANTS	36	579	
STEWART-DAWSON ROAD, REPAIRS	38	579	

LEGISLATIVE RETURNS	NO.	TABLED	DEBATE
PATIENT CASELOADS FOR WATSON LAKE COTTAGE HOSPITAL	30	413	
ROYALTY REVENUES FROM EXTRACTIVE RESOURCES PRODUCTION	33	413	
YTG NEWSLETTER	29	413	445
WRITTEN QUESTIONS	NO.	ASKED	REPLY
IMPAIRED DRIVING CONVICTIONS PER COMMUNITY	6	413	
PATIENT CASELOADS FOR WATSON LAKE COTTAGE HOSPITAL	5		LR #30
ROYALTY REVENUE FROM EXTRACTIVE RESOURCES PRODUCTION	2		LR #33
ORAL QUESTIONS	ASKED	REPLY	
BOTTLE COLLECTION DEPOTS, LOCAL FRANCHISES	424-5		424-5
CARMACKS, RESERVE LAND	426; 631-2		LR #52
CHIROPRACTORS, FACILITIES FOR USE OF	366; 447; 635		
COMMISSIONER'S ABSENCE	367 426 532		367-8 426 532
CAMPGROUNDS: MAINTENANCE POSITIONS	632		632
CONSUMERS PROTECTION ORDINANCE, ENFORCEMENT	581-2		582
CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATIONS ORDINANCE, AMENDMENTS	484		484
ENERGY SOURCES, RELIEF FROM INFLATION OF PRICES	580-1		581
ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION, CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER INFORMED OF	631		631
FARO, SUB-SOIL CONDITIONS	482		482
FLEMING, MR., QUESTION RE: TERM OF SERVICE TO BE ANSWERED	426-7; 532-3 630		426-7 533 631
GAME WARDENS, JOB REQUIREMENTS	582		582
KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM COSTS	580		

ORAL QUESTIONS	ASKED	REPLY
KLUANE PARK JOBS, PREFERENCE TO LOCAL RESIDENTS	582-3 631	LR #55 631
HAINES JUNCTION LID, REPORT ON EXPANSION	481 632 759A	481 632 759A
INDIAN LAND CLAIMS	759A	759A
ISOLATED POST ALLOWANCE, NEGOTIATIONS	428	
LIQUOR ORDINANCE, GENERAL REVIEW; SECTION 10 (2) OF REGULATIONS	483-4 533	483-4
LAND TRANSACTIONS AS PUBLISHED, INCLUDE FEDERAL TRANSACTIONS	631	LR #49
LANDLORD AND TENANTS ORDINANCE, ENFORCEMENT	581-2	582
LEGAL PROFESSION ORDINANCE, AMENDMENTS	632	
MORRISON, MR., REASON FOR ABSENCE	632	632
MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT FIRM'S REPORT RE: SOCIAL WELFARE BRANCH	366-7	367
MEDICAL TRAVEL REGULATIONS, REVISION	482	483
M.O.T. PROGRAMS, INFORMATION	367	
MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE, SECTION 60, ENFORCEMENT	427-8	
MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS, RE: DAWSON AND FARO	481-2	481-2
NCPC, ROSS RIVER APPLICATION	425	425
NORTHERN PIPELINE COMMITTEE: HEARINGS	584	584
OLYMPIC LOTTERY, YUKON EXCLUSION	422	422-3
POLICY MANUAL, SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM RE: PREPARATION OF LEGISLATION	445-6	
SALE OF SERVICED LOTS, POLICY	533	
SPORTS GRANTS, 1974-75	428	
STEWART-DAWSON ROAD REPAIRS	445	
PELLY CROSSING SCHOOL: STUDENT BOYCOTT	630	630
TABACCO TAX, ANTICIPATED REVENUE	580	580
TAXATION ORDINANCE, PHRASE "REAL PROPERTY"	482	

ORAL QUESTIONS	ASKED	REPLY
WATSON LAKE: CHECK-POINT & TOURIST CENTRE; HOSPITAL PLANS AND REVISION OF: AIRPORT IMPROVEMENTS	447 447,583 581	447 447,583-4 581
WHITEHORSE GENERAL HOSPITAL ADVISORY BOARD, MEETINGS	631	LR #54
YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN, MONIES PAID-OUT	482	482
YUKON TEACHER'S ASSOCIATION, COURT ACTION AGAINST YTG	428-9	428-9
YTG NEWSLETTER, PROPOSALS IN LR #29	422	422-3
WITNESSES	ITEM	PAGES
MSSRS. MILLER, HUBERDEAU	BILL #3	534-56
MSSRS. MILLER, HUBERDEAU, FINLAND	BILL #3	448-530

INDEX TO MAIN ESTIMATES

VOTE	PAGES	EST.#	PAGES	VOTE	PAGES	EST.#	PAGES				
01 - ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	548-58	100	548-9	03 - EDUCATION		319	346-52				
		120	549-54			320	353				
		122	554-5			321	353-9; 567-9; 586-8				
		124	555-6			322	359-63				
		140	556			323	363				
		150	556			324	363				
		160	556			2301	312-14; 566				
		180	556-8			2316	314; 567				
02 - TREASURY	263-9; 273-9; 303-11; 562-6	200	276; 295-8; 303-6; 562-3			2339	314				
		201	279; 563			2342	314				
		202	306-7; 563-6			2345	314-8				
		203	307-8			04 - TERRITORIAL SECRETARY	448-50; 588-9	400	448-50; 588-9		
		204	308-9					403	449		
		2101	263-6; 309-10					05 - HEALTH, WELFARE & REHABILITATION	449-68	500	455
		2102	266-9; 273-5; 277-8; 310							501	455-6
		2103	311							502	456
						504	456-61				
						505	461-3				
		03 - EDUCATION	312-31; 346-63; 566-88			300	318-9			515	463
302	319-22			525	463-4						
303	322-6			530	464-5						
309	326-7			531	465						
313	327-30			532	465-6						
314	330-1; 346			533	466						
316	331; 346; 569-76; 585-6			534	446						
				535	446						
				536	446						
				537	446						
				560	466-8						
		2501	449-54								
		2502	454								

INDEX TO MAIN ESTIMATES

<u>VOTE</u>	<u>PAGES</u>	<u>EST.#</u>	<u>PAGES</u>	<u>VOTE</u>	<u>PAGES</u>	<u>EST.#</u>	<u>PAGES</u>
05 - HEALTH, WELFARE & REHABILITATION		2538	454	08 - LEGAL AFFAIRS	515-22;	800	515-18
		2541	454-5		598-9	801	518-9
		2551	455			802	519-22
		2552	455				
		2553	455				
06 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT	468-79; 594-7	600	476	09 - HIGHWAYS & PUBLIC WORKS	522-34;	900	527
		601	476-7		600-2	901	527-8
		602	477			902	528-9
		603	477			903	529
		605	477-9, 596-7			904	529
		606	479			905	529
		2600	468			906	529
		2601	468-9			907	529-30
		2602	469			908	530
		2603	469-70			909	530
		2605	470			2900	522
		2610	470			2902	522
		2611	470-2			2903	522
		2612	472-6			2909	523
		2613	476			2910	523
		2614	476			2911	523
		07 - TOURISM, CONSERVATION & INFORMATION SERVICES	485-514; 597-8		700	493-7	2912
701	497-8			2913	525		
720	498-514			2914	525		
730	514			2918	525-6		
2701	485			2921	526		
2703	488-9			2922	526		
2709	489-90			2940	526		
2710	490			2941	527		
2711	490			2950	527		
2723	490-3			2951	527		
2724	493						
2741	493						
				18 - YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION	534-41; 542-4; 602	1800	542-3
						1801	543-4
						2182	534-41

INDEX TO MAIN ESTIMATES

VOTE	PAGES	EST.#	PAGES
19 - LOAN AMORTIZATION	558-62 607		
20 - PROJECT CAPITAL	544-8	2003 2004 2005	544-7 547-8 548
32 - EXPENDITURE RECOVERIES	633-56		
36 - LOAN AMORTIZATION RECOVERIES	607-26		

TUESDAY, APRIL 16, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER, ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MRS. WATSON: YES, MR. SPEAKER I HAVE FOR TABLING LEGISLATIVE RETURNS NO. 26 & 27.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO TABLE FOR INFORMATION OF COUNCIL THIS MORNING THE TECHNICAL BRIEF FOR TELEVISION LOW POWER BROADCASTING STATION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEE? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE NOTICE OF MOTION CONCERNING THE PROVISION OF TELEVISION SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITIES OF HAINES JUNCTION, DESTRUCTION BAY, TESLIN, CARCROSS AND CARMACKS.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION?, ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? ARE THERE NO MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS. IF THERE ARE NO MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS WE COME TO MOTION NO. 17.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TAYLOR SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT SESSIONAL PAPER NO. 18 AND LEGISLATIVE RETURN NO. 25 BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

STILL ON THE ORDER PAPER ARE WRITTEN QUESTIONS NO.1, NO.2, NO.5. WE NOW COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD.

MADAME CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF THE COMMISSIONER IS AVAILABLE. WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. NEITHER THE COMMISSIONER NOR HIS ASSISTANT ARE AVAILABLE. COULD I HAVE YOUR QUESTIONS PUT FORWARD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, IS THE ADMINISTRATOR IN THE TERRITORY AT THIS TIME, CAN WE HAVE THAT INFORMATION?

MR. SPEAKER: WELL, AS FAR AS I KNOW HE COULD NOT MAKE PLANE CONNECTIONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, UNDER THE YUKON ACT WE ARE UNABLE TO OPERATE AN ADMINISTRATION WITHIN THE TERRITORY WITHOUT THE COMMISSIONER OF THE ADMINISTRATOR BEING PRESENT. I WOULD WANT TO UNDERSTAND NOW WHO IS IN CHARGE OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE YUKON AT THIS TIME? I WONDER IF I COULD GET THIS INFORMATION FOR COUNCIL. IT IS SO IMPORTANT AT THIS TIME.

MR. SPEAKER: I THINK THE OTHER COMMISSIONER IS IN CHARGE ...

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHICH OTHER COMMISSIONER?

MR. SPEAKER: I BEG YOUR PARDON. I MEANT HIS ASSISTANT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: UNDER THE YUKON ACT, MR. SPEAKER... WITH RESPECT, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE OTHER PERSON THAT YOU REFER TO HAS NO AUTHORITY AT ALL TO ADMINISTER THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. SPEAKER: WELL, I'M UNABLE TO PRODUCE...

LAUGHTER

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO MR. LEGAL ADVISOR, BEING SO

KNOWLEDGABLE IN SUCH AFFAIRS, I'M WONDERING IF HE COULD ADVISE US AS TO WHO AT THIS TIME IS AVAILABLE IN THE TERRITORY TO ADMINISTER THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I DON'T KNOW. ALL I CAN TELL YOU IS I'M NOT.

LAUGHTER

MR. MCKINNON: THANK GOD FOR SMALL MERCIES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, IT COULD BE NOW SEEN, MR. SPEAKER THAT THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE YUKON TERRITORY CAN WELL DO WITHOUT EITHER THE COMMISSIONER OR THE ADMINISTRATOR AND PERHAPS NOW IS THE TIME FOR THIS COUNCIL TO TAKE OVER THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE YUKON, WHO WITH ME WILL MOVE TO THE END OF THE HALL?

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF MR. SPEAKER COULD FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE HOUSE ASCERTAIN WHEN SOMEBODY IS GOING TO COME BACK TO MIND THE STORE SO WE COULD THIS IS A VERY IMPORTANT PERIOD IN THE DELIBERATIONS THAT THIS HOUSE IS GOING TO BE MAKING IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS PARTICULARLY AS IT INVOLVES THE ELECTORAL DISTRIBUTION BILL AND THE BUDGET AND THE EDUCATION ORDINANCE. THREE VERY IMPORTANT PIECES OF LEGISLATION. CERTAINLY THE COMMISSIONER OR THE ADMINISTRATOR SHOULD BE IN THE TERRITORY AND READY TO PROVIDE ADVICE AND ANSWER QUESTIONS TO THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL. IT'S NOT REALLY QUITE AS HILARIOUS AS IT ALL SOUNDS MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: I UNDERSTAND THAT THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WILL BE HERE TOMORROW.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T QUITE UNDERSTAND YOU MR. SPEAKER. UNDER THE TERMS OF THE YUKON ACT THERE IS NO ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER. WE ONLY TALK OF THE COMMISSIONER AND THE ADMINISTRATOR. THEY ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE COVERED BY APPOINTMENT AND THE ACT IS QUITE CLEAR THAT WHEN ONE IS AWAY THE OTHER IS IN CHARGE OF THE ADMINISTRATION. WE ARE IN A SITUATION OF LIMBO AT THIS TIME. WE CERTAINLY HAVEN'T GOT THOSE PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN APPOINTED. WE CAN SHOW THAT THEY ARE NOT NEEDED. MR. SPEAKER I WOULD SUGGEST TO YOU AS THE HEAD OF THIS HOUSE THAT YOU INSTRUCT THE COUNCIL TO TAKE OVER THE ADMINISTRATION OF

THE TERRITORY AS IT CAN WELL DO IN A MUCH BETTER MANNER.

MR. SPEAKER: THE ADMINISTRATOR WILL BE HERE TOMORROW.

ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE: FACILITIES FOR VISITING CHIROPRACTORS

MR. TAYLOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF HEALTH. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, MR. SPEAKER, IF HE COULD INFORM ME AS TO WHY IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO MAKE AVAILABLE THE FACILITIES OR A PORTION THEREOF OF THE NURSING STATIONS AND COTTAGE HOSPITALS IN THE TERRITORY FOR THE USE OF VISITING CHIROPRACTORS TO THESE COMMUNITIES IN THE SAME MANNER AS WE DO FOR DENTISTS AND OTHER SPECIALISTS. IN ADDITION, COULD I BE INFORMED IF INDEED A POLICY IS TO BE ESTABLISHED TO PROVIDE FOR THIS MOST NECESSARY SERVICE.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO BRING A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S QUESTION IN REGARD TO THAT. THERE HAS BEEN A POLICY DECISION MADE WITHIN OUR OWN JURISDICTION SO FAR AS CHIROPRACTORS ARE CONCERNED. BUT IN SO FAR AS THE USE OF THOSE FACILITIES IF I MIGHT, I WILL BRING A WRITTEN ANSWER FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER.

MR. TAYLOR: JUST A SUPPLEMENTARY TO THAT. WOULD THE HONOURABLE GENTLEMAN AGREE THAT IN AS MUCH AS THE LAWS OF THE TERRITORY DO PROVIDE FOR THIS MEDICAL FACILITY BY ORDINANCE AND BY STATUTE THAT WOULD IT NOT BE REASONABLE TO FOLLOW THAT THE FACILITIES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE IN THE SAME MANNER AS TO DENTISTS THAT THESE BE MADE AVAILABLE TO CHIROPRACTORS.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD POINT OUT THAT THOSE FACILITIES ARE NOT TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT FACILITIES. THEY ARE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FACILITIES.

QUESTION RE: REPORT ON INVESTIGATION OF SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER IF THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER FOR HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION COULD INDICATE WHETHER A REPORT HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE TO THE COMMISSIONER WITH

REFERENCE TO THE INVESTIGATION OF THE SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT WHERE MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS WERE BROUGHT IN?

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES WHAT? HAS THERE BEEN A REPORT?

MR. TANNER: YES, IT HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THAT REPORT GOING TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE MEMBERS OF THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL?

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN THE MEMBER INDICATE HOW MUCH WAS THE TOTAL COST OF THE MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT SERVICES INCLUDING FEES AND EXPENSES?

MR. TANNER: THE ORIGINAL ESTIMATE, MR. SPEAKER, WAS \$8,000 AND WE HAVE NOT GOT THE FINAL EXPENSES BUT AS I TOLD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TWICE BEFORE AS SOON AS WE DO WE WILL TABLE THOSE COSTS IN THE HOUSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HAS AN ACCOUNT, MR. SPEAKER, SUPPLEMENTARY. HAS AN ACCOUNT BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE TERRITORIAL ADMINISTRATION FOR THAT?

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. SPEAKER, WE HAVEN'T GOT THE FINAL ACCOUNT. AS I TOLD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THREE TIMES WE HAVEN'T YET GOT THE FINAL ACCOUNT. HE'S GOT MY WORD THAT AS SOON AS WE DO GET THE FINAL ACCOUNT IT WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THIS HOUSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, WITH RESPECT, PAST EXPERIENCE HAS TAUGHT ME NOT TO TRUST THIS MAN'S WORD. HOWEVER COULD I GET AN INDICATION FROM HIM WHETHER OR NOT THE REPORT IS DETRIMENTAL TO ANY OF THE SENIOR MEMBERS OF THAT BRANCH?

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. SPEAKER, YOU CANNOT GET THAT FROM THIS MEMBER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, IS IT DETRIMENTAL TO ANY MEMBERS OF THE BRANCH?

MR. TANNER: I DON'T WISH TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER.

QUESTION RE: M.O.T. PROGRAM FOR AIRPORTS

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, IT IS RATHER DIFFICULT WITHOUT HAVING SENIOR MEMBERS OF THE ADMINISTRATION AVAILABLE TO US TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. HOWEVER, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW, MR. SPEAKER, WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION WILL REPLY TO THE REQUEST MADE IN QUESTION PERIOD TWO WEEKS AGO AS TO THE BREAKDOWN OF THE M.O.T. PROGRAM AS IT AFFECTS AIRPORTS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. I AM WONDERING WHY THE DELAY AND WHEN WE MIGHT RECEIVE THIS INFORMATION.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I THINK THE ADMINISTRATION IS WORKING ON IT. ALL THE INFORMATION HASN'T BEEN GATHERED YET BUT I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT IT WILL BE PRESENTED TO COUNCIL VERY SHORTLY.

QUESTION RE: COMMISSIONER'S ABSENCE

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, A QUESTION ADDRESSED TO EITHER ONE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO ARE PRESENT. CAN THEY INDICATE WHETHER THE COMMISSIONER'S ABSENCE IS DUE TO MEDICAL REASONS OR WHETHER HE HAS TAKEN A SPECIAL LEAVE TO GET AN EDUCATION BY WAY OF A CRASH PROGRAM IN THE TAKING OF THE FRENCH LANGUAGE AND IS THERE ANY SIGNIFICANCE IN WHAT I UNDERSTAND IS TAKING PLACE AT THIS TIME. A CRASH PROGRAM IN THE FRENCH LANGUAGE.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, THE COMMISSIONER IS AWAY ON HOLIDAYS. WHETHER OR NOT HE IS GOING TO TAKE A FRENCH LANGUAGE COURSE I DO NOT KNOW. I WOULD MAKE A PERSONAL COMMENT THAT I HOPE HE IS. I WOULD LIKE EVERY PERSON IN THE YUKON TO TAKE A CRASH FRENCH LANGUAGE COURSE BECAUSE THIS IS A BILINGUAL COUNTRY AND IT WOULDN'T DO US ANY HARM.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER WHO HAS ANSWERED THE PREVIOUS QUESTION WOULD INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT HE AND HIS COLLEAGUE ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE APPROVE OF THE COMMISSIONER LEAVING THE TERRITORY AT THIS TIME WHEN IT IS ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT SESSIONS IN THE LIFE OF THIS COUNCIL?

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS QUESTION IS A GOOD ONE. WHETHER OR NOT I PERSONALLY APPROVE OF THE COMMISSIONER GOING AWAY I DON'T WANT TO ANSWER. WHETHER OR NOT HE SHOULD BE HERE IT SEEMS A LITTLE IRONICAL TO ME MR. SPEAKER THAT THE HONOUR-

ABLE MEMBER THAT ASKED THE QUESTION HAS BROW-BEATEN THE COMMISSIONER EVERY TIME HE HAS APPEARED IN PUBLIC, EVERY OPPORTUNITY HE GETS HE TAKES TO BELITTLE THE MAN, HE HAS GONE AWAY. I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WOULD HAVE BEEN QUITE PLEASED,

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ONLY IF I KNOW THAT HE IS GOING FOR GOOD.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A FURTHER QUESTION?

MR. TAYLOR: I HAVE A WRITTEN QUESTION THIS MORNING.

Question Re: Impaired Driving Charges

MR. TAYLOR: WOULD THE ADMINISTRATION PROVIDE COUNCIL WITH INFORMATION WHICH WOULD INDICATE A MONTHLY BREAKDOWN OF THE NUMBERS OF THE CONVICTIONS UNDER THE IMPAIRED DRIVING SECTIONS OF THE CRIMINAL CODE FOR PRINCIPLE YUKON SETTLEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1972-73 AND 1973-74.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: NOT NECESSARY BY SETTLEMENTS. MR. SPEAKER, IF I MIGHT BE PERMITTED TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THAT QUESTION, WE HAVE VERY DETAILED STATISTICS ON ALL OF THESE THINGS, BUT NOT NECESSARILY SETTLEMENT BY SETTLEMENT. THEY ARE NOT SEGREGATED GEOGRAPHICALLY BUT AN ATTEMPT COULD BE MADE TO DO THIS.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I AM AWARE THAT THESE FIGURES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE R.C.M.P. AND I AM SURE IF THE ADMINISTRATION WERE TO ASK THEM, THEY WOULD PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS IN ORDER WE COME TO PUBLIC BILLS IN ORDER.

MR. TANNER: I MOVE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON THAT BILL NO. 14 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 14, AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

NOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: WHEN SHALL THE BILL BE READ FOR THE SECOND TIME?

MR. TANNER: NOW MR. SPEAKER.

BILL NO. 14 SECOND READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 14 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE SOCIETIES ORDINANCE BY GIVEN SECOND READING.

NOTION CARRIED

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER I WOULD MOVE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON THAT BILL NO. 15 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

BILL NO. 15 FIRST READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 15 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

NOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: WHEN SHALL THE BILL BE READ FOR A SECOND TIME?

MR. TANNER: NOW MR. SPEAKER.

BILL NO. 15 SECOND READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONORABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 15 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE BE GIVEN SECOND READING.

NOTION CARRIED

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON THAT BILL NO. 16 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE NOTARIES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

BILL NO. 16 FIRST READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 16 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE NOTARIES ORDINANCE

BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

MOTION CARRIED

BILL NO. 16 SECOND READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 16 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE NOTARIES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN SECOND READING.

MOTION CARRIED

BILL NO. 18 FIRST READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 18 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

MOTION CARRIED

BILL NO. 19 SECOND READING.

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 19 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE BE GIVEN SECOND READING.

MOTION CARRIED

BILL NO. 20 FIRST READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 20 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE COURT OF APPEAL ORDINANCE BE GIVEN FIRST READING.

MOTION CARRIED.

BILL NO. 20 SECOND READING

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT BILL NO. 20 AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE COURT OF APPEAL ORDINANCE BE GIVEN SECOND READING.

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. SPEAKER I BELIEVE IT IS THE

INTENTION TODAY OF ALL MEMBERS WHO HAVE INDICATED THAT PRIOR TO SITTING THIS MORNING THEY WOULD LIKE TO GIVE MAXIMUM PRIORITY TO BILL NO. 12. IN THIS LIGHT I WOULD NOW MOVE THAT Mr. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

Mr. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

Mr. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION Mr. SPEAKER.

Mr. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT Mr. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER AT THIS TIME. I THINK JUST TO GET OUR PAPERS AND THOUGHTS TOGETHER I WILL CALL A VERY BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: FOR OUR FIRST ITEM OF BUSINESS TODAY, WE HAVE BILL NO. 12. IS IT YOUR WISH THAT I PROCEED WITH THE READING OF THIS BILL?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN QUITE OBVIOUSLY THERE ARE CERTAIN SECTIONS OF THIS BILL WHICH DO NOT NOW APPLY SINCE THE AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE YUKON ACT.

BRIEFLY, THE THREE SECTIONS THAT IMMEDIATELY APPEAR FOR A CHANGE AND I THINK THE ADMINISTRATION WILL HAVE THOSE AMENDMENTS READY FOR YOU BY TOMORROW. WE HAVEN'T HAD THEM TODAY BECAUSE THERE HAS ONLY BEEN ONE WORKING DAY SINCE THE BILL WAS GIVEN ASSENT.

SECTION 3, SUBSECTIONS 1, 2, & 3, SECTION 10, SUBSECTION 1, SECTION 24, SUBSECTIONS 1 & 2. IT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE READ THROUGH THE BILL AND THAT THERE IS NOT MUCH POINT IN DISCUSSING THOSE UNTIL WE CAN BRING THE AMENDMENTS IN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE THE SECTIONS AGAIN? SECTION 3,

Mr. TANNER: SUBSECTIONS 1, 2 & 3, SECTION 24, 1 & 2.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: SECTION 24?

Mr. TANNER: Yes, 1 & 2, THEY ARE THE OBVIOUS ONES Mr. CHAIRMAN. THERE WILL PROBABLY BE OTHERS AS WE GO THROUGH THAT WE MIGHT FIND. THOSE ARE THE OBVIOUS ONES THAT HAVE GOT TO BE CHANGED SINCE WE HAVE HAD THOSE AMENDMENTS THROUGH.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN I WONDER IF WE COULD BE GUARANTEED THAT THE AMENDMENTS TO THIS BILL WILL BE READY FOR DISCUSSION TOMORROW. IN THAT INSTANCE I THINK WE SHOULD LEAVE IT UNTIL THE AMENDED BILL COMES. WE WILL JUST BE DUPLICATING OUR EFFORTS IN COMMITTEE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I WAS WONDERING COUNCILLOR McKINNON IF IT MIGHT BE AN IDEA TO GO THROUGH THE BILL IN AS MUCH AS SOME MEMBERS MIGHT HAVE FURTHER AMENDMENTS TO THE BILL AND THE ADMINISTRATION COULD BE AWARE OF THIS AT THIS TIME.

Mr. McKINNON: NO OBJECTIONS.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN I WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT ONE REASON THAT THE AMENDMENTS WERE NOT BROUGHT FORWARD THIS MORNING, WE WERE A LITTLE RELUCTANT TO, UNTIL WE HAD THE FINAL AMENDED SECTIONS TO THE YUKON ACT TO REFER TO, THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT THE AMENDMENTS CAN BE TABLED AFTER LUNCH THIS AFTERNOON.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: SHALL WE PROCEED? THIS IS BILL No. 12, AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS BOUNDARIES COMMISSION.

1. THIS ORDINANCE MAY BE CITED AS THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION ORDINANCE.

2. IN THIS ORDINANCE:

"CHAIRMAN" MEANS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 4; "COMMISSION" MEANS THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3; "ELECTORAL DISTRICT" MEANS ANY PLACE OR AREA ENTITLED TO RETURN A MEMBER TO SERVE ON THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY; "JUDGE" MEANS THE JUDGE OF THE COURT AND INCLUDES:

- (A) A DEPUTY JUDGE OF THE COURT,
- (B) AN EX OFFICIO JUDGE OF THE COURT, AN
- (C) A JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL.

"SPEAKER" MEANS THE SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL AND INCLUDES ANY PERSON DESIGNATED BY THE COUNCIL TO PERFORM THE DUTIES OF THE SPEAKER.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE POINT THERE, WE WOULD PROBABLY REQUIRE A FURTHER DEFINITION NOW THAT WE HAVE TAKEN SOME OF THE SECTIONS OUT. A DEFINITION SECTION MIGHT HAVE TO BE EXPANDED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I HAVE FOUR POINTS IN THIS PARTICULAR SECTION THAT I WOULD LIKE TO RAISE Mr. CHAIRMAN.

FIRSTLY, DEAL WITH THE CHAIRMAN. HE SAYS THE CHAIRMAN MEANS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 4; NOW IF WE LOOK FORWARD TO SECTION 4, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE A JUDGE. THIS IS FINE, BUT I WONDER WHETHER IT SHOULD BE THE COMMISSIONER WHO APPOINTS THE CHAIRMAN OR THE JUDGE OR SHOULD IT BE THE COMMISSIONER IN COUNCIL TO APPOINT THE JUDGE THIS IS THE FIRST QUESTION I RAISED ON THAT.

THE NEXT QUESTION IS WHERE WE READ, "ELECTORAL DISTRICT" MEANS ANY PLACE OR AREA ENTITLED TO RETURN A MEMBER TO SERVE ON THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. NOW I THINK WE WOULD BE RESTRICTING THE COMMISSION BECAUSE THE COMMISSION MIGHT DECIDE IN ITS WISDOM THAT A PARTICULAR CONSTITUENCY MIGHT REQUIRE TWO MEMBERS. SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT WOULD SAY 'RETURN A MEMBER OR MEMBERS TO SERVE ON THE COUNCIL' IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THEY SHOULD DO THIS BUT AT LEAST IT DOESN'T RESTRICT THEM TO A MEMBER TO A CONSTITUENCY.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COULD I COMMENT ON THAT POINT AS YOU GO THROUGH. I WONDER WHETHER THE HOUSE WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS AN OPINION ON THAT PRIOR TO ASKING TO SETTING UP THE COMMISSION WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD EVEN VISUALIZE HAVING MORE THAN ONE MEMBER FROM EACH DISTRICT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS UP TO THE COMMISSION.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN I BEG TO DIFFER. I THINK THIS IS A DECISION THAT THIS COUNCIL SHOULD MAKE, WHETHER THEY WANT ONE MEMBER FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT. I DON'T THINK YOU SHOULD LEAVE THIS UP TO THE DISCRETION OF THE COMMISSION. THE COMMISSION HAS TO HAVE A DIRECTION IN THE LEGISLATION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IT MAY BE THAT THE TERMS OF REFERENCE, LATER ON IN THE ORDINANCE ARE GIVEN SO THAT THE COMMISSION CAN SET ITS OWN RULES IN RELATION TO THE COMMISSION ITSELF. AS IT

IS NOW, IT LOCKS THE COMMISSION INTO A POSITION OF WHERE THEY HAVE TO HAVE TWELVE CONSTITUENCIES, WITH ONE MEMBER IN EACH CONSTITUENCY WHEREAS THEY MIGHT FIND IT MIGHT BE PREFERABLE TO HAVE A LESSER NUMBER OF CONSTITUENCIES BECAUSE OF THE POPULATION BEING VERY SMALL IN SOME AREAS. THEY MIGHT WANT AN EXTRA NUMBER OF MEMBERS IN A MORE POPULATED CONSTITUENCY.

BY PUTTING IN 'OR MEMBERS' THERE IS ROOM FOR THE COMMISSION TO OPERATE IN AN AREA WHERE THEY BRING FORWARD THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS TO COUNCIL IN ANY EVENT. LATER ON IN THE LEGISLATION, IT SHOWS, THE RECOMMENDATION HAS TO COME TO COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL, SO AT THAT TIME WOULD BE THE TIME TO COMMENT ON IT.

AT LEAST WE SHOULD NOT LOCK THE COMMISSION INTO A FIXED POSITION. THIS IS THE POINT THAT I AM MAKING ON THAT. PERHAPS IF I CAN GO THROUGH THE OTHER TWO ITEMS THEN WE CAN SPEAK ON THESE.

I WONDER PERHAPS IF MR. LEGAL ADVISOR CAN INDICATE WHO WOULD BE AN EX OFFICIO JUDGE OF THE COURT. I HAVEN'T SEEN THAT PARTICULAR REFERENCE IN OUR JUDICIAL ORDINANCE AT ALL. PERHAPS MR. LEGAL ADVISOR CAN SAY WHERE THIS COMES INTO PLAY.

THE JUDGES OF THE COURT ARE I THINK, TWELVE IN NUMBER AND THEY ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE HOLDING APPOINTMENTS AS JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL IN BRITISH COLUMBIA AND HOLD SIMILAR TENURES OR APPOINTMENTS HERE WHICH MEANS THAT THE CLASS OF PERSONS FROM WHICH THE JUDGE CAN BE APPOINTED WOULD BE FIFTEEN PEOPLE.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE DEFINITION OF A JUDGE IS TO TRY AND EXPAND IT TO A LARGER CLASS THAN A SINGLE JUDGE. WHEN WE USE THE EXPRESSION 'JUDGE OF THE COURT' WE MEAN THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADDISON AND IT'S TOO NARROW A POSITION SO WE TRY TO EXPAND IT. AN EX OFFICIO JUDGE IS A PERSON WHO HOLDS A POSITION AS A JUDGE BY VIRTUE OF BEING A JUDGE OF ANOTHER COURT WHICH AS A CLASS WOULD INCLUDE THIS JUDGE. IT'S POSSIBLY UNNECESSARY NOW THAT WE PUT IN A JUDGE IN A COURT OF APPEAL. THE JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL IN THE YUKON TERRITORY ARE EX OFFICIO JUDGES OF WHAT WAS THE TERRITORIAL COURT HERE. NOW THE ACTUAL JUDGES AS FAR AS I KNOW ARE, THE JUDGE OF THE COURT IS MR. JUSTICE MADDISON, TWO DEPUTY JUDGES ARE JUSTICES MR. MORROW AND JUSTICE MR. SIRDIS,

THERE ARE FROM TIME TO TIME DEPUTY JUDGES APPOINTED, USUALLY APPEARING FOR A PARTICULAR

CASE AND YOU WILL RECALL THAT TWO YEARS AGO, A JUDGE FROM QUEBEC WAS SPECIALLY APPOINTED TO HEAR SOME CASES HERE IN THE TERRITORY. WE COULD HAVE OTHER JUDGES FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSES BECAUSE ONE OF THE EXISTING JUDGES WAS UNABLE TO ACT OR WAS DISQUALIFIED FOR REASONS OF KNOWING THE PARTY OR HAVING TRIED ANOTHER PORTION OF THIS CASE.

I THINK, TWELVE IN NUMBER AND THEY ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE HOLDING APPOINTMENTS AS JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL IN BRITISH COLUMBIA AND HOLD SIMILAR TENURES OR APPOINTMENTS HERE WHICH MEANS THAT THE CLASS OF PERSONS FROM WHICH THE JUDGE CAN BE APPOINTED WOULD BE FIFTEEN PEOPLE.

THIS DOES GIVE US A BACK UP SITUATION SUCH AS IN THE CASE OF ILLNESS OR UNAVOIDABLE ACCIDENTS OR THE INABILITY TO ACT, OF MR. JUSTICE MADDISON. SO, I TRY TO CONSIDER WHETHER WE NEED THE THREE OR NOT NOW THAT THE POINT HAS BEEN RAISED. IT APPEARS TO BE A GOOD POINT BECAUSE IT APPEARS TO BE ADEQUATELY TAKEN CARE OF BY THE EXPRESSION OF THE JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL. NOW WE COME TO THE TWO OTHER POINTS THAT WERE RAISED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. AN APPOINTMENT BY THE COMMISSIONER-IN-COUNCIL MEANS AN ORDINANCE. IT WOULD MEAN A SPECIAL ORDINANCE TO APPOINT AN INDIVIDUAL AND THIS IS NOT THE SCHEME OF THINGS WHICH IS NORMALLY DONE. YOU DO NOT NAME A PARTICULAR PERSON IN AN ORDINANCE, SAY, WE WILL APPOINT MR. JOHN JONES OR MR. SO-AND-SO, IN AN ORDINANCE. THE EXPRESSION, COMMISSIONER-IN-COUNCIL MEANS AN ORDINANCE AND NOTHING ELSE. THE NORMAL WAY OF OPERATING THAT WE HAVE USED IN THE PAST IS THAT THE POWER IS CONVEYED TO A PERSON TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT.

IN THIS CASE, ALTHOUGH THE COMMISSIONER IS MAKING THE APPOINTMENT, HE MAKES IT ON VERY STRICT INSTRUCTIONS FROM A NARROW CLASS OF PEOPLE WITHIN THIS ORDINANCE. THE CHAIRMAN MUST BE A JUDGE WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE WORD 'JUDGE'. THE OTHER TWO MEMBERS ARE APPOINTED. ONE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SPEAKER AND THE OTHER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHAIRMAN. BUT THE COMMISSIONER HAS NO FLEXIBILITY WHATSOEVER IN ACTUAL FACT, IN MAKING THESE APPOINTMENTS AND WOULD PRESUMABLY MAKE THE APPOINTMENTS ON THE COMING INTO FORCE OF THIS ORDINANCE. NOW, SO FAR AS TWO MEMBERS ARE CONCERNED, TWO MEMBERS WERE NOT UNCOMMON IN CANADA BUT WERE COMPARATIVELY RARE AND THERE WERE ONLY TWO CONSTITUENCIES IN CANADIAN ELECTIONS RECENTLY WHICH DID, IN FACT, HAVE TWO MEMBERS. BUT, AS THE DRAFT STANDS, I WOULD THINK THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S POINT WAS WELL TAKEN IN THIS WAY: IF I WAS ASKED TO

ADVISE THE COMMISSION AS TO WHAT ITS POWERS WERE I WOULD SAY THERE IS A STRONG INDICATION HERE, BUT NOT AN ABSOLUTE PROHIBITION, A STRONG INDICATION TO HAVE SINGLE MEMBER CONSTITUENCIES.

AND I WOULD COMPREHEND THAT THIS IS THE WAY IT WOULD GO. IF THIS HOUSE WANTED TO DO SOMETHING ELSE IT COULD CHOOSE EITHER OF TWO THINGS. IT COULD GIVE AN INDICATION TO THE COMMISSION BY WAY OF A SECTION WHICH MADE IT CLEAR THAT THERE WAS A POWER TO RECOMMEND A TWO-MEMBER CONSTITUENCY OR EVEN A HIGHER MULTIPLE STILL AS IS NOT UNCOMMON IN OTHER FORMS OF ELECTION FOLLOWED IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS. OR, IT COULD RESERVE THE QUESTION OF SUCH A RECOMMENDATION TO COME BACK TO THIS HOUSE. BUT THERE IS MERIT IN WRITING INTO THE BILL WHAT THE WISHES OF THE HOUSE ARE BECAUSE WITHOUT IT, I THINK THE COMMISSION WOULD JUST GO STRAIGHT THROUGH. THE TWELVE MEMBERS THEY ARE THINKING OF, THEY WOULD HAVE TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. THIS IS WHAT I MEAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SOME REFERENCE TO SOME OF THE WORDS THAT HAVE BEEN SPOKEN. FIRST OF ALL, I APPRECIATE THAT MR. LEGAL ADVISOR SEES THE POINT, VIS A VIS THE SECTION 21(B) WITH REFERENCE TO THE EX OFFICIO JUDGE. NOW, THE POINT I MAKE IN REGARDS TO THE CHAIRMAN IS NOT NECESSARY. I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S NECESSARY FOR ANOTHER ORDINANCE TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD BECAUSE THE COMMISSIONER CAN, UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL - WE'VE ALREADY GOT THOSE WORDS WRITTEN INTO OUR ORDINANCES NOW AND IT'S ALSO WRITTEN INTO THE YUKON ACT. AN APPOINTMENT IS MADE UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL AND THIS IS WHAT I FEEL SHOULD BE MADE. NOW, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR HAS INDICATED THAT THE COMMISSIONER IS NOT INVOLVED BUT IF HE APPOINTS THE CHAIRMAN AND THE CHAIRMAN APPOINTS SOMEBODY ELSE, REALLY IT'S THE COMMISSIONER WHO IS ASSISTING THE CHAIRMAN WHO IS APPOINTING SOMEBODY ELSE, SO HE HAS AN INDIRECT INPUT INTO PEOPLE AND THIS IS ANOTHER CONCERN THAT I HAVE. AGAIN, I SAY, WOULD MR. LEGAL ADVISOR INDICATE THAT THERE ARE NOT MANY TWO-POSITION CONSTITUENCIES. WELL, IN NOVA SCOTIA THERE ARE TWO, TWO-POSITION CONSTITUENCIES. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THERE IS B.C.; THERE ARE TWO AS WELL. SO IT'S NOT UNCOMMON FOR THIS TO TAKE PLACE. I'M SIMPLY SAYING THAT IF WE HAVE THE WORDS 'A MEMBER OR MEMBERS', IT DOES NOT LOCK A FIXED POSITION AND I THINK THAT THIS SHOULD BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION. THE REFERENCE OF MY LAST POINT IN THIS SECTION IS THE REFERENCE TO THE SPEAKER. WHERE IT SAYS SPEAKER IT MEANS SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL AND IT INCLUDES

ANY PERSON DESIGNATED BY THE COUNCIL TO PERFORM THE DUTIES OF THE SPEAKER. WELL, WE'VE ALREADY GOT THAT BECAUSE IF IT'S NOT THE SPEAKER, IT'S AUTOMATICALLY THE DEPUTY SPEAKER WHO IS THE SPEAKER SO IT WOULDN'T BE A CASE OF DESIGNATING SOMEBODY ELSE TO PERFORM THE FUNCTION. IT'S ALREADY THERE, THE SPEAKER MEANS THE SPEAKER. DOES MR. LEGAL ADVISOR FOLLOW THAT ONE?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I WOULD BE CAUTIOUS, MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE SPEAKER IS MENTIONED IN THE YUKON ACT, DEPUTY SPEAKER IS NOT. DEPUTY SPEAKER IS NOT ATTACHED TO THE POSITION IN THE SENSE THE SPEAKER IS. I DON'T THINK THE DEFINITION AS IT IS WRITTEN DOES ANY HARM AND COULD GET OVER THE DIFFICULTY CAUSED BY THE ABSENCE OF A SPEAKER, WE MIGHT HAVE TO REQUIRE, AND WOULD HATE TO HAVE TO ADVISE A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE HOUSE TO DESIGNATE A DEPUTY SPEAKER FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS ORDINANCE. THE SPEAKER MEANS THE SPEAKER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER, WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

MR. STUTTER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CERTAINLY CANNOT AGREE ON THAT QUESTION, THAT THE YUKON ACT PROVIDES NOW FOR A SPEAKER. IT DID PROVIDE, AS A MATTER OF FACT, FOR A SPEAKER BUT NOT IN THE FULL SENSE THAT IT DOES WITH THE AMENDMENT AS PROVIDED BY C-9. ALL THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED IN THIS PARTICULAR BILL IS THE SPEAKER MEANS THE SPEAKER, NOT OF THE COUNCIL, AS SOMEONE AIRD HERE. THAT SHOULD BE: SPEAKER MEANS THE SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WHICH IDENTIFIES WHAT COUNCIL YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT BECAUSE THERE ARE A DOZEN COUNCILS AROUND THE YUKON TERRITORY, POSSIBLY NOT OF THIS HIGHER LEVEL BUT...SO YOU WOULD HAVE TO SAY THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AND I THINK AT THAT POINT, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST IS CORRECT BECAUSE THE COUNCIL CANNOT FUNCTION WITHOUT A SPEAKER AND THE DEPUTY SPEAKER BECOMES THE SPEAKER IN THE ABSENCE OF THE SPEAKER HIMSELF. OR, IN THE ABSENCE OF BOTH, THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES IS PROVIDED FOR HIM TO BECOME SPEAKER. THE COUNCIL ALWAYS HAS A SPEAKER AND THAT IS WHY WE HAD A SMALL CONSULTATION HERE A SESSION AGO, OVER THE LACK OF A DEPUTY SPEAKER BECAUSE THERE MUST BE THIS CONTINUITY. SO I THINK REALLY, IT'S REDUNDANT, IT HAS NO MEANING BY SAYING THAT.

I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT HERE AT THE OUTSET I DID WISH TO RISE FIRSTLY TO ASK THAT THE ADMINISTRATION PROVIDE US, AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE, THAT ALL MEMBERS ARE PROVIDED WITH A COPY OF THE TIMETABLE WE ARE LOOKING AT IN RESPECT OF THE FALL ELECTION BECAUSE THIS IS PRETTY IMPORTANT. IT DOES RAISE A COUPLE OF INTERESTING QUESTIONS. IF IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO HOLD THE ELECTIONS ON THE DUE DATE OF SEPTEMBER, OR GENERALLY IN SEPTEMBER, THEN THIS IS GOING TO UPSET ANY ELECTION FOR THE FUTURE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY BECAUSE WHEN THESE DATES OF ISSUANCE OF WRITS AND RETURNS OF WRITS ARE CHANGED, WE CAN ADVANCE THEM BUT WE CAN'T SEEM TO RETARD THEM. AND IT'S GOING TO MAKE SOME INTERESTING CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS AFFECTING THE YUKON ACT SO IT SEEMS TO ME, IF IT'S AT ALL POSSIBLE, WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF LEGISLATION, WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER AND WITH THE ACTION THAT COUNCIL TAKES IN THIS MATTER, IF IT SEEMS POSSIBLE AT ALL TO HOLD THE ELECTION ON ITS DUE DATE THEN WE SHOULD AND IN ORDER TO HOLD THIS WE WOULD THEN HAVE TO KNOW THE ALTERNATIVES BY THE TIME TABLE. I THINK, IN DISCUSSING THIS, WE SHOULD TRY AND GET THIS INFORMATION AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE AND ANY UPDATED INFORMATION WE CAN.

NUMBER TWO IS; WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE QUESTION OF THIS TYPE OF LEGISLATION AND WHO THE COMMISSIONER SHOULD RECOMMEND OR THE COMMISSIONER IN COUNCIL SHOULD RECOMMEND, INDEED REALLY, THE COMMISSIONER HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH IT. THIS IS THE PREROGATIVE OF THIS COUNCIL AND THIS COUNCIL ALONE AND ANY PARTICIPATION BY THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WOULD BE TO PROVIDE US WITH THE FUNDS WITH WHICH TO ACCOMPLISH THIS TASK. NOW, KEEPING THIS IN MIND, YOU MUST REMEMBER THAT I HAVE RESEARCHED AND I FIND THAT SEVEN TIMES THE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES OF THE YUKON TERRITORY IN OUR HISTORY, SEVEN TIMES THEY HAVE BEEN REVISED AND ON EACH OF THE SEVEN OCCASIONS THEY HAVE BEEN REVISED BY THE COUNCIL ITSELF. THAT IS THE COUNCIL IN EITHER COUNCIL STATE OR COMMITTEE STATE AND SUPPORTED WITH A FOLLOWING ORDINANCE.

SO, THIS TIME WE FIND IT POSSIBLY NECESSARY TO DETRACT FROM THIS PRACTICE AND FOR THE FIRST TIME IN OUR HISTORY WE WILL BE THINKING ALONG THE LINES OF A BOUNDARY COMMISSION. BUT IF THIS COMMISSION IS GOING TO TAKE SO LONG, AND IF WE DESIGN OUR LEGISLATION WHERE IT IS GOING TO TAKE SO LONG TO HAVE ANOTHER ELECTION, IT JUST MAY BE, WHETHER WE LIKE IT OR NOT, THAT WE MAY HAVE TO COME BACK AND SIT AS A SELECT COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AND DO THIS JOB OURSELVES.

I DON'T KNOW. THIS IS ONE WE WOULD HAVE TO VIEW WHEN WE LOOK AT THE TIME TABLE AGAIN. TO ME, I WOULDN'T LIKE TO DO THAT. THE COMMISSION IS THE ANSWER BUT WHEN IT CONSTITUTIONALLY MEANS THAT WE COULD MEET THE DEADLINES, THE ELECTION DEADLINES, WE'VE GOT TO LOOK AT IT WHETHER WE ACCEPT IT OR NOT. ALSO, I THINK ALL MEMBERS SHOULD BEAR IN MIND THAT THE EXPEDIENCY BY WHICH THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT DEALT WITH THIS MATTER, BASED ON THE FALL ELECTION, THE EXPEDIENCY WITH WHICH THE HOUSE OF COMMONS IMMEDIATELY WITHOUT DEBATE PASSED THE AMENDMENT AS PROPOSED AND REPORTED BY THE STANDING COMMITTEE, AND IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT THE HOUSE LEADER IN THE SENATE WENT SO FAR AS TO PRECLUDE ALL OTHER BUSINESS AND TAKE OUR BILL C-9 BEFORE THE SENATE AND AFTER TWO DAYS OF DEBATE, IT WAS PASSED AND THEN ASSENT WAS GIVEN TO THE BILL, BRINGING IT INTO LAW AGAIN FOR THE VERY SAME REASON OF ALLOWING US AT THIS FINAL STAGE, TO DETERMINE THE BOUNDARIES AND HAVE THE JUDICIAL OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF REDISTRIBUTION DONE IN TIME FOR THE SCHEDULED FALL ELECTION. I THINK THESE ARE JUST POINTS I WANTED TO RAISE IN COMMITTEE, MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE THEY ARE IMPORTANT POINTS I THINK THAT WE SHOULD FIRST GET THAT TIME TABLE AND TAKE A LOOK AT IT AND KEEP THAT IN MIND AS WE PROCEED THROUGH THIS BILL. IN RELATION TO THE DISCUSSIONS THAT HAVE GONE ON SO FAR, I WOULD MOVE THAT SPEAKER MEANS THE SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. PERIOD.

I THINK THAT'S ALL YOU REQUIRE THERE AND I WOULD ASK THAT YOU TAKE A REAL GOOD LOOK WHEN WE GET TO NUMBER 4 WHERE THE COMMISSIONER IS APPOINTING THIS AND THE COMMISSIONER IS APPOINTING THAT. THAT IS NOT A FUNCTION OF THE COMMISSIONER, WITH DUE RESPECT, THAT IS A FUNCTION THAT SHOULD BE REQUESTED BY THE COUNCIL IF IT SO DESIRES BUT THIS IS THIS COUNCIL'S JOB.

NORMALLY WE DO THIS BY A COMMITTEE, A SELECT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE. WE APPOINT THROUGH THE SPEAKER AND THE SPEAKER GOES AND SAYS, "ALRIGHT, WHO DO YOU WISH TO HEAD THIS COMMITTEE?" THE SPEAKER MAKES ALL THE APPOINTMENTS. IN THIS CASE, IF YOU WANT TO RELINQUISH THIS AUTHORITY AND SAY THE COMMISSIONER CAN OR SOMETHING ELSE, WELL THIS IS FINE BUT BEAR IN MIND THESE THINGS BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY IMPORTANT. THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TIME TABLE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER REFERS TO IS BEING PREPARED RIGHT NOW AND WITH ANY LUCK WE WILL HAVE IT RIGHT AFTER COFFEE BREAK.

MR. TAYLOR: I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR AT THIS POINT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK THIS TIME WOULD BE A GOOD TIME FOR A COFFEE BREAK IN ANY EVENT AND THEN POSSIBLY THE TIME TABLE WOULD BE READY. SO COMMITTEE IS IN RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. WE ARE DEALING WITH SECTION 2 OF BILL NO. 12. WE DON'T HAVE THE TIME TABLE AS YET. I BELIEVE THIS IS GOING TO BE AVAILABLE SOON.

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK WE WILL HAVE IT AFTER LUNCH. THERE IS A COUPLE OF FACTS WE WANT TO CHECK UP TO MAKE SURE WE DON'T GIVE ANY MISINFORMATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER IN RESPECT OF SECTION 2 AT THIS TIME?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. YOU MENTIONED THE POSITION OF THE SPEAKER. WE DON'T SAY TERRITORIAL COUNCIL IN LEGISLATION BECAUSE IT IS COVERED BY THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE. THE EXPRESSION "COUNCIL" WITH A CAPITAL "C" MEANS COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEN I TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT, JUST SPEAKER MEANS SPEAKER OF THE COUNCIL. WE DON'T NEED TO PUT ANYTHING ELSE ON IT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WITH RESPECT SIR, THERE USED TO BE LEGISLATION EMANATE IN THIS HOUSE DEALING WITH THE PRIVILEGES OF THE COUNCIL AND MAKE PROVISION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A DEPUTY SPEAKER. THIS LEGISLATION HAS NOT BEEN ENFORCED SINCE ABOUT 1958 OR SHORTLY BEFORE THAT, SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE A SET UP ACCEPTING THE HOUSE RULES FOR DEPUTY SPEAKER WHO BECOMES CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE AND DEPUTY SPEAKER. IT WOULD BE MY ADVICE THAT WE LEAVE THIS SECTION AS IS TO COVER WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I TAKE IT THEN MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE POINTS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR WILL BE LOOKING AT THOSE PARTICULAR ITEMS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WELL SIR, ONE OF THEM, I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE POSITION IS. IF AN APPOINTMENT IS MADE BY THE EXPRESSION "COMMISSION IN COUNCIL" IT MEANS BY AN ORDINANCE AND HAS NOT BEEN THE PRACTICE IN THE PAST TO DEBATE ACTUAL APPOINTMENTS AND ESPECIALLY IN A CASE LIKE THIS WHERE YOU ARE VIRTUALLY APPOINTING A SINGLE INDIVIDUAL. IT WOULD BE TO A DEBATE ON THE APPOINTMENT. IT WOULD NEED A SPECIAL BILL AND WOULD HAVE TO BE EITHER AS PART OF THIS BILL OR ANOTHER PIECE OF LEGISLATION WOULD HAVE TO DO IT BECAUSE THE EXPRESSION "COMMISSIONER IN COUNCIL" MEANS AN ORDINANCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I ACCEPT WHAT MR. LEGAL ADVISOR

SAID, BUT WE COULD HAVE THE SAME TYPE OF SECTION IN WHICH INDICATES THAT UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. BECAUSE WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AS MR. CHAIRMAN SPOKE OF, IS NOT TO GIVE THE POWER TO THE COMMISSIONER TO MAKE, UNLESS HE RECEIVES RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. BECAUSE THIS IS REALLY A MATTER OF COUNCIL WHOLLY, IT IS NOT AN ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER. IT IS THE ELECTED PEOPLE THAT ARE SPEAKING AND THIS IS WHERE WE HAVE TO LOOK COMPLETELY AWAY FROM EVERY OTHER PIECE OF LEGISLATION AND DEAL WITH THIS AS STRICTLY INSTRUCTIONS OF COUNCIL.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS, TECHNICALLY AS POSSIBLE, I CAN SAY THE COMMISSIONER UNDER RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL SHALL APPOINT AND SO FORTH, BUT HOWEVER, IT DOES LEAD TO DEBATE AND HAVE A REGARD FOR THE CLASS OF PEOPLE WE ARE DEALING WITH. IT MEANS THAT WE'VE GOT TO GET INTO TELEPHONIC COMMUNICATION WITH THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND GO THROUGH THE CANNOR OF JUDGES. IT IS NOT A THING THAT THE COUNCIL CAN'T EASILY HANDLE. THERE IS NO TECHNICAL OBJECTION TO THIS. IT DOES MEAN THAT THE COUNCIL ITSELF AS A GROUP IS MAKING THE APPOINTMENT AND WE HAVE TO CANVAS WHO IS AVAILABLE. NOW WE CAN MAKE THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE JUDGE OF THE COURT IS AVAILABLE BUT IF ANYBODY OTHER THAN THAT PARTICULAR PERSON, IT MEANS QUITE A LOT OF TELEPHONING AND LONG DISTANCE COMMUNICATION TO TRY AND GET AN ALTERNATIVE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE DON'T HAVE TO DEBATE THE PERSON IN OPEN COUNCIL. WE CAN SIT AND TALK AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION AND THEN HAVE IT DONE THAT WAY. I SIMPLY FEEL THAT IN LEGISLATION MR. CHAIRMAN, WE SHOULD NOT PLACE THIS PARTICULAR AREA AND GIVE IT TO THE COMMISSIONER AS HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO DO THIS. BECAUSE IT IS A MATTER FOR COUNCIL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD INDICATE IN THE PROVINCES IF THE APPOINTMENTS ARE MADE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF WHOM. HOW IS IT HANDLED IN THE PROVINCES?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IN THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE HAVE LOOKED AT, THEY SET UP UNDER VARIOUS METHODS OF COMMISSIONS. THE COMMISSION MEETS AT CERTAIN PERIODS WHENEVER THERE IS A CHANGE IN POPULATION, WHEN THERE IS A POPULATION SHIFT ON REGULAR FIVE OR TEN YEAR PERIODS. THE CHAIRMAN IS USUALLY APPOINTED ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE PROVINCE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR. FROM PROVINCIAL

EXPERIENCE, ARE THESE NOT APPOINTMENTS ESTABLISHED UNDER THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE, STANDING COMMITTEES ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: NO MR. CHAIRMAN. IT IS AN AUTOMATIC THING. EXAMPLES IN THE FEDERAL LEGISLATION AND IN THE PROVINCES, IT IS ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE PROVINCE AND HE HAS THE JOB OF FINDING A JUDGE. A DIFFICULT THING TO DO IN REGARD TO THE BUSINESS OF THE COURTS OR FAILING A JUDGE OF COURSE HE HAS THE POWER TO APPOINT A NON-JUDGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULDN'T THE GOVERNMENT DO THIS? THIS IS THE LEGISLATURE'S DUTY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S RIGHT. COULDN'T WE, IF WE FOLLOWED THAT PROCEDURE PERHAPS IT SHOULD BE THAT WE ASK THE JUDGE TO SET UP THE PARTICULAR CHAIRMAN. WE SHOULD GIVE SOME CONSIDERATION TO THAT. ANYWAY EXCEPT THE COMMISSIONER HAVING THE SAY SO WITHOUT THIS COUNCIL BEING INVOLVED, THIS IS ALL THAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT. WE RECOGNIZE THAT THE COMMISSIONER MAKES THE APPOINTMENTS, BUT I'M SAYING THAT HE SHOULD MAKE THE APPOINTMENT WHEN THE COUNCIL HAS HAD ITS SAY IN IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS MADE A POINT AND I THINK WE COULD PURSUE IT LATER ON. THERE IS ONE POINT BECAUSE REALLY WHEN YOU READ THE REST OF THOSE OTHER SECTIONS, IT IS REALLY A MATTER OF DEGREE. ALTHOUGH THE COMMISSIONER DOES MAKE THE APPOINTMENT OF THE CHAIRMAN, HE IS TIED DOWN SO CLOSELY, THAT HE REALLY DOESN'T HAVE ANY CHOICE AT ALL DOES HE?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO, I DISAGREE WITH THAT. THAT RAISES ANOTHER AREA WHICH WE CAN SPEAK OF LATER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER IN RESPECT OF SECTION 2?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ARE WE GOING TO ADD ALL THESE MEMBERS IN. HAVE WE AGREED ON THIS POINT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I PRESUME THE EXECUTIVE WILL DISCUSS THE POINTS, AND SEE IS IT POSSIBLE TO CONVENIENTLY HAVE A MECHANISM. WE WILL COME BACK PRESUMING THE EXECUTIVE WILL MEET IN THE NEXT FEW HOURS OR SO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO ASK EITHER THE LEGAL ADVISOR OR EITHER OF THE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IF IT IS THE INTENTION THAT THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION IS GOING TO BE AN ONGOING PIECE OR IF IT IS JUST BEING PREPARED FOR THIS ONE PARTICULAR COMMISSION. BECAUSE IF THAT IS SO, THAT COULD MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT WE CHANGE THE DEFINITION OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, BECAUSE WE CAN PERHAPS FORESEE WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN NOW, BUT WE CAN'T FORESEE GROWTH IN ONE PARTICULAR AREA PERHAPS IN THE NEAR FUTURE, THAT WOULD REQUIRE PERHAPS TWO PEOPLE FROM ONE AREA.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ORIGINAL PIECE OF LEGISLATION AND THE ONE THAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU WITHOUT THE AMENDMENTS THAT WE ARE GOING TO BRING FORWARD, WAS AN ONGOING PIECE OF LEGISLATION. WE FEEL THAT THE NEED TO GET SOMETHING GOING VERY QUICKLY, WE HAVE GOT A ONE TIME PIECE OF LEGISLATION HERE.

MRS. WATSON: BUT I SHOULD ADD TO THAT, THAT IT WOULD BE A ONE TIME PIECE OF LEGISLATION, BUT IT WOULD STILL BE IN FORCE, AND IT WOULD HAVE TO BE AMENDED. YOU SEE, IF THE LEGISLATION IS ASSENTED TO AND WE DON'T PUT YOUR TIMES AND SUCH AND SUCH A YEAR, THEN IT WOULD BE AN ONGOING PIECE OF LEGISLATION AND OTHER COUNCILS WOULD HAVE TO ADOPT THAT LEGISLATION OR MAKE AMENDMENTS.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT DOESN'T QUITE ANSWER MY QUESTION. WE ARE BEING TOLD IT IS BLACK, BUT AT THE SAME TIME IT COULD BE WHITE. NOW ALL I REALLY WANTED TO KNOW IS - IS IT JUST A ONE SHOT DEAL. YOU ARE SAYING THAT IT IS A ONE SHOT DEAL, BUT YET IT STILL WILL BE IN FORCE AND IT CAN BE AMENDED FOR FUTURE USE.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: COULD I ANSWER THE QUESTION? AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAID THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF LEGISLATION IS AN ONGOING AND INTENDED TO BE AN ONGOING PIECE OF LEGISLATION TO BE ACTIVATED BY CERTAIN TRIGGER MECHANISMS. IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IN VIEW OF THE HURRY AT THIS POINT OF TIME, THIS THEN WILL BE AMENDED AND THE AMENDMENT WILL BE PRODUCED WITHIN THE HOUSE WITHIN THE NEXT DAY OR SO, WHICH WILL REDUCE THIS TO A ONE SHOT DEAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE POINT THAT HAS BEEN MISSED. YOU SEE, A COMMISSION MIGHT DECIDE ON TWELVE CONSTITUENCIES, BUT AS A RESULT OF INCREASED POPULATION, IT MAY BE POSSIBLE WITHOUT ALTERING THE ORDINANCE IF IT

HAD MEMBER OR MEMBERS, TO ADD ANOTHER MEMBER TO TERRITORIAL COUNCIL IN A PARTICULAR CONSTITUENCY, WITHOUT AMENDING THE LEGISLATION. BECAUSE WHAT MIGHT TAKE PLACE - LET'S TAKE AN EXAMPLE. WHAT MIGHT TAKE PLACE IN A SPECIFIC CONSTITUENCY, THE CONSTITUENCY OF NO RETURN, ALL OF A SUDDEN WHAT HAPPENS THERE, YOU HAVE A POPULATION OF 5000 PEOPLE, THEN AS A RESULT OF SOMETHING TAKING PLACE WITHIN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS, THE POPULATION INCREASES BY ANOTHER 2500 PEOPLE. YOU WOULD NOT THEN HAVE TO COME FORWARD AND CHANGE THE LEGISLATION WHEN IT SAYS MEMBER OR MEMBERS, JUST ANOTHER MEMBER COULD BE ADDED. AND THIS IS REALLY WHAT I SAY BY ALLOWING THE ORDINANCE ITSELF TO BE FLEXIBLE IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS MADE A GOOD POINT. WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THE AMENDMENTS TO THIS, WE THOUGHT THAT THIS WOULD BE A DECISION OF THE NEXT COUNCIL. FOR EXAMPLE, THE NEXT COUNCIL MAY WANT TO AUTOMATICALLY AFTER THE CENSUS IS TAKEN TO HAVE A REDISTRIBUTION. HOWEVER, IN 1978, THE COUNCIL MAY DECIDE WHETHER THE CENSUS HAS BEEN TAKEN OR NOT, TO INCREASE THE MEMBERSHIP TO FIFTEEN. BECAUSE, WHEN THE COUNCIL, BY THE YUKON ACT, AND THIS IS WHY IT IS SO IMPORTANT THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE FINAL PHRASING IN THE AMENDMENTS TO THE YUKON ACT, WHEN THEY ARE GIVEN THEIR AUTHORITY TO SET THEIR OWN NUMBER IN THE COUNCIL, THEY MAY NOT WANT TO NECESSARILY WAIT. THERE IS NOTHING IN THE YUKON ACT, AMENDMENTS TO THE YUKON ACT, IN MY UNDERSTANDING THAT TIES THE MEMBERSHIP IN THE FUTURE TO POPULATION. AND THIS IS A DECISION THEN OF THE COUNCIL OF THE DAY AND IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TRY TO SECOND GUESS WHAT THE NEXT COUNCIL WILL WANT TO DO IN 1978. THAT'S WHY WE THOUGHT RATHER THAN TRY AND SECOND GUESS - RATHER THAN LEAVE A POPULATION TRIGGER IN MAYBE WE SHOULD JUST LEAVE IT FOR A ONE-TIME BILL. IT WOULD STILL BE IN FORCE. NOW THE COUNCIL OF THE DAY NEXT TIME AROUND CAN DO WHAT THEY WANT. I THINK AT THIS STAGE OF THE GAME WHEN THEY HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET THEIR OWN IT WOULD BE VERY FOOLISH TO TRY TO SECOND GUESS WHAT THEY WILL BE DOING IN THE FUTURE, AND RATHER PRESUMPTUOUS OF US TOO I WOULD LIKE TO ADD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEY COULDN'T DO WHAT THEY WANT TO UNLESS THEY HAD MEMBER OR MEMBERS IN THERE. THEN THEY COULD DO WHAT THEY WANTED. THEY HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY, BUT THEY HAVE NONE NOW.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU MEAN THEY JUST ADD NEW MEMBERS TO AN ELECTORAL DISTRICT?

Mr. Chamberlist: Yes.

Mrs. Watson: Oh, you see this is quite a difference. You might want to have fifteen members that have fifteen electoral districts. This is a decision that Council should make today.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, I can see the point. If it does have member or members in there you could go either route. You could have fifteen districts if you wanted but if, on the other hand, let's take for example the possibility of a smelter being built in the Carmacks area. You could have an increase of three or four thousand people right there. Well it seems logical then if you are going to increase the size of Council to say alright, we are going to have two members from that area. But now under legislation now if it's going to be ongoing, that wouldn't be possible.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, this is taking the whole concept away. For example, using your example of Carmacks, if they had a smelter there would be one area with a marked increase in population - would it not be better to have that area have representation to divide the constituency into two rather than have two members serving the same constituency. It causes a great deal of problems. Who would you go to? Which member would you go to? I think it would be most important in a situation like this where you have the re-distribution -

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, the Honourable member has just spoken - it's really defeating what she said previously. She said it's up to the next Council to make their decision. I personally don't see any objection to putting the duplication of member or members in there. If you do, and we have the example of Carmacks and the smelter and so on and so forth, you could go ahead - they have the opportunity - the next Council has the opportunity to make their own decision. I really don't understand any reason not to put it in.

Mr. Chamberlist: Be careful. She will get you fired. (Laughter).

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, we are not retaining the privilege of doing what we want to do this time. This is the point I am

trying to make. I'm saying that I take the position I would like to see one member from each electoral district because of the large geographic nature of the Yukon, and the various areas that do require representation. I would think that it is most important that all of these areas have representation in our Council. Therefore, I think that we can make this decision now. If the next group want to add members let them. But what we are doing, we are letting the Commission decide whether you should have more than one member or not. I feel that we should make this decision. This is our right. We can say we want an electoral district for every member.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, I think we have got to allow the Commission this flexibility not just in this area but in other areas. We can't sit down here now and write a blueprint for exactly the way the Commission is going to operate because if we go that far then what's the point in having the Commission. We might as well sit down around this table and thrash it out. I mean you have got other sections of the Bill. The one I am thinking of in particular is the number of rural seats as against urban. That there is flexibility in this piece of legislation. It's allowing the Commission to put as many as they want in the outer areas as long as they don't exceed the number of seats in the urban area - they don't have more seats in the urban area than they have in the rural areas. They have that flexibility. It could be 7 - 5. It could be 6 - 6. It could be 8 - 4. You have to, as far as I am concerned, you have to allow the Commission flexibility or else the Commission has you know just got their hands tied behind their backs.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, on the contrary. I'm saying that we should give specific instructions to the Commission. They know how they are going to operate. I'm saying they have one member for each electoral district, and then with the rural and the City of Whitehorse members. Then the Commission knows how they are going to operate and then the chances, if they have instructions before the Commission works, then the chances of having their recommendations accepted across the Board - because we are looking at a time element also and the Honourable member from Watson Lake

DISCUSSED THAT, SO WHEN THE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE BROUGHT TO THE HOUSE THEY WILL PRETTY WELL KNOW THAT THEY HAVE FULFILLED THE WISHES OF THIS COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS IT THE WISHES OF THIS COUNCIL THAT WE HAVE JUST ONE MEMBER FOR ONE CONSTITUENCY? THIS IS WHY WE ARE SAYING WE WILL LEAVE IT TO THE COMMISSION. WHEN THEY COME BACK WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS, THEY HAVE TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL, COUNCIL WILL LOOK AT THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND SAY YEA OR NAY. BUT AT THIS TIME IN THE LEGISLATION THE FLEXIBILITY FOR THEM TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO US SHOULD BE GIVEN, AND THIS IS THE CASE.

MR. MCKINNON: AGREED.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE POINT I AM MAKING. WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE THAT DECISION NOW. I THINK YOU ARE NOT FACING UP TO THE ISSUES NOW BEFORE INSTRUCTIONS ARE GIVEN TO THE COMMISSION. DO YOU WANT ONE MEMBER FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT OR DON'T YOU? ARE YOU GOING TO WAIT TO SEE HOW THEY CHOP UP THE PIE AND THEN TAKE ANOTHER TWO WEEKS TO ARGUE IT OUT. I THINK THIS IS RIDICULOUS. LET'S MAKE UP OUR MIND NOW. WE HAVE THAT RIGHT. WE ARE GIVING INSTRUCTIONS TO THE COMMISSION THROUGH OUR LEGISLATION. LET'S DECIDE WHAT ROUTE WE WANT TO GO AND THEN BE FINISHED WITH IT. I AM NOT SAYING THAT EVERYONE IN THIS COUNCIL WANTS ONE COUNCILLOR FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT. I AM SAYING THE DECISION SHOULD BE MADE NOW.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE WAY I SEE IT IS THAT THE REASON WE ARE SETTING UP THE COMMISSION IS NOT JUST TO LEAVE THIS DECISION IN THE HANDS OF COUNCIL. THE PURPOSE OF THE COMMISSION IS TO GET AS MUCH INPUT AS POSSIBLE. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO BRING IN EXPERTS, TO HIRE EXPERTS TO HELP THEM IN THEIR DELIBERATIONS. THEN WHEN THEY GET THROUGH WITH THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES, THAT IS ALL THEY WOULD BE, THEY GO AHEAD AND THEY HAVE HEARINGS. THEY CAN HAVE HEARINGS ALL THROUGH THE TERRITORY AND THAT'S WHERE THEY GET PUBLIC INPUT INTO THE HEARINGS - WHETHER OR NOT THE PEOPLE AGREE WITH THEIR SUGGESTED OR PROPOSED DIVISIONS. THAT'S WHAT THE COMMISSION IS FOR. I MEAN IF WE ARE GOING TO SIT DOWN HERE NOW AND SAY THE COMMISSION SHALL DO THIS, THIS, THAT AND SO ON ALL THE WAY DOWN THE LINE AND TIE THEIR HANDS BEHIND THEM, AS I SAID BEFORE, WHAT'S

THE POINT OF HAVING THE COMMISSION?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK AGAIN AT LEAST SOME MEMBERS SEEM TO BE DRIFTING ON THIS THING. I THINK THE GERRYMANDERING WE ARE TRYING TO AVOID IS ALREADY BEGINNING REALLY. I THINK YOU HAVE GOT TO REMEMBER AGAIN WHAT I STATED EARLIER IN THIS DEBATE AND THAT IS THE FACT THAT THIS IS THE PREROGATIVE OF THIS HOUSE AND THIS HOUSE ALONE. WE DON'T DEAL WITH THIS BILL AS THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD DEAL WITH OTHER BILLS. THIS BILL IS STRICTLY AMENDED BY US NOT ON RECOMMENDATION OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEES OR ANYTHING. WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE LEGAL ADVISER WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO SHAPE SOMETHING OUT OF THIS IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS. THIS IS STRICTLY A PREROGATIVE OF THIS HOUSE. EVEN WHEN WE SAY THE COMMISSIONER WILL APPOINT ON RECOMMENDATION THIS WOULD BE WRONG. THE COMMISSIONER WOULD APPOINT UPON THE DIRECTION OF COUNCIL WOULD BE RIGHT, AND THIS TYPE OF THING. WE HAVE GOT TO SET THIS COMMITTEE UP JUST AS WE WOULD SET UP ONE OF OUR OWN COMMITTEES AS WE WOULD SET UP A SPECIAL COMMITTEE. GIVE IT ALL THE BROAD POWERS WE CAN AND GIVE IT ALL THE RIGHTS AND PREROGATIVES THAT WE WOULD GIVE OUR OWN COMMITTEES TO BE ABLE TO REPORT BACK TO US. NOW IT MAY BE IN THIS QUESTION OF MEMBER OR MEMBERS, AND I AGREE WITH THIS MEMBER OR MEMBERS. IT MAY WELL BE THAT THE COMMISSION IN ITS WISDOM MAY FIND GOOD CAUSE WHY COUNCIL SHOULD CONSIDER PROVIDING TWO MEMBERS TO ONE CONSTITUENCY. I DON'T KNOW. I THINK IN ANOTHER WAY IT'S POSSIBLY UNLIKELY THAT THIS WOULD EVER BE CONSIDERED BUT YOU MUST GIVE THEM THIS LATITUDE. I DON'T THINK THESE POLICY DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE AT THIS TIME AS TO WHETHER WE ARE GOING TO HAVE ONE OR TWO - THAT'S UP TO THE COMMISSION. THAT'S WHAT WE ARE HAVING THE COMMISSION FOR. OTHERWISE AS WAS STATED WE COULD SIT DOWN HERE AND HASH IT OUT OURSELVES WHICH INDEED WE MIGHT WIND UP DOING YET BEFORE THIS BALL GAME IS FINISHED. I JUST ASK YOU TO REMEMBER THIS POINT BECAUSE NO MATTER WHAT THE COMMISSION PROPOSES, AS SOON AS THEY MAKE THEIR PROPOSALS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THIS HOUSE, AND THIS HOUSE HAS TO DECIDE, IF THEY HAVE RECOMMENDED MORE THAN ONE, MAYBE THREE MEMBERS IN A CONSTITUENCY, MAYBE TWO MEMBERS IN A CONSTITUENCY, FINE. AT THAT

POINT IN TIME THEN THE COUNCIL SITS DOWN AND WE DEBATE WHETHER THAT IS FINE OR WHETHER WE ARE GOING TO SLICE THAT CONSTITUENCY UP A LITTLE MORE. THAT'S A PREROGATIVE OF THE COMMISSION. I WISH TO JUST RE-STATE THIS POINT AGAIN. THIS HOUSE SHOULD GIVE DIRECTION AND INCIDENTALLY, I THINK THIS SHOULD BE A ONE-SHOT PROPOSITION. I DON'T THINK THIS SHOULD BE AN ONGOING PIECE OF LEGISLATION AT THIS TIME. I THINK THIS SHOULD BE A ONE SHOT IN VIEW OF THE URGENCY OF THE SITUATION. I THINK WE SHOULD IMPART TO THIS COMMISSION THE GREAT HASTE WITH WHICH THEY SHOULD PROCEED BECAUSE OF THIS TIMETABLE WHEN IT IS KNOWN THIS AFTERNOON. LET THE NEW COUNCIL DEAL WITH AN ONGOING PIECE OF LEGISLATION WHEN WE HAVE GOT MORE TIME TO PROPERLY CONSIDER THIS. BUT THIS SHOULD BE A ONE-SHOT DEAL IN MY MIND.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU HEARD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. HE'S PREPARED TO SLICE THEM UP AFTER THE COMMISSION MAKES THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND THIS IS EXACTLY THE TYPE OF THING THAT I WOULD HOPE TO GUARD AGAINST. GIVE THEM THE DIRECTIONS BEFORE AND THEN LETS LEAVE OUR FINGERS OUT OF IT AFTER THE COMMISSION MAKES THE DECISIONS.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE IMPORTANT POINT. THE MEMBERS APPEAR TO BE DISCUSSING A POLICY DECISION IN RELATION TO THE NUMBER OF MEMBERS PER CONSTITUENCY AVAILABLE FOR THE RECOMMENDATION. SECTION 10 IS THE OPERATIVE SECTION WHICH DEALS BEST. IT'S NOT THE DEFINITION SECTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS GOING TO BE AMENDED ALSO.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: SECTION 10(2) SAYS, "THE NUMBER OF DISTRICTS WHICH THE COMMISSION SHALL RECOMMEND THE DIVISION SHALL BE EQUAL TO THE NUMBER OF MEMBERS PURSUANT TO THE YUKON ACT." SO IT'S OBLIGATED UNDER THAT SECTION TO RECOMMEND TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. SO IF THE HOUSE DOES NOT WANT A COMPULSORY SINGLE MEMBER CONSTITUENCY THEN THAT SECTION IS THE KEY SECTION TO AMEND, NOT THE DEFINITION SECTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVEN'T COME TO THAT SECTION YET. WE HAVE BEEN ASKED TO DEAL WITH A SECTION AT A TIME. WE ARE TRYING TO CORRECT A SECTION AT A TIME.

ONCE WE CORRECT THIS SECTION IT WILL FOLLOW THAT SECTION 10 WILL BE CORRECTED. IT MOST CERTAINLY WILL BE CORRECTED. I'VE ALREADY MADE MY NOTES ON THAT. BUT WHAT'S THE POINT - I'VE ALREADY BEEN ACCUSED OF JUMPING FROM ONE SECTION TO THE OTHER. NOW MR. LEGAL ADVISER IS DOING IT. I'M DOING ONE AT A TIME.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: I'M TRYING TO PREVENT AN UNNECESSARY CHANGE TO THE DEFINITION OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS BECAUSE IT IS NOT THE KEY SECTION. IT WILL JUST FALL INTO PLACE IF THE OTHER ONE IS AMENDED.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I SUGGEST THAT PERHAPS WE MIGHT GO AHEAD AND READ THE REST OF THE BILL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OKAY. WE WILL COME BACK TO THAT THEN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE POWER IS IN THE CHAIR AT THIS POINT. POSSIBLY YOU MAY WISH TO JUST CONSIDER THIS AS A PRELIMINARY READING RATHER THAN AN OFFICIAL READING OF THE BILL SO THAT WHEN ALL THESE AMENDMENTS COME BACK IN WE CAN GIVE IT ONE FELL SWOOP AS A BILL. COMMITTEE AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN SECTION 3(1), IN GOING BACK TO SECTION 3(1), WE WILL HAVE TO RETAIN SOME OF IT WHERE THE - WHERE BY PROCLAMATION YOU ESTABLISHED AN ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION FOR THE TERRITORY. WELL THE COMMISSIONER IS THE ONLY ONE WHO CAN ACTUALLY DO THE APPOINTING. ALSO, THE ACTUAL APPOINTING ON OUR RECOMMENDATION. BUT YOU SEE WE ALSO HAVE TO HAVE A RESOLUTION OR SOMETHING FROM COUNCIL TO ESTABLISH A COMMISSION SO THAT SECTION HAS TO BE AMENDED CONSIDERABLY. PART OF IT IS LEFT OUT AND CONSIDERABLE AMENDMENTS MADE TO THAT SECTION. BUT WE HAVE TO RETAIN SOME OF IT.

MR. MCKINNON: AGREED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE WILL BE A COMPLETELY NEW SECTION.

MRS. WATSON: WE WILL HAVE TO RETAIN CERTAIN PORTIONS OF IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, SECTION 4(1), THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION ESTABLISHED PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 SHALL CONSIST OF A CHAIRMAN AND TWO MEMBERS

APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER, I'LL JUST READ SECTION 2 AND THEN WE CAN DEAL WITH THE WHOLE THING. SECTION (2), "THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE A JUDGE. ONE MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SPEAKER AND THE OTHER MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHAIRMAN."

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THESE ARE THE TWO POINTS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE HERE. FIRST OF ALL IN SUBSECTION I OF SECTION 4, WE HAVE TO KEEP IT IN MIND THAT THE WAY THIS IS WRITTEN, THE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIST OF A CHAIRMAN AND TWO MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER. IT'S IN THIS PARTICULAR SUBSECTION WE HAVE TO SAY HOW AND ON WHAT BASIS THEY ARE BEING APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER. THAT'S WHERE WE HAVE TO MAKE REFERENCE TO THE COUNCIL - UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL.

MRS. WATSON: VERY GOOD. VERY GOOD. THAT'S FINE. WE WILL TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. BRING DOWN YOUR LEGISLATION. YOU HAVE A COMMISSION OF THREE MEMBERS WHO WILL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER UPON AND THAT'S WHERE WE WILL HAVE TO GO IN THAT AREA. NOW AGAIN IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE CHAIRMAN IS BEING APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER, AND THAT'S WHERE I RAISED MY EARLIER OBJECTION AND THIS HAS TO BE CORRECTED THERE. THE TWO MEMBERS ARE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER. IT SHOULD ALSO BE THAT ONCE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE THEN THEY SHOULD BE BROUGHT BACK SO COUNCIL KNOW - RATHER THAT THE COMMISSIONER KNOWS THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE HAVE HAD THE BLESSING OF COUNCIL BECAUSE IT IS A MATTER OF ELECTED PEOPLE THAT ARE BEING DEALT WITH. THE COMMISSIONER, AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, SHOULD NOT HAVE THE FINAL DECISION UNLESS THE ELECTED PEOPLE IN COUNCIL HAVE APPROVED IT. NOW IN SUB. 2, I FIND THAT THE AREA WHERE THE OTHER MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHAIRMAN AND THIS IS WHERE AGAIN I SHOULD SAY THE OTHER MEMBER SHOULD BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. NOW IT'S VERY EASY FOR THE PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL AND THEN THE COMMISSIONER SEEKS APPROVAL OF COUNCIL IN THESE APPOINTMENTS. THIS AGAIN IS WHERE I FEEL WE HAVE TO LOOK AGAIN TO BRING THE ELECTED PEOPLE IN THE RESPONSIBLE POSITION OF MAKING THOSE APPOINTMENTS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, DOES THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MEAN THAT THE RECOMMENDA-

TION MADE BY THE SPEAKER SHOULD BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE COUNCIL?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO.

MRS. WATSON: IF THE SPEAKER MAKES A RECOMMENDATION I AM QUITE PREPARED - I DON'T THINK THAT SHOULD BE BROUGHT BACK TO COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD DOUBT WHETHER THE SPEAKER SHOULD MAKE HIS RECOMMENDATION WITHOUT FIRST CONSULTING WITH COUNCIL. IT WOULD BE MOST IMPROPER IF HE WAS TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION HIMSELF WITHOUT FIRST GOING TO COUNCIL AND ASKING COUNCIL FOR THE RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE IT BECOMES A PERSONAL THING WITH THE SPEAKER, AND NOT A RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. THAT'S WHAT I MEAN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD OBJECT. IF WE ARE ASKING THE SPEAKER TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION OF SOMEBODY TO SIT ON THAT COMMISSION WHY DON'T WE JUST SAY COUNCIL RECOMMENDS IT. IF WE ARE GIVING THE SPEAKER THE AUTHORITY TO RECOMMEND SOMEBODY TO SERVE ON THE COMMITTEE THEN THE SPEAKER SHOULD BE ABLE TO RECOMMEND SOMEONE. I DO NOT THINK HE SHOULD HAVE TO GET THE APPROVAL FROM COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE SPEAKER ONLY SPEAKS ON BEHALF OF COUNCIL AT ALL TIMES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER COULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR AGAIN PLEASE.

MR. STUTTER: YES. COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS LOOKING AT THIS AND I WAS WONDERING HOW THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD WHEEL AND DEAL THIS ONE. I THOUGHT, I LOOKED OVER THAT AND I THOUGHT NO, OBVIOUSLY NOT. THEY CAN'T MEAN WHAT THEY INFER TO MEAN. WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HAS JUST DONE IS STOOD UP AND STATED WHAT I WAS SUSPECTING AND THEN SAID NO - IF THIS IS WHAT IS SUGGESTED OR INFERRED BY THIS PARTICULAR SECTION I WOULD BE UNALTERABLY OPPOSED TO THIS. THE SPEAKER ONLY ACTS ON THE DIRECTION OF THE HOUSE NO MORE SO THAN THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE OR THE DEPUTY SPEAKER. IF THIS WAS NOT INTENDED TO MEAN THAT THE HOUSE MAKES THE DECISION, YOU CAN'T - IT'S NOT FAIR TO THE SPEAKER OR ANYBODY ELSE TO PUT HIM IN THAT SPOT. THIS JUST ISN'T DONE. THE SPEAKER ONLY DIRECTS THE WISHES OF THE HOUSE. IF THIS THING WAS DRAFTED WITH THAT IN MIND FOR THE ADMINISTRATION TO TAKE CONTROL OF THE COMMISSION I SAY TO MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE RIGHT NOW LET'S JUST CLEARLY PUT AN

END TO THIS. THIS IS IN MY OPINION - I GO ALONG WITH THE JUDGE PART BUT THE OTHER TWO APPOINTEES SHOULD NOT BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HOUSE. IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AT ALL IT IS AT THE DIRECTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, AND THIS INFORMATION COULD OFFICIALLY BE IMPARTED BY RESOLUTION AT THE HAND OF THE SPEAKER.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF WE ARE DRAFTING THIS LEGISLATION IT APPEARS TO ME THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET BOGGED DOWN COMPLETELY ON THE APPOINTMENT BECAUSE SO FAR AS I WAS AWARE IN PREPARING THE LEGISLATION FOR SUBMISSION TO THE HOUSE, I WAS ATTEMPTING TO SET UP A MECHANISM - THE MACHINERY, AN ADMINISTRATIVE MACHINERY, WHEREBY PEOPLE COULD USE A TELEPHONE AND CALL UP PEOPLE TO SEE IF THEY WOULD SERVE ON THIS COMMITTEE AND THEN BE ABLE TO MAKE THE APPOINTMENT, AND TO LIMIT THE COMMISSIONER'S POWER COMPLETELY SO THAT HE HAD NO CHOICE AT ALL AS TO HOW TO OPERATE. IF THIS IS NOT THE INTENTION OF THE HOUSE, THEN IT IS A NEW BALL GAME. BUT, SO FAR AS THE SPEAKER'S APPOINTMENT IS CONCERNED IT WAS INTENDED THAT THE SPEAKER PERSONALLY AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF THIS HOUSE MAKE THAT APPOINTMENT - MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: No way.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS HOW I HAVE DRAFTED IT. I COULD HAVE SAID IN DRAFTING IT, I'M NOT PREPARING THE POLICY, "THE SPEAKER ACTING ON THE ADVICE OF THE HOUSE" OR SOMETHING, BUT THOSE WORDS MEAN THAT THE SPEAKER WILL HAVE THE APPOINTMENT BECAUSE HE IS NOT ACTING ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF ANYBODY. THE COMMISSIONER ON THE OTHER HAND IS ACTING ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF TWO INDIVIDUALS IN RESPECT OF EACH APPOINTMENT.

Mr. CHAMERBLIST: I HAVE NEVER HEARD ANYTHING - - -

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: I AM NOT ATTEMPTING TO SUPPORT OR DO ANYTHING WITH THE POLICY, BUT I WOULD ASK YOU THAT WHEN YOU ARE MAKING CHANGES TO HAVE SOME ADMINISTRATIVE METHOD FOR SEEKING PEOPLE AND SOME METHOD OF LEGALLY MAKING A WRITTEN APPOINTMENT FROM A GIVEN DATE SO THAT IT TAKES ADMINISTRATIVE EFFECT. THAT'S ALL I ASK.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I AM TOTALLY AMAZED. I CAN BUY THE NAIVETY OF THE

HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH AND FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE BUT TO HEAR THE WORDS BEING UTTERED BY Mr. LEGAL ADVISER WHO IF NOTHING ELSE WE HAVE AT LEAST GIVEN HIM A BIT OF RESPECT AS BEING AN AUTHORITY ON RULES OF THE HOUSE AND CONSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT - TO LISTEN TO THE WORDS THAT ARE BEING UTTERED WHICH COMPLETELY ELIMINATE THE ROLE OF THE SPEAKER AS A SERVANT OF THE HOUSE ARE MIND BOGLING. OUT OF ALL THE ANNOTATIONS - LET'S JUST GO TO 68 SUB. 3 ON PAGE 58 OF BEAUCHESNE'S, "THE PRESIDING OFFICER, THOUGH ENTITLED ON ALL OCCASIONS TO BE TREATED WITH THE GREATEST ATTENTION AND RESPECT BY THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS, BECAUSE THE POWER, AND DIGNITY AND HONOUR OF THE ASSEMBLY ARE OFFICIALLY EMBODIED IN HIS PERSON, IS YET BUT THE SERVANT OF THE HOUSE. HE IS SELECTED AND APPOINTED TO THE TRUST OF PRESIDING OFFICER, IN THE CONFIDENCE AND UPON THE SUPPOSITION OF THE CONFORMITY OF HIS WILL TO THAT OF THE ASSEMBLY." Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS THE ROLE OF THE SPEAKER IN ANY PARLIAMENTARY ELECTED BODY AND THERE IS NO WAY THAT ANY PARLIAMENTARY BODY WOULD GIVE UP ITS POWER OF CONTROLLING THE HOUSE THROUGH THE ROLE OF THE SPEAKER. BEING THE SERVANT OF THE HOUSE TO ALLOW HIM TO MAKE AN INDIVIDUAL DECISION ON THE APPOINTMENT OF A MEMBER TO AN ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES COMMISSION WITHOUT FIRST RECEIVING THE CONFIDENCE OF THE HOUSE OR RECEIVING THE AGREEMENT OF THE HOUSE IN THIS POSITION. TO HAVE THE SPEAKER ACTING IN SUCH A MANNER WOULD DESTROY ANY CREDITABILITY OR IMPARTIALITY TOWARDS MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE IF IT WASN'T A CONSULTATIVE PROCESS WITH THEM. Mr. LEGAL ADVISER, I AM TRULY EMBARRASSED FOR YOU BECAUSE OF ALL THE PERFORMANCES THAT I HAVE SEEN YOU PULL IN THIS HOUSE, THAT ONE WAS THE WORST AND THE WEAKEST.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE ARE LOOKING FOR A MECHANISM TO GET PEOPLE TO TAKE AN OFFICE. IT'S NOT WRITTEN HERE THAT THE SPEAKER MUST CONSULT ANYONE. THAT'S A PRIVATE MATTER FOR HIMSELF. IF THE HOUSE WISHES - -

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: IF THE HOUSE WISHES THAT THE SPEAKER SHOULD CONSULT - OR THAT THE JUDGE SHOULD CONSULT HIM I WOULD ASK THAT YOU DECLARE THAT POLICY IN WRITING IN THE ORDINANCE SO THAT WE KNOW WHERE WE ARE. THAT'S ALL I ASK. I'M NOT SUPPORTING ANY POLICY. I'M JUST ASKING THAT WHATEVER POLICY IT IS IT BE CLEAR AND BE CAPABLY CARRIED OUT BECAUSE

IF THIS ORDINANCE IS PASSED WE HAVE ONLY - HOPEFULLY WE COULD CARRY IT OUT IN A FEW DAYS - GET THESE APPOINTMENTS.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: SHOUTING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: IF IT'S GOING TO BE DISCUSSED FOR TWO WEEKS THEN WE ARE WASTING TIME.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS FEEL AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HERE HAS SAID THAT THE ROLE OF THE SPEAKER IS THE SERVANT OF THE HOUSE, WHY WOULD IT BE NECESSARY THEN, I ASK HIM TO WRITE INTO THE LEGISLATION, "THE SPEAKER MUST HAVE THE RECOMMENDATION . . .".

MR. MCKINNON: BECAUSE YOU'RE SAYING IT'S NOT WHAT HE IS GOING TO DO.

MRS. WATSON: IT'S UP TO MR. SPEAKER. THIS IS WHAT WE ARE SAYING.

MR. MCKINNON: YOU HAVE TOLD HIM THAT IT'S HIS PERSONAL CHOICE. IT ISN'T.

MRS. WATSON: IT'S HIS PERSONAL CHOICE. IF HE WANTS TO CONFER WITH THE REST OF THE COUNCIL HE CAN. IT'S HIS PERSONAL CHOICE. IF YOU WANT IT WRITTEN IN - YOU ARE JUST PUTTING BLOCKS - IF YOU WANT IT WRITTEN IN SO THAT YOUR SPEAKER HAS TO CONSULT WITH YOU WELL THAT'S FINE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. LEGAL ADVISER HAS STATED THAT THE POSITION OF THE ADMINISTRATION WERE IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS THING IS THAT THEY ARE PREPARED TO DO ANYTHING TO CHANGE - ALL THEY WANTED WAS A MECHANISM. WELL ALONG THE PRINCIPLES OF PARLIAMENTARY JUSTICE AND FAIR PLAY AND MORAL - EVERYTHING YOU WANT TO THROW INTO THIS THING, IT SEEMS QUITE OBVIOUS AND CLEAR THAT THE INTENT OF SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE IN FOLLOWING THESE PRACTICES IS THAT WHAT YOU HAVE GOT TO DO IS MAKE IT - IF THE COMMISSIONER IS GOING TO DO ANY APPOINTING AT ALL HE SHALL DO THE APPOINTING UPON THE DIRECTION, NOT RECOMMENDATION, BUT THE DIRECTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT CAN BE NO CLEARER AND NO PLAINER. AND THEN THIS COUNCIL AS A BODY WILL

DECIDE AT THAT TIME WHO THOSE PEOPLE WILL BE, APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE DIRECTION OF THE COUNCIL. THAT'S OVER. AS THE LEGAL ADVISER SAID WE WERE LOOKING FOR SOME TRIGGER MECHANISM AND WE THOUGHT THAT THE COUNCIL MAY NOT WANT TO BE DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN RECOMMENDING THE PEOPLE WHO SHOULD SIT ON THE COMMISSION. IF YOU WANT TO DIRECT THE PEOPLE WHO ARE ON THAT COMMISSION, TAKE THAT RESPONSIBILITY - DIRECT WHO SHOULD BE ON THAT COMMISSION - - -

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: No.

MRS. WATSON: WELL THIS IS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. THE COMMISSIONER APPOINT THEM UPON THE DIRECTION OF THIS COUNCIL SO YOU CHOOSE THE THREE MEMBERS ON THAT COMMISSION. IS THAT WHAT YOU WANT?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I FIND NO FAULT WITH THE JUDGE IN THIS. THERE ARE TWO OTHER APPOINTMENTS AND THEY SHOULD BE - THE JUDGE SHOULD BE - YOU KNOW, IF THE COMMISSIONER APPOINTS A JUDGE HE APPOINTS A JUDGE. BUT THE OTHER TWO MEMBERS, IN MY OPINION, SHOULD BE SELECTED BY THIS COUNCIL BECAUSE THIS COMMISSION IS ANSWERABLE TO AND WORKING FOR THIS COUNCIL WITH THE SOLE PURPOSE OF REVISING ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES. WE DO NOT INTERFERE AND NEITHER SHOULD WE INTERFERE IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM WITH THEIR OPERATION. THEY COME BACK WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. AT COUNCIL WE SIT DOWN AND SEE WHAT THEY HAVE PROPOSED. IF AT THAT TIME WE FEEL THAT YES, THIS IS REAL GREAT, WELL GREAT - IT'S PASSED, BECOMES LAW AND AWAY WE GO WITH AN ELECTION. IF NOT, IF WE FEEL IT NEEDS REVISION FOR ANY REASON, FINE, WE REVISE IT AND PASS IT INTO LAW. THAT'S THE WAY IT WORKS. BUT THE PREROGATIVE OF ESTABLISHING THIS COMMISSION IS STRICTLY THAT OF THIS LEGISLATURE, AND NOT OF - IT SHOULDN'T BE LEFT TO AN INDIVIDUAL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT'S FINE, BUT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS NOW CONTRADICTING WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAID FROM WHITEHORSE EAST - - -

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU FINDING DIFFICULTY SEEING WHERE I AM FROM OR KNOWING WHERE I AM FROM.

MRS. WATSON: WHEN YOU SAID THE COMMISSIONER -

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE SAID THE COMMISSIONER APPOINTS THE JUDGE, YOU SAID FINE, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THERE SAID THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL APPOINTS THE JUDGE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT WOULD STILL BE A RECOMMENDATION OF - OR DIRECTION OF COUNCIL.

MR. MCKINNON: START FROM SQUARE ONE, OKAY, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE A JUDGE. NOW WHO APPOINTS THE JUDGE?

MRS. WATSON: THE COMMISSIONER HAS TO DO THE ACTUAL APPOINTING.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: HE'S APPOINTED BY THE CABINET.

MR. MCKINNON: HE'S APPOINTED BY THE CABINET UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF WHO?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: NOBODY.

MR. MCKINNON: OKAY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: THIS JUDGE HOLDS AN APPOINTMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THERE ARE OTHER JUDGES HOLDING SIMILAR APPOINTMENTS SO SOMEBODY MUST CHOOSE WHICH OF FIFTEEN JUDGES IS AVAILABLE TO SERVE AND IS WILLING TO SERVE.

MR. MCKINNON: WHO DOES THAT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: WELL THE WAY IT IS PHRASED HERE THE COMMISSIONER WOULD DO THAT.

MR. MCKINNON: LAUGHTER. I WOULDN'T HAVE DREAMED THAT THIS COULD HAPPEN IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT HAPPENED IN THE YUKON, BUT THERE IS A POINT THAT PERHAPS THE COMMISSIONER HAS A CHOICE OF FIFTEEN AND MAYBE JUST TAKING FOR EXAMPLE, THE COMMISSIONER HAS BEEN IN COURT FOR DIFFERENT PURPOSES BEFORE DIFFERENT JUDGES OF THE YUKON TERRITORY COURT. FAR BE IT FROM HAPPENING IN THIS JURISDICTION, BUT IT JUST POSSIBLY COULD HAPPEN AND SO HE ACTUALLY GOES ON A SHOPPING LIST. HE SAYS I DON'T PERSONALLY LIKE THIS JUDGE EVEN THOUGH IT HAPPENED SO I AM GOING TO SELECT THIS OTHER JUDGE. THIS IS HIS PREROGATIVE: RIGHT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: THAT'S HIS PREROGATIVE ACCORDING TO THIS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WE DON'T WANT HIM TO HAVE THAT PREROGATIVE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MR. MCKINNON: SO THE NEXT POINT COMES THAT THE COMMISSIONER APPOINTS ANOTHER ONE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE SPEAKER. WE'VE HEARD FROM MR. LEGAL ADVISER AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT THAT'S THE SPEAKER'S PERSONAL PREROGATIVE. IF HE'S IN LEAGUE WITH THE COMMISSIONER HE SAYS "HEY COMMISSIONER, WHO SHOULD WE APPOINT?" SO HE SAYS, "LET'S APPOINT THIS GUY." SO THAT'S TWO THE COMMISSIONER HAS GOT ALREADY WITHOUT COUNCIL EVEN BEING INVOLVED IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM. AND THE THIRD ONE IS APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE JUDGE. WELL WHAT A NEAT LITTLE BALL GAME. WE HAVE ACTUALLY GOT THREE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND UNDER SECTION 16(D) IT'S OUR BUSINESS IN THE YUKON ACT. NOW LET'S GET SENSIBLE FOR CRYING OUT LOUD.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT'S A RIDICULOUS THING THAT THE SPEAKER WILL GO TO THE COMMISSIONER AND SAY WHO DO YOU WANT. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS.

MR. MCKINNON: HE WILL GO TO THE LEGAL ADVISER INSTEAD. THAT'S JUST AS BAD.

MRS. WATSON: YOU KNOW IF I WERE THE SPEAKER I WOULD TAKE GREAT EXCEPTION TO THAT.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST BEFORE WE STAND IN RECESS, IS IT NOT TRUE THAT THE JUDGE OF THE TERRITORIAL COURT TODAY IS THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE POWER IN THE YUKON TERRITORY IN THE ABSENCE OF THE COMMISSIONER, AND IN THE ABSENCE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: WE ARE NOT - WE DO NOT HAVE SIMILAR CONSTITUTION TO THE PROVINCES AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THERE'S JUST A GAP. THEY FORGOT TO INCLUDE A THIRD PERSON. THERE WAS NEVER ANY NECESSITY FOR IT BEFORE.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST BEFORE TAKING THE CHAIR AGAIN I WOULD JUST LIKE TO

SAY THAT I THINK BEFORE WE GO ANY FURTHER IN THIS PARTICULAR BILL WE BETTER RESOLVE THIS QUESTION. THE QUESTION OF APPOINTMENT, AND THAT AS HAS BEEN STATED BY AT LEAST SOME MEMBERS HAS GOT TO BE THE PREROGATIVE OF THIS HOUSE. I WILL TAKE THE CHAIR AT THIS POINT AGAIN BUT THIS JUST HAS TO BE - THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN DO IT IN THE MANNER SUGGESTED IN THIS BILL.

Mr. McKINNON: WE WILL HAVE OUR AMENDMENTS AFTER LUNCH TOO.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WILL THERE BE ANYTHING FURTHER PRIOR TO RECESS ON THIS MATTER? ALRIGHT WE WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2:00 P.M.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL No. 12. Mr. TANNER?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN SOME MEMBERS HAVE ASKED US FOR A TIMETABLE AS WE SEE IT OF WHAT WILL HAPPEN ONCE THE COMMISSION GETS TO WORK AND SO ON. I'VE GOT A TIMETABLE HERE BUT I WILL POINT OUT TO MEMBERS THAT, AND THE CLERK WILL DISTRIBUTE THEM, I WILL POINT OUT TO MEMBERS THAT WE'VE TAKEN THE EXTREME VIEW IN EVERY CASE HERE. WHAT WE'VE TRIED TO DO IS FORESEE ALL THE THINGS THAT REALLY CANNOT BE FORESEEN. WE DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW LONG THE COMMISSIONER IS GOING TO TAKE TO OPERATE, WE DON'T REALLY KNOW HOW LONG THE FEDERAL PEOPLE ARE GOING TO TAKE. WE HAVE TAKEN THE WORST POSITION IN EACH CASE. I WOULD ASK ALL MEMBERS TO READ THE FACTS AS ILLUSTRATED IN THESE PAPERS WITH THAT IN MIND. IN OTHER WORDS THIS ISN'T A HARD AND FAST TIMETABLE. IT IS THE WORST THAT COULD HAPPEN AS FAR AS WE COULD SEE.

I HAVE SOME COMMENTS ON IT. PERHAPS THE MEMBERS WOULD LIKE TO READ AND WE COULD COMMENT ON IT AFTER OR HOW WOULD THE CHAIRMAN LIKE TO PROCEED?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: PROCEED.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF YOU TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE AND START ON APRIL 16. WE'VE BEEN PRESUMPTUOUS ENOUGH TO THINK THAT COUNCIL WILL GET PAST THIS ORDINANCE IN 3 DAYS.

ON APRIL 22 FORMATION OF THE COUNCIL TAKES PLACE. HERE YOU GET A WHOLE MONTH THAT IS QUESTIONABLE. WHETHER OR NOT IT IS GOING TO TAKE THE COMMISSION A WHOLE MONTH TO GET ORGANIZED. THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS INVOLVED IN THE ORGANIZATION OF THE COMMISSION. THEY HAVE GOT TO READ THE LEGISLATION, THEY'VE GOT TO GET THEIR SECRETARIAL PEOPLE, THEY'VE GOT TO GET THEIR TECHNICAL PEOPLE, THEY'VE GOT TO GET THEIR MAP PEOPLE SO THAT COULD VERY WELL TAKE A MONTH. BUT THEN IT MIGHT NOT. IT MIGHT ONLY TAKE TWO WEEKS.

AS THE LEGISLATION WE'VE GOT INFRONT OF US PRESENTLY SAYS THEY'VE GOT TO TAKE THOSE SIX WEEKS, TWO WEEKS, ON PUBLISHING NOTICES AND SO FORTH. WE COULD CHANGE THAT IN THIS ORDINANCE CONSEQUENTLY THAT COULD MAKE A DIFFERENCE.

ON JULY 8 IT COULD BE THAT THERE ARE NO PUBLIC HEARINGS, THAT NOBODY WANTS ANY REVISIONS BUT WE CAN MAKE AN ASSUMPTION THAT THERE ARE.

ONE THING WE ARE PRETTY SURE ON. ONCE THEY DO FINISH THEIR REPORT IT IS GOING TO TAKE US A WEEK PERHAPS TEN DAYS TO TWO WEEKS TO PUT EVERYTHING INTO A PRINTED AND BUNDED FORM SO THAT IT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

IF THIS TIMETABLE IS CORRECT IT WOULD BE UP TO COUNCIL THEN,.. I AM TALKING ON JULY 22, THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE. LET US ASSUME EVERYTHING WAS CLEARED UP BY JULY 22. YOU WOULD THEN SEE THAT ON AUGUST 16 WE HAVE ALLOWED THREE WEEKS FOR COUNCIL TO PASS THE NEW ELECTIONS ORDINANCE AND TO USE THE COMMISSION'S REPORT. NOW IT COULD VERY WELL BE THAT COUNCIL COULD TAKE THREE DAYS THEY MIGHT DO IT IN A DAY. I DON'T KNOW. BUT AGAIN WE'VE TAKEN THE EXTREME VIEW AND WE'VE SAID THREE WEEKS.

ON AUGUST 18, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THE PART WE COME TO WHICH IS MOST INTERESTING. THE PART WHICH WE HAVE LITERALLY NO CONTROL OVER AND A GREAT DEAL OF VARIANCE COULD APPEAR. WE'VE PUT FIVE MONTHS. TECHNICALLY SPEAKING THE LAW SAYS, THE CANADA ELECTIONS ACT, SAYS SIX. WE HAVE SOME UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO IT IN FIVE, EVERYTHING ELSE BEING EQUAL THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO IT IN THREE, HOWEVER, AGAIN WE'VE TAKEN THE EXTREME VIEW. WHICH BRINGS US TO A POLING DAY OF JANUARY 20. THAT IN OUR VIEW,.. EITHER JANUARY OR FEBRUARY,.. THAT IN OUR VIEW IS THE LATEST DATE WE CAN GO FOR ON AN ELECTION.

PERHAPS THIS TIMETABLE COULD WORK OUT TO BE SO THAT WE COULD HAVE AN ELECTION IN DECEMBER. IF WE DID HAVE AN ELECTION IN DECEMBER I THINK THE PUBLIC WOULD NOT BE VERY PLEASED ABOUT AN ELECTION IN DECEMBER. EVERY MEMBER IS WELL AWARE OF THE FACT THAT IN DECEMBER MANY PEOPLE LEAVE THE YUKON.

SO ALL IN ALL, ALTHOUGH WE'VE PROVIDED YOU WITH A TIMETABLE, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TIE IT DOWN SPECIFICALLY TO THAT TIMETABLE. I WOULD ASK ALL MEMBERS, IF THEY ARE MAKING COMMENTS ON IT, TO KEEP THAT IN MIND. WE'VE TAKEN THE WORST POSSIBLE VIEW, THE LONGEST POSSIBLE VIEW. WHETHER OR NOT IT TURNS OUT TO BE EXACT IS DEPENDANT ON THIS COUNCIL. AS FAR AS THE COMMISSIONER IS CONCERNED IT DEPENDS ON THE COMMISSIONER.

MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE, IT'S DEPENDANT ON THE CHIEF ELECTORIAL OFFICER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE VERY IMPORTANT POINT HERE WHICH SEEMS TO BE OVERLOOKED. THE PROVISION OF SECTION 9-2 OF THE YUKON ACT. IT READS AS FOLLOWS, "EVERY COUNCIL SHALL CONTINUE FOR FOUR YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THE RETURN OF THE WRITS FOR THE GENERAL ELECTION AND NO LONGER." NOW PERHAPS WE CAN BE ADVISED, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN WAS THE DATE OF THE RETURN OF THE WRIT, SEPTEMBER 5 IF I RECALL.

MRS. WATSON: OCTOBER 5.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT, OCTOBER 5. SO THAT REPLACING THE POSITION, THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE, BECAUSE OF THE YUKON ACT, THERE MUST BE AN ELECTION BY THAT TIME. HOW MUCH HAS THE ADMINISTRATION DONE WITH REFERENCE TO THAT VERY IMPORTANT DATE. THAT IS, FOUR YEARS FROM THE RETURN OF THE WRIT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EVERY MEMBER IS FAMILIAR WITH THE FACT THAT THIS COUNCIL IS TERMINATED IN OFFICE AS OF OCTOBER 5. THERE IS ALSO ANOTHER REQUIREMENT IN THE YUKON ACT SAYING THAT YOU MUST HAVE A MEETING OF COUNCIL WITHIN THE YEAR OF ITS PREVIOUS MEETING. SO WE HAVE TWO DIFFERENT AND DISTINCT REQUIREMENTS HERE. ONE IS THAT WE ARE OUT OF OFFICE AS OF OCTOBER 5, THE OTHER IS THE REQUIREMENT THAT THERE MUST BE AN ELECTION BEFORE, WITHIN A YEAR OF THE LAST TIME COUNCIL SAT. OTHER THAN THAT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I PERSONALLY SEE NO REASON, THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS ARE OBVIOUSLY GOING TO COMMENT, I PERSONALLY SEE NO REASON IF IT IS A CHOICE BETWEEN HAVING AN ELECTION WITH TWELVE MEMBERS IN DECEMBER AND HAVING AN ELECTION IN SEPTEMBER. IT IS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO HAVE AN ELECTION IN SEPTEMBER. WE CAN STILL FULFILL, AS I SEE IT, THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE YUKON ACT. IF WE DON'T HAVE AN ELECTION, WE ADMITTED WE DON'T HAVE A COUNCIL, WE DON'T HAVE AN ELECTION UNTIL LATER ON IN THE YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M CONCERNED THAT WE HAVE COMPLIANCE WITH THE YUKON ACT. WHAT WE HAVE TO DO IS WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE SPEED THE PROCESS UP TO SUCH AN EXTENT THAT WE DO COMPLY WITH THE YUKON ACT. IF WE DO NOT COMPLY WITH THE YUKON ACT IT

WOULD BE A QUESTION AS TO WHETHER ANY SUCCESSIVE COUNCIL THAT IS ELECTED, HAS BEEN ELECTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ACT OR NOT. I WOULD LIKE PERHAPS MR. LEGAL ADVISOR TO GIVE WHAT IS HIS INTERPRETATION. IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN WE ARE GOING TO ACCEPT IT. BUT AT LEAST GIVE WHAT HIS INTERPRETATION IS OF THE SECTION 9-2 WHICH REQUIRED QUITE SPECIFICALLY THAT THE COUNCIL SHALL CONTINUE FOR FOUR YEARS AND NO LONGER. IF WE COMPLY WITH THAT THEN IT MEANS THAT THE YUKON TERRITORY IS GOING TO BE WITHOUT A COUNCIL FOR A SPECIFIC TIME. ARE WE PERMITTED TO BE IN THAT POSITION OF LIMBO WHEN THE ACT ALSO SAYS "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THIS I THINK IS WHERE WE HAVE A SQUEEZE PLAY. THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL AND YET IF WE GO BEYOND OCTOBER 5, THEN WE WILL NOT HAVE A COUNCIL. NOW HOW DO WE COMPLY WITH BOTH THOSE SECTIONS?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN SEE STRAIGHT AWAY THAT IF I AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER I AM GOING TO BE RIGHT AND IF I DISAGREE WITH HIM I AM GOING TO BE WRONG.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, NOT NECESSARILY. I WILL USE MY JUDGEMENT AFTER YOU'VE SPOKEN.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WHAT APPEARS TO BE THE POSITION IS THAT SOME OF THESE SECTIONS ARE DRAFTED SO AS TO CARRY OUT A CERTAIN EFFECT. THEY ARE NOT DRAFTED SO AS TO FIT NEATLY INTO EACH OTHER. ONE SECTION SAYS THE LIFE OF A COUNCIL WILL BE FOUR YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THE WRIT. THAT BEARS NO RELATION TO THE ELECTION WHATSOEVER OF THE NEXT COUNCIL. IT JUST TERMINATES THE LIFE OF A COUNCIL. WHAT OBLIGATES AN ELECTION IS THE OBLIGATION OF THE COMMISSIONER TO CALL THE COUNCIL TOGETHER ONCE EVERY YEAR. THAT AT ITS FACE WOULD GIVE A YEAR FROM NEXT OCTOBER IN WHICH TO CALL AN ELECTION. A DIFFERENT THING COMMENCES TO OPERATE WHICH IS A REQUIREMENT BEFORE MONEY CAN BE EXPENDED THAT THE COUNCIL SHALL APPROVE THE EXPENDITURE. IN ORDER TO GET THROUGH A BUDGET FOR THE FOLLOWING YEAR THERE HAS TO BE A COUNCIL WHICH PASSES A BUDGET PRIOR TO THE END OF THE FINANCIAL YEAR IN WHICH WE NOW FIND OURSELVES. THIS WOULD APPEAR TO ME TO BE THE POSITION. NOTHING IN THE YUKON ACT SAYS THAT YOU HAVE TO HAVE AN ELECTION. YOU'VE GOT A TWELVE MONTH GAPE BUT IN ORDER TO PASS A BUDGET YOU REQUIRE A COUNCIL SOMETIME IN THE

SPRING TO PASS THAT APPROPRIATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I KNEW THAT I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO AGREE WITH THE INTERPRETATION OF MR. LEGAL ADVISOR BECAUSE FIRSTLY THE QUESTION AS TO WHETHER THE COMMISSIONER MUST CALL COUNCIL TOGETHER ONCE A YEAR HAS NO BEARING WHATEVER ON THE ELECTION PROCESS OR THE FACT THAT THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL. THEY ARE TWO ENTIRELY SEPERATE OPERATIONS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I AGREE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. WELL, I'VE GOT THIS POINT OVER AND THERE IS AGREEMENT ON THAT POINT. NOW, SECTION 9-1 OF THE ACT IS QUITE SPECIFIC. THE VERY FIRST LINE, "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY". THAT MEANS ALWAYS. THAT DOESN'T MEAN DURING A CERTAIN TIME ONLY. THIS IS THE REASON WHY MEMBERS OF THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL RECEIVE THEIR INDEMNITIES RIGHT UNTIL THE NEXT COUNCIL IS ELECTED. SO THAT CONSEQUENTLY IF YOU DID NOT HAVE THAT, YOU WOULD FIND A POSITION OF WHERE ON OCTOBER 5 COUNCIL, TO COMPLY WITH SECTION 9-2 WOULD COME TO AN END AND IF THERE WAS AN ELECTION WHICH HAD TO BE CALLED LATER IT MIGHT BE, ACCORDING TO THIS SCHEDULE, UNTIL FEBRUARY 17 WHEN THE RETURN OF THE WRITS OF THE NEXT COUNCIL WILL BE ABOUT. THERE WOULD BE OCTOBER, NOVEMBER, DECEMBER, JANUARY AND PART OF FEBRUARY WITHOUT A COUNCIL IN EXISTENCE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. NOT WITHSTANDING THAT THE YUKON ACT SECTION 9-1 IS QUITE SPECIFIC. "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY". I THINK THAT IN THE AMENDMENTS TO THE YUKON ACT THAT HAVE JUST BEEN MADE, THE WISE PEOPLE IN OTTAWA OMITTED TO MAKE PROVISION FOR A SITUATION SUCH AS THIS, AND AMEND THE ACT ACCORDINGLY.

AS IT IS NOW WE ARE PLACED IN THE POSITION OF NOT BEING ABLE TO COMPLY WITH THE ACT UNLESS WE ARE ABLE TO CALL THE ELECTION PRIOR TO THE END OF THE FOURTH YEAR OF THIS COUNCIL'S LIFE. I THINK MR. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD NOT BUT AGREE ON THIS POINT THAT ON OCTOBER 5 OF THIS YEAR AS A RESULT OF 9-2 THE COUNCIL LIFE IS TERMINATED. IT SAYS NOT LONGER THAN FOUR YEARS. ONCE THAT LIFE IS TERMINATED THEN WE ARE WITHOUT A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY NOT WITHSTANDING, AND I REPEAT, SECTION 9-1 - "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY." SO

THE ONLY ANSWER SO FAR AS I CAN SEE TO THIS PROBLEM IS THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE A SCHEDULE THAT FITS IN WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ACT. WE HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO COMPLY WITH THE ACT ALTHOUGH I'M AWARE, AND I HAVE TO GIVE A DIG AT THIS, THE ADMINISTRATION IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE AS AGAIN I HAVE IN THE PAST, THAT THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE IN THEIR REQUIREMENTS A NEED TO COMPLY WITH THE YUKON ACT. WE AT LEAST AS A LEGISLATIVE BODY SHOULD MAKE SURE THAT THE ACT IS COMPLIED WITH. I WOULD LIKE TO GET FROM MR. LEGAL ADVISOR WHETHER HE HAS FOLLOWED MY ARGUMENT THEN WHETHER HE CAN ARGUE AGAINST WHAT I HAVE SAID?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I FOLLOW THE ARGUMENT, SIR. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN THUS. THERE ALWAYS HAS BEEN A PERIOD WHEN A COUNCIL IS DISSOLVED AS IT WAS IN JUNE OF 1979 AND THEN AN ELECTION WAS HELD SOME MONTHS LATER. THIS HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE POSITION. THE COUNCIL IS DISSOLVED AND A NEW ONE TAKES OVER. THERE IS ALWAYS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF GAPE. WHEN IT SAYS THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL IT THEN GOES ON TO DEAL WITH IT. IN MY VIEW THE MAIN THING THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS DRAWING TO OUR ATTENTION IS THE FACT THAT THESE SECTIONS ARE UNRELATED AND THAT NO PROVISION IS MADE FOR THE HIATUS IN BETWEEN ONE COUNCIL AND THE NEXT. AS THE HOUSE KNOWS WE CALL THIS THE TWENTY-SECOND WHOLLY ELECTED COUNCIL. IT IS A SUCCESSION OF COUNCILS. THERE HAS ALWAYS BEEN A GAPE BETWEEN THE TERMINATION OF ONE COUNCIL AND THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE NEXT. THIS HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE SITUATION PARLIAMENT AS WELL AS HERE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO, THAT'S NOT SO. I HAVE TO DISAGREE MR. CHAIRMAN. WHEN A NEW PARLIAMENT IS CALLED THE PREVIOUS PARLIAMENT CEASED TO EXIST ON THE DAY BEFORE PARLIAMENT IS CALLED. THAT PARLIAMENT IS STILL IN EXISTENCE BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT, THE CABINET OF THAT PARLIAMENT ARE STILL IN EXISTENCE THERE IS A CONTINUITY AND THIS IS THE CONTINUITY THAT IS IMPLIED, I WOULD SUGGEST, IN THE ACT WHERE IT SAYS "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY". THERE IS NO POINT IN ARGUING AGAINST THE BASIC PRINCIPLE THAT THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL. AND THAT MEANS AT ALL TIMES. IT DOESN'T SAY, THE ACT DOESN'T INDICATE THAT THERE CAN BE A SPACE OF FOUR AND A HALF MONTHS WITH THE TERRITORY NOT HAVING A LEGISLATIVE BODY. AFTER ALL WHAT WE WOULD BE DOING THEN IS TURNING OVER TO THE ADMINISTRATION NOT ONLY

THE POWERS OF ADMINISTERING THAT THE COMMISSIONER HAS UNDER THE YUKON ACT BUT GIVING HIM THE POWERS TO MAKE ORDERS WITHOUT ANY REQUIREMENTS AT ALL IN RELATION TO LEGISLATION THAT MIGHT HAVE TO BE CHECKED ON. HE HAS OPEN-ENDED POWERS THEN. THIS IS SOMETHING AGAIN THAT WE ROUND THIS CHAMBER HERE MUST PROTECT. THAT IS THAT THE COUNCIL HAS A CONTINUING OPERATIONAL BASIS AND THAT INDEED THE ACT UNDER WHICH THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT CONSTITUTIONALLY OPERATES BUT NEVER-THE-LESS NOT IN A CONSTITUTIONAL MANNER, TO COMPLY WITH THAT ACT. THE ONLY WAY, AND I REPEAT, I SEE IT TO THIS HAPPENING, IS THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE QUITE SURE THAT WE WORK WITHIN THOSE DATE STRUCTURES AND I THINK WE CAN DO IT BECAUSE WE ARE IN APRIL. WE HAVE MAY, JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER. SO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT SIX AND A HALF MONTHS. I FEEL SURE THAT WE CAN GET IT DONE WITHIN THAT TIME. IF WE PUT OUR SHOULDERS TO THE WHEEL.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MAKES A GOOD POINT BUT SURELY ISN'T THERE A CONTRADICTION IN THE SAME PARAGRAPH SUB-SECTION 9-2. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL CONSULTED WITH THE COUNCIL AND THE COUNCIL, IN THEIR WISDOM, SAID YES, OKAY, WE WANT TO BE DISSOLVED. HE WOULDN'T HAVE A COUNCIL THEN. THAT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY BE A FOUR YEAR COUNCIL. IT COULD BE A THREE YEAR COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS A POINT THAT'S RAISED WHICH IS COVERED IN THE LEGISLATION. WHEN THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL CAN IN FACT CONSULT WITH COUNCIL ONLY TO BRING THE COUNCIL DOWN NOT TO INCREASE IT. IF THERE WAS AN AMENDMENT IN THAT ACT, IT INDICATED THAT AFTER CONSULTATION THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL CAN EXTEND THE LIFE OF COUNCIL. THAT WOULD BE A DIFFERENT THING. IT WOULD BE IN THE ACT ITSELF. THE WAY IT IS WRITTEN NOW, HE HAS THE POWER TO DISSOLVE COUNCIL, NOT TO INCREASE THE COUNCIL.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MISSED MY POINT. SUPPOSING THOSE THINGS DID HAPPEN. SUPPOSING THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL DID DISSOLVE COUNCIL. AND THEY SAID OKAY WE ARE GOING TO DISSOLVE COUNCIL FOR TWO OR THREE WEEKS OR EVEN A MONTH YOU WOULD THEREFORE BE CONTRADICTING "THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL".

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR.

MR. STUTTER: YES, COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE CASE SUGGESTED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER OF COURSE WE HAVE TWO. WE'VE GOT THE YUKON ACT GOING FOR US AND WE'VE ALSO GOT OUR OWN ELECTIONS ACT.

IF THE COUNCIL IS DISSOLVED AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO ITS FULL LIFE TIME, THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL DISSOLVES THE COUNCIL, THE COMMISSIONER THEN ISSUES A WARRANT, OVER HIS SIGNATURE, TO THE ELECTOR OFFICER IN CANADA WHICH STARTS THE PROCESS AGAIN. THE DISSOLVED COUNCIL, DISSOLVED AS A BODY STILL REMAIN AS COUNCILLORS UNTIL THE RETURN OF THE WRIT.

THIS IS WHERE YOU GET YOUR CONTINUITY. YOU HAVE A POLLING DAY, FOR INSTANCE, LAST YEAR EARLY IN SEPTEMBER. THE RETURN OF THE WRITS DIDN'T COME UNTIL OCTOBER THE 5TH. EACH COUNCILLOR IS A COUNCILLOR UNTIL THE RETURN OF THAT WRIT. THE DAY OF THE RETURN OF THE WRIT, THE COUNCIL ELECT THEN TAKE OVER THE JOB AS PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVES AND THOSE WHO WERE NOT RE-ELECTED, THEY DROP OFF. THERE IS ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY BECAUSE UNDER THE BRITISH NORTH AMERICA ACT AND THE CONSTITUTION OF CANADA, YOU CANNOT PERMIT TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION AND IT ALL FLOWS IN HERE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE'VE GOT IT NOW.

MR. TAYLOR: I REALIZE WE HAVE IT. IN THIS CASE, THIS IS THE DEAL. WHAT I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT MR. CHAIRMAN; LONG BEFORE WE WENT TO OTTAWA AND BEFORE THIS THING WAS FINALIZED, I STOOD IN THIS HOUSE AND I SAID ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS THAT I FELT THAT WE SHOULD HAVE THIS BOUNDARY COMMISSION THING STARTED A LONG TIME AGO. A MEMBER SAID NO, NO, NO WE HAVE TO WAIT.

THIS IS THE PREDICAMENT WE ARE IN. THIS IS ALSO THE PREDICAMENT I SUGGESTED WOULD OCCUR WHICH MIGHT FORCE THIS COUNCIL TO ESTABLISH A SELECT OR SPECIAL COMMITTEE TO DO THIS JOB THEMSELVES WHICH I AM SURE NONE OF US WISH TO DO. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, WE'VE STILL GOT THIS TARGET DATE.

I DO KNOW THAT THE WAY THIS IS STRUCTURED THAT EVEN UP TO AUGUST THE 16TH, THERE IS A PERIOD OF FOUR MONTHS FROM NOW TIL WE CONCLUDE OUR DISCUSSIONS OR GET ON WITH OUR DISCUSSIONS WHEN THE COMMISSION MAKES ITS REPORT. THAT SEEMS

TO ME A TERRIBLY LONG TIME, WHEN YOU CONSIDER THAT IF THIS COUNCIL AS A COMMITTEE WERE GOING TO DO IT, THE WAY I FORESAW IT HAPPENING IF THAT OCCURED WOULD BE THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD FORM INTO SELECT COMMITTEE, WOULD HASH IT OUT, ESTABLISH BOUNDARIES, PUBLISH THE BOUNDARIES CIRCULATING IN A PAPER THROUGHOUT THE DISTRICT AND IN ONE WEEK HENCE OR TWO WEEKS HENCE CALL ONE MEETING POSSIBLY THREE. THERE COULD BE ONE IN WHITEHORSE AND ONE IN THE SOUTH END OF THE TERRITORY AND ONE IN THE NORTH TO HEAR ANY REPRESENTATION THAT ANYONE WISHES TO MAKE ABOUT THOSE PUBLISHED BOUNDARIES; COME BACK AND BANGO THE MATTER IS DONE.

THIS IS GOING FURTHER THAN ANY FORMER COUNCIL AND REMEMBER THAT THE SEVEN CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY COUNCIL UP TO THIS POINT. I CERTAINLY CANNOT AGREE AT THIS TIME ON ANY TIMETABLE WHICH WOULD PUT US INTO A JANUARY ELECTION OR A DECEMBER ELECTION FOR THAT MATTER. THERE ARE CONSTITUTIONAL FACTORS HERE WHICH HAVE GOT TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. THIS TERRITORY CANNOT BE LEFT TO CERTAINLY, THIS ADMINISTRATION BUT SHOULD NOT TO ANY ADMINISTRATION BE LEFT WITHOUT AN ELECTED BODY IN TERMS OF THE YUKON ACT. THAT PART WHICH CONTROLS THE RIGHTS THE PEOPLE MAY POSSESS IN THIS TERRITORY.

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO SIT DOWN AND COME UP WITH SOME IDEAS AS TO HOW WE ARE GOING TO GET THIS COMMISSION ON THE ROAD IMMEDIATELY. AS I HAVE STATED FIRST OF ALL, IT IS UP TO THIS COUNCIL AND I THINK IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS TO APPOINT THE PEOPLE NECESSARY OR INSTRUCT THE COMMISSIONER TO APPOINT THEM, ONE OR THE OTHER, NECESSARY TO CONDUCT THIS AFFAIR AND SET A WHOLE NEW SET OF REFERENCE IN THIS BILL TO GIVE IT THE CAPABILITY OF GOING IMMEDIATELY INTO ACTION. TO START REDISTRIBUTING THESE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES, TO CAUSE A COUPLE OF HEARINGS OR THREE HEARINGS IN SAY THREE POINTS IN THE TERRITORY. THIS IS JUST THE WAY IT HAS GOT TO BE.

THIS IS WHY THE HOUSE OF COMMONS RUSHED IT THROUGH; WHY THE COMMITTEE RUSHED IT THROUGH; WHY THE SENATE OF CANADA HAS RUSHED IT THROUGH; IN ORDER THAT WE CAN MEET THE DEADLINE OF THE EARLY SEPTEMBER DATE. IT BEHOVES US NOT TO SIT DOWN HERE LIKE A BUNCH OF DONKEYS AND STRETCH THIS THING OUT IN ABSOLUTE INADVERTANT TO THAT PARTICULAR ATTEMPT. IT BEHOVES US TO SIT DOWN HERE AND SEE IF WE CAN'T GET THIS THING DONE IN A MONTH OR SO.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN IRRESPECT TO WHAT THE

HONOURABLE MEMBER SAID AND I'M NOT CONVINCED THAT WHAT THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST HAS SAID ABOUT THE AGE OF THE COUNCIL. BUT EVEN ASSUMING THAT HE IS RIGHT, THE BIG FACTOR ON THIS TIMETABLE IS NOT ANYTHING WE ARE DOING. THE BIG FACTOR ON THIS TIMETABLE IS WHAT THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER IS GOING TO DO. UNDER THE ELECTIONS ACT OF CANADA, HE CAN TAKE SIX MONTHS. HE HAS, AND AS EVERY MEMBER KNOWS, HE IS NOW ALLOWED TO TAKE LESS THAN THAT. WHETHER HE IS GOING TO DO IT IS NOT OUR DECISION. IT IS HIS DECISION. THAT IS OUR PROBLEM. THAT IS ONE OF THE MAJOR PROBLEMS.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN ---

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I THINK IT IS MOST IMPERATIVE THAT WE HAVE COPIES OF THE EXACT WORDINGS OF THE YUKON ACT BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN ASSENTED TO AND IT CAN WELL SAY 'THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY OF TWELVE MEMBERS' WITHOUT A DATE.

RIGHT NOW, THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY OF SEVEN MEMBERS. AND IF IT HAS BEEN ASSENTED TO AND BRINGING INTO FORCE OF THE SECTION IS A DIFFERENT THING; THAT ALSO HAS TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION BECAUSE IT IS THE LAW NOW. 'THERE SHALL BE A COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY COMPOSED OF TWELVE MEMBERS.' I THINK WE HAVE TO HAVE THE EXACT WORDING OF THAT AMENDMENT. I THINK THAT IS MOST IMPORTANT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE SAW A COPY OF THE AMENDMENT BUT WE DON'T HAVE A COPY. WE HAD ASSUMED THAT IT HAD BEEN MAILED UP HERE AND OUR MAIL HASN'T CAUGHT UP WITH US. I DON'T BELIEVE THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK HAS RECEIVED IT AS YET. IT SIMPLY MAKES THE CHANGE THAT WE ARE ALL AWARE OF.

THIS IS A POINT, I AM NOT SO SURE THAT THOUGH THE BILL HAS BEEN ASSENTED TO, WHETHER IT HAS BEEN BROUGHT INTO FORCE YET. THERE IS A BRINGING INTO FORCE PROVISION, TO THE BILL WHICH STATES, 'SECTION 1-10' AND 2 IS THE SECTION WE ARE TALKING ABOUT, '11, 12, & 13, THIS ACT SHALL COME INTO FORCE ON THE DAY OR DAYS TO BE PICKED BY PROCLAMATION.' THAT IS A POINT, THAT THIS BILL MAY INDEED NOT BE LAW AS YET DEPENDING ON WHEN THIS IS PROCLAIMED.

HOWEVER, IT HAS BEEN GIVEN ASSENT. IT ONLY REQUIRES PROCLAMATION. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT

WE CONTACT THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER AND SAY TO HIM, 'ALRIGHT IF WE CAN BE GIVEN THE NECESSARY TIME, SAY IF WE CAN DO THIS WHOLE PACKAGE UP IN TWO MONTHS OR LESS, THEN WOULD THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER BE PREPARED TO ACCEPT A SEPTEMBER POLLING DATE IN THE NORMAL MANNER IN THE NORMAL FLOW, UPON THE ASSURANCES THAT WE WILL HAVE AVAILABLE TO HIM, THE NECESSARY INFORMATION ON ELECTORAL BOUNDARY REDISTRIBUTION BY THAT TIME.'

I AM QUITE CONFIDENT THAT THE CHIEF ELECTOR OFFICER WOULD GIVE A GREAT DEAL OF CONSIDERATION TO THAT BECAUSE THAT LEAVES HIM FOUR MONTHS INSTEAD OF FIVE MONTHS. IT WOULD GIVE US THE TIME TO DO THIS JOB.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK ANY MEMBER WANTS THAT ELECTION FASTER THAN I DO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU CAN SAVE YOURSELF THE ELECTION--

MR. TANNER: I DON'T THINK ANY MEMBER IS TRYING TO STAND IN ANY OTHER WAY OF HAVING THE ELECTION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. UNTIL WE HAVE PASSED OR WHATEVER YOU ARE GOING TO DO WITH THE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES COMMISSION ORDINANCE, THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER CAN'T DO A THING BECAUSE HE DOESN'T KNOW WHERE HE STANDS. THAT HAS EITHER GOT TO BE PASSED OR GOT RID OF, OR WHATEVER WE ARE GOING TO DO WITH IT AND MAKE THAT DECISION AND THEN TELL THE CHIEF ELECTOR OFFICER THAT THIS IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO. THIS IS OUR ESTIMATE OF THE TIME. WHAT CAN YOU DO IN THE MEANTIME WHILE WE ARE DOING OUR THING DOWN HERE.

HE CAN'T PROCEED AT ALL UNTIL WE'VE DONE SOMETHING WITH THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS TRUE. I AGREE WITH WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS BUT I AM SAYING THAT IN THE INTEREST OF EXPEDIENCY AND THE INTERESTS IN GETTING ON WITH THIS FALL ELECTION ON A PROGRAM DATE, IT BEHOVES US TO GO TO THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER AND SAY FINE. ALSO WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO KNOW THIS IN ORDER TO RESHAPE THIS BILL.

IF WE GO BY THIS BILL, ROUGHLY IN THE MANNER IT IS WRITTEN, WE ARE GOING TO BE GOING FOR, ACCORDING TO THIS TIMETABLE, FOUR MONTHS. THAT IS TOO LONG A PERIOD OF TIME WHICH WOULD OF COURSE FORCE US INTO A MID-WINTER ELECTION. THAT WE CAN'T HAVE EITHER.

WE CAN, IN MY OPINION, HOLD A TERRITORIAL ELECTION ON ITS NORMAL DAY IN SEPTEMBER, IF THIS

COUNCIL WILL DRAFT A BILL SETTING DOWN THE DUTIES OF THE BOUNDARY COMMISSION, GETTING THEM GOING IMMEDIATELY, GETTING THEM TO WORK, DOING THEIR RE-ESTABLISHING AND REPORTING BACK TO COUNCIL WITHIN A MONTH, OR A MONTH AND A HALF.

ASKING THEN, THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER IF HE WOULD GIVE CONSIDERATION TO GIVING US A FOUR MONTH TIME REQUIREMENT RATHER THAN A FIVE MONTH TIME REQUIREMENT, THIS IS WHAT I AM GETTING AT. THIS IS WHAT I WAS GETTING AT QUITE A LONG TIME AGO WHEN I SAID, 'LET'S GET ON WITH THIS BILL.'

MR. TANNER: LET'S GET THINGS INTO PROPORTION. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WOULD REMEMBER, WE DIDN'T WANT TO BRING IN THIS BILL NO. 12 UNTIL SUCH TIME THAT WE SAW WHAT THE AMENDMENTS THAT MIGHT BE OR DID COME IN THE YUKON ACT. IT ISN'T IMMATERIAL MR. CHAIRMAN BECAUSE HALF THE STUFF THAT IS IN THIS BILL NOW, WE'VE ALREADY AGREED THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO DISCUSS TODAY BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO TAKE IT OUT AND BRING AMENDMENTS IN.

SO WE BROUGHT IT IN AND WE ARE NOW WORKING ON SOME AMENDMENTS SO THAT WE CAN CHANGE IT. LET'S KEEP IT INTO PROPORTION AS TO THE SERIES OF EVENTS THAT HAS HAPPENED. THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN GET THIS COMMISSION INTO OPERATION UNTIL THEY KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE TO WORK WITH. THEY DIDN'T KNOW FOR EXAMPLE THEY CAN HAVE TWELVE MEMBERS. MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU HAD IT BOTH WAYS.

IN ONE CASE YOU ARE STANDING UP SAYING 'BRING IT IN, BRING IT IN', THEN ON THE NEXT HAND YOU SAY 'WE CAN'T DO ANYTHING UNTIL THE BILL HAS PASSED THE FEDERAL HOUSE' WHICH IT HAS NOW PASSED. NOW WE HEAR, THE VERY FIRST DAY OF BUSINESS WE ARE BACK INTO DISCUSSING IT. I SUGGEST THAT WE GET ON AND READ THE BILL.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN IN REPLY, THE NUMBERS ARE IMMATERIAL. WHAT I WAS SAYING IS, LET'S GET THIS THING GOING AND SET UP SO THAT WHENEVER THE BILL WAS PROCLAIMED, WE CAN SET THEM TO WORK, WE ARE ALL READY TO GO. WE HAVEN'T EVEN BEGUN NOW. IT IS BECOMING EVIDENT TO ME AND I AM CERTAIN TO OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THAT WITH THIS TIMETABLE THAT WAS SO IMPORTANT, THAT WE HAVE GOT TO TAKE A DIFFERENT LOOK AT THIS THING. WE HAVE GOT TO FIND ANOTHER WAY TO GET THIS COMMISSION ON THE ROAD WITH TERMS OF REFERENCE AND GET THIS POLLING DATE ESTABLISHED IN ITS NORMAL TIME SLOT IN THE FIRST

WEEK IN SEPTEMBER. I AM SURE THAT WE CAN DO IT WITH THE CO-OPERATION OF THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER. I REALLY THINK THAT WE SHOULD INQUIRE OF THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER IF HE CAN ALLOW US FOUR MONTHS INSTEAD OF FIVE MONTHS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN--

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: ALL I SUGGEST IS, AS LONG AS WE ARE GOING TO SUGGEST THAT WE CALL THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER, THIS, THAT OR ANY OTHER THING, TELEPHONES ARE AVAILABLE AND ANYONE CAN PICK UP THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER BUT WE HAVE GOT TO APPOINT A COMMISSION CONSISTING OF THREE PEOPLE. WE HAVE GOT TO GET THESE THREE PEOPLE WILLING TO WORK AS FROM TOMORROW OR THE DAY AFTERWARDS AND THE COUNCIL INSISTED ON THEIR RIGHT TO MAKE THESE APPOINTMENTS.

ALL OF THESE THINGS HAVE GOT TO BE DONE. THAT IS IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS NO POINT IN CRYING OVER SPILLED MILK. WE SHOULD HAVE HAD A COMMISSION, IT COULD HAVE BEEN APPOINTED. THAT COULD HAVE BEEN DONE BY A SEPARATE ORDINANCE, NOT THE REST OF THE WORK BECAUSE WE HAD TO WAIT FOR THE BILL.

BE THAT AS IT MAY, WHAT WE HAVE TO CONCERN OURSELVES WITH, IS WE MIGHT BE FACED IN CANADA WITH A FEDERAL ELECTION AND THEN WE'RE IN TROUBLE BECAUSE THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER IS GOING TO BE IN A PRETTY BUSY OPERATION.

I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE AT LEAST GET ON WITH THAT PARTICULAR SECTION WHICH AUTHORIZING THE SETTING UP OF THE APPOINTMENTS FOR THE COMMISSIONER, THEN CERTAINLY THE WHEELS CAN BE PUT INTO MOTION PRIOR TO THE PASSAGE OF THE BILL. IT IS GOING TO TAKE TWO OR THREE DAYS. WHY, FOR INSTANCE, CAN'T WE GO AHEAD AND GET THE COMMISSION, GET PEOPLE WORKING ON GETTING THE COMMISSION AND FINDING OUT WHO HERE WANTS ONE.

IF THERE IS A MOTION WANTED FROM COUNCIL, THEN THIS SHOULD BE DONE AS WELL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW CAN YOU APPOINT A COMMISSION IF THEY DON'T KNOW THE CRITERIA THEY ARE GOING TO WORK UNDER. WE HAVE GOT TO --

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN YOU DON'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

Mr. TANNER: YOU HAVE TO GIVE THEM THE GUIDELINES SO THEY KNOW WHAT THEY CAN DO, WHO IS GOING TO SERVE ON A COMMISSION WHEN THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WE HAVE ALL SORTS OF BOARDS LIKE THAT NOW.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN I RISE AGAIN TO STATE THAT THERE IS NO PROBLEM HERE. I CAN SEE IN TWO OR THREE DAYS, WE CAN HAVE, WITH A LITTLE WORK AND SOFORTH, ONCE WE KNOW WHAT WE ARE TARGETING FOR, WHETHER WE ARE TARGETING FOR A FEBRUARY ELECTION OR WE ARE TARGETING FOR A SEPTEMBER ELECTION. WE CAN, IN THE NEXT TWO OR THREE DAYS, MAKE THE NECESSARY APPOINTMENTS AND DIRECT THE COMMISSIONER TO MAKE THEM OR OTHERWISE. THIS IS OUR PREROGATIVE, THIS IS NOT THE ADMINISTRATION'S PREROGATIVE. IT IS THE PREROGATIVE OF THIS HOUSE.

WE CAN PASS ANY NECESSARY LEGISLATION WE DEEM ADVISABLE AS A COUNCIL, AS AN ELECTED COUNCIL TO IMPLEMENT THIS. THE ONLY THING WE LACK OUT OF THIS WHOLE OPERATION IS THE FINANCE. IN THIS RESPECT WE REQUIRE THE ASSISTANCE OF THE ADMINISTRATION.

AS FAR AS THIS LEGISLATION GOES, WE CAN SIT DOWN THIS AFTERNOON AND APPOINT THREE PEOPLE ON THIS BOARD AND PASS LEGISLATION AS WE SO CHOOSE. I SAY THAT THIS IS A WHOLE DIFFERENT PIECE OF LEGISLATION THAN THE NORMAL YOU ARE DEALING WITH. WE COULD TAKE FROM THIS BILL, THOSE AREAS WE THINK IN TERMS OF DIRECTION ARE SUITABLE FOR A REMODELLED TYPE OF BOUNDARY COMMISSION. THIS IS A ONE-SHOT DEAL, SO WE WILL SET IT UP FOR THE PURPOSE IT IS DESIGNED FOR AND ONCE THE COMMISSION HAS DONE ITS DUTY, THE COMMISSION DISAPPEARS.

WE CAN DO ALL OF THIS IN THE NEXT THREE OR FOUR DAYS, IF ALL MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE ARE WILLING TO TAKE THE CHALLENGE. I ALSO SAY, WE SHOULD ASK THE OPINION OF THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER TO SEE IF IT IS POSSIBLE IF HE COULD BUY US A MONTH AND IF WE CAN TAKE A MONTH FROM OUR TIME REQUIRED, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO GET THIS THING DONE BY SEPTEMBER.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COULD WE NOT PROCEED

NOW, THIS AFTERNOON, AT 2:35, TO READ THE BILL AND SEE WHAT AREAS WE CAN AGREE ON AND THEN GO BACK TO THOSE AREAS WHERE THERE ARE DISAGREEMENTS SO WE CAN GET THE BODY OF THE BILL PRETTY WELL LINED UP SO WE KNOW WHAT AMENDMENTS YOU WANT US TO BRING IN.

Mr. TAYLOR: WHAT I AM TRYING TO FIND OUT IS ARE YOU AGREED THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE A ONE-SHOT DEAL, THAT WE CAN RESHAPE THIS COMMITTEE. THIS COMMITTEE IS SET UP IN THIS BILL TO FUNCTION OVER APPROXIMATELY 3 1/2 TO 4 MONTHS. WHAT I AM SAYING IS THAT AS WE ARE GOING THROUGH THIS VIEW WITH THE IDEA OF AMENDING THE WHOLE THING, MAYBE BRINGING IN A WHOLE NEW BILL, FOR THAT MATTER, ESTABLISHING A BOUNDARIES COMMISSION WHICH CAN FUNCTION IN A SPACE OF ONE TO TWO MONTHS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: LET'S GET ON WITH THE BILL ANYWAY.

Mr. TANNER: DO YOU WISH ME TO READ THE BILL?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I DON'T CARE. IF YOU WANT TO GET INTO THIS DEBATE, WE HAVE COMPLETED SECTION 4 THEN.

5. (1) THE ORDER ESTABLISHING THE COMMISSION SHALL NAME THEREIN EACH OF THE MEMBERS APPOINTED AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 4.

(2) THE COMMISSION SHALL APPOINT ONE OF ITS MEMBERS AS A DEPUTY CHAIRMAN WHO SHALL ACT AS CHAIRMAN IN THE EVENT OF THE ABSENCE OR INCAPACITY OF THE CHAIRMAN OR IF THE OFFICE OF CHAIRMAN IS VACANT.

(3) AT ALL MEETINGS OF THE COMMISSION, TWO MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, TWO MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION CONSTITUTE A QUORUM.

(4) A VACANCY IN THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMISSION OR IN THE OFFICE OF CHAIRMAN DOES NOT IMPAIR THE RIGHT OF THE REMAINING MEMBERS TO ACT BUT WHERE ANY SUCH VACANCY OCCURS, IT SHALL BE FILLED WITHIN 30 DAYS BY AN APPOINTMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 4.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS SECTION RAISES A NUMBER OF AREAS. FIRST OF ALL, ONE WE MAKE REFERENCE TO THE ORDER. I TAKE IT, THIS MEANS THE COMMISSIONER'S ORDER. IS THIS WHAT IT MEANS?

Mr. TANNER: YES

Mr. Chamberlist: I think that should be spelled out because just talking about the Order and it doesn't indicate what Order. It should say a Commissioner's Order establishing a Commission, would that not be right.

Mr. Legal Advisor: I don't ---- Mr. Chairman,

Mr. Chamberlist: Right, that is O.K. Here in Subsection 2, we insist on the Chairman being a judicial officer and then we provide here with the Chairman, not the Deputy Chairman, if the Chairman who is the judicial officer, not necessarily be a judicial officer.

Therefore, it is in complete contradiction of the principle of the Commission that the Chairman be a judicial officer. Does Mr. Legal Advisor agree with me on that point?

Mr. Legal Advisor: Of course, but there is only one judge of three people and if the Deputy Chairman has to be a judge, you've got two judges. If you want an Assistant Deputy Chairman, then you have got to have three judges. It is awkward to find three judges just like that.

Mr. Chamberlist: That isn't the point that I am making. I understand there are 15 judges available. The point that I am making is, if we insist that the Chairman be a judicial officer, a judge, then we make a provision that it is not necessarily so, then it's working to the wrong purpose, surely.

Mr. Legal Advisor: I agree that it is inconsistent. It is just practical that is all.

Mr. Chamberlist: It is because it is inconsistent then we have to do something to make it consistent. The Commissioner should be able to act without a judge then. This is the key. The judge is going to be the key person. This is the point I raise there.

At all meetings of the Commission in Sub. 3, two members of the Commission constitute a quorum. If the judge doesn't happen to be at the various meetings, the Commission is going on without a judge in any event. It is another inconsistency. It says, 4, 'vacancy in the membership of the Commission or in the office of Chairman does not impair the right of the remaining members to act. This whole section is

inconsistent with the whole intent that there be a judicial officer heading the Commission. We have to correct that because why insist on a judge if you are going to put a section in that you don't need the judge. I think it very important to have a judicial officer. To add to my objection, you say "but where such vacancy occurs it shall be filled within 30 days prior point. Now, here a whole month goes by with two members acting who are not judicial officers who are going around the various proposed constituencies in the Territory acting as a Commission. Those are my objections.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, on that point I noted that if we agree to Section 4 or the philosophy of Section 4 be changed whereby the Commissioner does the instructing, upon the direction of Council, then all we merely have to do is, when we are finalizing this thing, is provide for alternate members of the Board who can be in the absence of one for a specific period of time, that they fill it with the alternate member who is, again, appointed and suggested by ourselves. That can do it.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, that isn't the point I'm making. Section 4, subsection (2), the very first sentence. The Chairman of the Commission shall be a judge. Now, if the Chairman is not there, somebody else is acting as the Chairman and that is inconsistency because you find then that a person who is the Chair is not a judge yet the section says the Chairman of the Commission shall be a judge. This is an inconsistency that you must recognize.

Mr. Legal Advisor: Mr. Chairman, we are not trying to be consistent in the sections. We're just trying to set up a Commission of three people that will work the same as any other Commission or committee in the whole of Canada.

It doesn't seem practical to import a judge from B.C. to be available to act as an alternate to let Judge Maddison go to the bathroom or get a cup of coffee or be late for his office. We are not trying to be consistent. We are just trying to make a practical little Commission that will work and if the House doesn't want it, I don't have much to do with the policy

Some members interrupt.

Mr. Legal Advisor continues: You know, we don't have to be consistent. I'm quite agreeable to just strengthen the sections and it could work.

AS WELL IF JUDGE MADDISON DOESN'T GET IN, THAT'S FINE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOW ALREADY THE CHOICE HAS BEEN MADE, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE CHOICE HAS ALREADY BEEN MADE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER, ORDER, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, PROCEED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, YOU SEE I JUST HEARD SOMETHING WHERE THE CHOICE HAS BEEN MADE.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I DIDN'T SAY IT, I WAS JUST THINKING ABOUT IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: OF COURSE IT COULD BE THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S ALRIGHT TO SAY ABOUT A JUDGE MIGHT WANTING TO GO TO THE BATHROOM AND ALL THAT. IT'S A TYPE OF LEVITY THAT SHOULDN'T EXIST HERE BECAUSE EVEN JUDGES HAVE TO USE THE BATHROOM SOMETIMES.

MR. MCKINNON: I DIDN'T KNOW THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S NOT FOR THAT NECESSITY THAT I'M RAISING THE QUESTION. I'M SIMPLY STRESSING THE POINT THAT IF YOU PROVIDE LEGISLATION THAT SAYS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE A JUDGE. NOW, IF WE SAY THAT IN LEGISLATION THEN WE HAVE TO FOLLOW IT THROUGH. WHY SAY IF IT'S NOT INTENDED THAT THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE A JUDGE. THIS IS THE POINT I'M MAKING. I'M NOT SAYING THAT WE SHOULD HAVE FIVE OR SIX JUDGES LINED UP IN CASE THEY ARE BUSY WITH THEIR COURT CALENDAR. THEY MAY BE BUSY WITH THEIR COURT CALENDAR AND CERTAINLY I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT THEY INTERFERE WITH THE COURT CALENDAR.

WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT IS IF WE PUT FORWARD LEGISLATION AND AGREE WITH LEGISLATION MAKING IT A MANDATORY SITUATION THAT THERE SHALL BE A JUDGE AND THEN GO ON TO MAKE ANOTHER SECTION WHERE WHEN THE JUDGE IS NOT THERE, SOMEBODY ELSE IS THE CHAIRMAN, CAN BE THE CHAIRMAN WITHOUT BEING A JUDGE, IT'S AN INCONSISTENCY. NOW, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR KNOWS THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN. IT'S INCONSISTENT. HE ALREADY SAYS IT'S INCONSISTENT. ALL I'M SAYING IS IT SHOULD BE PUT TOGETHER IN SUCH A WAY THAT THERE ISN'T AN INCONSISTENCY. BECAUSE, LOOK, HERE'S A POINT MR.

CHAIRMAN, THAT COULD WELL HAPPEN. IF THE JUDGE IS NOT THERE AND THERE ARE TWO PEOPLE ACTING OF THE COMMISSION AND A DECISION IS MADE, DOESN'T IT LEAVE IT OPEN TO QUESTION THAT THE ACT HAS NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH? THAT A DECISION HAS BEEN MADE WITHOUT A JUDGE ACTING IN THE CAPACITY OF A CHAIRMAN?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS PERFECTLY CORRECT. IT DOES, AND THAT IS WHY YOU PUT IN A SECTION SAYING A VACANCY OF THE MEMBERSHIP OR THE OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN DOES NOT IMPAIR THE RIGHT OF THE REMAINDER TO ACT. THAT'S ALL. TO REMEDY THESE TRICKY POINTS WHICH CAN ARISE AFTERWARDS, ALL THESE ITTY BITTY PIECES ARE PUT IN AND I'M VERY MUCH AFRAID IT YOU START KNOCKING OUT THESE THINGS FOR THE SAKE OF CONSISTENCY, BITS AND PIECES HERE, THAT AT SOME FUTURE POINT SOMEBODY WILL BE ABLE TO MAKE THAT VERY POINT AND SAY THE DECISION OF THE COMMISSION IS WRONG.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE KNOCK ANYTHING OUT HERE. I'M SUGGESTING THAT WE STRENGTHEN THE SITUATION AND WE STRENGTHEN THE SITUATION BY NOT USING THE WORD 'SHALL'. THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION BE A JUDGE AND THEN IT LEAVES IT OPEN OR USE THE WORD 'MAY' BECAUSE OTHERWISE IF IT SAYS 'SHALL', WE'RE IN TROUBLE IF SOMEBODY WANTS TO FIND A REASON TO SAY THAT THIS HAS NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LEGISLATION.

I DON'T LIKE THE WORD 'SHALL' UNLESS, OR RATHER, I DON'T LIKE USING A MANDATORY AREA AND THEN MAKING IT PERMISSIVE AFTERWARDS. IT MUST EITHER BE PERMISSIVE THROUGHOUT OR MANDATORY THROUGHOUT BECAUSE IT'S DEALING WITH THE SAME COMMISSION. AND THIS IS THE POINT I'M MAKING.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT DOESN'T MEAN TO SAY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT HE SHALL BE A JUDGE AT ALL. THE BEST THING IS TO KNOCK IT OUT ALTOGETHER BECAUSE AS I UNDERSTAND, THAT THE COUNCIL WILL BE APPOINTING THESE PEOPLE BY NAME DIRECT AND THEIR NAMES CAN BE WRITTEN INTO LEGISLATION. SO WE COULD KNOCK OUT - IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE A JUDICIAL COMMISSION AT ALL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S RIGHT. THEN WE CHOOSE A JUDICIAL COMMISSION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IF THAT'S THE PLEASURE OF THE MEMBERS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, DO I UNDERSTAND THEN

THAT YOU WANT THE NAMES OF THE COMMISSION WRITTEN INTO THE LEGISLATION?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: No.

MRS. WATSON: WELL YOU SAID YES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: No, I DID NOT SAY THAT AND MR. LEGAL ADVISOR, MR. CHAIRMAN, KNOWS FULL WELL WHAT HE HAS SAID AND I AGREE WITH. IT'S NOT THAT THE NAMES BE PUT IN THERE BUT THERE SHOULD NOT BE A FIXED SITUATION THAT THERE SHALL BE A JUDGE, BECAUSE AS SOON AS WE SAY 'SHALL', WE HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE ORDINANCE AND THE SECTIONS DEALING WITH THE CHAIRMAN IN THE SAME MANNER RIGHT THE WAY THROUGH. IT'S UP TO COUNCIL AND THIS IS WHERE THE COUNCIL'S PREROGATIVE COMES INTO PLAY, TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE COMMISSIONER TO SIGN THE COMMISSIONER'S ORDER APPOINTING THE PEOPLE BUT NOT TO BE WRITTEN INTO THE LEGISLATION. I'M NOT SAYING THAT AT ALL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 5?

6(1) NO PERSON IS ELIGIBLE TO BE A MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION WHILE HE IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE, THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, COUNCIL, THE COUNCIL OF A MUNICIPALITY OR THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT. ANY COMMENT ON 6?

7. THE COMMISSION SHALL MEET AS SOON AS MAY BE AFTER IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. CLEAR?

MR. MCKINNON: IS 'AS MAY BE' LEGAL?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S AN INDETERMINATE WORD TO MEAN VERY FAST OR VERY SLOW.

MR. MCKINNON: WHY NOT FORTHWITH WHICH MEANS VERY FAST?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE CAN'T TELL THE COMMISSION. IF YOU WANT SOMEONE TO SERVE ON THE COMMISSION, YOU CAN'T SPECIFICALLY SAY "WOULD YOU LIKE TO SERVE ON OUR COMMISSION, YOU START TO WORK TOMORROW." WE WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT BUT WE CAN'T. WHO WOULD DO IT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: "WELL, AS SOON AS MAY BE" MEANS AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE TO ME. FORTHWITH IS A LITTLE BIT HARSH BECAUSE THEY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPLY. IF THE COMMITTEE IS OUT OF THE TERRITORY, SOMETHING LIKE THAT,

THIS IS THE CORRECT NORMAL USE,

MR. CHAIRMAN: 8 (1). THE COMMISSION MAY BE CALLED TOGETHER AT ANY TIME BY THE CHAIRMAN FOR THE PURPOSE OF CARRYING OUT ITS FUNCTIONS,

(2). THE COMMISSION MAY MEET AT ANY TIME ON ITS OWN MOTION TO PERFORM ANY OF ITS FUNCTIONS OR DUTIES. CLEAR ON 8?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 9. THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION, OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE PAID SUCH REMUNERATION AS THE COMMISSIONER MAY PRESCRIBE,

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHY OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: HE IS ALREADY ON A FULLTIME SALARY, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH YEA, BUT ISN'T IT SO, PERHAPS I'M WRONG, BUT MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD ANSWER THIS. ISN'T IT SO THAT JUDGES OF THE SUPREME COURT ALSO UNDERTAKE TO SIT ON COMMISSIONS AND THEY GET PAID ADDITIONAL SUMS FOR THAT PARTICULAR SERVICE AND WHY SHOULDN'T THE CHAIRMAN GET PAID FOR THE ADDITIONAL.

I KNOW THAT CHIEF JUSTICE McCROW, WHEN HE HAD THE McCROW REPORT IN ONTARIO. HE GOT PAID FOR HIS CHAIRMANSHIP.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: RIGHT. BUT IT WAS CHIEF JUSTICE McCROW'S RECOMMENDATION THAT ALL JUDGES' SALARY IN CANADA BE RAISED BY AN EXTRA AMOUNT TO PERMIT THE ABOLITION OF THAT SORT OF REMUNERATION WHICH SOME JUDGES HAD OBJECTED TO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO IN THAT CASE DO WE TAKE IT MR. CHAIRMAN, LET'S SAY IN THE INSTANCE OF, JUST FOR INFORMATION NOW OF MR. JUSTICE BERGER WHO IS GOING TO BE THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMISSION ON THIS MacKENZIE PIPELINE OPERATION; IS HE NOT BEING PAID AS A CHAIRMAN OF THAT COMMISSION OVER AND ABOVE BEING A JUDGE?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I HAVE NO INFORMATION ON THAT, MR. CHAIRMAN. MY IMPRESSION WOULD BE 'NOT'. HE MAY GET AN ALLOWANCE FOR EXPENSES BUT I DON'T THINK ANY JUDICIAL REMUNERATION UNDER NORMAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MAYBE, MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR SHOULD LOOK INTO THAT AND IF THERE IS A NECESSITY FOR THE CHAIRMAN, THE JUDGE, WHATEVER JUDGE IT IS, TO BE PAID AND IT'S USUAL, WE

SHOULDN'T HAVE THAT IN HERE. YOU KNOW, WHY NOT SAY THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE PAID. WHY SAY OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN? DO WE NEED IT IN THERE AT ALL? THE WORDS 'OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN'? WHY HAVE IT IN THERE? JUST IN CASE, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT NECESSARY WORDS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WELL THE CHAIR IS NOT GOING TO BE THE JUDGE, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT HAS TO COME OUT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, EVEN IF IT IS GOING TO BE A JUDGE. IT'S NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE IT IN THERE. THIS IS MY POINT, MR. CHAIRMAN. THEY ARE SUPERFLUOUS WORDS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT HAS OCCURRED TO ME THAT THE POINT OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER COULD BE MET IF THIS JUDICIAL COMMISSION IS FORMED WITH THE JUDGE NOT BEING EITHER THE CHAIRMAN OR THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN AND THEN YOU WOULDN'T HAVE THE BLOCK IF HE HAPPENED TO BE ABSENT. THE SECTION ABOUT WHERE HE HAS TO BE THE CHAIRMAN.

SOME MEMBER: RIGHT, THAT'S A GOOD IDEA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: USING THE SAME REASON, WOULD IT NOT THEN BE MUCH EASIER IF THE WORDS 'OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN' WERE LEFT OUT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCE, YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 9 (2). THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE PAID SUCH TRANSPORTATION, ACCOMMODATION AND LIVING EXPENSES IN CONNECTION WITH THEIR DUTIES WHILE AWAY FROM THEIR ORDINARY PLACE OF RESIDENCE AS THE COMMISSIONER MAY PRESCRIBE.

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 10 (1). THIS, I THINK, IS ONE TO BE REVISED.

10 (2). THE NUMBER OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS -

MR. TANNER: SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT'S BEING REVISED. THAT'S BEING REVISED AS WELL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 11 (1). AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE THE COMMISSION SHALL PUBLISH A NOTICE BY ADVERTISEMENT OF ONE WEEK IN ONE OR MORE NEWSPAPERS OF GENERAL CIRCULATION IN THE TERRITORY, OF ITS INTENTION TO HOLD HEARINGS AND RECEIVE REPRESENTATION IN RESPECT OF THE DIVISION OF THE

TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS.

MR. TANNER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I MAY COMMENT HERE. THIS IS THE SORT OF THING THAT IF COUNCIL FEELS WE SHOULD DO SO, WE COULD START CUTTING TIME OUT OF THE COMMISSION'S BUILT IN TIME. THAT WE STILL OBVIOUSLY HAVE THE OBLIGATION TO THE PUBLIC TO GET THEM IN FORM. THAT'S THE DECISION WE HAVE TO MAKE. FROM HERE ON IN WE CAN TAKE THE WEEKS OUT IF YOU WANT TO.

MR. TAYLOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE POINT. WHAT WE WANT TO SAY, WE CERTAINLY WANT TO GIVE THE COMMITTEE OR THE COMMISSION SOME GUIDELINES AND SAYING, WELL; YOU KNOW, FOLLOWING THIS, YOU SHOULD HOLD A PUBLIC MEETING OR A SERIES OF PUBLIC MEETINGS BUT I THINK IF WE CAN START BREAKING THIS TIME DOWN, IF COMMITTEE AGREED TO GO ON THIS REVISED TYPE OF COMMISSION, THIS IS WHERE WE SHOULD BE DOING IT.

MR. TANNER: ONE WEEK. UNFORTUNATELY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS PARTICULAR SECTION WHERE THERE IS NOTHING MUCH LESS THAN A WEEK. OUR NEWSPAPERS ARE ONLY PUBLISHED THREE TIMES A WEEK OR ONCE A WEEK IN ANOTHER CASE. I DON'T SEE HOW YOU CAN DO IT IN THAT SPECIFIC CASE WHEN YOU ARE INFORMING THE PUBLIC WITH THE COMMISSIONER SITTING IN AND THE COMMISSIONER IS STILL GOING ON WORKING, HE'S GOT OTHER WORK TO DO OTHER THAN BE HEARING REPRESENTATION. SO IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, IT DOESN'T REALLY MAKE THAT MUCH DIFFERENCE AND I THINK IF WE CUT IT DOWN TO THE BARE BONE, EVEN A WEEK.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, ANOTHER POINT. THIS JUST COVERS ADVERTISING IN NEWSPAPERS AND THERE ARE SOME AREAS WHERE NEWSPAPERS DO NOT GET TO IN THE YUKON AND SHOULD WE NOT INCLUDE IN THERE, 'BY OTHER MEANS'. WELL, IT DOESN'T FOLLOW IN HERE. WE ARE JUST DEALING WITH ONE OR MORE NEWSPAPERS OF GENERAL CIRCULATION. SHOULD WE NOT INCLUDE THESE? WELL, IT'S WORTH SPELLING IT OUT HERE AND THIS IS WHY IF WE'RE SPELLING IT OUT WE SHOULD PROVIDE, UNLESS THE ADMINISTRATION WILL ALSO INDICATE THAT THEY WILL MAKE SURE THAT THE OTHER MEDIA, ESPECIALLY C.B.C. OR THE OTHER STATIONS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, SOMETHING HAS HAPPENED IN THE TEXT OF THIS BECAUSE AS IT READS 'AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL PUBLISH AND THOSE BY ADVERTISEMENT FOR ONE WEEK IN ONE OR MORE NEWSPAPERS.' THERE IS NO NEWSPAPER WHICH IS PUBLISHED BY THE WEEK. IT WOULD MAKE SENSE SOMEHOW THAT SAID 'THEY SHALL PUBLISH

AND THOSE BY ADVERTISEMENT FOR ONE DAY'. WHAT YOU REALLY INTEND TO DO BY THAT SECTION IS GIVE A WEEK'S NOTICE, MANY MORE WEEKS OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CALL HEARINGS AND NOT NECESSARILY PUBLISH THE NOTICE FOR A WEEK.

SO, MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE TO ACCEDE TO WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS SUGGESTED AND THAT IS LEAVE IT TO THE COMMISSION TO BE REASONABLE TO ADVERTISE IN THEIR OWN WAY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AGREED?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 2. THERE SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE ADVERTISEMENT REFERRED TO IN SUBSECTION (1), A MAP OR DRAWING PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION SHOWING THE PROPOSED DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND INDICATING THE NAME TO BE GIVEN TO EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT TOGETHER WITH A SCHEDULE SETTING FORTH THE PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND REQUESTING REPRESENTATIONS FROM INTERESTED PERSONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T KNOW WHAT IS BEING BROUGHT FORWARD BUT THERE APPEARS TO BE NO NECESSITY TO HAVE TO HAVE TWELVE CONSTITUENCIES. NOW, I READ THE AMENDMENT THAT WAS PASSED IN THE FINAL BILL C-9. IT WAS IN HANSARD, THAT'S ALREADY BEEN DISTRIBUTED AND THERE IS NO REFERENCE TO THE NUMBER OF CONSTITUENCIES BUT THERE IS A CLEARLY DEFINED STATEMENT AS FAR AS THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS AND THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS. SEE, THAT HAS BEEN SPELLED OUT SO IT MAY BE THAT THE CONSTITUENCIES TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE POINT I HAVE RAISED ABOUT USING THE WORDS 'MEMBER OR MEMBERS'. IT MIGHT BE THAT THE COMMISSION WOULD ONLY PROVIDE FOR TEN CONSTITUENCIES WITH TWO OF THEM TO HAVE ONE OR MORE MEMBERS.

YES, THERE COULD BE TWO OF THEM IN RIVERDALE, THIS IS QUITE TRUE, YOU MIGHT HAVE THAT SITUATION.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD HAVE TO, AGAIN, VOICE MY OBJECTION TO THAT AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT SPELLED OUT SINCE THIS IS A ONE TIME COMMISSION FOR THIS TIME, THAT WE HAVE THE TERRITORY GIVE THE DIRECTION TO THE COMMISSION THAT WE WANT TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. I THINK THIS IS THE DECISION THIS COUNCIL SHOULD MAKE AND I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT WE LEAVE THIS SECTION IN SO THAT THE

COMMISSION HAS DIRECTION THAT WE WANT TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS IN THE YUKON THIS TIME.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER, FROM THE CHAIR, IF WE COULD RESOLVE THIS ONE PROBLEM SINCE IT'S COME UP IN THE DEFINITION SECTION AND IT'S COMING UP AGAIN. I WONDER IF COMMITTEE COULD DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT, THAT IS, THE WISH TO WRITE INTO LEGISLATION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, POSSIBLY THE MEMBER HASN'T TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT THE FACT THAT WE GIVE THE COMMISSION THE BROADEST TERMS OF REFERENCE. NO DOUBT THEY'RE GOING TO COME IN WITH TWELVE CONSTITUENCIES. THERE IS LITTLE DOUBT IN MY MIND THAT THEY WILL. BUT IF FOR SOME REASON THEY FIND IT ADVANTAGEOUS TO THE TERRITORY TO RECOMMEND, REMEMBER WE ARE ASKING FOR RECOMMENDATIONS WE'RE NOT MAKING THE LEGISLATION. IF THEY COME BACK TO US WITH SUCH A SITUATION THIS AT THAT TIME IS UP TO THE COUNCIL TO LISTEN TO THEIR WORDS OF WISDOM AND SAY WELL, YOU KNOW WHY ON EARTH DID YOU EVER PROJECT SUCH A THING. I DON'T THINK THEY WILL. BUT THEY SHOULD HAVE THE LATITUDE TO DO THAT IF THEY SO WANT. THEN, AT THAT POINT IN-TIME WE CAN SAY, WELL YOU KNOW MAYBE THEIR JUDGEMENT WAS GOOD AND MAYBE WE'LL BUY THAT. MAYBE THEIR JUDGEMENT WAS BAD AND IN OUR WISDOM WE'LL GO AND DO WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMAKES-KLUANE SUGGESTED. MAYBE JUST APPORTION THE TWO SEATS INTO ONE CONSTITUENCY INTO TWO. BUT I REALLY THINK THAT WE SHOULD LEAVE THE COMMISSION WITH THAT BROAD POWER TO MAKE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS THEY CAN GIVE US IN RESPECT OF JUDICIAL REDISTRIBUTION AND IF IT INVOLVES TWO MEMBERS IN ONE CONSTITUENCY, FINE LET'S LISTEN TO THEM. BECAUSE WE HAVE THE FINAL SAY ANYWAY.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS EXACTLY THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE AND I TRIED TO MAKE IT THIS MORNING, THAT WE SHOULD GIVE THE DIRECTION THAT WE WANT THE TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. WE CAN GIVE SPECIFIC DIRECTIONS TO THE COMMISSION BEFORE THEY SIT DOWN SO THAT WHEN THEY COME FORWARD WITH THEIR PROPOSALS, IT'S JUST A MATTER OF ACCEPTING THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS. SURELY WE DON'T WANT TO GIVE OURSELVES THE SECOND OF STARTING WHEN THEY BRING BACK THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS AND THEN START TO SAY WELL WE CAN'T AGREE WITH THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS. WE THINK WE SHOULD CHANGE THIS AND WE SHOULD CHANGE THE BOUNDARIES HERE OR WE SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE SAID THIS MORNING. AND I

THINK IF WE WANT TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS WE SHOULD TELL THE COMMISSION BEFORE THEY START WORKING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IN SPEAKING FROM THE CHAIR, IT DOES SEEM TO ME THAT THE COMMISSION WILL MAKE UP IT'S MIND ACCORDING TO THE TYPE OF REPRESENTATION THAT IT RECEIVES FROM THE PEOPLE. AND IF IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE THERE IS REPRESENTATION FROM THE PEOPLE SUGGESTING STRONGLY THAT THERE BE TWO REPRESENTATIVES FROM ONE PARTICULAR AREA, I THINK WE SHOULD ALLOW AND THIS IS PERSONALLY, AND I THINK WE SHOULD ALLOW THAT TO HAPPEN. BUT AT THE MOMENT WITH LEGISLATION WE'RE NOT PERMITTING EVEN THAT TO HAPPEN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD SUGGEST, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE DO NOT ALLOW ANY FIXED AMOUNT OF CONSTITUENCIES IN THE LEGISLATION, AND ALLOW THE COMMISSION TO MAKE THEIR REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE BOUNDARIES. THEN IT'S OPEN TO THEM TO SAY TWELVE OR WHATEVER THEY WANT. IT'S UP TO THEM AND THEN WE'LL DECIDE WHERE WE NEED THEM.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY PROBLEM THAT I SEE IN WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING, AND I DON'T ALTOGETHER DISAGREE WITH YOU, BUT THE PROBLEM I SEE IS WE WANT THE COMMISSION TO WORK AS FAST AS THEY POSSIBLY CAN. WE WANT THE COMMISSION TO GET TO WORK AND COME BACK WITH A REPORT TO THE HOUSE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AND I THINK IF YOU PUT SOME OF THOSE VERY BASIC DECISIONS THAT THEY HAVE GOT TO MAKE IN FRONT OF THEM, IT'S GOING TO DELAY THEIR LISTING TO THE REPRESENTATIONS IN GETTING BACK TO US. THAT'S THE ONLY QUALIFICATION I HAVE. I THINK IT WOULD BE SIMPLER FOR THEM IF THEY KNEW THEY HAD TO FIND TWELVE DISTRICTS, BUT OTHERWISE I DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOU.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN IF YOU ARE DOING THAT YOU ARE RESTRICTING THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY IN THEIR RIGHT TO MAKE REPRESENTATION FOR SUCH A THING AS SUGGESTED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND YOU'RE NOT JUST CURTAILING THE COMMISSION, YOU'RE CURTAILING THE RIGHT OF EVERY YUKONER WHO MIGHT FEEL THAT HE WANTS A - AS I SAY I DON'T REALLY THINK THAT THIS IS GOING TO COME UP AT ALL, BUT I THINK THAT THE COMMISSIONER SHOULD HAVE THE BROADEST POWERS TO GET THE BROADEST AMOUNT OF REPRESENTATION POSSIBLE IN THE DECISION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

MR. TANNER: THE ONLY OTHER SUGGESTION I HAVE NOW MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THAT WE DON'T PURSUE THE POINT NOW UNLESS YOU WANT TO TAKE A VOTE ON IT,

BUT I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD. WE DON'T PURSUE THE ARGUMENT RIGHT NOW. WE WAIT UNTIL WE GET THE AMENDMENTS, HOPEFULLY BY TOMORROW, AND THEN WE CAN MAYBE HAVING SLEPT ON IT ALL, WE CAN ALL GIVE IT A LITTLE MORE THOUGHT AND COME UP WITH A DECISION TOMORROW.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AGAIN FROM THE CHAIR, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF A DECISION IS MADE HERE IN COUNCIL THIS COULD BE INCLUDED IN THE AMENDMENT SURELY. IT'S JUST A QUESTION OF CHANGING THAT ONE WORD, ADDING ONE WORD IN THE DEFINITION SECTION.

MR. TANNER: I THINK YOU'LL FIND LATER ON TOO, THERE IS MORE. I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T RECALL WHICH PARAGRAPH IT IS, BUT THERE IS SOMEWHERE, SOME OTHER REFERENCE TO THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, IT DOESN'T SAY THE NUMBER OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS,

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH RESPECT, YOU'RE CONTROLLING IN 10 (1) WHAT THE REPORT IS TO CONTAIN. PREPARE A REPORT AS ANY RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY TO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, THE DESCRIPTION OF THE BOUNDARY AND THE NAME OF THE DISTRICT. NOW, IF WE WANT TO GIVE THEM POWER TO HAVE MORE THAN ONE REPRESENTATIVE, YOU ELIMINATE SUBSECTION (2) AND INTO SUBSECTION (1) YOU PUT - ITS RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DESCRIPTION OF THE BOUNDARIES, THE REPRESENTATION OF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND THE NAME OF THE DISTRICT. IN OTHER WORDS THE REPRESENTATION IS TO THEIR ONE, TWO OR THREE MEMBERS. THAT'S THE PHRASE YOU USE TO DESCRIBE IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD AGREE EXCEPT FOR SUBSECTION 2 (1) GIVING THE MEANING OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS MEANS ANY PLACE OR AREA INTITLED TO RETURN A MEMBER AND I'M SAYING THAT THAT SHOULD BE A MEMBER OR MEMBERS AND THEN I WOULD AGREE THAT 10 (1) WOULD APPLY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WITH RESPECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THAT CHANGE WAS MADE IN 10 (1) THEN WE WOULD BE BACK TO THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE, THE SINGULAR OR THE PLURAL ARE INTERCHANGEABLE WHERE APPROPRIATE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: EXCEPT WHERE IT'S SPELLED OUT IN A SPECIFIC PIECE OF LEGISLATION, HERE IT'S SPELLED OUT, "A MEMBER TO SERVE ON THE COUNCIL" AND A MEMBER IS ONE. THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE DEALS WITH A SINGULAR AND PLURAL, WHERE IT'S NOT INDICATED IN A SPECIFIC PIECE OF LEGISLATION I WOULD AGREE. BUT HERE IT SPECIFICALLY SAYS "A MEMBER" AND THIS IS THE POINT THAT I'M MAKING.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT'S A DEFINITION OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. IT'S NOT A DEFINITION OF MEMBERS. IT JUST SAYS IT'S A PLACE. WHAT KIND OF A PLACE? A PLACE THAT'S INTITLED TO RETURN A MEMBER. AND WHETHER YOU RETURN ONE, TWO OR THREE OR FOUR, IT IS A PLACE WHICH IS INTITLED TO RETURN A MEMBER. THAT'S WHAT A DISTRICT IS. AND THEN THE BLOCK ON SINGULAR MEMBER CONSTITUENCIES WHICH IS CONTAINED IN 10 (2) HAS TO BE ELIMINATED BECAUSE OTHERWISE THEN IT WOULD BE ALWAYS THE SINGULAR BECAUSE THEY'RE SAYING, TWELVE DISTRICTS, TWELVE MEMBERS. SO 10(2) MUST BE REPEALED, 10 (1) MUST BE CHANGED AND THEN IT MATTERS NOT WHETHER WE CHANGE THIS OR NOT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IF IT MATTERS NOT, THEN LET'S PUT IT IN SO THAT THE LANGUAGE IS CLEAR TO EVERYBODY EXCEPT MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY AND MEMBERS OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION, BECAUSE YOU KNOW THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE BESIDES THOSE PARTICULAR GROUPS WHO WILL BE READING THIS LEGISLATION AND THE LEGISLATION MUST BE READ CLEARLY AND IF IT SAYS A MEMBER OR MEMBERS, THEN IT'S KNOWN WHAT IS MEANT BY IT, I DON'T SEE REALLY WHY THERE SHOULD BE OBJECTION TO A CLEAR CLARIFICATION BEING MADE IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA, SO THAT EVERYBODY KNOWS WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: THERE IS NO REAL OBJECTION EXCEPT THAT WE HAVE NEVER DONE IT BEFORE, WE DON'T DO IT THROUGHOUT THIS BILL OR ANY OTHER BILL BEFORE THE HOUSE, WE START AS FASHIONS AS ANY PLACE OR PLACES IS ENTITLED TO. I SHUDDER TO THINK OF ALL THE ODD PLACES WE WOULD HAVE TO PUT IN THIS ONE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT SECTION 11 (2). WE'VE GOT THAT. 12 (1) "THE COMMISSION MAY IN THE PERFORMANCE OF ITS DUTIES SIT AT SUCH TIMES AND PLACES IN THE TERRITORY AS IT DEEMS NECESSARY FOR THE HEARING OF REPRESENTATIONS." ARE YOU CLEAR?

(2) "NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION (1) BEFORE COMPLETING ITS REPORT, THE COMMISSION SHALL SIT IN EACH OF THE PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS FOR THE HEARING OF REPRESENTATIONS WHERE,
(A) A PERSON HAS MADE A REPRESENTATION AND FILED A NOTICE SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION (4) AND
(B) THE PERSON HAS REQUESTED THE COMMISSION TO SIT IN THE PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICT." CLEAR?

Mr. TANNER: READ 3 Mr. CHAIRMAN -- QUALIFIES (2) AGAIN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: "NOTWITHSTANDING SUBSECTION (2) THE COMMISSION SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED TO HOLD MORE THAN ONE SITTING IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE AND MAY, AT THAT SITTING, HEAR REPRESENTATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE BOUNDARIES OF ANY ELECTORAL DISTRICT."

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD THINK THAT SUBSECTION 12 (1) IS FINE. THERE IS NO NEED FOR (2), (3), (4) OR (5) IN THIS PARTICULAR SECTION. YOU SAY IN SECTION 12 (1), "THE COMMISSION MAY IN THE PERFORMANCE OF ITS DUTIES, SIT AT SUCH TIMES AND PLACES IN THE TERRITORY AS IT DEEMS NECESSARY FOR THE HEARING OF REPRESENTATIONS". THERE SHOULD IN THE INTEREST AGAIN OF EXPEDIENCY IN THE REMODELING OF THIS COMMISSION AND IN THE TIME ALLOWED, IT SHOULD BE UP TO THE COMMISSION TO DECIDE WHERE AND WHEN BASED ON WHATEVER IT SHOULD RECEIVE, IT WOULD HOLD HEARINGS. IT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE IN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR THEM TO GO AND SIT IN EVERY CONSTITUENCY, NOTWITHSTANDING, YOU KNOW MAYBE THEY HAVEN'T GOT A REPRESENTATION FROM A CONSTITUENCY. MAYBE EVERYBODY IS HAPPY. I MEAN THAT SHOULD BE A DECISION LEFT TO THE COMMISSION, THEREBY YOU WOULD NOT NEED (2), (3), (4) OR (5).

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T DISAGREE, YOU REALIZE WHAT WE MIGHT BE DOING, YOU MIGHT AND EVENTUALLY THIS COUNCIL IS GOING TO HAVE TO ANSWER FOR IT. SUPPOSE THE COMMISSION IN ITS WISDOM GOES TO IT'S TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, SUPPOSE THE WISDOM AND ITS COMMISSION GOES TO ELEVEN AND FOR SOME REASON OR OTHER DOESN'T GO TO THE TWELFTH AND THE TWELFTH MAKE REPRESENTATIONS THAT WE WEREN'T HEARD. THIS WAY IF THEY HAD A WRITTEN SUBMISSION, THEY'VE GOT TO GO. THE OTHER WAY, IT'S LEAVING IT UP TO THEM, AND I'M NOT SAYING THAT WE SHOULDN'T LEAVE IT UP TO THEM, BUT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT COULD HAPPEN. THAT'S ONE THING. THE SECOND THING THAT COULD HAPPEN IS THAT YOU MIGHT GET FRIVOLUS REPRESENTATION AND THIS TRYS TO AVOID THE FRIVOLUS REPRESENTATION, KEEPING THOSE TWO THINGS IN MIND, IF THE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE'S SUGGESTION IS ACCEPTED THROUGH THE HOUSE, I HAVE NO OBJECTION AT ALL. BUT YOU SHOULD KEEP AT LEAST THOSE TWO THINGS IN MIND.

Mr. TAYLOR: WELL YOU STILL HAVE A COMPULSION IN SUBSECTION (2) THAT NOTWITHSTANDING WHETHER IT'S NECESSARY OR NOT THAT THEY HAVE TO SIT IN EACH OF THE PROPOSED ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND I'M SAYING YES, WE DON'T NEED THAT BECAUSE 12 (1) AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, IS UNNECESSARY

FOR THE WHOLE REST OF THE SECTION AND LEAVE THAT TO THE COMMISSION TO DECIDE BASED ON THE SUBMISSIONS THEY GET AS TO WHERE AND WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO HOLD MEETINGS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I THINK COUNCILLOR TANNER HAS RAISED A POINT. I'M ALWAYS AFRAID OF GIVING ANY DISCRETION WHERE YOU USE THE WORD DEEMS TO BE OR DEEMS NECESSARY UNLESS IT'S NOT SPELLED OUT, BECAUSE IT CAN BE THAT THE COMMISSION MIGHT FIND IT'S NOT WORTHWHILE GOING TO SUCH AND SUCH A PLACE AND WE WON'T GO. WE'LL DEAL WITH IT IN WHITEHORSE. SO I MEAN THERE IS THE PROBABILITY THAT THE COMMISSION COULD REFUSE TO GO TO ANY SPECIFIC PLACE. THIS MIGHT HAPPEN MR. CHAIRMAN AND I THINK IF WE HAD -- I AGREE TO THE EXTENT THAT THE LENGTH OF THESE FOUR SUBSECTIONS ARE NOT REQUIRED BUT PERHAPS IF WE HAD ONE SENTENCE IN. PERHAPS MR. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD SUGGEST ONE SECTION TO TAKE THE PLACE OF (2), (3), (4) AND (5) WHERE IT'S NECESSARY WHEN A PERSON REQUIRES THE COMMISSION TO SIT IN A PARTICULAR ELECTORAL DISTRICT THAT THEY WOULD GO AND SIT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, FROM A PRACTICAL POINT OF VIEW THE COMMISSION NEEDS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DISCRETION AS TO WHAT TO DO. THE COMMISSION WILL BE REPORTING TO THIS HOUSE. IF ANY PERSON IS NOT HEARD THEY HAVE AN ADVOCATE TO SAY HE SHOULD HAVE GONE TO WATSON LAKE, HE SHOULD HAVE GONE TO FARO AND A PARTICULAR -- LETTER HE WOULD COMPLAIN. I THINK IF YOU ASK HIM TO HURRY, YOU HAVE GOT TO ALLOW THEM TO HAVE A SCHEDULE AND SCHEDULE AHEAD, TIMES AND PLACES AND ADVERTISEMENTS CALLING FOR REPRESENTATIONS ON THE RADIO AND IN THE NEWSPAPERS AND THEN IF THE PERSON TURNS UP FOR THE HEARING, HE TURNS UP AND IF NOT, THAT'S IT. COMMISSIONS ARE MEETING ALL THE TIME HERE AND I'M NOT AWARE OF FREQUENT COMPLAINTS THAT PEOPLE COULDN'T BE HEARD AND THEY CAN ALWAYS BRING A PERSON IN WHO HAS SOMETHING TO SAY AND THEY COULD PAY HIS EXPENSES OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I SAID BEFORE I THINK IT'S UP TO COUNCIL TO MAKE THE DECISION AND THE ONLY QUALIFICATION THAT I HAVE IS THAT I AM PREPARED TO TAKE THE RECOMMENDATION FROM THE COMMISSION WHICH MIGHT MAKE SOME PECULIAR EXCLUSION TO SOME PART OF THE TERRITORY. OTHERWISE TAKE IT OUT. IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO ME.

MR. TAYLOR: I THINK IN THE SELECTION OF THE OFFICERS AND CERTAINLY IN THE EYES OF THE JUDGE OR ANY JUDGE THAT HE WOULD ALMOST INSIST THAT

NO ONE WHO HAD A LEGITIMATE, YOU KNOW HE WOULD BE ABLE TO, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO SORT OUT A FRIVOLUS COMPLAINT FROM PROBABLY A SOUND ONE ANYWAY. I THINK THAT THE OTHER TWO WOULD BE A POINT FROM THE HOUSE, WOULD BE PEOPLE OF A CALIBER THAT WOULDN'T ABUSE THAT DISCRETION IN THEIR DUTIES IN THE FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMISSION. SO I REALLY SEE NO NECESSITY FOR ANYTHING BEYOND 12 (1).

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. LEGAL ADVISOR FROM THE CHAIR, IN SECTION 3, THE WAY IT'S WORDED NOW IS IT THE INTENTION TO WHERE THE FINAL PART OF IT SAYS: "HEAR REPRESENTATIONS IN RESPECT OF THE BOUNDARIES OF ANY ELECTORAL DISTRICT. DOES THAT MEAN ANY DISTRICT IN THE TERRITORY OR ANY DISTRICT WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL AREA OF WHITEHORSE?"

MRS. WATSON: THAT'S WHAT IT MEANS; WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY OF WHITEHORSE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THAT'S THE WAY I WOULD HOPE IT WOULD READ. IT DOESN'T READ THAT WAY, BUT I MEAN I WOULD HOPE THAT WOULD BE THE INTENT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT AN ELECTORAL DISTRICT WOULD INCLUDE A PORTION OF METROPOLITAN WHITEHORSE AND A PORTION OF OUTSIDE. SO YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE THE -- UNLESS YOU WANT A LONG DESCRIPTION OF WHAT KIND OF A DISTRICT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. BUT CERTAINLY I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT THE MAIN MEETING WOULD BE IN WHITEHORSE, WITH SUB-MEETINGS OUTSIDE. MAYBE A FIRST MEETING IN WHITEHORSE, SUB-MEETINGS OUTSIDE FOLLOWED BY A FINAL MEETING IN WHITEHORSE, AS MOST COMMISSIONS DO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IF THAT GOES OUT, IT WOULD DISAPPEAR AND I THINK IT SHOULD DO BECAUSE IT MAY BE THAT THE COMMISSION MIGHT DECIDE TO MEET MORE THAN ONCE IN WHITEHORSE AND THIS IS LIMITING THE COMMISSION TO JUST MEETING ONCE IN WHITEHORSE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT 13 (1).

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, AM I TO UNDERSTAND THEN YOU ARE DELETING (4) AND (5) SO IT'S NOT NECESSARY FOR ANYONE WHO MAKES REPRESENTATION TO GIVE A NOTICE IN WRITING STATING THEIR SUMMARY OF THEIR REPRESENTATION TO THE BOARD? WOULD IT NOT BE BETTER IF THEY DID? TO GIVE THE BOARD SOME CHANCE TO DO SOME WORK ON IT BEFORE THE REPRESENTATION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, AT SOME POINT IN THIS ORDINANCE, IF IT IS NOT HERE AND I'VE ONLY SKIMMED

OVER IT COMING BACK FROM OTTAWA, BUT IF IT IS NOT IN THERE WE'VE GOT TO GIVE THE COMMISSION ALL THE RIGHTS AND POWERS THAT WE WOULD HAVE IN OUR OWN COMMITTEE. BUT I'M PRETTY SURE IT'S IN HERE SOMEWHERE. AND UNDER THIS PREROGATIVE I'M SURE THAT IT MUST BE MADE CLEAR TO THE COMMISSION THAT THEY MUST SET UP WHATEVER THEY WANT. IF THEY WANT TO SAY WELL O.K. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A MEETING IN SUCH AND SUCH, WE ARE PREPARED TO HEAR REPRESENTATION ORAL OR WRITTEN IN SUCH A FORM. THEY MAKE THAT UP WHEN THEY MAKE UP THEIR NOTICE OF ANNOUNCING THE MEETING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 13 (1). "IN RECOMMENDING THE DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS THE COMMISSION SHALL ALLOT NOT LESS THAN ONE-HALF OF THE NUMBER OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS TO THAT PORTION OF THE TERRITORY LYING OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE."

MR. TAYLOR: WHY DO WE TELL THEM THAT?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I THINK IT'S FAVOURABLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? 14 (1). "THE COMMISSION MAY INCLUDE IN AN ELECTORAL DISTRICT IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE, ANY AREA OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE WHICH IS CONTIGUOUS TO THE BOUNDARIES OF THAT PART OF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT WITHIN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE WHERE IT APPEARS TO THE COMMISSION NECESSARY OR DESIREABLE TO DO SO."

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT'S THAT IN THERE FOR?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, OBVIOUSLY THE MEMBER KNOWS AND HERE - - - DIDN'T I. O.K. YOU KNOW WHY IT'S THERE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 15 (1). "IN RECOMMENDING THE BOUNDARIES OF ANY ELECTORAL DISTRICT LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE, THE COMMISSION SHALL PROCEED ON THE BASIS THAT THE POPULATION OF EACH SUCH ELECTORAL DISTRICT SHALL CORRESPOND AS NEARLY AS MAY BE WITH THE POPULATION OF EACH OTHER SUCH DISTRICT TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE ITEMS MENTION IN SECTION 16."

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THERE NOT GOING TO BE PROBLEMS HERE BECAUSE YOU KNOW YOU HAVE POPULATION SHIFTS AND PROJECTED SHIFTS AND THIS TYPE OF THING AND I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT SHOULD REALLY BE IN THERE. I DON'T THINK THAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD BE TIED TO POPULATION TO ANY GREATER DEGREE THAN IT SHOULD BE BY COMMUNICATION OR THIS TYPE OF THING. I WONDER IF THE WISDOM, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR SOME COMMENT ON THIS ONE

BECAUSE I'M JUST NOT PREPARED TO MAKE AN OPINION JUST AT THE MOMENT BUT SOMETHING TELLS ME IT'S NOT NECESSARY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE REASON THAT'S IN THERE IS THAT WHEN YOU DEFINE HOW FAR OUTSIDE THE CITY YOU ARE GOING TO GO WITH THOSE COMMUNITIES OR THOSE PEOPLE LIVING CLOSE TO THE PROXIMITY OF WHITEHORSE, YOU THEN HAVE GOT TO MAKE AT LEAST SOME ROUGH CALCULATION AS TO THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN EACH CONSTITUENCY. NOW YOU MIGHT EVENTUALLY END UP WITH, NOW THIS IS AN EXTREME CASE, TEN PEOPLE IN ONE CONSTITUENCY AND 500 IN ANOTHER. BUT UNLESS YOU'VE GOT THE PERIMETER OF APPROXIMATELY 250, IF THAT'S AN EQUAL AMOUNT, TO START, YOU THEN CAN'T MAKE THE DECISION. WHEN YOU GET TO 16 YOU WILL SEE THE OTHER CRITERIA TAKEN IN, YOU CAN'T MAKE THAT DECISION OF HOW FAR YOU SHOULD GO EITHER ONE WAY OR ANOTHER UNLESS YOU'VE GOT SOME BASIC NUMBER TO WORK WITH. THAT'S ALL THAT IT IS SAYING THAT YOU SIT DOWN AND DIVIDE. IF THERE ARE 10,000 PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE, YOU HAVE GOT FIVE CONSTITUENCIES AND JUST FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT, YOU GET 2,000 PERSONS IN A CONSTITUENCY THEN YOU GO AHEAD AND MAKE ALL THE OTHER CHANGES THAT ARE NECESSARY IN 16. IN THE MEANTIME IF YOU DON'T HAVE THAT IN THERE IS NOTHING BASIC TO THE DECISION TO WHERE YOU DRAW THE CONSTITUENCY BOUNDARY, THAT IS THE ONLY REASON IT IS THERE. IT IS LIMITED VERY MUCH BY WHAT'S IN 16 ANYWAY.

MR. TAYLOR: IF YOU DO THAT THEN YOU HAVE JUST WIPED OUT OLD CROW FOR INSTANCE. THERE IS A BIG CONSTITUENCY UP THERE BUT THERE ARE VERY FEW PERMANENT POPULATIONS. YOU MIGHT HAVE THREE TO FOUR HUNDRED PEOPLE IN THE TOTAL CONSTITUENCY. LOOKING AT 16 I THINK THAT 15, 1 AND 2 SHOULD COME OUT BECAUSE 16, IF YOU WISH TO SPELL OUT IN 16 THAT THEY SHOULD TAKE IN TO CONSIDERATION POPULATION GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATION AND SO FORTH WHEN WE GET THAT FAR, THEN JUST PUT THE WORD POPULATION IN THERE AND GET RID OF 15 - 1 & 2. IT COULD BE INTERRUPTED THE WRONG WAY BY THE COMMISSION.

MR. TANNER: OKAY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE MIGHT BE SOME GOOD REASON TO TAKE OUT 15-1 BUT IN MY OPINION THERE IS NO REASON TO TAKE OUT 15-2 BECAUSE 15-2 REFERS TO THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE AND OBVIOUSLY WITH THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN WHITEHORSE YOU WOULD TEND TO GET EQUALITY OF NUMBER IN EACH AREA WITHIN WHITEHORSE. THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY FOLLOW AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM...

Mr. TAYLOR: IF WE PUT IN POPULATION IN 16.

Mr. TANNER: OH, I BEG YOUR PARDON. IN THAT CASE WE NEED 15-1 AND WE DON'T NEED 15-2. WE NEED THE DIVISION, NUMERICAL DIVISION IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE, I THINK.

Mr. STUTTER: I CAN SEE THERE IS SOME CASE TO BE MADE FOR NOT HAVING A NUMERICAL DIVISION OUTSIDE OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. I PERSONALLY THINK YOU SHOULD BECAUSE I THINK YOU NEED THAT BASIS TO WORK ON INITIALLY. IT PROBABLY MIGHT ONLY LAST HALF AN HOUR WHEN THE COMMISSION IS SAT DOWN. BUT IT SHOULD BE THERE TO MAKE THE INITIAL BREAK. THAT'S MY OPINION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: YOU SEE, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE REASON WHY I'M SUGGESTING THAT THE COMMISSION IN REVIEWING THE SITUATION MIGHT FIND IT NECESSARY TO HAVE A DUAL MEMBERSHIP IN A PARTICULAR CONSTITUENCY SUCH AS THE WHITEHORSE AREA CONSTITUENCY. THIS GIVES THE REASON THERE QUITE CLEARLY WHY THIS TYPE OF THING SHOULD HAPPEN. YOU MIGHT FIND, FOR INSTANCE, THE RIVERDALE AREA IS BUILDING UP AND EXPANDING - BLOCKS OF APARTMENT BUILDINGS AND WHAT NOT.

LAUGHTER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I'M NOT DRUMMING FOR ANY TERRITORIAL ELECTION. YOU DON'T HAVE TO WORRY. I'M JUST THINKING OF THE NECESSITY FOR THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES HERE. THIS COULD HAPPEN SO CONSEQUENTLY THIS AREA HERE, I THINK SHOULD NOT BE IN THERE BECAUSE IT BLOCKS FAVOURING THE POPULATION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I MUST SAY FROM THE CHAIR THAT WHEN I'VE GONE THROUGH THE ORDINANCE MYSELF I'VE GOT A NOTE TO DELETE BOTH THOSE SECTIONS. I THINK THAT 16 COVERS IT ADEQUATELY, ONCE ONE GETS ON TO 16.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY I'M GOING TO ARGUE AGAIN FOR THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. IDEALLY WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO FIND IS ONE MAN ONE VOTE. IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE IT IS TO A CERTAIN EXTENT OBTAINABLE BECAUSE THERE IS A BLOCK OF PEOPLE HERE WHICH CAN BE DIVIDED. FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT LET'S SAY THERE ARE 18,000 PEOPLE AND THERE ARE FIVE SECTS THEN YOU WOULD LOOK FOR 2,000 PER SEAT. THAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE ASSUMPTION TO MAKE. SO THAT THERE IS AN EQUALITY OF VOTE BETWEEN ONE CONSTITUENCY AND ANOTHER IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. ON A POPULATION BASIS ALL OTHER

CONSIDERATION BEING TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT THAT IS WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO IDEALLY GET THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE YUKON. YOU DON'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE BUT YOU DO HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY IN THE CITY ITSELF AND I THINK YOU SHOULD.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: MR. TAYLOR,

Mr. TAYLOR: JUST A MINUTE. JUST REMEMBER THE SIZE OF YOUR CITY. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT POPULATION SHIFTS, AND PROJECTIONS NOW, NO DOUBT, WHEN WE GET UP TO 16 YOU ARE SAYING THAT WE TAKE IN THE GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS, IN PARTICULAR SPARSITY, DENSITY, RELATIVE RATE OF GROWTH, POPULATION IN ANY REGION OF THE TERRITORY. MAYBE, WE WOULD LIKE TO WRITE POPULATION SPECIFICALLY IN THERE. POPULATION GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATION AND SO FORTH. I DON'T THINK YOU WOULD BE FAIR TO THE COMMISSION OR FAIR TO THOSE WHO MIGHT MAKE REPRESENTATIONS TO IT BY LOCKING THEM INTO A DEFINITE POPULATION SITUATION EITHER WITHIN OR WITHOUT THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. CONSIDERING AREAS LIKE THE MAYO ROAD, ALL THE AREAS AROUND WHITEHORSE, YOU'VE GOT A HUGE CITY HERE. CERTAINLY IN THE HINTERLAND I DON'T SEE ANY NEED FOR IT BECAUSE AS I SAY YOU COULD EASILY INFLUENCE THE BOARD OR THE COMMISSIONER, BIND THEM SO THAT THEY WOULD WIPE OUT A CONSTITUENCY SUCH AS OLD CROW.

IF YOU SAY POPULATION IN 16 I'M SURE YOU COVER IT AND I'M SURE THAT THE WISDOM OF THE BOARD THAT WOULD BE THE GREATEST CRITERIA IN PROPOSING DIVISION WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY OF WHITEHORSE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CAN WE GET AN OPINION FROM COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE AS TO WHETHER TO DELETE 15 OR NOT?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AGREED TO DELETE 15.
16, 1 - IN RECOMMENDING THE BOUNDARIES OF ANY ELECTORAL DISTRICT THE COMMISSION SHALL TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION - (A) GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS INCLUDING IN PARTICULAR THE SPARSITY, DENSITY OR RELATIVE RATE OF GROWTH OF POPULATION OF ANY REGION OF THE TERRITORY THE EXCESSIBILITY OF ANY SUCH REGION AND THE SIZE AND SHAPE THEREOF, (B) ANY SPECIAL COMMUNITY OR DIVERSITY OF INTEREST TO THE INHABITANTS OF VARIOUS REGIONS OF THE TERRITORY, (C) THE MEANS OF COMMUNICATION BETWEEN VARIOUS PARTS OF THE TERRITORY AND ALL OTHER SIMILAR AND RELEVANT FACTORS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S A GOOD SECTION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST IN THE INTEREST OF THE REPRESENTATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE BY SOME MEMBERS JUST A MOMENT AGO, I'M WONDERING IF IT WOULDN'T BE WISE TO STATE POPULATION - THE CURRENT POPULATION FOR INSTANCE OR SOMETHING TO PROVIDE FOR THE QUESTION THAT WAS RAISED IN 15. WHAT WE'VE SAID IS THE DENSITY OR RELATIVE RATE OF GROWTH OF POPULATION OF ANY REGION OF THE TERRITORY. IT MAY BE.

MRS. WATSON: YES, THAT IS COVERED THERE. SPARSITY, DENSITY ...

MR. TAYLOR: YES, RIGHT. IF THIS IS AGREEABLE, I NOT ONLY RAISE IT IN THE INTEREST OF THE MEMBER WHO RAISED IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 17-1. THE COMMISSIONER MAY APPOINT A PERSON TO ACT AS SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OBJECTION. I SAY THAT THE CHAIRMAN IS THE ONE WHO GETS THE SECRETARY NOT THE COMMISSION.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST AS A MATTER OF INTEREST YOU SAID 'MAY' - IT'S 'SHALL'.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE COMMISSION MAY NEED A PUBLIC SERVANT. NOW IF SOMEBODY FROM PUBLIC SERVICE IS OFFERED TO HAVE THE COMMISSION APPOINT A PERSON. IF WE WANT THE BOARD TO WORK FAIRLY FAST I IMAGINE SOMEBODY WOULD HAVE TO BE ... SOME DEPARTMENT TO GIVE SECRETARIAL ASSISTANCE, KEEP THE FILES AND SUCH. IF THEY APPOINT THEY HAVE TO ADVERTISE AND ALL THE REST OF IT, TAKES MAYBE SIX WEEKS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD IT BE ACCEPTABLE TO MEMBERS IF READ ON THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION BECAUSE IT IS PARTLY BECAUSE OF THE COMMISSIONER THAT HE IS GOING TO MAKE THE APPOINTMENT. YOU DON'T WANT HIM TO DO IT SPECIFICALLY SAYING YOU ARE GOING TO BE SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION BUT IF YOU ARE RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMISSION, AS YOU SEE IN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH YOU'VE GOT THE SAME THING.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IF WE ARE GOING TO GO ALL THE WAY WITH THIS COMMISSION WE WANT TO INSURE THAT THEY HAVE AS MUCH SEPARATION FROM THIS COUNCIL AND THEY HAVE AS MUCH SEPARATION FROM THE ADMINISTRATION AS

POSSIBLE. I WOULD THINK THAT THE CHAIRMAN AND THE COMMISSION THEMSELVES SHOULD SELECT THEIR OWN SECRETARY AND APPOINT THEIR OWN SECRETARY AND I REALLY THINK THAT IS THE JOB OF COMMISSION.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE TECHNICAL APPOINTMENT HERE. YOU COME TO THE COMMISSIONER AND SAY WE WOULD LIKE SUCH AND SUCH OR DO YOU HAVE THE FACILITIES OR CAN YOU HELP US FIND OR WE HAVE THIS PERSON IN MIND AND THE COMMISSIONER WILL THEN MAKE THAT APPOINTMENT. THAT IS WHAT THAT IS SAYING. THE ONLY THING IT ISN'T SAYING, WHICH ALL MEMBERS I GUESS WANT, IS THAT YOU WANT THE COMMISSION TO MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION. BUT OTHER THAN THAT THE COMMISSIONER HAS TO MAKE THE APPOINTMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S FAIR, THE COMMISSIONER HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE. I THINK WE SHOULD, WE MUST RECONIZE THAT, ALTHOUGH IT IS SOMETHING I DON'T LIKE. NONE OF US DO. WHAT WE MUST SAY THEN IS THE COMMISSIONER SHALL UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHAIRMAN OF --. IS THAT THE WAY IT SHOULD BE DONE?

MR. CHAIRMAN: 2 "UPON BEING REQUESTED BY THE CHAIRMAN SO TO DO, THE COMMISSIONER MAY FROM TIME TO TIME APPOINT ONE OR MORE PERSONS HAVING SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE TO ASSIST THE COMMISSION IN CARRYING OUT ITS FUNCTIONS."

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHY DO WE NEED ONE THEN IF WE'VE GOT TWO IN THERE?

MR. TAYLOR: WHAT'S THE REASON OR THE DIFFERENCE IN THE TWO SECTIONS?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE SECRETARY WILL CARRY RESPONSIBILITIES WITHIN THE COMMISSION WHICH EVENTUALLY ARE ANSWERABLE TO THE SPEAKER, INSOFAR AS THE PRESENTATION OF FACTS. WE FELT THE SECRETARY'S APPOINTMENT SHOULD BE SEPARATE TO GIVE IT MORE PROMINENCE AND GIVE IT MORE RESPONSIBILITY. THAT'S THE ONLY REASON.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THESE ARE DIFFERENT THINGS. THESE ARE PEOPLE WE MAY REQUIRE AS SPECIAL DOMINION OF CANADA STATISTICIANS, ENGINEERS OR DEMOGRAPHIC EXPERTS OR PEOPLE FROM THE ELECTORAL COMMISSION OFFICES IN OTTAWA WHO ARE IN THE HABIT OF PREPARING MAPS AND SO FORTH.

MR. TAYLOR: JUST A POINT THERE. I CAN'T OFTEN

OVERLOOK SOME OF THESE STATEMENTS. YOU SAY THAT PEOPLE WE WILL REQUIRE, AS WE THE COMMISSION, AS WE THE ADMINISTRATION OR AS WE THE COUNCIL?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE COMMISSION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I'LL JUST FINISH THIS ONE SECTION THEN AND PERHAPS IT WILL BE TIME TO BREAK FOR COFFEE. CLEAR ON 2? THREE - " A PERSON APPOINTED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION SHALL BE PAID SUCH REMUNERATION AS THE COMMISSIONER MAY PRESCRIBE. I THINK IN VIEW OF THE TIME I WILL DECLARE A COFFEE RECESS.

RECESS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. THE NEXT SECTION IS 18 (1). 'THE COMMISSION SHALL KEEP A RECORD OF ALL ITS PROCEEDINGS AND THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS BELONGING OR PERTAINING TO THE COMMISSION.'

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN THERE SEEMS TO BE A CONTRADICTION BETWEEN 18 (1) AND 22 (3). 22 (3) READS, 'THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER AFTER DELIVERING ITS REPORT TO HIM.' IN 18 (1) IT SAYS 'THE COMMISSION WILL KEEP A RECORD OF ALL ITS PROCEEDINGS.'

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IN A FORM OF BOOKKEEPING.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: DOES THE COMMISSION KEEP THEM ALL THE TIME OR ONCE THEY ARE FINISHED WITH THEM, DO THEY GET RID OF THEM, WHICH IS WHICH?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT'S JUST A NON-ENGLISH.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN THEY USUALLY KEEP THEIRS TO KEEP A RECORD OF IT, NOT TO KEEP FOR EVER AND EVER AMEN. TO KEEP A RECORD OF IT.

Mr. TAYLOR: WELL YOU COULD SAY TAKE A RECORD OF.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: 18 GOES ON TO SAY, 'SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO OR BELONGING TO THE COMMISSION.' THEN 22 (3) SAYS 'THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER'. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THIS.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IN 22 (1) IT SAYS THAT AT THE END, WHEN THEY FINISH THEIR REPORT THEY WILL GIVE IT TO THE SPEAKER. AT THAT TIME, THEY ARE SAYING, KEEP REPORTS UNTIL THAT TIME, THEN HAND THEM OVER INTACT TO THE SPEAKER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS NOT THE POINT I'M MAKING. IF THE COMMISSION IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS, THEN WHEN DOES IT CEASE? DOES IT CEASE WHEN THEY TURN IT OVER TO THE SPEAKER? IF THAT IS THE CASE, THEN SURELY IT MUST SAY SO, IT MIGHT IMPLY IT BUT IT DOESN'T SAY THAT, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

ONE SECTION SAYS, THE COMMISSION SHALL KEEP THEM AND ANOTHER SECTION SAYS IT SHALL BE

TURNED OVER TO THE SPEAKER. WHAT HAPPENS?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THEY KEEP THEM UNTIL IT TRANSMITS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I SEE. WE KNOW IT KEEPS THEM UNTIL IT TRANSMITS BUT IT STILL, THE LEGISLATION STILL PROVIDES FOR THEM TO KEEP IT. OF COURSE THEY KEEP IT UNTIL IT TRANSMITS. THAT IS A SIMPLE THING TO UNDERSTAND. WHAT IS THE INTENT OF SECTION 18 WHEN IT SAYS, 'THE COMMISSION SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KEEPING THEM.' IS THAT FOREVER?

MR. TANNER: WHILE THEY ARE SITTING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL LETS SAY, UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE WORK OF THE COMMISSION IS COMPLETED, SHOULD THAT NOT BE ADDED,

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN IT IS A PLAY OF SEMANTICS. RATHER THAN GETTING INTO A LONG ENDLESS DEBATE, WHY DON'T WE JUST TAKE THE WORD 'KEEP' OUT AND PUT THE WORD 'MAINTAIN' IN THERE AND I THINK THAT SHOULD CLEAR IT UP.

MRS. WATSON: NO, NO, YOU HAVE TO KEEP RECORDS OF THE PROCEEDINGS. YOU KEEP A RECORD --

MR. TAYLOR: IT'S CLEAR TO ME.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S CLEAR TO ME AS WELL BUT WHAT ISN'T CLEAR TO ME IS THE FACT THAT, HERE'S TWO SECTIONS OF A PIECE OF LEGISLATURE, ONE IS A MANDATORY ITEM SAYING THAT THE COMMISSION SHALL KEEP ALL THE DOCUMENTS. THE OTHER IS A MANDATORY ITEM SAYING THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT ITS DOCUMENTS. WHAT DOES IT HAVE TO DO?

MR. TANNER: BOTH

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOT BOTH BECAUSE IT'S LIKE ANSWERING YES AND NO. WE'VE HAD THAT BEFORE, THEY CAN'T DO BOTH THINGS.

MR. MCKINNON: WE'RE GOING TO GET IT AGAIN TOO.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEY ARE EITHER GOING TO KEEP THEM MR. CHAIRMAN OR THEY ARE GOING TO DISPOSE OF THEM. I AM SUGGESTING THAT IT BE

MADE CLEAR. ONCE THEY HAVE COMPLETED THEIR WORK THEN THEY ARE TRANSMITTED TO THE SPEAKER, IT DOESN'T SAY THAT THOUGH.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: CAN WE CHANGE THE WORD 'KEEP' TO 'MAKE A RECORD'.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S O.K.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AGREED?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO, BECAUSE THAT ONLY DEALS WITH--

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE FIRST PART OF IT BECAUSE IT GOES ON TO SAY 'AND THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS BELONGING TO OR PERTAINING TO THE COMMISSION'. SEE, IT GOES BEYOND THAT. I AM SURE MR. LEGAL ADVISOR SEES QUITE CLEARLY WHAT I'M GETTING AT. THEY CAN'T DO BOTH THINGS AT THE SAME TIME.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS SUCH AN IMPASSE. WHY NOT LEAVE 18 AS IT IS AND WHEN YOU GET AROUND TO 22 (3) SAY, 'FOLLOWING SUCH AND SUCH THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS' AND SPELL IT OUT THERE. EITHER WAY YOU KNOW WHAT THE INTENT IS AND I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE MISCONSTRUED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR, WOULD IT NOT BE POSSIBLE WHEN WE COME TO 22 (3) TO PUT 'THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT A COPY OF ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS'?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YOUR REASON IS THAT IS IT NOT?

MR. MCKINNON: WHY DO THEY WANT ONE? THEY ARE THROUGH.

MR. TAYLOR: TAKE EVERYTHING AT THAT POINT. THAT'S THE WAY TO DO IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHY DON'T WE SAY IN THAT 18, SOMETHING TO THE EFFECT THAT ONCE THE COMMISSION HAS COMPLETED ITS WORK THEN IT SHOULD TRANSMIT TO THE SPEAKER ALL ITS DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS.

MR. TAYLOR: WE SEE THAT IN 22 (3).

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN WHY CAN'T WE KEEP THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S POINT IN MIND IN 18, WHEN WE GET TO READ 22 AND SEE THE FLOW OF WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO DO AND SAYING AT THE END, THEN DEAL WITH IT IN 22. WHAT WE WANT THEM TO DO IS TO KEEP A RECORD DURING THEIR ONGOING PERFORMANCE, SHALL WE SAY. WHEN THEY FINISH, WE WANT THEM TO TURN IT OVER TO THE SPEAKER FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. WE CAN SAY IN 22 AS THE CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED, WE CAN SAY THAT WHEN THEY HAVE COMPLETED THEIR TIME WE CAN PUT IT IN. DOES THAT SATISFY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: No, BECAUSE SECTION 18 COMES BEFORE, MAKES IT MANDATORY FOR THE COMMISSION TO KEEP THE DOCUMENTS. THE CHAIRMAN TO KEEP THE CUSTODY OF THEM.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: WE ARE DEALING WITH TWO SEPARATE POINTS IN TIME. WE CAN MAKE IT CRYSTAL CLEAR RATHER THAN JUST CLEAR WHEN WE COME TO IT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 19 (1) THE COMMISSION MAY AUTHORIZE A MEMBER OR ANY OTHER PERSON TO INVESTIGATE AND REPORT ON ANY QUESTION OR MATTER ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THE BUSINESS OF THE COMMISSION.

(2) A PERSON AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION HAS ALL THE POWERS OF THE COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING EVIDENCE OR ACQUIRING THE NECESSARY INFORMATION FOR HIS REPORT.

Mr. TAYLOR: JUST ONE QUESTION NOW. WITH 19, A PERSON AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION HAS ALL THE POWERS OF THE COMMISSION. HAVE WE NOT? IS THERE ANY WHERE ELSE IN THIS BILL, I DON'T THINK I SEE IT, GIVING THE COMMISSION THE ACTUAL POWER OF SUBPEONA WITNESSES AND THIS TYPE OF THING? SHOULD THIS NOT BE CONSIDERED?

Mr. MCKINNON: 21 (1)

Mr. TAYLOR: OH I SEE IT IS. THANK YOU.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I THINK COUNCILLOR TAYLOR HAS RAISED A GOOD POINT. ALTHOUGH THIS SECTION SAYS, 'THE COMMISSION MAY AUTHORIZE A MEMBER OR ANY PERSON AND REPORT ANY QUESTION OR MATTER ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THE BUSINESS OF THE COMMISSION'. THAT'S THERE. THEN A PERSON AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION. WHAT IS BEING DONE? WHAT IS BEING DONE PURSUANT TO IS

...

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE QUESTION IS BEING AUTHORIZED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S ALL. O.K.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 21-THE COMMISSION MAY MAKE RULES FOR REGULATING ITS PROCEEDINGS AND FOR THE CONDUCT OF ITS BUSINESS. 21 (1)-IN PERFORMING ITS DUTIES, THE COMMISSION HAS ALL THE POWERS OF A BOARD OF INQUIRY APPOINTED UNDER THE PUBLIC INQUIRIES ORDINANCE. 22 (1)-THE COMMISSION SHALL COMPLETE ITS REPORT WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF ITS ESTABLISHMENT.

Mr. TANNER: WHEN I MENTIONED THAT WE WERE MAKING CERTAIN CHANGES, THAT IS ONE OF THEM. MEMBERS CAN MAKE THE SUGGESTIONS THAT THEY MIGHT HAVE IN MIND BUT I'D SUGGEST WHAT WE END UP WITH IN THE NUMBER OF WEEKS WE CAN TAKE OUT BEFORE WE SPECIFICALLY SAY WHAT WE WANT IN THERE. IN OTHER WORDS I AM SAYING, LET US GET THE AMENDMENTS BACK IN TOMORROW, THEN SEE WHAT WE END UP WITH BEFORE WE CHANGE THAT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: (2) THE COMMISSION SHALL FORTHWITH AFTER COMPLETION OF ITS REPORT FILE A COPY THEREOF, CERTIFIED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WITH THE SPEAKER. (3) THE COMMISSION SHALL TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER AFTER DELIVERING ITS REPORT TO HIM.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS WHERE WE ARE IN TROUBLE. YOU SEE, ALL THAT IS REQUIRED UNDER 22 (2), IS FOR THE COMMISSION TO FILE A COPY OF THE REPORT TO THE SPEAKER. ONCE THE COPY OF THE REPORT IS SUBMITTED THEN THERE IS A REQUIREMENT TO TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS AFTER DELIVERING ITS REPORT. REALLY, IT'S A COPY OF THE REPORT, NOT THE ACTUAL REPORT, THEN THEY HAVE TO DELIVER THE DOCUMENTS. THERE SEEMS TO BE IN THERE ONLY THE REQUIREMENT THAT ONLY A COPY IS REQUIRED, NOT THE ORIGINAL.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN COULDN'T YOU COVER THAT IN 22 (3) BY SAYING 'PURSUANT TO SECTION 18 HAVING GET THE RECORDS AT A TIME WHEN THE COMMISSION IS FINISHED, THEY WILL DELIVER THEIR REPORTS TO THE SPEAKER.'

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: EXCEPT THAT SECTION 18 MAKES IT MANDATORY FOR THE CHAIRMAN TO KEEP THESE DOCUMENTS IN CUSTODY. THIS IS WHERE I SAY THAT THE REQUIREMENT SHOULD BE IN 18. YOU WANT THE DOCUMENTS TO BE REMOVED FROM THE COMMISSION AFTER THEY HAVE COMPLETED THEIR WORK. THIS IS THE POINT THAT I'M RAISING. IT IS CERTAINLY NOT

CRYSTAL CLEAR.

Mrs. WATSON: We will clarify that and 22, I think it would be quite easy if the Commission files its report with the Speaker, and not a copy. Unless the Legal Advisor has some reason why it should be a copy of the report, the Commission will file the report with the Speaker. The copies will be given out as indicated in the rest of the legislation.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: There is no legal reason except that you Xerox these things and its a certified copy for the Chairman and its --- from that point on. You never make one copy of a report.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: But if you provide a copy only, then it leaves the documents in the hands of the Commission. This is why I am saying that 18 must make it quite clear that everything goes away after the report is given to Mr. Speaker.

Mr. MCKINNON: What does the Speaker do with the records and documents? After they have been delivered to him?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: He files them with the Clerk.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: They become the property of the House.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: (4) Copies of the report filed with the Speaker shall be made available to the public at the office of the Territorial Secretary and the Territorial Agents for inspection during office hours for a period of four weeks from the date of the filing of the report.

Mr. TANNER: The same thing as the previous case in 22. We will come back with a suggestion once we see all the other dates that have to be taken into account.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: (5) The Clerk of the Council shall transmit copies of the report to each member of the Council. (6) The Speaker shall, after receiving the report of the Commission, forthwith lay the report before the Council, if the Council is then in session or if the Council is not in session, then within five days after the opening of the next session--

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: It could be any time.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman should there not be some provision in this Bill which would entail the Commissioner, upon receipt of a message from the Speaker should immediately call a session of Council.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. Chairman the Member has a good point but surely that is what is going to happen. Do you have to specify it. There might be circumstances that arise. Now who can foresee that they can't immediately recall a Council together. The circumstances might be a bank holiday for instance. How do you define immediately?

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman I'm just stating that possibly there should be some compulsion upon the Commissioner to call a session forthwith upon receipt of the message from the Speaker stating that he has received this report. Otherwise the Commissioner might not call a session for two months and we've lost all the time that we've been trying to make up by means of the Commissioner.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. Chairman just for clarification, this section was in there because this was supposed to be an ongoing Commission. That is why the six months is in there. Now we are looking at a specific instance. So that section will also have to be amended.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: Agreed.

Mr. TAYLOR: This is remembering too, hopefully when you consider the amendments that the Commission hasn't really got a stated time yet. We want the Commission to get through its duties as quickly as is practical to do so. I don't know whether it is in the best interests to leave it somewhat open and just put a very outer limit on it or what to do. Possibly when you consider the amendment that somebody might have some ideas on it.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 23 (1) If the Council by resolution approves or approves with alteration the proposals of the Commission, the Commissioner shall prepare a Bill to carry out the provisions to the resolution and a Bill shall be introduced to Council at the same session at which the resolution was made.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. Chairman 24 and 25 are both gone.

THEN THAT COMPLETES THE INITIAL READING OF THE BILL. WELL 25(1). THIS ORDINANCE SHALL COME INTO FORCE ON THE DAY TO BE FIXED BY THE COMMISSIONER.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT GOES AS WELL. WE DON'T NEED THAT EITHER.

MR. TAYLOR: YES THAT SHOULD GO. MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE INTEREST OF EXPEDIENCY AND INASMUCH AS THIS IS A PREROGATIVE OF THE HOUSE AND NOT OF THE ADMINISTRATION, THIS BILL HAS TO COME INTO FORCE AT A TIME TO BE FIXED BY THE COUNCIL AND NOT THE COMMISSIONER AND, THEREFORE, IT SHOULD RECEIVE ASSENT AS SOON AS IT PASSES THIS HOUSE.

MR. MCKINNON: AS SOON AS SOMEONE IS HERE TO GIVE ASSENT TO IT.

MR. TAYLOR: YES, SO 25 WOULD DISAPPEAR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK YOU WILL FIND THAT WHEN WE GET BACK TO YOU WE WILL TAKE 25 OUT AND THERE WILL BE SOME COMPULSION IN THE BODY OF THE ORDINANCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL EVERY ORDINANCE HAS TO HAVE A COMING INTO FORCE SECTION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. AN ORDINANCE UNDER OUR INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE IN DEFAULT OF THE STATUTES ORDINANCE COMES INTO FORCE WITH ITS ASSENT. IT COMES INTO FORCE AT MIDNIGHT OF THE PRECEDING - OF THAT DAY. IT COMES INTO FORCE RETROSPECTIVELY.

MR. MCKINNON: UNLESS THERE IS A COMING INTO FORCE TECHNICALLY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: YES.

MRS. WATSON: SO WE DON'T NEED ANYTHING.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE FEEL IT IS BETTER NOT TO HAVE IT THERE SO IF THE COMMISSIONER CAN DO OR SOME MEMBERS AROUND HERE SUSPECT HIM OF TRYING TO DO. IN OTHER WORDS AS THE LEGAL ADVISER SAYS AS SOON AS THIS BILL HAS BEEN PASSED WHATEVER IT ENDS UP AS BEING - WHOEVER IS AVAILABLE AT THAT TIME WILL GIVE IT ASSENT.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOW WE HAVE - -

I THINK THIS IS NOT CONSIDERED AS THE OFFICIAL READING OF THE BILL IN ORDER THAT WE CAN AVOID ALL THIS AMENDMENT, THIS BIG AMENDMENT, SO THAT THE OFFICIAL READING WILL COME ONCE THE AMENDMENTS HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED. I AM WONDERING IF NOW AT THIS POINT IF COUNCIL SHOULD START GIVING SOME THOUGHT OR INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OR OTHERWISE TO WHO IN AND AROUND THE TERRITORY COULD BE COMPETENT AND CAPABLE OF FULFILLING THE TWO POSITIONS OTHER THAN THAT OF THE JUDGE, AND POSSIBLY SUBMIT SOME NAMES EITHER IN CAUCUS OR OTHERWISE GET TOGETHER AND DETERMINE WHO MIGHT BE AVAILABLE TO FULFIL THIS FUNCTION. HOW DO OTHER MEMBERS FEEL ABOUT DEALING WITH THIS, WOULD THEY LIKE TO DEAL WITH IT IN CAUCUS OR WOULD THEY LIKE TO DEAL WITH IT AND WRESTLE IT OUT IN OPEN COMMITTEE?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE'S TWO THINGS HERE. FIRST OF ALL I DIDN'T THINK THAT WE MADE A DECISION THAT THAT WAS THE WAY WE WERE GOING TO PROCEED HERE. THE SECOND THING IS IT'S ALL VERY WELL FOR US TO SAY WE WANT THE JUDGE - HERE COMES THE JUDGE. IT'S ALL VERY WELL FOR US TO SAY THE JUDGE IS GOING TO BE ONE OF THE APPOINTEES, BUT SUPPOSING THEN WE GO FURTHER AND APPOINT THE OTHER TWO MEMBERS AND THE JUDGE DOES NOT WANT TO WORK WITH THEM. WE ARE BEING A LITTLE PRESUMPTUOUS AREN'T WE TO SAY THAT WE WANT THE JUDGE AND AT THE SAME TIME THAT THOSE TWO GUYS WILL WORK WITH HIM. I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE THIS A LITTLE BIT MORE CONSIDERATION BEFORE WE JUMP IN LIKE THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE SHOULD ALSO FIND OUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHETHER THE JUDGE WANTS TO TAKE THIS POSITION AS WELL. HE MIGHT HAVE A CALENDAR THAT IS LOADED AS WELL. THERE IS ANOTHER DIFFICULTY THERE. THAT HAS GOT TO BE CONSIDERED TOO.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE VERY REASON I RAISED IT NOW. I THINK WE SHOULD BE STARTING TO THINK ABOUT THE APPOINTEES AND FINDING OUT WHO IS AVAILABLE, WHO IS ACCEPTABLE, AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE FIFTEEN JUDGES WE CAN DRAW FROM.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, DON'T GET CARRIED AWAY WITH THE NUMBER OF JUDGES. WE MIGHT HAVE FIFTEEN WE COULD POTENTIALLY GET, BUT TO ACTUALLY GET A JUDGE OF ANY COURT IS QUITE DIFFICULT. HE HAS TO BE INTERESTED IN THIS

TYPE OF WORK FOR ONE THING. SECONDLY, HE HAS TO HAVE THE TIME AVAILABLE. ALTHOUGH THERE MIGHT BE FIFTEEN JUDGES, TEN OF WHOM OR TWELVE OF WHOM ARE IN B.C. NONE OF THOSE MIGHT BE AVAILABLE. WE ARE BEING PRESUMPTUOUS IN THAT AREA TOO WHETHER WE CAN EVEN GET A JUDGE OTHER THAN THE ONE THAT IS IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE TO US. THAT HASN'T BEEN CONFIRMED YET EITHER.

Mr. TAYLOR: THIS AGAIN IS WHAT I SAY, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHY WE SHOULD START - POSSIBLY THIS COMMITTEE SHOULD START GIVING CONSIDERATION TO THIS COURSE.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, HAS THE JUDGE OF THE YUKON SUPREME COURT BEEN APPROACHED YET AS TO WHETHER HIS CALENDAR WILL PERMIT HIM TO TAKE ON THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: TO SAY ONE APPROACHES A JUDGE MIGHT CONVEY A WRONG MEANING. BUT I THINK THE JUDGE COULD PROBABLY BE AVAILABLE IF HE WAS APPOINTED. I SAY PROBABLY, NOW HIS CALENDAR MAY HAVE CHANGED. THINGS MAY HAVE HAPPENED SINCE THIS WAS FIRST TALKED ABOUT.

Mr. TAYLOR: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAS GOT TO BE GOT UNDERWAY AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY ROOM FOR DELAY WHATSOEVER. IT SHOULD BE ON ITS WAY IN A MATTER OF DAYS IF POSSIBLE.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE SHOULDN'T MOVE. IN FACT, I'M MORE CONVINCED PROBABLY THAN ANY OTHER MEMBER THAT WE SHOULD MOVE QUICKLY ON THIS ONE. I WONDER WHETHER WE CAN SETTLE THAT THAT'S THE WAY WE WANT TO PROCEED. NOW WE HAVE GIVEN THIS WHAT YOU MIGHT CALL PROVISIONAL READING BUT THERE WAS NO FIRM AND HARD DECISION THAT THAT'S THE WAY WE WANTED TO PROCEED. NOT TO MY MIND ANYWAY. I THINK WE SHOULD MAYBE DEBATE THAT A LITTLE FURTHER SINCE WE HAVE STILL GOT ANOTHER HOUR OR SO WHETHER OR NOT THAT IS THE WAY YOU WANT TO PROCEED OR ALTERNATIVELY, WHETHER YOU WANT TO LEAVE IT UNTIL TOMORROW WHEN WE COME BACK WITH OUR AMENDMENTS. BUT RIGHT NOW THERE HAS BEEN NO CLEAR INDICATION TO ME AT LEAST THAT WE WANT TO PROCEED THE WAY WE WERE DISCUSSING THIS MORNING.

Mr. TAYLOR: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, JUST GATHERING FROM THE MAJORITY OF SOME OF THE COMMENTS I HAVE HEARD FROM AROUND THE TABLE I THINK IT WAS GENERALLY AGREED THAT THIS IS NOT THE PREROGATIVE OF THE ADMINISTRATION. THIS IS THE PREROGATIVE OF THIS HOUSE. I THINK IT WAS AGREED A LONG TIME AGO THAT WE WANTED SOME SORT OF JUDICIAL REDISTRIBUTION, AND IT WOULD BE CLEAR TO ME AT LEAST THAT ONE OF THESE PEOPLE SHOULD BE A JUDGE. I THINK IT WAS GENERALLY AGREED THAT THE JUDGE WOULD BE THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. BUT INASMUCH AS THE OTHER TWO MEMBERS ARE CONCERNED IT IS THE PREROGATIVE, AND I THINK THE DUTY OF THIS HOUSE, TO APPOINT THE OTHER TWO PEOPLE - NOT OF THE COMMISSIONER OR ANY INDIVIDUAL IN THE HOUSE. AS IN THE CASE OF Mr. SPEAKER IT PLACES HIM IN A VERY DIFFICULT POSITION IN WHICH HE VIRTUALLY CAN NOT DO THIS. SO IT'S UP TO THE HOUSE TO MAKE THESE APPOINTMENTS. I DO KNOW FOR FACT AS I SAY BACK IN OTTAWA IN CONSIDERING THIS FOLLOWING THE STANDING COMMITTEE HEARING, I SAT DOWN IN COMPANY WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST AND WE STARTED TO THINK ABOUT HOW MANY PEOPLE - NO, IN TRUTH, HOW MANY PEOPLE FROM THE TERRITORY WOULD BE ELIGIBLE AND POSSESSED THE CAPABILITIES OF ACCEPTING THESE DUTIES. WE STARTED LISTING OFF A LOT OF NAMES BUT - - -

Mr. MCKINNON: DON'T REPEAT ALL OF THEM.

Mr. TAYLOR: NO. BUT IT BOILED DOWN TO SIX OR SEVEN. THERE MUST BE MORE THAN WE COULD THINK OF THAT HAD THE CAPABILITY OF DOING THIS TYPE OF WORK; THAT HAD KNOWLEDGE OF THE TERRITORY AND THIS TYPE OF THING. THIS IS JUST WHAT WE HAVE TO ARRIVE AT. BUT I REALLY THINK THAT THIS COUNCIL MUST MAKE THAT SELECTION.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, CAN I ASK COUNCIL TO CONSIDER THIS. LET'S TAKE - WE ARE PRETTY WELL AGREED ON THE JUDGE. THEN WE HAVE GOT THE TWO OTHER POSITIONS. CAN COUNCIL AGREE ON THE FACT THAT THE SPEAKER SHOULD APPOINT ONE - JUST A MINUTE - THAT THE SPEAKER SHOULD APPOINT ONE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. THAT'S A FORMAT THAT WE ARE USING THAT COUNCIL CAN MAKE THE RECOMMENDATION, AND GIVE THOUGHT TO THE FACT THAT IF WE AGREED ON THE JUDGE THAT THE JUDGE CAN APPOINT THE OTHER ONE. IN OTHER WORDS AND GETTING BACK BASICALLY TO THIS WITH A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING THAT IN THE SPEAKER'S CASE HE'S GOING TO BE THE COUNCIL'S APPOINTMENT

USING HIM IF YOU LIKE AS OUR SPEAKER, AND SERVANT, AND THE JUDGE THEN HAS THE ABILITY TO APPOINT THE OTHER PERSON BECAUSE I WOULD SUSPECT, I HAVEN'T SPOKEN TO THE MAN BUT I WOULD SUSPECT, THAT HE PROBABLY KNOWS SOMEBODY HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ON THE COMMISSION WHO HE KNOWS HE CAN WORK WITH AND WHO HE KNOWS HE CAN RELY ON TO DO THE WORK. NOW SUPPOSING WE DO APPOINT SOMEONE - THE THIRD PERSON TO THE COMMISSION AND HE DOESN'T WORK OUT. HE MIGHT START WITH THE BEST INTENTIONS IN THE WORLD AND WITHIN TWO OR THREE WEEKS FINDS OUT HE CAN'T CARRY ON. THE COMMISSION IS IN A VERY DIFFICULT POSITION. MY SUGGESTION IS THAT BY LETTING THE JUDGE APPOINT HIM WE WILL MAKE THE ASSUMPTION THEN THAT HE IS GOING TO GET SOMEBODY THAT HE CAN WORK WITH.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE WAY I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT WORK AND I THINK THE JUDGE OF THE YUKON SUPREME COURT SHOULD BE APPROACHED IMMEDIATELY AS TO WHETHER HE HAS THE ABILITY WITH HIS TIME LIMITATIONS AND HE HAS A DESIRE TO SERVE AS CHAIRMAN OF THIS COMMISSION. I HAVE NO QUALMS AT ALL ABOUT ACCEPTING THE PRESENT ENCUMBANT OF THE YUKON SUPREME COURT AS THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION. THEN I THINK THAT IMMEDIATELY UPON ACCEPTANCE OR REJECTION IMMEDIATELY UPON FINDING THE CHAIRMANSHIP AND PERHAPS NOT EVEN WAITING FOR THAT POINT THAT COUNCIL MEET IN CAUCUS. I HAVE NO QUALMS AT ALL ABOUT THE SPEAKER APPOINTING ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL. THEN I THINK THAT THE JUDGE AND THAT MEMBER SHOULD GET TOGETHER AND AGREE UPON A THIRD MEMBER TO THE COMMISSION IMMEDIATELY. TO ME IT'S AN EASY FLOW AND ONE THAT CAN COME ABOUT WITH THE QUICKEST METHOD OF GETTING THE COMMISSION MOBILE. THAT'S PERFECTLY ACCEPTABLE TO MY WAY OF THINKING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

Mrs. WATSON: THAT'S FINE. NOW WHO WOULD THE COUNCIL FEEL SHOULD APPROACH THE JUDGE?

Mr. TAYLOR: THE CLERK, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHAT ARE THE WISHES OF COMMITTEE AT THIS POINT. IS IT THE WISH TO GO BACK INTO THE HOUSE AND THEN CAUCUS TO DECIDE ON THAT ONE MEMBER.

Mr. McKINNON: LET'S GET A MOVE ON. LET'S

GET GOING.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I THINK PERHAPS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE SHOULD HAVE - ONCE WE GO BACK INTO THE HOUSE THERE BE A MOTION FROM THE HOUSE INSTRUCTING THE CLERK TO APPROACH THE JUDGE IN THAT DIRECTION. THEN IT'S A WISH OF THE HOUSE THAT WAY.

Mr. TAYLOR: NO, Mr. CHAIRMAN. CONTRARY TO THE REMARK OF THE PROPOSAL JUST MADE, NO. AT THIS POINT, WHILE IN COMMITTEE, IT IS USUAL FOR THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE TO INSTRUCT THE CLERK AND THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK TO GATHER THIS INFORMATION ON BEHALF OF COMMITTEE. WHEN THIS MATTER GOES INTO THE HOUSE IT WILL GO IN AS A FORMAL RECOMMENDATION OF COMMITTEE. THIS IS THE PROPER WAY OF DEALING WITH IT. WHILE IN COMMITTEE ALL THINGS ARE DEALT WITH BY THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, DO YOU FEEL WE SHOULD PROCEED ON THIS BEFORE THE BILL IS PASSED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: YES.

Mrs. WATSON: I WONDER IF THE JUDGE WOULD BE - YOU KNOW, IF THESE PEOPLE WOULD EVEN APPROACH THESE PEOPLE BEFORE THE BILL HAS BEEN PASSED. THEY MAY NOT WANT TO MAKE A DECISION.

Mr. McKINNON: VERY APPROACHABLE.

Mr. TANNER: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M QUITE SURE THAT THE GENTLEMAN OF WHOM WE SPEAK IS MOST APPROACHABLE BUT HE'S ALSO IN THE LEGAL PROFESSION. HE MIGHT WANT TO SEE WHAT WE ARE ASKING HIM TO DO, WHAT WE FINALLY END UP WITH. LET'S BE QUITE STRAIGHT FORWARD ABOUT IT. WE HAVEN'T DONE THAT OURSELVES YET. SO HOW CAN YOU GO AND ASK HIM WITHOUT HIM SEEING WHAT WE ARE ASKING HIM TO DO. THERE ARE SOME VERY BASIC QUESTIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE CHANGED IN THIS LEGISLATION. I'M NOT TRYING TO DELAY, I WANT TO GET GOING. BUT BEFORE WE MAKE THAT APPROACH TO THE JUDGE I THINK WE SHOULD GET THIS LEGISLATION SOMEWHAT CLARIFIED.

Mr. McKINNON: I THINK IMMEDIATELY UPON

ASSENT THAT WE SHOULD GET - - -

Mrs. WATSON: Oh yes. Not before.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISER: With respect I don't want to interfere with what the Honourable Members are trying to do but surely it is better to know before the Bill is finalized in its final form whether we can get the kind of person to serve or not. You know, we're tied with a time limit. If we formally have some method of appointment which goes flat on us the Bill is assented to we've got to bring the Council back. Isn't there some method of appointing a subcommittee or appointing the Chairman of Committee to deal with it or somebody - they could make informal approaches with the knowledge of the House in caucus as to what it's doing without discussing it in public. Is this not a possibility?

Mr. TANNER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to mislead the House. I meant to say that we should approach the Judge but not approach him in the official capacity just merely hear what his feelings are, and let him know quite well that we haven't completely made up our minds in what we are asking him to do.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: But in speaking from the Chair, surely at the time the Judge is approached he can at least be told that Council has agreed to appoint one other member or recommend one other member and that the third member will be jointly selected by himself and that appointed member.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. Chairman, with respect, I don't think this conversation has any place in Committee of the Whole. You know we are talking about the procedure of appointing a committee. It's certainly, with Council's approval, I think that our best approach should be for Mr. Speaker to resume the Chair and we meet to discuss this in caucus following the return of the Speaker to the Chair.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: With all due respect the only reason I was trying to resolve this problem is that it's been pointed out that

the Clerk is to be directed from Committee of the Whole. Now is it the wish of Committee to direct some type of approach between the Clerk and the Judge at this point?

Mr. McKINNON: No, Mr. Chairman, I think this is exactly what we should be discussing in caucus.

Mr. TAYLOR: I will resume the Chair at this point. Is it your wish I report progress on Bill No. 12?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: Agreed.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: What is your further pleasure?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the Speaker should now resume the Chair.

Mr. McKINNON: I second it, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: It has been moved by Councillor Tanner, seconded by Councillor McKinnon, that Mr. Speaker do now resume the Chair. Are you prepared for the question? Are you agreed?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: Agreed.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I shall declare the motion carried.

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. SPEAKER: The House will now come to Order. May we have a report from the Chairman of Committee?

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. Speaker, Committee convened at 10:30 a.m. to discuss Bills, Sessional Papers and Motions. Committee first considered Bill No. 12, an Ordinance respecting the Electoral District Boundaries Commission. Committee recessed at 12:00 noon and reconvened at 2:00 p.m. this afternoon. I can report progress on Bill No. 12. It was moved by Councillor Tanner, seconded by Councillor McKinnon, that Mr. Speaker do now resume the Chair and this motion carried.

Mr. SPEAKER: You have heard the report of the Chairman of Committee. Are you agreed?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: Agreed.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION OF COMMITTEE TOMORROW TO FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ON BILL NO. 12, AND I BELIEVE THE ROUTINE WOULD BE BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: I MOVE THAT WE CALL IT 5:00
MR. SPEAKER.

MRS. WATSON: I'LL SECOND THAT MOTION, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARHACKS-KLUANE THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL NOW STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING.

ADJOURNED

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 17, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK, IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MRS. WATSON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE FOR TABLING THIS MORNING LEGISLATIVE RETURNS 28 TO 33.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE FOR TABLING THIS MORNING COPIES OF THE AGREEMENT PERTAINING TO THE YUKON MEDICAL ASSOCIATION AND C.U. & C. HEALTH SERVICES SOCIETY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, A QUESTION OF ORDER. I WONDER IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WOULD INDICATE IF THEY ARE SESSIONAL PAPERS FROM , THAT IS LEGISLATIVE PAPERS FROM 28 TO 33 INCLUSIVE?

MRS. WATSON: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEES? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION?

MR. STUTTER: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE NOTICE OF MOTION RE: LEGISLATIVE RETURNS 29 AND 31.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? IF THERE ARE NO NOTICES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS WE COME TO MOTION NO. 18.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TAYLOR THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF COUNCIL THAT AT LEAST TEN OF THE NEW TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT POSITIONS CREATED IN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974-75 MAIN ESTIMATES BE DELETED AND THE MONIES NOT EXPENDED IN THIS MANNER BE USED TO PROVIDE TELEVISION SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITIES OF HAINES JUNCTION, DESTRUCTION BAY, TESLIN, CARCROSS AND CARMACKS. ARE

YOU PREPARED TO PROCEED WITH THIS MOTION AT THIS TIME?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER I CERTAINLY AM. IT IS A GREAT PLEASURE TO KNOW THAT HERE IS A MOTION THAT WILL PROBABLY RECEIVE THE UNANIMOUS CONSENT OF THIS HOUSE BECAUSE IT IS A RESOLUTION THAT IS OF COURSE VERY DEAR AND NEAR TO MOST OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS.

I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO OPEN MY REMARKS ON THE MOTION, MR. SPEAKER, BY COMPLIMENTING THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE, DON TAYLOR, FOR HIS INITIATIVE IN HAVING A STUDY PREPARED BY TOTAL NORTH COMMUNICATIONS, WHICH YOU HAVE COPIES OF BEFORE YOU TODAY. MR. SPEAKER, I'M SURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE WILL BE SPEAKING IN SOME DETAIL ON THIS STUDY WHICH HE HAD THE INITIATIVE OF COMMISSIONING. I THINK THAT ALL MEMBERS WILL BE INTERESTED IN HIS REMARKS.

MR. SPEAKER, OF COURSE THE MOTION IS TWO-FOLD. I THINK IT DOES TWO THINGS THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON ARE VERY AWARE OF AND WANT TO BECOME VERY INVOLVED IN. ONE IS THE INCREASING COST OF GOVERNMENT TO THE TAXPAYERS OF THE YUKON. I'VE GONE THROUGH THE MAIN ESTIMATES MR. SPEAKER AND ADDED AND SUBTRACTED FROM THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND THE MANPOWER REQUIREMENT. THERE ARE OVER SIXTY NEW POSITIONS IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THIS YEAR IN TOTAL.

MR. SPEAKER, CERTAINLY THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WITH THE FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT, THE TERRITORIAL INVOLVEMENT, AND THE MUNICIPAL INVOLVEMENT ARE THE MOST OVER-GOVERNED 20,000 PEOPLE IN THE WORLD ANYWHERE. THE DESPERATION, MR. SPEAKER, OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON IN TRYING TO CUT DOWN THOSE LIMITS OF GOVERNMENT IS BECOMING ONE OF THE GREATEST POINTS OF FRUSTRATION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. I THINK EVERY MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL HAS HAD ABSOLUTE HORROR STORIES OF INSPECTORS ARRIVING EN MASSE ON A LODGE ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY OR ONE OF THE YUKON HIGHWAYS. INSPECTORS COMING OUT OF THE WOODWORK JUST FRUSTRATING A PERSON TRYING TO SERVICE THE PUBLIC AND TRYING TO MAKE A FEW DOLLARS TO KEEP HIS FAMILY GOING.

EVERY YEAR WE SEE THE PROLIFERATION OVER AND OVER AGAIN OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. CERTAINLY, MR. SPEAKER, OUT OF SIXTY NEW

EMPLOYMENT POSITIONS IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, THAT THIS COUNCIL, THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IS CAPABLE OF SAYING THAT AT LEAST TEN OF THEM THROUGH ATTRITION OR THROUGH OTHER METHODS AREN'T ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY TO BE ADDED TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE TERRITORY THIS YEAR.

MR. SPEAKER, WITH THE RISING COST OF DOING BUSINESS, I THINK THAT ANY OF THE MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL THAT ARE INVOLVED IN BUSINESS LOOK AT THE AREA WHEN AN EMPLOYEE LEAVES THE SERVICE OF THEIR COMPANY. WHETHER IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL THAT THAT EMPLOYEE BE REPLACED, OR WHETHER THROUGH EFFICIENCY OR THROUGH ECONOMY AND SCALES THAT THE OTHER PEOPLE CAN TAKE UP THE WORK LOAD OF THAT OTHER PERSON WHO IS LEAVING. IT IS JUST BECOMING IMPOSSIBLE TO RUN BUSINESSES IN THE YUKON WITH THE COST OF LABOUR AS IT IS AND THE COST OF DOING BUSINESS.

CERTAINLY THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE THE SAME GUIDE LINES AND HAVE TO USE THE SAME SCALE OF ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY THAT PRIVATE BUSINESSES ARE BEING FORCED INTO BECAUSE THE COST OF DOING BUSINESS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

WE TALK AT THIS TABLE ALL THE TIME ABOUT THE YUKON PEOPLE BEING SECOND CLASS CANADIAN CITIZENS. WELL, MR. SPEAKER, YOU WELL KNOW FROM THE AREA THAT YOU COME FROM; AND ALL THE MEMBERS WHO COME FROM THE AREAS OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE, THAT THERE ARE SECOND CLASS YUKON CITIZENS. CITIZENS THAT DON'T HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS THE SAME PRIVILEGES, THE SAME ECONOMIES OF LIVING AS PEOPLE DO IN THE METROPOLITAN AREAS.

MR. SPEAKER, THE MOTION THAT I HAVE BEFORE COUNCIL ASKS THAT THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE BRIEF PREPARED BY TOTAL NORTH COMMUNICATIONS BE LOOKED INTO BY THE GOVERNMENT SO THAT TELEVISION SERVICES CAN BE PROVIDED IN THIS FISCAL YEAR TO THE COMMUNITIES OF HAINES JUNCTION, DESTRUCTION BAY, TESLIN, CARCROSS AND CARMACKS. NOW I KNOW MEMBERS ARE GOING TO GET UP AND SAY, "THAT'S CROWN CORPORATION - CBC'S RESPONSIBILITY." MR. SPEAKER ANY MEMBER WHO HAS BEEN HERE ANY LENGTH OF TIME KNOWS THE IMPOSSIBILITY OF DEALING WITH THE EPITOME OF BUREAUCRATIC EMPIRE BUILDING - THE CBC. MR. TAYLOR WILL HAVE A HORROR STORY TO TELL YOU OF TRYING TO SEE ANYBODY IN A POSITION

OF DECISION MAKING IN THE HALLOWED HALLS OF CBC IN OTTAWA.

I DIDN'T EVEN BOTHER GOING WITH HIM THIS TIME BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN THROUGH THOSE HALLS SO MANY TIMES WITHOUT SEEING ANYBODY WHO CAN MAKE A DECISION CONCERNING RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING IN THE YUKON. YOU MAY AS WELL FORGET ABOUT IT. IT IS THE ABSOLUTE IVORY TOWER OF IVORY TOWERS. ANY RESOLUTION, UNANIMOUS, THAT HAS BEEN SENT BY THIS COUNCIL TO THE CBC IN OTTAWA HAS BEEN ABSOLUTELY IGNORED THE SAME WAY THAT ALL RESOLUTIONS CONCERNING THE UPGRADING OF AIRPORTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND THE MINISTER OF COMMUNICATIONS WAS. SO FINALLY THE YUKON SAID IT IS IMPOSSIBLE. YOU JUST CAN'T DO ANYTHING. THEY JUST DON'T GIVE A DAMN. THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW THERE IS A YUKON. WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO?

WE TAKE THE MATTER INTO OUR OWN HANDS. WE START BUILDING AIRSTRIPS AROUND THE YUKON TERRITORY. WE BUILT THEM IN OLD CROW. WE BUILT THEM IN ROSS RIVER AND WE ARE BUILDING THEM IN REAVER CREEK BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WON'T ACCEPT THEIR RESPONSIBILITY OF MAINTAINING AND UPGRADING AIRPORTS IN THE YUKON.

YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE THE SAME RESULTS, AND WE'VE HAD IT PROVEN OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN, WITH THE CBC. SO LET'S DO SOMETHING FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. LET'S MAKE THEM ALL HAVE EQUAL SERVICES AS FAR AS WE CAN THROUGHOUT THE YUKON TERRITORY. LET'S CUT DOWN ON THE PROLIFERATION OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE'S AND GOVERNMENT SPENDING AND DO SOMETHING THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WILL CONGRATULATE THE MEMBERS OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND GOODNESS KNOWS THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WOULD CERTAINLY LIKE TO LOOK FORWARD TO ONE THING THAT THEY CAN SAY THIS COUNCIL HAS DONE FOR THEM IN THE FOUR YEAR TENURE OF OFFICE.

MR. SPEAKER, JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE KNOWS, AND I'M SURE MEMBERS DO, THAT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO POLITICAL MOTIVATION BEHIND THIS MOTION; ONE CAN SEE THAT ALL THE AREAS IN WHICH TELEVISION ARE STATED TO GO IF THE MOTION RECEIVED APPROVAL, AND I'M SURE IT IS. ONE IS IN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE EAST DISTRICT. ONE IS IN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE DISTRICT.

AND THREE IN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE ARE. I BELIEVE, MR. SPEAKER THAT PEOPLE IN ALL THESE AREAS DESERVE THE SAME AS THOSE PEOPLE LIVING IN THE AREAS WHERE CBC HAS DECIDED THAT THEY WILL PROVIDE THEIR SERVICES.

MR. SPEAKER THE PROBLEM TECHNICALLY IS THAT THE CBC, AS WE KNOW FROM THE ANIK SIGNAL DEMANDS PERFECTION IN THE DECIMATION RECEPTION OF THEIR SIGNAL. THE PEOPLE IN THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES WANT TO SEE TELEVISION. THEY ARE NOT CONCERNED WITH THE SIGNAL, THE NOISE RATIO, THE TRANSMISSION, ALL THEY WANT IN ROSS RIVER AND TUKTOYUKTUK WERE TOTAL KNOWN, HAVE ALREADY DELIVERED QUALITY PICTURES TO PEOPLE WHO JUST WANT TO WATCH TELEVISION. THIS IS THE SAME TYPE OF TELEVISION THAT CAN BE PROVIDED TO PEOPLE IN THESE AREAS.

MR. SPEAKER, LET'S BE UNANIMOUS ON THIS ONE. LET'S PROVIDE THAT SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE FOLLOWING COMMUNITIES. TO THESE PEOPLE WHO WANT IT AND I'M SURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WILL FIND A FAVOURABLE RECEPTION TO THEM BECAUSE OF THEIR SUPPORT OF THIS MOTION.

THANK YOU, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I DON'T THINK THAT THE MATTER OF TELEVISION FACILITIES OR INDEED RADIO FACILITIES HAS EVER BEEN MORE PRONOUNCED THAN THE ISSUE OF THE PROVISION OF MOUNTAIN-TOP TELEVISION TO SOME OF OUR SMALLER COMMUNITIES. IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, THE COMMUNITY OF TESLIN.

MUCH RESEARCH HAS BEEN DONE. WE HAVE HAD MANY ANSWERS FROM GOVERNMENT ON THIS QUESTION BUT WE HAVE GOT NOWHERE. IN AN EFFORT TO LINK A MOUNTAIN-TOP SYSTEM INTO TESLIN I UNDERTOOK TO SEE HOW IT COULD BE DONE TECHNICALLY AND I ASKED TOTAL NORTH TO GIVE ME SOME IDEA OF JUST WHAT WAS INVOLVED. IN AS MUCH AS WE WERE DEALING WITH THE INITIAL FEAT OF THE ANIK FACILITY FROM WHITEHORSE, IT BECAME QUITE APPARENT THAT MANY COMMUNITIES COULD BE SERVED FROM THIS EXISTING ANIK STATION IN WHITEHORSE. THEY ARE THE COMMUNITIES OF HAINES JUNCTION, DESTRUCTION BAY, TESLIN, CARCROSS AND CARMACKS. IN

ADDITION IN THE BRIEF WE ALSO PROVIDED FOR THE LITTLE COMMUNITY OF ATLIN, OUR NEIGHBOUR TO THE SOUTH.

THE BRIEF WAS WELL PUT TOGETHER. I TOOK IT TO OTTAWA AND I COULD NOT GET TO SEE MR. PICARD, THE PRESIDENT OR ANY OF HIS VICE PRESIDENTS. I WAS APPALLED AT THE BUREAUCRACY IN THE BUILDING HOUSING THE CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION IN OTTAWA. I DID, IN FINAL DESPERATION, SEEK OUT A FRIEND IN THE BUILDING. THROUGH HIS GOOD OFFICE, HE PUT ME IN TOUCH WITH THE PLANNING DIRECTOR, MR. WEST. WE DISCUSSED THIS MATTER TO SOME LENGTH AND IN THE DEBATE HE SAID TO ME, "WELL, MR. TAYLOR YOU REALIZE THAT YOU PEOPLE IN THE YUKON ARE ASKING FOR SOMETHING THAT THE PEOPLE IN LABRADOR HAVE BEEN CRYING ON OUR DOORSTEP FOR YEARS AND YEARS LOOKING FOR SUCH FACILITIES."

MR. TAYLOR: I TOLD HIM, I SAID WELL FINE. POSSIBLY THE PEOPLE OF LABRADOR HAVE BEEN POUNDING ON YOUR DOOR AND NO DOUBT WILL CONTINUE TO POUND ON YOUR DOOR. BUT AT LEAST IN THE YUKON WE ARE CONSTRUCTIVE AND WE CAN PROPOSE A PROPOSITION WHICH IS A LOW COST TO THE CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION WHICH I THINK WILL SATISFY THE PRESSURES THAT ARE BEING PUT UPON THEM BY THE C.R.T.C. IN OTTAWA. IT WOULD FINALLY PROVIDE THE PEOPLE OF THESE OUTLYING COMMUNITIES A FACILITY WHICH OFFERS THEM INFORMATION, EDUCATION, AND INDEED ENTERTAINMENT. SOMETHING SO DEARLY SOUGHT IN THE YUKON; MORE PARTICULARLY DURING THE WINTER MONTHS.

I HAVE RECEIVED A LETTER BACK FROM THIS MR. WEST YESTERDAY. JUST TO SHOW YOU HOW FAR OFF TRACK THESE PEOPLE CAN GET. THE BRIEF IS QUITE CLEAR MR. SPEAKER. THE BRIEF REFERS TO TELEVISION LOW-POWERED RE-BROADCASTING STATIONS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY, INVOLVING ALL THESE COMMUNITIES. THESE PEOPLE SEND ME A LETTER STATING THAT THIS IS JUST A SHORT NOTE TO LET YOU KNOW THAT YOUR PROPOSAL FOR T.V. SERVICE IN THE WATSON LAKE DISTRICT HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO CBC ENGINEERING HEADQUARTERS FOR CONSIDERATION.

IT HASN'T BEEN FORWARDED TO MR. PICARD OR HIS VICE-PRESIDENTS OR THE POLICY-MAKERS OF THE CORPORATION, WHO INDEED ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE A POLICY STATEMENT OR A POLICY DECISION BEFORE THEY CAN IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM. INSTEAD IT HAS GONE DOWN WHERE ALL THINGS ARE BURIED, CBC ENGINEERING.

IT'S GOING TO BE A LONG FIGHT IF WE ARE EVER

TO EXPECT ANYTHING OUT OF CBC IN THIS REGARD. THERE IS NO QUESTION IN MY MIND, IT WILL BE CONTINUING FOR YEARS.

THE PROGRAM, I AM SURE EVERYBODY IS REASONABLY AWARE OF, IT INVOLVES LITTLE MOUNTAIN TOP RELAY SYSTEMS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY. THIS PROGRAM HAS BEEN PIONEERED IN THE COMMUNITY OF ROSS RIVER OF WHICH WE ARE ALL ACQUAINTED AND ITS ALSO BEEN PIONEERED IN THE COMMUNITY OF TUKTOYUKTUK, TAKING A SIGNAL FROM INUVIK.

ON MARCH THE 22ND, 1973, I RECEIVED A REPLY TO QUESTIONS I HAD ASKED OF THE CBC THROUGH THE COUNCIL, ASKING WHAT THE CAPITAL COST OF A CBC OWNED MICROWAVE SYSTEM WITH CO-SITING AT CNT MICROWAVE SITES WOULD BE TO PUT TELEVISION INTO ROSS RIVER OR PARDON ME INTO TESLIN. THE ANSWER I GOT WAS A CAPITAL COST OF \$180,000 TO \$200,000. THE MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM INCLUDING RENTAL COSTS FOR SPACE IN CNT BUILDINGS WOULD HAVE COST \$40,000 PER ANNUM AND THE LEASING OF A MICROWAVE SYSTEM FROM CNT WOULD BE IN THE ORDER OF \$100,000 TO \$120,000 PER YEAR. THE COST OF INSTALLATION OF A TELEVISION TRANSMITTER AND THE MAINTENANCE COST IS \$80,000 DEPENDING ON POWER HEIGHT AND THE MAINTENANCE COST OF \$16,000 PER ANNUM.

THE PROPOSAL THAT WE BRING FORWARD TO YOU TODAY AND TOOK FORWARD TO CBC YESTERDAY, WOULD PROVIDE FOR THESE FIVE COMMUNITIES PLUS THE COMMUNITY OF ATLIN FOR A TOTAL CAPITAL COST OF A \$100,000, MR. SPEAKER, \$100,000. THE ANNUAL MAINTENANCE COST IS MINIMAL IN THE AREA OF \$10,000 TO MAINTAIN THESE STATIONS FOR THE PERIOD OF ONE YEAR.

LOOKING BACK AT CBC MR. SPEAKER, I SOUGHT BACK THROUGH HANSARDS TO THE DEBATES OF 1936 IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, IN ORDER THAT I MAY ENLIGHTEN MYSELF AS TO JUST WHAT CBC'S FUNCTION WAS IN PROVIDING SERVICES. I KNOW, AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, IN THE DEBATES OF 1936, MRS. MARTHA BLACK WAS THEN THE SITTING MEMBER. I NOTICED HER FIRST STATEMENT WHEN THE DEBATE OPENED ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION, SHE SAID, MAY I SAY TO THE MINISTER THAT AS FAR AS THE YUKON IS CONCERNED, THE RADIO IS ALMOST ENTIRELY WORTHLESS. THIS WAS WRITTEN IN 1936 AND I THINK WE HAVE COME A LONG, LONG WAY. I THINK THE CORPORATION HAS COME A LONG, LONG WAY IN CORRECTING THAT SITUATION.

I THINK THAT IF WE CAN SERVICE TWO MORE COMMUNITIES WITH RADIO IN THE YUKON LINKED TO OUR

YUKON NETWORK, WE WILL HAVE FINALIZED PRETTY WELL GOOD RADIO COVERAGE FOR THE COMMUNITIES IN THE YUKON. NOT FOR THE BUSH AREAS. THOSE TWO COMMUNITIES ARE OLD CROW AND ROSS RIVER, IT IS JUST AMAZING THAT ROSS RIVER HAS NOT YET GOT RADIO, EVEN WHILE THEY HAVE TELEVISION FACILITIES.

THE CBC'S MANDATE AT THAT TIME WAS:

- (1) TO BE A COMPLETE SERVICE COVERING IN FAIR PROPORTION THE WHOLE RANGE OF PROGRAMMING BRINGING THINGS OF INTEREST, VALUE AND ENTERTAINMENT TO PEOPLE OF ALL TASTES, AGES AND INTEREST.
- (2) TO LINK ALL PARTS OF THE COUNTRY THROUGH PROGRAMS WHICH INCLUDE A WIDE VARIETY OF NATIONAL AND COMMON INTERESTS AND BY USING ITS PHYSICAL RESOURCES TO BRING THE NATIONAL PROGRAM SERVICE TO AS MANY CANADIANS IN REMOTE AS WELL AS IN URBAN AREAS AS FINANCES WILL ALLOW.
- (3) TO BE PREDOMINANTLY CANADIAN IN CONTENT AND CHARACTER
- (4) TO SERVE EQUITABLY.

THE PROPOSALS THAT WE HAVE SUGGESTED, CERTAINLY ARE REASONABLE IN TERMS OF COST. IN TERMS OF OUR PROPOSAL IN THIS MOTION, IT WOULD OFFER US THE OPPORTUNITY AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST HAS STATED OF GIVING TO THE YUKON COMMUNITIES SOMETHING WHICH THEY DESPERATELY NEED AND HAVE ASKED FOR A LONG TIME. THEY SHOULD HAVE.

WE HAVE A \$60,000,000 BUDGET MR. SPEAKER, SOMETHING IN THAT AREA. THAT BUDGET IS CHOCK-FULL OF THINGS WHICH ARE GOING TO MAKE LIFE A LITTLE BETTER FOR THE FEDERAL CIVIL SERVANT AND THE TERRITORIAL CIVIL SERVANT, AND IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS WHICH WILL KEEP THEM OCCUPIED AND HAPPY.

THERE IS NOT REALLY THAT MUCH IN THAT \$60,000,000 BUDGET FOR THE LITTLE FOOT-FLOGGER AND THE LITTLE PEOPLE IN THE SMALL COMMUNITIES, SMALL AND LARGE, THAT HAVE TO GET OUT AND EEK OUT A LIVING IN ORDER TO PAY THE TAXES. IN ORDER THAT WE CAN FIND THE MONEY TO PUT IN THAT BUDGET.

ALL WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO FOR THESE PEOPLE ARE TOKEN THINGS. SOME COMMUNITIES WE GIVE A WHOLE ELECTORAL DISTRICT \$8,000 A YEAR IN ORDER THAT THE COMMUNITY MAY PROVIDE THEMSELVES WITH CAPITAL PROJECTS, SUCH AS COMMUNITY HALLS. YOU KNOW AS WELL AS I DO, MR. SPEAKER, AS ALL

MEMBERS KNOW, THAT THESE MONIES ARE NOTHING. YOU CAN'T DO ANYTHING WITH THEM. HOW DO YOU SPREAD \$8,000 ACROSS FOUR COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIONS IN ONE ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND DO ANY GOOD. WE KNOW THAT.

WE HAVE FOR SOME, MANAGED TO PUT OIL ON THEIR STREETS AND TO SPRAY FOR MOSQUITOS. BOTH MINOR PROGRAMS IN COMMUNITIES.

LET US, THROUGH THIS MEDIA, GIVE THE COMMUNITIES SOMETHING THEY REALLY WANT. THERE IS ANOTHER POINT. THAT IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY ARE ALREADY IN THE BUSINESS. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY UNDERTOOK TO PUT INTO EXISTENCE A VHF SYSTEM. THIS IS WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WHEN WE TALK THIS TELEVISION SYSTEM. IT IS THE SAME SYSTEM. ITS A VHF BROADCASTING SYSTEM AND IN HOPES, IT WILL EXTEND ACROSS THE TERRITORY AND MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO GIVE PROPER AND ADEQUATE COMMUNICATIONS TO OUR ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, TO OUR GAME DEPARTMENT AND OTHER RELATED DEPARTMENTS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY.

WE ARE IN THE BUSINESS. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS SYSTEM THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT HAS ALREADY INSTITUTED, SOME OF THESE TOWERS WOULD FALL ON THE SAME SITES. IT WOULD GIVE A FURTHER EASE OF MAINTENANCE.

MORE PARTICULARLY, WE WOULD PROVIDE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON AND THESE SMALL COMMUNITIES, THIS FACILITY.

THIS SYSTEM, I MIGHT ALSO SAY BEFORE I TAKE MY SEAT MR. SPEAKER, IS BASED ON A THREE-GENERATION SYSTEM. THAT IS THREE HOPS TO THE FURTHEST COMMUNITY LIKE THE COMMUNITY OF BURWASH, WOULD MAYBE TAKE A FOURTH HOP. BUT THE COMMUNITY OF DESTRUCTION BAY WOULD TAKE THREE HOPS. WE WONDER ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF GOING WHAT WE CALL GOING FOURTH GENERATION, MAKING ANOTHER HOP, SAY TO BEAVER CREEK OR ANY OF THE OTHER FRINGE AREAS, COMMUNITIES INTO PELLY. I THINK PELLY COULD BE SERVED WITHOUT TOO MUCH DIFFICULTY ON THREE GENERATIONS.

THIS PROGRAM IS THE CENTRAL PROGRAM. IT IS A THREE GENERATION PROGRAM AT THIS POINT. IT CAN BE EXPANDED WITH THE PROPER EQUIPMENT AND THE PROPER SITINGS AND THE PROPER PATH EVALUATIONS. ALL THINGS BEING EQUAL, IT CAN BE EXPANDED TO SERVE A GREATER NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES THAN JUST THE SMALL CORE THAT WE HAVE REFERRED TO IN THIS SUBMISSION.

I THINK THAT IS ABOUT ALL I HAVE TO COMMENT ON THE SYSTEM ITSELF MR. SPEAKER. AS I SAY WE ARE TALKING \$100,000. I THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY CAN FIND THIS MONEY AND FIND THESE MONIES IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT THIS PROGRAM AND CONSIDER IT AS A SOCIAL SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. IF IT BE ANY COMFORT TO THE ADMINISTRATION, THEY MAY ALWAYS HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE THAT THIS IS ALSO SERVING CIVIL SERVANTS AS WELL.

I LEAVE IT AT THAT POINT, MR. SPEAKER. IT IS OBVIOUS THAT WE CANNOT GO TO THE GREAT COST OF SIGNAL SATURATION REQUIRED BY CBC, IN THEIR BROADCASTING. WE HAVE THE EXPERIMENT IN ROSS RIVER WORKING WELL. I THINK IT IS COSTING SOMEWHERE IN THE AREA OF 60¢ A DAY. REAL FINE. WE HAVE A GOOD SIGNAL AND WE HAVE A GOOD PROGRAM. I HAVE TO ASK FOR THE SUPPORT OF ALL MEMBERS IN GIVING SUPPORT TO THIS MOTION AND I FEEL IT WILL PASS WITH UNANIMITY. I WOULD ASK ALSO, MR. SPEAKER, THAT THE GOVERNMENT, UPON THE PASSAGE OF THIS MOTION GIVE IMMEDIATE IMPLEMENTATION TO WHAT IT CONTAINS.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I AM GOING TO MOVE IN A MINUTE THAT THIS MOTION GO INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION. BEFORE I DO, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF POINTS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE.

ITS ANOTHER ONE OF THESE DOUBLE BARRELLED MOTIONS. ON THE ONE HAND, WE ARE BEING ASKED TO GIVE UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO SUPPORT THE VERY WORTHWHILE PROJECT OF PROVIDING OR INCREASING TELEVISION TO THE SMALL COMMUNITIES OF THE TERRITORY, ONE THAT I HAVE NO DIFFICULTY IN SUPPORTING AT ALL. I JUST WISH IT COULD BE EXPANDED EVEN FURTHER BUT I REALIZE AT THIS TIME THAT PERHAPS THAT IS NOT PRACTICAL. THAT PART OF THE MOTION, IF MONEY COULD BE FOUND AVAILABLE, I WOULD HAVE NO PROBLEM AT ALL SUPPORTING. WHEN BOTH THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NEST AND THE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE EXPECT TO GET UNANIMOUS CONSENT OF THE TOTAL MOTION AS WORDED AT THIS TIME, I THINK THAT IS GOING A BIT TOO FAR BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY I, AS A MEMBER OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, AM RELATIVELY SATISFIED AFTER HAVING GONE THROUGH THE BUDGET A NUMBER OF TIMES, THAT MOST OF THOSE POSITIONS, IN FACT IN MY MIND, ALL OF THEM ARE PERHAPS NEEDED.

IF WE JUST TAKE THE FIRST TEN POSITIONS, AND I HAVEN'T GONE ANY FURTHER THAN THAT. THE FIRST

TEN INCREASED POSITIONS IN THE BUDGET, THREE OF THEM WERE FOR THE INDIAN LAND CLAIMS, TWO OF THEM WERE FOR THE INTER DEPARTMENTAL CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE, FIVE OF THEM WERE WATCHMEN THAT WERE TRANSFERRED FROM THE ALASKA TAKEOVER. OF THESE TEN, WE HAD ABSOLUTELY NO CONTROL OVER ANY OF THEM, THE FIRST FIVE ARE COMPLETELY BEING PAID FOR AND DEMANDED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THE NEXT FIVE ARE ALSO TAKEOVER FROM THE ALASKA HIGHWAY. I AM SURE THAT WHEN WE HAVE GONE THROUGH THE BUDGET AND IF THERE ARE TEN POSITIONS THAT ARE IN THE BUDGET THAT CAN CONCEIVABLY BE DELETED AND THE MONEY BE USED FOR THAT, THAT IS A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT QUESTION. AT THIS POINT, NOW, TO EXPECT TO GET UNANIMOUS CONSENT ON THIS MOTION IS GOING A BIT TOO FAR. AS I SAY, AND SECONDED BY CLIVE TANNER, THAT THIS MOTION BE PUT INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION. PARTICULARLY AFTER THE BUDGET HAS BEEN DISCUSSED AND AFTER IT HAS BEEN SEEN WHETHER THESE TEN POSITIONS CAN BE DELETED OUT OF THE BUDGET.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, BEFORE ANY MOTION TO MOVE INTO COMMITTEE IS EVEN CONSIDERED, I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SOME REMARKS GENERALLY UPON THE NEED FOR SERVICING SMALLER COMMUNITIES IN A MANNER THAT THEY RECEIVE EXACTLY OR A SIMILAR TYPE OF FACILITIES THAT THOSE IN OTHER COMMUNITIES RECEIVE.

I THINK THIS IS THE KEY CONSIDERATION. I WISH TO MAKE NO REFERENCE TO CBC OR THEIR OPERATIONS AT THE MOMENT, BUT SIMPLY TO REFER TO THE NEED, AS I SAY, UPDATING SERVICES IN SMALL COMMUNITIES. IT SEEMS TO BE AN INTERESTING MOTION WHEN THE MOTION IN FACT MAKES PROVISION FOR A REAL REQUIREMENT THAT IS NEEDED IN THREE OF THESE SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES. THAT IS HAINES JUNCTION, DESTRUCTION BAY AND CARMACKS. ONE WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT THIS SUGGESTION WOULD HAVE COME FROM THE SITTING MEMBER OF THAT PARTICULAR CONSTITUENCY. BUT OBVIOUSLY, THIS THOUGHT HAS NOT BEEN GIVEN CONSIDERATION.

I HOPE THAT IF IT IS DECIDED THAT THERE SHOULD BE A REDUCTION IN THE NUMBER OF POSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED IN THE MOTION, THAT THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT THIS MOTION BECAUSE THERE ARE THREE OF HER COMMUNITIES WHO WILL BENEFIT FROM THIS VERY SOUND SUGGESTION THAT HAS BEEN MADE.

IN DEALING WITH THE ITEMS THAT ARE IN THE BUDGET, MR. SPEAKER, WE KNOW THAT THE YEAR BY YEAR EXTRA POSITIONS ARE ASKED FOR AND WE KNOW, YEAR BY YEAR, THAT THE POSITIONS ARE NOT FILLED.

WHAT IS TAKING PLACE AGAIN THIS YEAR, IS ASKING FOR MORE POSITIONS AND MORE MONEY THAT WILL NOT BE USED.

LET'S GO THE OTHER WAY. LET'S SAY THAT IT IS INTENDED TO USE THE MONEY FOR THOSE PARTICULAR POSITIONS. I MUST AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE YEST, WHO HAS INDICATED THAT THERE IS A PROLIFERATION OF BODIES COMING INTO THE PUBLIC SERVICE SO THAT THE SITUATION, WHEREBY THE COMMISSIONER CAN CONSTRUCT A GREATER AND LARGER EMPIRE STRUCTURE IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, ESPECIALLY WHEN MOST OF THOSE BODIES CAN BE DONE WITHOUT THE EFFICIENCY OF THE ADMINISTRATION CAN BE IMPROVED AND WE HAVE MONIES BEING SPENT FOR NO SEEMINGLY REASON. APPROXIMATELY \$12,000 WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE EXPENSES THAT HAVE BEEN BILLED FOR A CONSULTANT MANAGEMENT.

HAVE A LOOK AT THE SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT WHICH COULD HAVE PAID FOR ONE OF THESE PARTICULAR T.V. STATIONS IN ANY EVENT AND WE COULD HAVE FOUND OTHER BODIES THAT COULD HAVE BEEN PAID FOR THESE AREAS. I AGREE TO SOME EXTENT WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON. HE SAYS, I AM A MEMBER OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND THEREFORE, I HAVE LOOKED AT ALL THESE POSITIONS AND THAT THEY ARE ALL NEEDED. HE EXPRESSED BY THOSE WORDS, A DOUBT IN HIS MIND WHETHER THEY ARE TO BE NEEDED OR NOT. IF THIS IS TO BE MOVED INTO COMMITTEE, I HOPE HE WILL ALSO RECONSIDER THE STAND THAT HAS BEEN TAKEN WITH REFERENCE TO ALL THOSE POSITIONS.

THE SUGGESTIONS THAT HAS BEEN MADE IN THIS MOTION THAT TEN POSITIONS BE REMOVED, BE TAKEN AWAY THIS YEAR, SO THAT THESE COMMUNITIES AND ESPECIALLY THESE COMMUNITIES THAT WOULD ASSIST THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE TO GIVE THE PEOPLE IN HER COMMUNITY, THE DESIRED T.V. NETWORK, WHICH SHE HASN'T ASKED FOR HERSELF. I FEEL THAT SHE SHOULD HAVE DONE THIS AND I AM VERY SURPRISED INDEED. IT SHOWS A LITTLE BIT OF NEGLECT OR PERHAPS ACCIDENTAL NON OBSERVANCE THAT IS NEEDED BY THE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITIES.

I WOULD ASK, MR. SPEAKER, THAT IF THIS DOES GO INTO COMMITTEE THAT THESE PEOPLE TAKE NOTE OF IT. IN ANY EVENT I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE MOTION.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER BEFORE THE MOTION GOES INTO COMMITTEE, I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON IT. OF COURSE, THESE ARE NOT POLITICAL SPEECHES THAT WE ARE MAKING THIS MORNING AROUND

THE TABLE. IT IS SO INTERESTING TO SEE HOW MANY PEOPLE FILL A PART FOR THE RURAL COMMUNITIES. IT IS VERY NICE FOR A CHANGE. I FEEL THAT I HAVE BEEN A LONE VOICE IN THIS COUNCIL FOR THE PAST FOUR YEARS.--

ALL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: O-O-O-OH

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER

MR. MCKINNON: THIS ISN'T POLITICAL --

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER

MR. TANNER: ON A POINT OF ORDER. MR. SPEAKER EVERY OTHER MEMBER OF THIS HOUSE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK AND THEY WEREN'T INTERRUPTED. NOW LET'S SHOW THE SAME COURTESY TO ANYBODY ELSE WHO WANTS TO SPEAK

MR. CHAMBERLIST: O-O-O-OH THIS IS LEGISLATIVE--

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER, ORDER,

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ANHHHHHH! YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND ME,

MRS. WATSON: I'M RATHER AMUSED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE WEST. NOW WHO IS CRITISIZING WHAT HE FEELS IS THE AFFLUENT BUDGET OF THE WELFARE DEPARTMENT, DEBATES OF WHICH FOR THE PAST THREE YEARS HE HAS NICELY PADDED AND THE POSITIONS THAT ARE NOT NEEDED, NO ONE, NO ONE THAT IS SITTING AROUND THIS COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO MORE THAN I WOULD, DO AWAY WITH EXTRA POSITIONS. BUT WE KNOW THAT IF GOVERNMENT SERVICES ARE TO BE PROVIDED TO PEOPLE, SERVICES THAT PEOPLE ARE ASKING FOR AND REQUIRING, YOU HAVE TO HAVE PEOPLE TO PROVIDE THOSE SERVICES. EVERY TIME YOU PUT IN A NEW PROGRAM, YOU KNOW YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE EXTRA PEOPLE PROVIDING THESE SERVICES. AND I CAN ONLY REMEMBER VERY WELL WHEN I CAME INTO THIS COUNCIL ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND WE DISCUSSED THE ARCHIVES.

THIS WAS A DECISION THAT HAD BEEN MADE BY THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL. I BELIEVE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE WEST WAS THE CHAIRMAN OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AT THAT TIME AND IF YOU WILL NOTE, THIS IS A PROGRAM THAT ONCE YOU START IT, THE PROGRAM HAS GROWN AND YOU WILL NOTE THAT THE ARCHIVES, IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THE PROGRAM, THERE ARE NEW POSITIONS IN THE BUDGET NOW TO TAKE CARE OF THE ARCHIVES.

MR. MCKINNON: EXECUSE ME, MAY I RISE ON A POINT OF ORDER PLEASE? I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO A

DEBATE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S REMARKS BUT THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS WHEN I WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE ARCHIVES WAS TURNED DOWN IN THREE SUCCESSIVE YEARS IN THE CAPITAL PROJECT BECAUSE WE FOUND OTHER PROJECTS WHICH WERE MORE DESERVING AT THAT TIME.

THE YEAR AFTER I WAS NO LONGER THE CHAIRMAN OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE ARCHIVES WAS PASSED AND THE BUILDING WAS BUILT,

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD HAVE TO ARGUE AGAINST THAT. HE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FORECAST AND IT WAS IN THE FORECAST WHICH THEY HAD APPROVED AND ALSO, THIS IS THE METHOD OF BUDGETING THAT IS BEING USED AND I AM WELL AWARE OF IT AND EVERY OTHER HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO IS SITTING AROUND HERE KNOWS THE METHOD OF FORECASTING FOR A BUDGET. AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST APPROVED IN THE FORECAST ALL THESE NEW POSITIONS. IN FACT MORE OF THEM WE CUT DOWN AFTER HE LEFT THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. SO THERE HE IS CRITISIZING THE NEW POSITIONS THAT HE WAS A PART OF APPROVING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S A LIE, THAT'S A LIE.

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER, ORDER.

MRS. WATSON: HOWEVER, THERE IS ONE THING THAT I WILL AGREE WITH AND THAT IS THE NEED FOR TELEVISION SERVICES IN THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES IN THE YUKON. I HEARTILY SUPPORT THIS. EVERY SMALL COMMUNITY REQUIRES IT ACTUALLY MORE THAN THE LARGER COMMUNITY OF WHITEHORSE. HOWEVER IT ALWAYS HAPPENS THAT WHERE YOU HAVE THE LARGER POPULATION CENTRES, THEY GET THE SERVICES BEFORE YOUR SMALLER POPULATION AREAS.

THERE IS NOTHING I WOULD LIKE MORE THAN TO SUPPORT THIS MOTION, AMENDED, AND I THINK I'LL BRING THE AMENDMENTS IN WHEN WE GO BACK INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS.

I WOULD ALSO THINK THAT THE COMMUNITIES OF BEAVER CREEK AND PELLY RIVER WOULD HAVE TO BE ADDED TO THE LIST OF COMMUNITIES. I DON'T SEE WHY WE SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE OR ATTEMPT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING ANY TELEVISION SERVICE IN THE COMMUNITY OF ATLIN WHICH IS IN THE PROVINCE OF B.C.

MR. MCKINNON: THE COMMISSIONER IS GOING TO RETIRE THERE. HE BUILT THE ROAD.

MRS. WATSON: BUT THAT IS ONE AREA THAT I DO FEEL THAT THESE COMMUNITIES DO NEED THE TELEVISION SERVICE AND ARE VERY ANXIOUS TO HAVE IT.

WE MUST REMEMBER THAT PROVIDING TELEVISION SERVICE IS NOT A RESPONSIBILITY OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. IT IS A FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RESPONSIBILITY AND I FEEL QUITE STRONGLY THAT WE CANNOT ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY WHICH A FEDERAL DEPARTMENT RECEIVES FUNDS FOR.

WHEN I BRING MY AMENDMENT IN TO THE MOTION, I WILL INDICATE THAT IN THE AMENDMENT, I WILL SPEAK FURTHER TO THAT WHEN IT GOES INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO MY MIND IT'S QUITE SIMPLE. THE MOTION HAS BEEN PUT FORWARD THIS MORNING. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE'VE SEEN IT. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME WE HAVE SEEN THE REPORT. WE'VE YET GOT TO GET THROUGH THE BUDGET, QUITE OBVIOUSLY THE PLACE FOR THE MOTION IS IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE WHERE WE CAN GIVE IT FURTHER DISCUSSION AND I WOULD SUPPORT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON IN THAT MOTION.

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE THAT MOTION NO. 13 BE MOVED INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR DISCUSSION, FURTHER DISCUSSION.

MR. SPEAKER: QUESTION?

MR. MCKINNON: JUST BEFORE THE QUESTION IS CALLED MR. SPEAKER. THE MOVER AND SECONDER AND I THINK I CAN SPEAK FOR THE SECONDER THAT NO OBJECTION AT ALL IN MOVING THE MOTION INTO COMMITTEE. WE ARE INTERESTED PRIMARILY IN ONLY ONE THING AND THAT'S FINDING THE FUNDS TO GET TELEVISION SERVICES IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR IN THOSE FIVE COMMUNITIES. WHETHER IT COME THROUGH BUDGETING OF FINDING MONEY SAVED THROUGH CIVIL SERVICE POSITIONS NOT GOING INTO EFFECT THIS YEAR, OR WHETHER OUT OF THE CAPITAL SIDE OF THE BUDGET, ONE QUARTER OF A MILE LESS PAVEMENT OF ONE OF THE HIGHWAYS FOR THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. WE'RE REALLY NOT INTERESTED HOW IT'S DONE.

I THINK THAT ALL MEMBERS SHOULD EXAMINE THE BUDGET WITH THE UTMOST SCRUTINY TO MAKE SURE THAT OUT OF THE BUDGET THAT THEY, SOMEBODY ALONG THE LINE, WE FIND \$100,000 TO GIVE PRIORITY WHICH I THINK IS PRIORITY, TO THE SERVICE IN THE SMALLER AREAS, OF TELEVISION SERVICES. THAT'S ALL WE ARE INTERESTED IN. WE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SUPPORT THE MOTION GOING INTO COMMITTEE AND TRYING TO FIND THE MONEY FROM SOMEWHERE TO GIVE THIS ITEM A REAL PRIORITY IN THE BUDGET. THANK YOU.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: QUESTION.

SOME MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH THAT MOTION NO. 18 BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: WE NOW COME TO WRITTEN QUESTION NO. 6.

MR. SPEAKER READS WRITTEN QUESTION NO. 6.

MADAM CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER IS AVAILABLE? WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS.

MR. SPEAKER: I WILL NOW CALL THE HOUSE BACK TO ORDER.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE: SOUND PROOFING COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, THERE ARE TWO THINGS IN THE LAST DAY OR SO, THAT HAVE JUST ABSOLUTELY AMAZED ME WITH THIS GOVERNMENT AND I WOULD LIKE TO QUESTION THE ADMINISTRATOR ON THEM.

FIRST, WHO AUTHORIZED THE COMPLETE SOUND PROOFING OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE WHILE HE IS AWAY ON VACATION?

SECONDLY, WHO IS PAYING FOR THE COST OF SOUND-PROOFING THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

THIRDLY, WHY IS THE SOUND PROOFING OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE NECESSARY?

FOURTHLY, IF THIS IS A FEDERAL BUILDING AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ARE DOING RENOVATIONS, WHY ARE TERRITORIAL EMPLOYEES SOUND PROOFING THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE AND WHY ARE MATERIALS PAID OUT OF THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND OF THE YUKON TERRITORY BEING USED TO SOUND PROOF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE?

ALSO INCLUDED IN THE SOUND PROOFING OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD SAY WHETHER ANY TAPE RECORDERS OR BUG DEVICES ARE ALSO BEING INSTALLED AT THE SAME TIME? AND SHADES OF WATERGATE, MR. SPEAKER, WE JUST RECEIVED THIS MORNING, THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WITH THE INSERT INSIDE THIS HIGHLY SENSITIVE SECRET DOCUMENT THAT NO PART OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE REPRODUCED, STORED IN A RETRIEVAL SYSTEM OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC, MECHANICAL, PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING OR OTHERWISE, WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON.

MR. SPEAKER, WHAT ARE WE COMING TO IN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I CAN'T ANSWER THAT LAST QUESTION, WHAT WE'RE COMING TO, NOR CAN I ANSWER THE QUESTION ABOUT THE SOUND PROOFING, BECAUSE I KNEW NOTHING OF THIS UNTIL I ARRIVED IN MY OFFICE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON. BUT I WILL UNDERTAKE TO OBTAIN AN ANSWER TO THE QUESTION.

AS FAR AS THE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER IS CONCERNED MR. SPEAKER, IT WAS NOT OUR INTENTION THAT THIS

BE DISTRIBUTED ON A PERMANENT BASIS IN THIS FORMAT UNTIL THE COUNCIL HAD HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE IT AND TO DISCUSS IT. AND THERE WAS TO HAVE BEEN A SESSIONAL PAPER WITH A DRAFT COPY OF THE NEW FORMAT CIRCULATED TO ALL MEMBERS BEFORE WE MADE A FINAL MOVE ON THE NEW FORMAT.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY TO THE LAST QUESTION MR. SPEAKER, I NOTE THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED A SESSIONAL PAPER OR A LEGISLATIVE RETURN ON THE QUESTION WHICH INDICATES THAT THE DOCUMENT REFERRED TO IS AVAILABLE TO ARCTIC INSTITUTE OF NORTH AMERICA AND THE DAWSON CITY LIBRARY AND SO FORTH. I AM WONDERING IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL US WHY IT IS THEN, AND THIS IS ALSO AN OLD NEWSLETTER, WHY IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS BE A CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT WHEN INDEED IN THE COURSE OF PAST HISTORY, THESE HAVE BEEN GOING OUT TO THE PUBLIC.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I THINK MR. SPEAKER, THAT I SHOULD MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS NOT INTENDED TO BE CONFIDENTIAL AS SUCH. AS I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WILL REMEMBER IT WAS ORIGINALLY DESIGNED TO PROVIDE THE COUNCIL MEMBERS ON A CONTINUING BASIS WITH WHAT WAS GOING ON IN THE ADMINISTRATION. AND AT ONE TIME IT WAS GIVEN VERY WIDE CIRCULATION AND MADE AVAILABLE TO THE NEWS MEDIA AS WELL AS TO COUNCIL MEMBERS AND OTHER INTERESTED PEOPLE. BUT WE FOUND OURSELVES FROM TIME TO TIME REPORTING MATTERS WHICH WERE OF INTEREST AND OF DIRECT EFFECT TO OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAD FAIRLY SIZEABLE AMOUNTS OF MONEY AT STAKE, CONTRACTORS AND OTHER PEOPLE, AND SOME OF THE NEWS MEDIA WERE USING THE TEXT OF THESE NEWSLETTERS VERBATUM WITH, I THINK, RATHER SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES FOR THE CONTRACTORS AND OTHER PEOPLE BEING REFERRED TO IN THE DOCUMENT.

AND I THINK, MR. SPEAKER, THAT FOR THIS REASON WE ARE VERY ANXIOUS TO HAVE A THOROUGH AIRING AND DISCUSSION OF THE NEWSLETTER. THE PURPOSE OF THE NEWSLETTER, THE FORMAT, THE DISTRIBUTION AND ALL ASPECTS OF THE NEWSLETTER AND WE WOULD VERY MUCH APPRECIATE THE VIEWS OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS IN THIS REGARD. AT THE PRESENT TIME, IT IS MADE AVAILABLE TO, AS OUTLINED IN THE SESSIONAL PAPER, BUT IT IS ALSO MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES ON REQUEST AND THAT'S WHY YOU SEE THEIR REFERENCE TO THE ARCTIC INSTITUTE OF NORTH AMERICA AND TO THE DAWSON LIBRARY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SUPPLEMENTARY, MR. SPEAKER, WOULD THE ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT

IF A PERSON USED THIS IN A MANNER CONTRARY TO WHAT IT INDICATES, WHETHER THERE WOULD BE PROSECUTION AGAINST THAT PERSON BY THE COMMISSIONER.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION, Mr. SPEAKER, BECAUSE I WOULD HAVE TO KNOW THE CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. SPEAKER A FURTHER SUPPLEMENTARY, Mr. ADMINISTRATOR, IT ENDS UP SAYING WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON. THE QUESTION Mr. SPEAKER, TO THE ADMINISTRATOR IS THIS, THAT IF WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON, THIS WAS DUPLICATED OR TRANSMITTED OR PHOTOCOPIED OR RECORDED, WOULD YOU THEN PROSECUTE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL OBVIOUSLY, Mr. SPEAKER, I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION WITHOUT KNOWING THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT PREVAILED AT THE TIME.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. SPEAKER, THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE CLEAR. I'M ASKING TO RELATE IT TO WHAT IS SAID IN HERE, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE COMMISSIONER. LET ME PUT IT Mr. SPEAKER, IN THIS MANNER. I INTEND THIS AFTERNOON TO MAKE SOME COPIES OF THIS AND DISTRIBUTE IT. I WANT YOUR ASSURANCE WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE GOING TO PROSECUTE ME.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: I THINK I'LL WAIT AND SEE WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES ARE, Mr. SPEAKER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT, I'LL DO THAT.

Mr. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

QUESTION RE: OLYMPIC LOTTERY

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. SPEAKER, MY QUESTION TO Mr. ADMINISTRATOR RELATES TO THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY WHICH I THINK ALL MEMBERS SAW COME TO SOME FRUITION A COUPLE OF EVENINGS AGO. AND IT WAS NOTED BY MANY CITIZENS IN THE YUKON, Mr. SPEAKER, THAT EARLIER IN THIS YEAR IT WAS POSSIBLE TO BUY, OR TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS LOTTERY THROUGH BANKS AND FOR SOME STRANGE REASON THE BANKS WITHDREW THIS SERVICE AND MANY PEOPLE HAVE COME TO ME AND POINTED OUT TO ME THAT THIS WITHDRAWAL WAS AT THE DIRECTION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THIS IS CORRECT AND ALSO FOR WHAT REASON WERE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON EXCLUDED FROM PARTICIPATION IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: Mr. SPEAKER, THIS IS A CLEAR CASE OF WHAT IS LEGAL AND WHAT IS NOT LEGAL AND THE SALE OF OLYMPIC LOTTERY TICKETS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AT THE PRESENT TIME IS NOT LEGAL UNDER THE CRIMINAL CODE AND IN ORDER FOR IT TO BE LEGAL IT WOULD BE NECESSARY FOR THE COMMISSIONER AND THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO PASS ENABLING LEGISLATION AS REQUIRED BY THE CRIMINAL CODE. AND NO SUCH LEGISLATION HAS BEEN INTRODUCED UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE CAN DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE YUKON SHOULD PARTICIPATE IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY. BECAUSE ONE OF THE ORIGINAL CONDITIONS TO PARTICIPATION IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY WAS THAT THE PARTICIPATING JURISDICTIONS WOULD NOT BECOME A PARTICIPANT IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY, ALTHOUGH I MAY SAY IN THAT CONNECTION THAT ALBERTA DID. BUT THE OTHER PARTICIPANTS HAVE AGREED NOT TO GO AHEAD WITH THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY.

Mr. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY TO THIS QUESTION, Mr. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAVE INDEED MADE REPRESENTATIONS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA FOR AN AMENDMENT TO THE CRIMINAL CODE WHICH WOULD PROVIDE OR TO LEGALIZE THIS LOTTERY IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: LOCAL LEGISLATION, WE CAN DO IT.

Mr. TAYLOR: WELL IF THEN, MY SECOND QUESTION IS WHEN WILL THIS LEGISLATION BE COMING DOWN BEFORE THE COUNCIL?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: Mr. SPEAKER, WE HAVE NOT MADE REPRESENTATIONS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BUT IT IS OUR INTENTION TO INTRODUCE LEGISLATION AT THIS SESSION TO ENABLE THE YUKON TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY.

Mr. TAYLOR: HOW ABOUT THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, Mr. SPEAKER, IT IS NOT OUR INTENTION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY.

Mr. TAYLOR: ONE FURTHER SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION Mr. SPEAKER. WOULD THE ADMINISTRATION GIVE THE ASSURANCE TODAY, OR Mr. ADMINISTRATOR GIVE US THE ASSURANCE TODAY THAT IF IT WAS THE CHOICE OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON BY VIRTUE OF A RESOLUTION OF THIS COUNCIL THAT PROVISION BE MADE SO THAT PEOPLE OF THE YUKON COULD PARTICIPATE IF THEY SO CHOSE IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY, WOULD THE ENABLING LEGISLATION BE BROUGHT FORWARD?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: Mr. SPEAKER, I THINK THOSE

ALTERNATIVES WILL HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE COUNCIL WHEN WE INTRODUCE OUR LEGISLATION TO ENABLE US TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY, BECAUSE ONE OF THE CONDITIONS OF THIS PARTICIPATION IS THAT WE DO NOT PROCEED WITH PARTICIPATION IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DO I UNDERSTAND MR. SPEAKER, THAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR, DO I UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR HAS SAID THAT THERE IS A CONDITION PRECEDENT BEING SET SO THAT THIS COUNCIL WILL BE DIRECTED AS TO WHAT LEGISLATION IT MAY PASS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IT WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WESTERN LOTTERY?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, THERE IS NOT THAT KIND OF DIRECTION, BUT WHAT THERE HAS BEEN IS AGREEMENT AMONG THE VARIOUS PARTICIPANTS IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY THAT THEY WILL NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY. AND IN ORDER FOR US TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WESTERN CANADA LOTTERY, ONE OF THE CONDITIONS THAT WE WOULD BE EXPECTED TO ACCEPT WOULD BE THAT WE WOULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE OLYMPIC LOTTERY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, LET ME PUT THIS QUESTION TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. IN DEALING WITH THIS PEICE OF LEGISLATION THAT IS COMING FORWARD AND THIS COUNCIL IN IT'S WISDOM DECIDES TO AMEND THAT LEGISLATION TO DEAL WITH ALL LOTTERIES AND IN FACT ALL GAMBLING IN THE YUKON, WILL THE ADMINISTRATION ACCEDE TO THE REQUEST OF COUNCIL AND WILL THE COMMISSIONER OR YOURSELF GIVE ASSENT TO THAT LEGISLATION IF IT IS PASSED BY THIS COUNCIL IN THAT MANNER?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, ON A POINT OF ORDER, THAT'S A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MY QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER, IS TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR AND IT'S NOT HYPOTHETICAL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, ON A POINT OF ORDER, IT'S A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION AND HE IS TRYING TO PREDETERMINE WHAT DECISION THE COUNCIL IS GOING TO MAKE WHEN THEY DEAL WITH THE LEGISLATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WITH RESPECT, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVEN'T SUGGESTED THAT AT ALL, I'M SAYING THAT IN THE WISDOM OF COUNCIL WHAT WOULD BE THE REACTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION, IT IS SIMPLY TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT THIS ADMINISTRATION IS THINKING IN TERMS OF IT'S RELATIONSHIP TO THIS COUNCIL, MR. SPEAKER.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, ON A POINT OF ORDER, IT'S STILL A HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER CAN GET HIS ANSWER WHEN THE BILL IS DEALT WITH IN COMMITTEE.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

QUESTION RE: BEER BOTTLE RETURN

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, IF I COULD JUST BE PERMITTED A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF PREAMBLE ON THIS ONE AND IT MAY SOUND AS THOUGH I'M GETTING TO BE A BIT OF A SQUEEKY WHEEL, BUT THIS IS ON THE BEER BOTTLE RETURN AGAIN.

NOW AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO READ A LETTER THAT WAS WRITTEN TO THE CITY BACK IN OCTOBER OF 1972 AND IT SAYS THERE IS AT THE PRESENT TIME A BEER BOTTLE DEPOT OPERATING ON A TRIAL BASIS. WE ARE CURRENTLY REVIEWING THE SUCCESS OF THIS DEPOT AND WILL BE ABLE TO HAVE SOME ANSWERS TO THE BEER BOTTLE PROBLEM BY THE END OF THIS YEAR. THAT'S 1972.

NOW RECENTLY ON A TRIP TO DAWSON, I HAD A MEETING WITH THE CITY COUNCIL THERE AND WAS GIVEN TO UNDERSTAND BY THE CITY COUNCIL THAT THERE IS ONE OF THE LOCAL BUSINESSMEN HERE IN WHITEHORSE WHO HAS BEEN GIVEN SOME FORM OF FRANCHISE YOU MIGHT CALL IT WITH THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT IN A RETURN BOTTLE SYSTEM AND THAT ALSO THE PRICE HAS BEEN PAID BY THE COMMISSIONER OR THE ADMINISTRATION THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER. THIS BUSINESSMAN HAS INDICATED THAT HE ON THE 17TH OF AUGUST WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO SET UP A TRUCK IN DAWSON AND WOULD BUY BEER BOTTLES FROM WHOEVER WANTED TO SELL THEM TO HIM TO HELP ELEVATE THE PROBLEM IN DAWSON. NOW WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IS IF THERE IS ANY BASIS OF TRUTH TO THIS FRANCHISE WITH LOCAL BUSINESSMEN REGARDING THE DEPOT OR THE RETURN OF BOTTLES AND FURTHER WHETHER OR NOT THE ADMINISTRATION IS GOING TO GIVE SOME DETAILS IN THIS SESSION WHILE WE HAVE A SESSIONAL PAPER IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE SO THAT WE CAN COME UP WITH SOMETHING CONSTRUCTIVE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL FIRST OF ALL, MR. SPEAKER, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THERE IS NOW A COMMERCIAL OPERATION IN THE TERRITORY GATHERING BEER BOTTLES AND THEY MAY HAVE AN ARRANGEMENT WITH THE BREWERS FOR THE RETURN OF THESE BOTTLES, BUT THERE IS NO FRANCHISE AS SUCH FROM THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. THIS IS PURELY A COMMERCIAL OPERATION, WHICH DOES NOT INVOLVE THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. NOW THIS I

DO NOT THINK, THIS DOESN'T PRECLUDE THE TERRITORY BECOMING INVOLVED IF IN FACT IT'S ADVISABLE AND IT'S OUR INTENTION THAT WE SHOULD ENDEAVOUR TO EXPLORE THIS POSSIBILITY TO THE FULLEST POSSIBLE EXTENT AND I THINK IT'S OUR HOPE THAT WE WILL HAVE A PAPER READY FOR PRESENTATION IF NOT BY THE END OF THIS SESSION AT LEAST IN TIME TO BE MAILED OUT SOON THERE AFTER AS WE CAN.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, SUPPLEMENTARY. THE ADMINISTRATOR SAID THAT THE LOCAL BUSINESSMAN MAY HAVE AN ARRANGEMENT WITH ONE OF THE BREWERIES. NOW IF THE GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN LOOKING INTO THIS BACK IN 1972 WHY ARE WE STILL USING THE WORD 'MAY'. SURELY TO GOD THE ADMINISTRATION EXCUSE MY EXPRESSION, THE ADMINISTRATION WILL HAVE A LITTLE MORE INFORMATION AND MAYBE AND POSSIBLY ON ALL THE REST OF THESE VAGUE TERMS, THIS IS TWO YEARS AGO.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL MR. SPEAKER, I'M ONLY SPEAKING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I DON'T KNOW WHAT PRIVATE CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE JUST BETWEEN THE COMMERCIAL OPERATION THAT'S GATHERING BOTTLES AND THE BREWERIES. I JUST HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF THAT. NOW THAT'S NOT TO SAY THAT THERE MAY BE PEOPLE IN THE ADMINISTRATION WHO DO HAVE THAT KNOWLEDGE BUT I PERSONALLY DO NOT HAVE IT AT THE PRESENT TIME.

MR. TANNER: ONE FURTHER POINT MR. SPEAKER, AND THIS MAY BE A LITTLE MORE OF A STATEMENT THAN A QUESTION. BUT ON THIS LAST TRIP TO DAWSON, MY WIFE AND I WHEN WE RETURNED WE MADE UP OUR MIND THAT WE WOULD COUNT 60 CASES THAT IS JUST THE CARDBOARD CASES IN THE DITCHES BETWEEN DAWSON AND WHITEHORSE AND SEE HOW MANY MILES IT TOOK. IT TOOK ONLY 91 MILES WHICH IS ONE AND ONE-HALF MILES TO THE EMPTY CASE, WHICH IF YOU MULTIPLY IT OUT - BECOMES 200 EMPTY CASES IN A 300 MILE STRETCH AND THIS IS WHEN THE SNOW IS STILL ON THE GROUND. NOW THAT'S JUST THE EMPTY CARDBOARD CASES. THE NUMBER OF BOTTLES IN THE DITCH, YOU COULD PROBABLY MULTIPLY BY AT LEAST 15 TIMES THAT AMOUNT. NOW GIVEN THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION, ISN'T IT ABOUT TIME MR. ADMINISTRATOR THAT THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE GOVERNMENT DID INSTITUTE A BOTTLE RETURN SYSTEM?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL ALL I CAN SAY IS WHAT I'VE SAID BEFORE MR. SPEAKER, AND THAT IS THAT WE WILL ENDEAVOUR TO GATHER TOGETHER ALL THIS INFORMATION FOR THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE AND HAVE A PAPER PREPARED FOR COUNCIL.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR,

QUESTION RE: ELECTRICAL ENERGY SITUATION IN ROSS RIVER

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS FOR MR. ADMINISTRATOR RELATING TO THE ELECTRICAL ENERGY SITUATION IN ROSS RIVER. I HAVE A COPY OF A PIECE OF CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN ROSS RIVER AND THE NORTHERN CANADA POWER COMMISSION IN WHICH THEY POINT OUT THAT WE BELIEVE THAT WE CAN BUILD A TRANSMISSION LINE FROM OUR NETWORK AND SUPPLY POWER TO ROSS RIVER AT A CONSIDERABLE SAVING TO CONSUMERS. AND THEY STATE THAT THE COMMISSION HAS THEREFORE APPLIED FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY TO BUILD A TRANSMISSION LINE AND PROVIDE THAT THE NECESSARY APPROVALS ARE RECEIVED, INTENDS TO HAVE THE LINE IN SERVICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

MY FIRST QUESTION TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD BE. DO WE HAVE THE ASSURANCE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT THEY WILL DO EVERYTHING WITHIN THEIR POWER TO ENSURE THAT THIS RIGHT-OF-WAY IS GRANTED IMMEDIATELY.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I CAN SAY THAT WE WILL DO EVERYTHING THAT WE CAN.

MR. TAYLOR: MY SECOND QUESTION IN RELATION TO THIS MATTER, MR. SPEAKER TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR I HAVE A FURTHER PIECE OF CORRESPONDENCE DATED APRIL 10TH, BETWEEN ROSS RIVER AND THE YUKON ELECTRICAL COMPANY LTD. IN WHICH THEY STATE IN ANSWER TO A PROBLEM RESULTING FROM PEOPLE PAYING THEIR LIGHT BILL INTO A TRUST FUND AND COMPLAINING OF EXCESSIVE RATE. IN WHICH THEY STATE: "WE THEREFORE HAVE NO ALTERNATIVE BUT TO DISCONTINUE SERVICE TO ANY CUSTOMER WHO REFUSES TO PAY THEIR BILL TO US."

I AM WONDERING IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD GIVE ME THE ASSURANCE TODAY THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL UNDERTAKE TO ASSIST IN ANY WAY POSSIBLE TO HAVE THE BOARD SIT AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE ON THIS MATTER.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER. WE WILL ENDEAVOUR TO DO THAT.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Speaker, question to Mr. Administrator.

QUESTION RE: COMMISSIONER'S ABSENCE

Mr. Chamberlist: To your knowledge how long has it been that the Commissioner indicated he would be away during this Council Session?

Mr. Administrator: Well I don't know how long he will be away during this Council Session because I don't know how long the Council Session will last. All I do know is that he is going to be away for the balance of this week and for two more weeks after that.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Speaker, that wasn't the question I was asking. It was a pretty good attempt to get around it. The question that I asked and I repeat it and will change the wording so that it will be clear to the Administrator. How long have you known, Mr. Administrator, that the Commissioner was to be away during this Council Session?

Mr. Administrator: Well I have known for approximately - I could be out on this a little bit - but I have known for approximately three or four weeks that he was going to be away at this particular time.

Mr. Chamberlist: Are you aware whether Mr. Commissioner is away because of medical treatment or because he is taking a course in the French language?

Mr. Administrator: As far as I know he's not out for medical reasons.

Mr. Chamberlist: Can it be assumed then, Mr. Speaker, that he is away specifically to take a course in the French language?

Mr. Administrator: I can't answer that question, Mr. Speaker, because I don't know whether or not specifically why he is away.

QUESTION RE: RAILROAD YARDS AT CARMACKS

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, for some time in the successive years I have asked a question related to railroad yards at Carmacks, and as to whether the White Pass and Yukon route had applied for or received a lease or anything, a grant of lease in respect of any

of these lands in or around Carcross for the purposes of railroad yarding and this type of thing. I have on each occasion received an answer from the Government of the Yukon Territory to the effect that, no, in neither case has anything been happening. Yet I have received several complaints while in Whitehorse, both at this session and at the former session, of people complaining that they cannot get recreational property more particularly in the areas around Fox Lake and so forth because of a White Pass Reserve going by. Now I'm wondering if Mr. Administrator could tell me who is right and who is wrong. Do White Pass indeed have a lease or reserve or something of this nature and if so, could we have some details on it? If not, can we have the assurance that those lands will be released for the people who wish to build recreational facilities along that route?

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Speaker, as I understand the situation there is no reserve and no application as such from the White Pass or any other railroad company at Carmacks. I can't speak with any certainty about the other areas that you referred to such as Fox Lake and I would have to look into it.

Mr. Taylor: This supplementary. I wonder if the Administrator would for the interest of all members of Council undertake to give a written reply to whatever details he may be able to provide in this question.

Mr. Administrator: Yes, Mr. Speaker, we can do that.

QUESTIONS RE: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
G. K. FISHER-FLEMING

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Administrator, I've put a series of nineteen questions re Assistant Commissioner G. K. Fisher-Fleming. Are there any answers available to any of those questions at this time?

Mr. Administrator: No, Mr. Speaker, there are no answers to those questions at this time.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Speaker, is it the intention of the Administration to deny myself as a member of this Council of

RECEIVING ANSWERS TO SPECIFIC, CLEARLY WRITTEN QUESTIONS?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, WE DO NOT INTEND TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, WOULD THE ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE WHY THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE PROPER QUESTIONS RELATING TO A SENIOR OFFICIAL OF THE GOVERNMENT ARE NOT TO BE ANSWERED BY ADMINISTRATION?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THESE ARE QUESTIONS THAT RELATE TO PERSONNEL MATTERS WHICH ARE CONFIDENTIAL, AND WE DO NOT INTEND TO ANSWER THEM.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT NONSENSE, MR. SPEAKER, AND BY WAY OF STATEMENT, I ACCUSE THIS MAN OF FASCIST ACTIVITIES.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: OH COME ON.

MR. TAYLOR: ORDER, MR. SPEAKER, ORDER PLEASE. MR. SPEAKER, I BELIEVE - - -

MRS. WATSON: ON A POINT OF ORDER, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THERE IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO ANSWER A QUESTION IF A PERSON DOES NOT WANT TO ANSWER A QUESTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOT IN THAT MANNER THOUGH.

MRS. WATSON: THERE IS NOT A REQUIREMENT AT ALL TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER, COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU ARE JUST SUPPORTING FASCIST ACTIVITIES.

MR. TAYLOR: YES, I WOULD HOPE THAT THAT COULD BE STRIKEN FROM THE RECORD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO. I WANT IT RECORDED.

MR. TAYLOR: IF NOT, I THINK - - ORDER PLEASE, MR. SPEAKER, IF NOT, I THINK AN APOLOGY WOULD BE FORTHCOMING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO WAY.

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER.

QUESTION RE: YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A FURTHER QUESTION OF MR. ADMINISTRATOR RELATING TO THE OPERATIONS OF THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION. TABLED IN THIS HOUSE, AS WE ARE ALL AWARE, IS A ONE PAGE REPORT OF THE ACTIVITIES OF THE HOUSING CORPORATION. I'M WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD GIVE CONSIDERATION TO PROVIDING MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WITH A MORE DETAILED REPORT OF THE ACTIVITIES AND PURPOSES OF THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION FOR THE LAST FISCAL YEAR.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I CAN LOOK INTO THAT A LITTLE FURTHER IF THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE.

QUESTION RE: MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MR. LEGAL ADVISER. AS A RESULT OF A RECENT SUMMONS I HAVE HAD GOOD REASON TO LOOK AT THE MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE. I WOULD LIKE TO QUOTE SECTION 62(1): "NO PERSON SHALL OPERATE ANY MOTOR VEHICLE AND TRAILER ON A HIGHWAY UNLESS A DEVICE COUPLING THE MOTOR VEHICLE AND THE TRAILER IS OF SUCH CONSTRUCTION AND STRENGTH AS TO HOLD THE WEIGHT OF THE TRAILER AND PREVENT A BREAKAWAY. (2) THE COUPLING DEVICE MENTIONED IN SUBSECTION (1) SHALL BE FIRMLY AFFIXED TO AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE VEHICLE OF BOTH THE TOWING VEHICLE AND THE TRAILER. (3) EVERY TRAILER SHALL HAVE, IN ADDITION TO THE MAIN COUPLING DEVICE, AN AUXILIARY HITCH CONSISTING OF A CHAIN OR METAL CABLE EQUIVALENT IN STRENGTH TO THE MAIN COUPLING DEVICE." IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE I WAS GUILTY BUT AS I SAY, IN LOOKING THROUGH THE ORDINANCE AND IN TALKING TO SEVERAL OF THE TRUCK DRIVERS IN THE TERRITORY I FIND THAT NONE OF THE BIG TRUCKS, OR VERY FEW OF THE BIG TRUCKS IN THE TERRITORY, ARE COMPLYING WITH THAT SECTION OF THE ORDINANCE. NOW MY QUESTION TO MR. LEGAL ADVISER IS: SHOULD WE BE CHANGING THE ORDINANCE OR TAKING A LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE TO EXEMPT THESE LARGE TRUCKS OR SHOULD WE BE DIRECTING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO LOOK INTO THIS PARTICULAR THING AND ENFORCE THE SITUATION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: MR. SPEAKER, I THINK WE SHOULD ASK THE REGISTRAR OF MOTOR VEHICLES TO TAKE THE MATTER UNDER CONSIDERATION, AND PRODUCE SOME DOCUMENTS RELATING TO IT.

Mr. Stutter: Just one further point, Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out that I have also been told by some of the truck drivers that on occasions these large trailers and semi-trailers do break loose,

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take that question as notice and give a written reply.

Mr. Stutter: That's alright with me.

Mr. Speaker: Councillor Taylor.

QUESTION RE: INFORMATION OFFICE'S RELEASE ON APRIL 9TH RESPECTING SPORTS GRANTS

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, I have a question of Mr. Administrator relating to the Information Offices release on April 9th respecting sports grants to sixteen groups stating that a total of \$65,000.00 in sports and recreation grants had been awarded to sixteen sports groups. Would it be possible to get a copy of the breakdown of which groups got that?

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Speaker, I will answer that question. Yes, it is possible.

Mr. Taylor: Now we have assumed it's possible, would the Honourable Member who has just last spoken assure us that it will be forthcoming.

Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'll see that the information is brought forth to Council.

Mr. Chamberlist: A supplementary to that, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Honourable Member from Carmacks-Kluane can indicate whether the breakdown is for moneys to be expended in the next fiscal year or is moneys to be expended in the present funding.

Mrs. Watson: Yes, Mr. Speaker, the actual funding isn't doled out. There is a commitment made for this type of funding. The organizations present their budgets and request so much funding and the Advisory Committee on Fitness and Amateur Sports approve a certain amount of funding for each organization through the next twelve-month period.

QUESTION RE: ISOLATED POST ALLOWANCE

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could ask Mr. Administrator what is the status at present of the isolated post allowance for Federal Civil Servants in Whitehorse?

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Speaker, as far as I know at the present time there has been no decision in this regard. The isolation portion of the I.P.A. is still being paid, and what the final disposition will be or just what state the discussions are at I'm afraid I just don't know offhand.

Mr. McKinnon: I wonder, Mr. Speaker, if Mr. Administrator could find out at to what extent these discussions are progressing and whether he could provide Council with an answer as to whether it looks favourable for the I.P.A. to be retained by the Federal Civil Servants who are resident in Whitehorse or not.

Mr. Administrator: Yes, Mr. Speaker, we can look into it and find out just how far along the discussions are. I'm not sure that we are going to be able to indicate one way or the other whether the outcome will be favourable but we can certainly find out where we are at the moment.

QUESTION RE: Y.T.A. - ACTION AGAINST TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT

Mr. Taylor: One final question, Mr. Speaker, of Mr. Administrator. Possibly it's not a question. I'm wondering if I might know if the Y.T.A. have taken action against the Territorial Government, and if that is now in the courts?

Mr. Administrator: Yes.

Mr. Legal Adviser: Yes, Mr. Speaker. I understand a statement of claim on the action was filed on April 11, but a copy has not been served or delivered to my office as yet.

Mr. Taylor: Well this being the case it would be improper then for me to ask the question I wish to ask. Thank you.

Mr. Chamberlist: Supplementary, Can Mr.

LEGAL ADVISER INDICATE WHETHER A STATEMENT OF CLAIM SERVED ON THE COMMISSIONER IS NOT THE SAME AS A STATEMENT OF CLAIM SERVED ON HIMSELF?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: YES IT IS THE SAME, MR. SPEAKER. BY CUSTOM AMONGST LAWYERS DOCUMENTS SERVED ON THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT ARE SERVED AT EITHER MY OFFICE OR THAT OF THE TERRITORIAL SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THE SUGGESTION BEING MADE THAT THE STATEMENT OF CLAIM HAS NOT BEEN SERVED?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: NO, MR. SPEAKER, WHAT I SAID IS THAT I HAPPEN TO KNOW THAT A STATEMENT OF CLAIM WAS FILED ON APRIL 11TH IN THE COURTS, BUT NONE WAS SERVED IN MY OFFICE. I OBTAINED A PHOTOCOPY OF THE DOCUMENT AFTER I HEARD IT HAD BEEN FILED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HAS A DEFENCE BEEN FILED YET MR. LEGAL ADVISER?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: NO, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: AS THERE ARE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS WE THANK THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS, MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE THAT AT THIS TIME MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSES OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MRS. WATSON: I WILL SECOND THE MOTION, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT WAS MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. THE CHAIR HAS BEEN ADVISED THAT THE AMENDMENTS YOU SEEK FOR BILL NO. 12 SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOLLOWING THE NOON RECESS SO WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF COMMITTEE WE WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2:00 THIS AFTERNOON.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COUNCIL TO ORDER. WE HAVE FOR OUR CONSIDERATION BILL No. 12 AND WE HAVE THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS, SHALL WE CALL THEM, AVAILABLE TO ALL MEMBERS. POSSIBLY WE WILL PROCEED WITH THE READING.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, JUST BEFORE YOU GO INTO THE READING I WONDER WHETHER THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS IS THE RIGHT PHRASE- OLOGY. WE FEEL THIS IS SUBSTITUTING RATHER THAN AN AMENDMENT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YES, FROM THE CHAIR, IT WAS AGREED BY COMMITTEE WHEN LAST WE SAT ON THIS MATTER THAT THE BILL HAS NOT YET BEEN READ AND WILL BE READ AT THIS POINT IN TIME.

Mr. CHAIRMAN READS THE BILL.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: FIRST OF ALL Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE CHAIRMAN APPOINTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 4, AND IF WE LOOK AT SECTION 4-2 IT SAYS "SHALL BE THE JUDGE". SHOULDN'T THAT BE A JUDGE.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF I MIGHT TAKE A MOMENT TO EXPLAIN THE CHANGES IN SECTION 2. THE DEFINITION OF ELECTORAL DISTRICT IS MARGINALLY CHANGED TO ELIMINATE ANY CONFLICT AS TO WHETHER IT IS RETURNING A MEMBER OR MEMBERS. IT IS JUST A PLACE WHICH GETS REPRESENTATION OF THE COUNCIL. THE DEFINITION OF JUDGE IS CHANGED WITH THE INTENT THAT THIS ORDINANCE WILL APPOINT THE JUDGE OF THE TERRITORIAL COURT TO BE THE CHAIRMAN. SO JUDGE MEANS THE JUDGE OF THE COURT. WHEN IT COMES TO SECTION 4 IT JUST STATES THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE THE JUDGE. THAT IS HIS APPOINTMENT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THE POINT...

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: BEFORE I FINISH, ALSO A DEFINITION OF POPULATION IS INSERTED WHICH WAS NOT IN THE OLD DRAFT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: NOW, THE POINT I MAKE IS THIS. IF FOR SOME REASON WE HAD TO ... LETS SAY THE JUDGE, Mr. JUSTICE HARRY MADDISON WAS TAKEN SICK OR WAS FOR SOME REASON UNABLE TO SERVE. IF YOU SAY "THE" JUDGE OF THE COURT THEN YOU ARE LIMITING IT TO HIM. IF WE SAY "A" JUDGE OF THE COURT THEN WE HAVE 15 JUDGES WHO CAN TAKE HIS PLACE. I'M SAYING THAT THE WORD "THE"

SEEMS TO LOCK IT TO THE JUDGE OF THE COURT WHEREAS "A" JUDGE WOULD COVER HIM AND EVERY OTHER JUDGE. THIS IS THE POINT THAT I MAKE.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT WAS OUR UNDER- STANDING THAT MEMBERS WANTED TO SPECIFY "THE" PARTICULAR JUDGE THEY WANT. SINCE THIS IS A ONE SHOT ORDINANCE WE THOUGHT WE SHOULD DO THAT AND THAT WOULD ACCOMMODATE MEMBERS WISHES AS FAR AS "THE" JUDGE IS CONCERNED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THIS WOULD BE TRUE, Mr. CHAIRMAN, BUT I SAY IN CASE OF ANYTHING UNTOWARD HAPPENING DURING THE PROCESS OF THE COMMISSION SITTING AND HE IS UNABLE TO CONTINUE WITH HIS OFFICE, IF YOU HAD THE WORD "A" JUDGE OF THE COURT THAN YOU COULD PUT ANOTHER JUDGE IN.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: A VACANCY DOES NOT IMPEL A MEMBER TO ACT AND A VACANCY HAS TO BE FILLED. IT IS CORRECT. THERE IS NO PROVISION FOR CHANGING THE POSITION OF JUDGE. WE ANTICIPATED THAT THE COMMISSION WOULD COMMENCE ITS WORK ALMOST FORTHWITH AND BE FINISHED IN A COUPLE OF MONTHS AND THAT WOULD BE THE END OF THE REPORT. THE JUDGE WOULD THEN BE ABLE TO GET SICK.

IF YOU WANT A PROVISION IN TO TAKE CARE OF THAT WE COULD HAVE AN APPOINTMENT POWER TO DEAL WITH IT. I THOUGHT THAT THE HOUSE DID NOT WANT AN APPOINTMENT POWER TO REST IN THE COMMISSIONER'S HANDS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I'M SIMPLY SAYING THAT DURING THE TWO MONTHS THAT IT WOULD TAKE, THAT IF SOMETHING UNTOWARD HAPPENED, COUNCIL WOULD HAVE TO BE CALLED TO MAKE A NECESSARY CHANGE. IF YOU ALTER THAT WORD FROM "THE" TO "A" IT COVERS THE SITUATION IN ANY EVENTUALITY. DOES IT NOT?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: 6-3 TAKES CARE OF THAT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, LET'S SEE WHERE IT TAKES CARE OF IT. 6-3 DOESN'T REPLACE "THE" JUDGE. IF WE SAY "A" JUDGE IT IS LEFT OPEN.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE POINT IS WELL TAKEN, Mr. CHAIRMAN, BUT AS I UNDERSTOOD IT THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE HOUSE WERE THAT THIS HOUSE WAS GOING TO CHOOSE THE JUDGE. IT MATTERS LITTLE WHETHER IN THE EVENT OF THE ILLNESS OF THE INCUMBENT THE HOUSE WOULD HAVE TO BE CALLED BACK TO CHOOSE A NEW JUDGE. UNLESS YOU PUT IN AN APPOINTMENT POWER GIVING IT TO THE COMMISSIONER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE POINT IS IT DOESN'T MATTER WHO THE JUDGE IS AS LONG AS IT IS "A" JUDGE OF THE COURT. I'M NOT ARGUING AGAINST MR. JUSTICE HARRY MADDISON HAVING THE APPOINT WHICH WE HAVE AGREED ON. I'M JUST SAYING THAT WE SHOULD BE PREPARED FOR ANY EVENTUALITY BY SAYING "A" JUDGE OF THE COURT. WE COULD SIT PRIVATE OR BY PHONING EACH OTHER TO AGREE TO A JUDGE OF THE COURT. AS LONG AS IT SAID "A" THEN IT IS TAKEN CARE OF, WHAT IS THE OBJECTION AT THIS STAGE TO PUTTING THE WORD "A".

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO IT MR. CHAIRMAN, PROVIDING THE HOUSE WOULD TELL ME WHAT IT WANTS TO FILL THE EVENTUALITY. IF THE HOUSE IS PREPARED TO SAY "TO BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE COUNCIL" OR SOME SUCH WORDS, IT NEEDS AN ACTUAL APPOINTMENT UNLESS IT IS IN THE ORDINANCE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN IF YOU WILL LOOK AT 4-2 "THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE "THE" JUDGE AND THE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIST OF A CHAIRMAN AND "THERE IS NO PROVISION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF "A" JUDGE. IT IS "THE" JUDGE. NOW THEN WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PUT ANOTHER SECTION IN. HOW DO YOU APPOINT THE JUDGE? DOES THE COMMISSIONER APPOINT THE JUDGE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL OR DOES THE COMMISSIONER APPOINT "A" JUDGE. THIS IS WHY WE PUT IN "THE" JUDGE BECAUSE YOU HAD ALREADY MADE UP YOUR MINDS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN'T I MAKE MYSELF CLEAR.

MRS. WATSON: WE UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE TRYING TO GET AT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T THINK THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY DIFFICULTY AT ALL. IT IS QUITE SIMPLE. THE COMMISSIONER IS APPOINTING "THE" JUDGE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL ON ANY EVENT BECAUSE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL IS WHAT WE ARE SAYING. BUT IF IT SAYS "A" JUDGE, THE COMMISSIONER CAN AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE MEMBERS. I JUST WANT TO PROTECT OURSELVES IN ANY EVENTUALITY. IT SEEMS A POINT THAT SHOULDN'T BE OBJECTED TO.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WE ARE NOT OBJECTING TO IT.

MRS. WATSON: IF YOU PUT THAT SECTION IN "CONSULTATION OR RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL" THEN IF SOMETHING HAPPENS YOU DO HAVE TO CALL COUNCIL. YOU DO ALSO THE SAME THING "ONE

MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL." THAT IS IN SECTION 4-2. NOW IF THAT MEMBER BECAME ILL IN ORDER TO REPLACE HIM WE WOULD HAVE TO CALL COUNCIL BACK INTO SESSION TO APPOINT SOMEONE ELSE ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF COUNCIL.

THIS WAS POINTED OUT YESTERDAY AND THIS WAS THE DECISION THAT COMMITTEE MADE, THAT THEY WOULD LIKE THIS SECTION INSERTED IN THIS MANNER, THIS WAS THE DECISION YESTERDAY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND, MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH RESPECT I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT WAS THE DECISION. THE DECISION AS I UNDERSTOOD IT WAS THAT A JUDGE SHOULD BE THE ONE WHO SHOULD BE THE CHAIRMAN. I'M JUST SAYING THAT THERE MUST BE, WE MUST TAKE CARE OF EVENTUALITIES, THIS IS AN EVENTUALITY THAT MIGHT HAPPEN. WE CERTAINLY HOPE IT DOESN'T HAPPEN, AT THE SAME TIME WE HAVE TO PLACE OURSELVES IN A POSITION OF NOT HAVING TO AMEND THE ORDINANCE.

MR. MCKINNON: WE HAD BETTER CROSS OUR FINGERS BECAUSE WE HAVE TO MAKE ONE...

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT, I'M JUST MAKING A POINT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. LEGAL ADVISOR, FROM THE CHAIR, YOU, WHEN YOU DEFINE THE WORD POPULATION MEANING THE POPULATION OF THE TERRITORY OR ANY PORTION THEREOF ACCORDING TO THE LATEST COMPLETED DECENNIAL CENSUS TAKEN BY STATISTICS CANADA. SHOULDN'T THE ORDINANCE BIND THE COMMISSION TO ACCEPTING THAT POPULATION NOT WITHSTANDING INCREASES IN ACTUAL POPULATION SINCE THE CENSUS WAS TAKEN.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE AN OFFICIAL FIGURE AND UNTIL WE HAVE THE QUINQUENNIAL CENSUS WE DON'T HAVE A SECOND FIGURE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL, IS THIS REALLY FAIR TO THE COMMISSION TO BIND THEM TO THOSE FIGURES WHEN THEY MAY FIND THAT WHEN LOOKING OVER THE TERRITORY THAT THERE MAY HAVE BEEN POPULATION SHIFTS OR INCREASES THAT IN EFFECT EXIST. THAT THEY HAVE TO BE BOUND BY THESE FIGURES?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE ANY OTHER WAY THAT WE CAN DO THIS MR. CHAIRMAN. UNTIL WE GET THE FIGURES FOR 1975,

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SURELY THIS IS NOT REALLY AN IMPORTANT POINT BECAUSE IF OLD

SECTION 16-1 IS HERE IN A DIFFERENT NUMBER REALLY POPULATION - THE CENSUS FIGURES REALLY DON'T ENTER INTO IT. IT MAY BE NEEDED FOR DEFINITION BUT THE COMMISSION IS NOT TIED IN ANY EVENT TO SET BOUNDARIES ACCORDING TO POPULATIONS WHETHER THOSE POPULATIONS ARE OFFICIAL POPULATIONS OR NOT. WE ARE NOT SET BY THOSE LIMITS ANYWAY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THAT IS CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YES BUT YOU'LL FIND WHEN YOU GET FURTHER DOWN IN THE ORDINANCE THAT THERE IS A REFERENCE TO POPULATION AND THAT IS WHY WE'VE GOT THE DEFINITION IN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I UNDERSTAND, MR. CHAIRMAN THAT WE'VE AGREED THAT THIS IS A ONE SHOT PURPOSE. THERE ARE GOING TO BE TWELVE MEMBERS. IT DOESN'T MATTER IF 10,000 PEOPLE MOVED OUT TOMORROW. IT IS STILL 12 MEMBERS. THE POPULATION DOESN'T MATTER. SO WHY ARE WE GETTING POPULATION PLACED IN HERE WHEN POPULATION FOR THE COMMISSION AT THIS TIME WOULD HAVE NO BEARING WHATEVER ON ANY PART OF THE DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION. AS IT EXISTS NOW.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT HAS SOME BEARING, MR. CHAIRMAN BUT I WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO TAKING IT OUT AND LEAVING THE WORD POPULATION TO HAVE ITS ORDINARY DICTIONARY MEANING WHICH MEANS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN A PLACE. PUT IF THEY ARE GOING TO REFER TO FIGURES OR OFFICIAL FIGURES IN THE RETURNS THEN THEY SHOULD BE TIED TO AN OFFICIAL FIGURE. IT IS A QUESTION FOR THE HOUSE TO DECIDE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU'LL FIND LATER ON WHEN WE GET FURTHER DOWN. I THINK IT IS SECTION 15. WHEN WE GET DOWN THERE IS A REFERENCE TO POPULATION. THE REASON THAT WE HAVE GOT IT THERE IS THAT WE WANT THE HOUSE TO MAKE A DECISION IF THAT IS THE WAY THEY WANT TO PROCEED. IF THEY DO WANT TO PROCEED THAT WAY THEN WE'LL HAVE TO LEAVE POPULATION IN OR SOME DEFINITION. IF THEY DON'T WANT TO PROCEED THAT WAY THEN THE HOUSE SHOULD MAKE THAT DECISION AND WE CAN TAKE POPULATION OUT, BUT THERE IS REFERENCE LATER ON IN THE ORDINANCE TO POPULATION.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I SUGGEST THAT WE GO ON WITH THE BILL AND THEN PERHAPS COME BACK TO THAT BECAUSE REALLY I'M NOT HUNG UP ON IT EITHER WAY. IT JUST SEEMS TO ME THAT IT IS PROBABLY NOT NECESSARY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AGREED? IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER IN SECTION 2? ARE WE CLEAR ON SECTION 2? WE WILL BE COMING BACK TO POPULATION.

ALRIGHT, SECTION 3. THE COMMISSIONER SHALL BY PROCLAMATION ESTABLISH AN ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION FOR THE TERRITORY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, ALL OF SECTION 3 CONTAINED A SERIES OF TRIGGER MECHANISMS BECAUSE THE BILL IS INTENDED TO BE A PERMANENT BILL. THIS SECTION 3 WAS TAKEN OUT COMPLETELY. THIS ONE IS A REPEAT OF OLD SECTION 4 IN A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT FORM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON 3? SECTION 4. THE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIST OF A CHAIRMAN AND TWO MEMBERS.
(2) THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE THE JUDGE. ONE MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COUNCIL. THE OTHER MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED BY THE COMMISSIONER ON A JOINT RECOMMENDATION OF THE CHAIRMAN AND THE OTHER MEMBER.

SECTION 5 NO PERSON IS ELIGIBLE TO BE A MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION WHILE HE IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE, THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, THE COUNCIL, THE COUNCIL OF A MUNICIPALITY OR THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT. CLEAR?

SECTION 6. I SEE SECTION 5 OF THE OLD ORIGINAL DRAFT WAS DELETED. IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. MCKINNON: NO, IT HAS BEEN NUMBERED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: OH I SEE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: TREAT THIS AS A NEW BILL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: RIGHT. 6 (1) THE COMMISSION SHALL APPOINT ONE OF ITS MEMBERS TO ACT AS CHAIRMAN IN THE EVENT OF THE ABSENCE OR INCAPACITY OF THE CHAIRMAN OR IF THE OFFICE OF CHAIRMAN IS VACANT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS WHERE--I'VE GOT A TIE ON. WOULD YOU FINISH READING THAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: (2) ALL MEETINGS OF THE COMMISSION, TWO MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION CONSTITUTE A QUORUM. (3) A VACANCY IN THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMISSION OR IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN DOES NOT IMPAIR THE RIGHT OF THE REMAINING MEMBERS TO ACT, BUT WHERE ANY SUCH VACANCY OCCURS IT SHALL BE FILLED WITHIN 30 DAYS BY APPOINTMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 4.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHERE I HAVE THE ---. HERE AGAIN, WE'RE CONTRADICTING OURSELVES. WE ARE SAYING THAT THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE THE JUDGE, THEN WE ARE SAYING IN 6 (1), THE COMMISSION SHALL APPOINT ONE OF ITS MEMBERS TO ACT AS CHAIRMAN. EVEN IF HE IS ACTING A CHAIRMAN, HOW CAN HE ACT AS THE JUDGE. WE HAVE TO READ THE WORD CHAIRMAN AS MEANING THE JUDGE IN THIS PARTICULAR CONTEXT. THIS IS WHERE WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM. YOU ARE GOING TO FINISH UP THEN WITH TWO PEOPLE, NEITHER ONE OF THEM HAS JUDICIAL KNOWLEDGE OR BACKGROUND TO BE FORMING THE QUORUM. THEY MIGHT BE MAKING A DECISION PRIOR TO ANOTHER JUDGE BEING APPOINTED AND COULD LEAVE US IN NO END OF DIFFICULTY. THIS IS WHERE I THINK WE SHOULD---

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I AGREE IT IS AN INCONSISTENCY MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT IT IS THE BEST I COULD DO. I THOUGHT IT WOULD PLEASE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BECAUSE HE MADE A POINT ABOUT DEPUTY CHAIRMAN. I TOOK OUT THE WORDS DEPUTY CHAIRMAN AND TOOK THE POINT AND SAID, 'SOMEBODY MUST ACT AS CHAIRMAN.' IF IT SO HAPPENED THAT THE JUDGE TOOK ILL WE REQUIRE THAT A QUORUM EXISTS EVEN IF ONLY TO REPORT TO THE HOUSE THAT THERE WAS A VACANCY. WE CANNOT PERFORM ACTS UNLESS YOU GIVE IT A QUORUM. AT SOME FUTURE TIME, POSSIBLY IN THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE WE SHOULD HAVE A SERIES OF SECTIONS DEALING WITH ALL COMMISSIONS, BOARDS, COMPANIES AND EVERYTHING ELSE THAT ELIMINATE THE NECESSITY FOR THESE LONG LISTS OF TECHNICAL SECTIONS. I THINK THAT UNLESS WE HAVE TWO JUDGES ON IT, THERE IS NO SOLUTION. PERHAPS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MIGHT SUGGEST THAT THE MEMBER WHO IS BEING APPOINTED BY THE COUNCIL COULD BE ANOTHER JUDGE. WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THE POINT. WE ARE BOUND TO BE INCONSISTANT IN THE ORGANIZATION OF THE BILL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU SEE, IT'S THE TIME FACTOR THAT BOTHERS ME. IF IT WAS A CASE OF SOMEBODY ACTING AS THE CHAIRMAN FOR ONE OR TWO DAYS, THIS YOU CAN OVERCOME. YOU DON'T HAVE TO REPORT THE VACANCY OR DO ANYTHING FOR THIRTY DAYS AND IF THE WHOLE THING IS GOING TO TAKE TWO MONTHS, IT MEANS 50% OF THE TIME FACTOR FOR THE COMMISSION TO SIT AND CARRY OUT ITS WORK CAN BE TAKEN UP WITH ONLY TWO MEMBERS, NEITHER OF WHOM HAVE ANY LEGAL BACKGROUND.

I WONDER IF WE CAN IN SOME WAY, SAY WHERE THE CHAIRMAN IS UNABLE TO ACT. A PERSON WITH A LEGAL BACKGROUND TO ACT AS A PRO TEM

CHAIRMAN UNTIL... YOU SEE, YOU ARE GETTING TWO PEOPLE THERE, NEITHER OF THEM ARE GOING TO BE FULLY --- OF WHAT IS GOING TO BE TAKING PLACE. I THINK IT IS A VALID POINT THAT I AM BRINGING FORWARD, BECAUSE UP TO 30 DAYS YOU CAN DO WITHOUT. IF WE REDUCE THAT TO ABOUT 7 DAYS, SO THAT IT IS ACTED UPON PROMPTLY, THEN CERTAINLY WE CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT, BUT IT DOES SEEM A LONG TIME.

MR. MCKINNON: DO IT WITHIN SEVEN DAYS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. I BELIEVE COUNCILLOR STUTTER HAD THE FLOOR MR. LEGAL ADVISOR.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN I WAS JUST ABOUT TO POINT OUT THAT AS FAR AS I CAN SEE SECTION 4 (2) THAT REALLY JUST SETS UP THE CHAIRMAN IN THE FIRST INSTANCE WHEN THE THREE MEMBERS ARE HAIL AND HEARTY. HOPEFULLY THEY WILL STAY THAT WAY ALL THE WAY THROUGH. IT DOES SET UP THE JUDGE IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE, AS THE CHAIRMAN. THEN 6 (2), OR RATHER 6 (1) PROVIDES FOR CONTINUITY IN THE ABSENCE OF THE JUDGE. LATER ON IN THE LEGISLATION, IF THIS IS DRAFTED ANYTHING LIKE FORM OF THE OTHER ONE, THERE IS PROVISION FOR THE COMMISSION TO GET EXPERT ADVICE THE MINUTE THEY WANT IT, SO AS THE COMMISSION IS STILL CARRYING ON, IF THERE IS A TEMPORARY VACANCY BEFORE IT CAN BE FILLED BY THE TIME REQUIREMENT, WHETHER IT BE SEVEN DAYS OR THIRTY DAYS, THEY CAN IN THE MEANTIME AT LEAST GET EXPERTISE, THEY CAN GET HELP, TO HELP OUT IN THAT MANNER. IN THE MEANTIME, THE COMMISSION CAN KEEP FUNCTIONING. I REALLY DON'T FIND TOO MUCH FAULT WITH IT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: WITHOUT HAVING TWO JUDGES IN THE COMMISSION, THERE ISN'T ANY WAY OF MEETING WITH ALL THE WISHES OF THE HOUSE. IT SO HAPPENS THAT THE WISHES OF THE HOUSE ARE INCONSISTENT. ALL WE CAN DO IS OUR BEST. WE KNOW IT'S THERE. WE KNOW IT HURTS BUT WHAT CAN WE DO TO CURE IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S THE LEGISLATION THAT IS INCONSISTENT NOT THE WISHES OF THE HOUSE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T THINK THAT IS TRUE. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER YESTERDAY, MADE A GOOD POINT AND WE ALL REALIZED THE POINT. WE ARE ALSO UNDER A TIME STRAIN OF HAVING TO GET THE THING GOING AS FAST AS POSSIBLE AND WE HOPE THEY REPORT BACK IN TWO MONTHS. I THINK THE LEGAL ADVISOR HAS TRIED TO ACCOMMODATE AS FAR AS POSSIBLE WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S

WISHES WERE YESTERDAY KNOWING THAT WE HAVEN'T GOT MUCH ALTERNATIVE. WE JUST HOPE THE JUDGE DOESN'T GET ILL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN I MAKE THIS SUGGESTION MR. CHAIRMAN. IN 6 (3), WHERE IT SAID, 'BUT WHERE ANY SUCH VACANCY OCCURS, IT SHALL BE FILLED WITHIN 30 DAYS BY APPOINTMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 4' BUT IN THE CASE OF THE JUDGE, IT SHALL BE FILLED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS.

MR. MCKINNON: SEVEN DAYS THROUGHOUT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT, SEVEN DAYS ALL THE WAY THROUGH. I'M MORE CONCERNED ABOUT THE KNOW HOW OF THE JUDGE BEING ON THAT COMMISSION.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE ONE OBVIOUS PROBLEM I CAN SEE WITH THAT IS, WHILE IT IS A GOOD SUGGESTION, WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU DON'T GET A JUDGE WITHIN SEVEN DAYS. IT IS NOT THAT EASY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I HAVE SYMPATHY WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BUT TO FILL THE VACANCY WE MUST RESUMMON COUNCIL. SO WHAT DO THE MEMBERS THINK IS A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME TO SUMMON ITSELF TOGETHER TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SEVEN DAYS. THAT IS SUFFICIENT TIME FOR COUNCIL? IT WAS DONE IN 26 HOURS A FEW WEEKS AGO.

MR. MCKINNON: BUT THAT WAS IMPORTANT, TO GET RID OF YOU.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE, WHAT IS THE OPINION OF COMMITTEE IN THIS MATTER?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN COULD I ASK THE LEGAL ADVISOR A LEGAL POINT IN THAT CASE. IF YOU PUT IN SEVEN DAYS AND IF YOU DIDN'T GET A JUDGE IN SEVEN DAYS AND THE JUDGE CONTINUED TO BE ILL OR ABSENT OR AWAY, WOULD YOU THEN NEGATE THE OFFICE.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I DON'T REALLY HAVE THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION BECAUSE THAT YOU HAVE ALREADY PROVIDED, THAT TWO CAN CONTINUE ON UNTIL AN APPOINTMENT IS MADE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU ALSO HAVE THE SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE MAY BE ILL FOR A WEEK OR TWO WEEKS AND IT TAKES THAT LONG BEFORE THEY

DECIDE THEY BETTER RESIGN, THERE MAY NOT BE A VACANCY BUT SOMEONE MAY NOT BE THERE. THIS IS THE IMPORTANT THING THAT HAPPENS WITH THESE BOARDS. PEOPLE ARE ABSENT, THEY DON'T REMOVE THEMSELVES SO YOU HAVE AN OFFICIAL VACANCY. THAT IS WHY IT IS SO VERY NECESSARY TO LET THE COMMISSION TO ACT WITH A QUORUM OF TWO.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MAY I MAKE A SUGGESTION? WOULD IT BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE HOUSE IF A SUBSECTION WENT IN, THAT IN THE EVENT OF A VACANCY OF THE CHAIRMAN, THE FORMER CHAIRMAN COULD APPOINT A JUDGE TO ACT IN HIS STEAD,

MR. CHAMBERLIST: FINE,

MR. CHAIRMAN: DOES THE COMMITTEE AGREE WITH THIS PROPOSAL. DO I TAKE IT THEN THAT MR. LEGAL ADVISOR WILL BE DRAFTING A FURTHER SUBSECTION?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: LET'S ADD IT ON NOW.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THAT'S INSTANT LAW. I CAN DO IT OVER A COFFEE BREAK BUT I WOULD RATHER NOT DO IT WHILE WE ARE READING THE OTHER SECTIONS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, WE WILL COME BACK TO THAT AND READ IT INTO AS PART OF THE BILL IF ITS ACCEPTABLE TO COMMITTEE. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER IN SECTION 6? SECTION 7--THE COMMISSION SHALL MEET AS SOON AS MAY BE AFTER IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DO YOU WANT ANYTHING MORE DEFINITE THAN THAT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THAT MEANS TOMORROW,

MR. CHAIRMAN: 8 (1) THE COMMISSION MAY BE CALLED TOGETHER AT ANYTIME BY THE CHAIRMAN FOR THE PURPOSE OF CARRYING OUT ITS FUNCTIONS. (2) THE COMMISSION MAY MEET AT ANY TIME ON ITS OWN MOTION TO PERFORM ANY OF ITS FUNCTIONS OR DUTIES. 9 (1) THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION OTHER THAN THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE PAID SUCH REMUNERATIONS AS THE COMMISSIONER MAY PRESCRIBE. (3) THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION SHALL BE PAID SUCH TRANSPORTATION, ACCOMODATION AND LIVING EXPENSES IN CONNECTION WITH THEIR DUTIES WHILE AWAY FROM THEIR ORDINARY PLACE OF RESIDENCE AS THE COMMISSIONER MAY PRESCRIBE. SECTION 10--UPON ITS ESTABLISHMENT, THE COMMISSION SHALL PROCEED TO PREPARE A REPORT SETTING FORTH ITS RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DIVISIONS OF THE

TERRITORY INTO TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND ITS RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DESCRIPTION OF THE BOUNDARIES IN THE NAME OF EACH SUCH DISTRICT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WAIT A MINUTE, AGAIN, WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE. I DON'T KNOW WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERLOOKING IT, BUT YOU ARE COMPELLING THE COMMISSION TO HAVE TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND WE ALREADY SPOKE ABOUT THAT VERY STRONGLY, WE LEAVE IT IN THE HANDS OF THE COMMISSION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I UNDERSTAND THIS. THE POSITION WAS IN DRAFTING, ONE COULD GIVE 90% TO EACH MEMBER, A PARTY WOULD WISH TO HAVE. THIS IS THE MISSING 10%. I WOULD LIKE, IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE HOUSE IS DIVIDED, A FIRM DECISION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER ON THIS POINT AT THIS TIME. IF THE HOUSE WOULD BE SO GOOD AS TO OBLIGE ME SO I GET A FIRM DIRECTION AS TO HOW TO DRAFT IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I WAS BOTHERED BY THE AMENDMENTS TO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS IN SECTION 2 WHICH NOW READS, 'MEANS ANY PLACE OR AREA INTITLED TO REPRESENTATION ON THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.' IT DID NOT MAKE IT SO IT BE MEMBER OR MEMBERS AND I WAS GOING TO OBJECT AND THEN I THOUGHT WE'D LEAVE IT. WHEN YOU COME TO THAT SECTION, I CAN SEE WHAT IS BEING DONE. IT MEANS EXACTLY THE SAME AS WHAT IT WAS BECAUSE NOW WHEN IT REFERS TO REPRESENTATION TO ANY PLACE OR AREA AND THEN SPECIFIES TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND WE KNOW THERE IS GOING TO BE TWELVE MEMBERS, IT MEANS ONE MEMBER TO EACH DISTRICT. THAT WASN'T THE FEELING OF THIS COUNCIL YESTERDAY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE HOUSE TALKED ABOUT IT YESTERDAY VERY CLEARLY BUT IT WASN'T AN ENTIRELY EXPRESSED POINT OF VIEW. WHAT WE ARE ASKING NOW IS FOR THE HOUSE TO EXPRESS THEMSELVES NOW AND IT WILL BE AMENDED TO WHATEVER THE HOUSE WANTS. IT IS MY PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW MR. CHAIRMAN AND IT'S NOT THE LEGAL ADVISOR WHO IS TO BE BLAMED FOR THIS. IT'S MYSELF AND THE OTHER EXECUTIVE MEMBER WHO WANT TO GET CLEARLY FROM THE HOUSE WHERE THEY WANT TO GO ON THIS. WE ARE ASKING WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT TO HAVE THE TWELVE IN THERE. IF YOU DO, IT WILL BE LEFT IN THERE AND THE LEGISLATION RUNS. IF IT DOESN'T WE CAN AMEND IT.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN COULD I JUST ASK THE LEGAL ADVISOR IF IT WOULD READ ALRIGHT IF THE

WORD TWELVE IS JUST LEFT OUT OR WOULD IT HAVE TO BE COMPLETELY REDRAFTED?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: ITS NOT A QUESTION OF REDRAFTING. IT WOULD HAVE TO HAVE TWO OR THREE OTHER SUBSECTIONS WOULD HAVE TO BE AMENDED. I WOULD THEN ACCEPT, IF THE HOUSE WOULD GIVE ME A CLEAR DIRECTION ON THE POINT THAT ITS INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS WITHOUT A SPECIFIED NUMBER, SAY THEN TEN OR NINE. THEN AN EXTRA PHRASE HAS TO GO INTO THIS WHICH WOULD READ, THAT THEY MUST MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS. THE REPRESENTATION OF SUCH DISTRICTS AND ITS RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DESCRIPTION OF THE BOUNDARY. THAT IS WHY THE MEMBER IS CURIOUS AS TO WHY THE ORIGINAL DEFINITION WAS CHANGED, IT WAS TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT THERE MIGHT BE A POSSIBILITY OF THE AMENDMENT COMING FORWARD. I WANTED THE DEFINITION TO STAND WITHOUT HAVING IT AMENDED SO THAT IT CAN REPRESENT BOTH SITUATIONS.

THAT SUBSECTION WILL HAVE TO BE AMENDED AND I THINK ONE OR TWO OTHER SECTIONS DEALING WITH THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION, THE PHRASE WOULD HAVE TO GO IN WITH THE OTHER TWO PHRASES ABOUT THE BOUNDARIES AND THE NAME, THE BOUNDARIES, THE REPRESENTATION AND THE NAME.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON,

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ON THIS SECTION. I FEEL THAT WE SHOULD, THIS IS A POLICY DECISION, AND A POLICY DECISION SHOULD BE MADE BY THIS HOUSE. IT SHOULD NOT BE MADE BY THE COMMISSION. I THINK THIS HOUSE SHOULD DETERMINE WHETHER THEY WANT ONE MEMBER FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT OR WHETHER THEY WANT MORE THAN ONE MEMBER FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT. THIS IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY HERE, TO GIVE THE COMMISSION DIRECTIONS. ALL ACROSS CANADA, IN THE FEDERAL CONSTITUENCIES, THERE ISN'T ONE FEDERAL CONSTITUENCY WITH MORE THAN ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING THAT CONSTITUENCY. IN THE YUKON, DAWSON CITY AT ONE TIME, HAD TWO MEMBERS. THIS HAS BEEN ELIMINATED SO THAT THEY RVERTED TO THE ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING THAT AREA.

ALL OTHER JURISDICTIONS ARE GETTING AWAY FROM MORE THAN ONE MEMBER REPRESENTING A CONSTITUENCY AND IT WOULD BRING UP MANY PROBLEMS IF YOU HAD A CONSTITUENCY REPRESENTED BY MORE THAN ONE MEMBER. YOU COULD JUST SEE ONE MEMBER BEING PLAYED AGAINST THE OTHER. YOU CAN JUST SEE

THE MEMBERS PASSING THE BUCK, SAYING WELL, WHEN THEIR CONSTITUENTS COME TO SEE THEM, SEE THE OTHER MEMBER.

I THINK IT WOULD BE A VERY RETROGRADE TYPE OF STEP THAT WE WOULD BE TAKING AT THIS TIME. THIS IS A DECISION THAT WE SHOULD BE MAKING AT THIS TIME, IN THIS HOUSE. DO WE WANT TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS OR DO WE NOT? I DO NOT THINK IT IS FAIR TO GIVE THE COMMISSION THE DISCRETION OR EVEN TO EXPECT THEM TO MAKE THE DECISION WHEN WE DON'T WANT TO MAKE THE DECISION HERE IN THIS HOUSE. THAT IS WHY THIS IS HERE AND I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR OTHER OPINIONS FROM OTHER COUNCILLORS BECAUSE WE WOULD CERTAINLY BE GOING BACKWARDS RATHER THAN FORWARD.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I JUST SEEM TO BE REPEATING WHAT I SAID YESTERDAY AS FAR AS MY REMARKS IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA IS CONCERNED. IT IS NOT SO MUCH AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, I DON'T WANT TO SAY WHETHER OR NOT THERE ARE ELEVEN OR TWELVE DISTRICTS. I THINK THAT IS PART OF THE FUNCTION OF THE COMMISSION AND I THINK THAT SORT OF DIRECTION WILL COME TO THE COMMISSION THROUGH REPRESENTATION BY THE PEOPLE.

IF WE WRITE IN HERE TWELVE, THEN THERE IS NO CHANCE FOR THAT TYPE OF REPRESENTATION. IF THEN THE PUBLIC, LET'S SAY IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA IN THEIR WISDOM, THINK THERE SHOULD BE TWO MEMBERS FROM ONE PARTICULAR AREA. IF WE WRITE INTO HERE TWELVE, THERE IS NO POSSIBLE WAY THAT CAN BE DONE WITHOUT A CHANGE IN THE LEGISLATION.

Mrs. WATSON: BUT Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE MUST REMEMBER THAT WE REPRESENT THE PEOPLE. WHILE WE ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS HOUSE, WE ARE RESPONSIBLE TO THOSE PEOPLE AND WE HAVE TO MAKE POLICY DECISIONS ON THEIR BEHALF. I THINK IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT SOME DIRECTION BE GIVEN TO THE COMMISSION BEFORE THEY EVER, NOW YOU MUST REMEMBER THEIR PROPOSALS MUST BE PREPARED AND IN ORDER TO PREPARE THEIR PROPOSALS, THEY MUST HAVE SOME DIRECTION FROM THIS HOUSE. I THINK IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT THIS HOUSE ACCEPTS THAT RESPONSIBILITY.

Mr. MCKINNON: WHY AM I GETTING UP AGAIN. I DON'T WANT TO WASTE THE TIME OF THIS HOUSE. I HAVE MADE MY OPINION CLEAR YESTERDAY THAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD HAVE THE DISCRETION. I THOUGHT THE MAJORITY OF MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE MADE THE POLICY. LET'S TAKE A VOTE ON IT.

WHY ARE WE WASTING THE TIME. I AGREE THAT THE COMMISSION HAVING THE FLEXIBILITY TO DECLARE WHETHER THEY WANT ONE MEMBER FOR EACH SEPARATE TWELVE CONSTITUENCIES OR WHETHER THERE CAN BE MORE THAN ONE MEMBER IN THE CONSTITUENCY. HOW MANY AGREE? ONE, TWO, THREE, ANOTHER ONE. THAT'S A MAJORITY. Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR WILL YOU PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDING TO THAT.

Mrs. WATSON: I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS ARE NOT MAKING OR LIVING UP TO THE RESPONSIBILITIES AND THEY SHOULD BE ..

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

Mrs. WATSON CONTINUES: AND THEY SHOULD BE MAKING THIS DECISION HERE IN THIS HOUSE, WHETHER THEY WANT REPRESENTATION OF ONE MEMBER FOR EACH ELECTORAL DISTRICT AND THIS IS THE DIRECTION THAT SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE COMMISSIONER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, DO YOU REQUIRE A MOTION FOR THIS?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NO, I CAN DO THIS BY A SHOW OF HANDS IF COMMITTEE AGREES. WOULD THOSE IN FAVOUR OF THE PROPOSAL MADE THAT THE COMMISSION NOT BE CLUTTERED BY ANY SUCH CONTROLS, PLEASE INDICATE ?

Mr. TANNER: JUST A MINUTE Mr. CHAIRMAN, EXCUSE ME. THAT'S NOT THE QUESTION. DON'T CLUTTER THE QUESTION UP. JUST ASK THE COUNCIL WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANT IT WRITTEN AS IT PRESENTLY SITS WITH TWELVE MEMBERS TWELVE ELECTORAL MEMBERS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NOW, LET'S GET THIS QUESTION STRAIGHT. THE CHAIR HAS IT THAT THERE ARE SOME MEMBERS WHO WISH TO RETAIN THE TWELVE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS IN SECTION 10. THERE ARE OTHER MEMBERS WHO WISH TO LEAVE THE NUMBER OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS TO THE COMMISSION. DO I HAVE THAT STRAIGHT?

THOSE WHO ARE IN FAVOUR OF LEAVING IT UP TO THE COMMISSION, PLEASE INDICATE.

Mrs. WATSON: I DISAGREE AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT RECORDED THAT I DISAGREE VERY STRONGLY. UNDERLINE IT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE IT WILL APPEAR IN THE RECORDS. Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR WILL YOU KINDLY?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: I WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY, Mr. CHAIRMAN BUT CAN I TAKE IT THAT THE DECISION ALLOWS ME THE SCOPE AND TO ADD IN THE PHRASES IN

TWO OR THREE OTHER PLACES WHICH COMPLEMENT THAT PARTICULAR DECISION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT WILL THE PHRASES BE?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE PHRASES WILL BE THAT IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE IT WILL READ: UPON THE ESTABLISHMENT, THE COMMISSION SHALL PROCEED TO PREPARE A REPORT SETTING FORTH ITS RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING THE DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, THE REPRESENTATION OF SUCH DISTRICTS AND ITS RECOMMENDATIONS CONCERNING THE DESCRIPTION OF THE BOUNDARIES AND THE NAME OF EACH SUCH DISTRICT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF WE COULD BE MORE SPECIFIC BY SAYING THAT REPRESENTATION IN EACH DISTRICT THAT THEY NAME, WHETHER IT BE ONE OR TWO, THIS CLEARLY INDICATES TO THE COMMISSION,

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE EXPRESSION 'REPRESENTATION OF SUCH DISTRICTS' MEANS ARE NOT ON A ONE ONE BASIS, HOW MANY MEMBERS THEY SHOULD HAVE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER AT THIS TIME IF I MIGHT INDICATE AND HAVE IT ON RECORD THAT THE COMMISSION, I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO PUT IT IN LEGISLATION, THAT THE COMMISSION BE ASKED TO EXAMINE THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS OF THIS DEBATE SO THAT THEY MAY BE GUIDED BY THE FEELINGS OF THIS COUNCIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, HE IS NOT PREPARED TO GIVE SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS, HE WANTS THEM TO READ THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS.

SOME MEMBERS INTERRUPT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD THINK IN ANY EVENT THAT WE WILL BE REVIEWING THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS. NOW, DOES THE CHAIR THEN HAVE IT FROM THE LEGAL ADVISOR THAT YOU WILL BE PREPARING A REWRITE ON SECTION 10?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ON SECTION 10?

ALRIGHT, WE'LL GO TO SECTION 11, MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 11 (1) AND (2),

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WOULD

REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL PHRASE DEALING WITH REPRESENTATION ALSO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THOUGHT WE SPOKE YESTERDAY ABOUT USING ALL FORMS OF MEDIA.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IN DISCUSSION ON THE PRACTICALITIES OF IT, IN CASE ANIK WAS NOT AVAILABLE AT A LOW FEE TO THE COMMISSION, IT WAS THOUGHT BETTER TO MAKE SURE THAT THE ARCTIC CHANGE THAT A MAP GOT IN WRITING TO EVERY PLACE AND THE ONLY PLACE FOR IT TO APPEAR WOULD BE A NEWSPAPER. OTHER NOTICES THEY CAN USE, ANYTHING THEY LIKE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? I BELIEVE THERE IS ALSO AN ADDITION COMING TO THIS SECTION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT WOULD BE AN EXTRA PHRASE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, SECTION 12, MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 12. CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 13, MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 13

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE OF NO. 10, THAT THE RE-WRITE OF NO. 10, IT IS MOST IMPERATIVE THAT NO. 13 BE THEN AMENDED TO STATE: THE COMMISSION SHALL NOT, IN RECOMMENDING THE DIVISION OF THE TERRITORY INTO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS, THE COMMISSION SHALL ALLOT NOT LESS THAN ONE HALF OF THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO THAT PORTION OF THE TERRITORY LYING OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH HO, NO WAY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST BEFORE MEMBERS TAKE UP WITHOUT THINKING OF WHAT THEY ARE SAYING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE NO SPECIFIC MEMBERS FOR SPECIFIC NUMBER OF SEATS THEN YOU'VE GOT TO CHANGE 13 TO MAKE IT CONSISTENT.

NOBODY IS TRYING TO HOODWINK ANYBODY. ALL WE ARE SAYING IS, ORIGINALLY WE SAID, HALF THE ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND IN THIS CASE WHERE YOU HAVE NO ELECTORAL DISTRICTS SPECIFIED IN SECTION 10, YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE THE REPRESENTATION. THE REPRESENTATIVES IN HERE, I DON'T THINK ANYBODY IS TRYING TO PULL ANYTHING. WE ARE JUST TRYING TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE DECISION THE COUNCIL HAS JUST MADE.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD HAVE TO GO

ALONG WITH THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE IN THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE BECAUSE YESTERDAY WHEN WE READ THE ORIGINAL BILL AND CAME TO THAT SECTION IT WAS AGREED BY ALL MEMBERS THAT TIME, THAT THE NUMBER OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS AND MEMBERS WOULD NOT BE GREATER IN WHITEHORSE THAN IN THE OUTLYING AREAS AND THAT'S ALL THE MEMBER IS MAKING CLEAR AND I AGREE WITH HER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. LEGAL ADVISOR, WILL YOU BE MAKING THE NECESSARY PREPARATION? O.K.

ALRIGHT, WE WILL GO TO SECTION 14. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 14. CLEAR?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN DISCUSSING THE BILL YESTERDAY, THERE WERE TWO SUBSECTIONS, ONE DEALING WITH THE AREA OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE AND THE OTHER DEALING WITH THE AREA INSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE WHICH COMPELLED THE COMMISSION OR WOULD HAVE COMPELLED THE COMMISSION TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS MORE OR LESS IN EXACT PROPORTION RIDING BY RIDING.

NOW, THE INSTRUCTIONS IN THE HOUSE WERE QUITE CLEAR TO ME TO TAKE OUT THE SUBSECTIONS BUT ON CONSIDERATION IT APPEARED TO ME THAT THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS WHICH SHOULD AT LEAST BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION ALTHOUGH THEY SHOULDN'T BE BOUND BY IT. SO I HAVE INCLUDED TWO PARAGRAPHS (D) AND (E) TO REFLECT THIS. AMONG THE THINGS TO THINK ABOUT ARE THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ONE DISTRICT AND ANOTHER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: LET'S DEAL WITH 15. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 15 (A) (B) (C) (D) (E).

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EXCUSE ME. WHAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DO HERE IS TO AT LEAST ACKNOWLEDGE THAT POPULATION HAS TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION IN SOME CASES AND IT SEEMS TO ME THIS CONFORMS WITH WHAT ALL MEMBERS WISHED YESTERDAY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE ALREADY, I THINK THAT (D) AND (E) ARE SUPERFLUOUS BECAUSE OF WHAT (A) SAYS. SEE, (A) SAYS, INCLUDING IN PARTICULAR THE SPARSITY, DENSITY WHICH COVERS THAT, BECAUSE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT POPULATION. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT POPULATION AND THE GROWTH OF POPULATION. SO IT'S ALREADY IN (A). WHEN WE ARE PUTTING (D) AND (E) IN, IT IS EXCESSIVE.

MRS. WATSON: NO, NO, NO, NO.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. WE HAVE LOOKED AT THIS FOR

SOME CONSIDERABLE TIME. IN FACT IT IS THE ONE REASON WHY WE CAME IN SO LATE.

SPARSITY AND DENSITY DON'T NECESSARILY REFER TO THE OVERALL POPULATION AND OBVIOUSLY WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT BREAKING UP A TERRITORY OR BREAKING UP AN AREA INTO SOME FORM OF REPRESENTATIVE VOTE, YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE SOME CONSIDERATION FOR THE POPULATION. INITIALLY ANYWAY, AND SPARSITY, DENSITY AND RELATIVE GROWTH RATE DON'T SPECIFICALLY SAY, YES, IDEALLY WE HAVE ONE MAN, ONE VOTE IN EACH CONSTITUENCY. THAT ONE REPRESENTATIVE WILL REPRESENT THE SAME NUMBER OF CONSTITUENTS. IDEALLY THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE. I KNOW THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN BUT SURELY YOU'VE GOT TO GO ON THE BASIC PREMISE THAT THERE HAS GOT TO BE RECOGNITION OF THE VARIOUS POPULATIONS IN THE TWO AREAS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAD HOPED THAT WE HAD RESOLVED THIS QUESTION YESTERDAY TO EVERYONE'S SATISFACTION. I'M SURPRISED TO SEE IT BACK AGAIN.

I DON'T SEE THAT THERE IS ANY REQUIREMENT WHATSOEVER. THIS WAS IN THE OLD BILL, SECTION 15 WHICH WE DEALT WITH YESTERDAY. WE AGREED, THIS WHOLE COMMITTEE AGREED THAT EVERYTHING WAS COVERED AND IN FACT THAT (D) AND (E) THE RELEVANT POPULATIONS OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS WITHIN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE OR WITHOUT IT, SHOULD NOT BE SPECIFIED IN THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF LEGISLATION. IN THE WISDOM OF THE COMMISSION THEY WOULD CERTAINLY TAKE INTO ACCOUNT ANYWAY THE POPULATIONS ANYWHERE. AMONG ONE OF THE REASONS WE SUGGESTED WAS OLD CROW AND IF YOU BIND THEM TO THIS TYPE OF FORMULA, OLD CROW COULD WELL NOT HAVE A CONSTITUENCY OR MIGHT WIND UP NORTH DAWSON - OLD CROW OR SOMETHING OF THIS NATURE OR CLINTON CREEK-OLD CROW.

I SEE ABSOLUTELY NO NECESSITY FOR (D) OR (E). I FEEL THERE IS NO PART IN THERE. I FEEL WE HAVE A JUDGE AND WE WILL HAVE CONFIDENT PEOPLE ON THIS COMMITTEE AND I DON'T SEE THAT THEY SHOULD BE ENCUMBERED IN EITHER CONSIDERING THE DIVISION OF ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES NOR SHOULD THIS ENCUMBER THE REPRESENTATION FROM THE PUBLIC IN THIS REGARD IN THE SAME MANNER WE DISCUSSED IN ANOTHER AREA EARLIER IN DISCUSSION OF THIS BILL. I SEE NO REASON FOR (D) OR (E) BEING INCLUDED IN SECTION 15.

Mr. TANNER: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS NOT BEING CONSISTENT BECAUSE IF HE REMEMBERS IN THE DEBATE YESTERDAY IT WAS HE HIMSELF WHO SAID WHEN WE WERE READING SECTIONS 14, 15 AND 16 YESTERDAY OF THE PREVIOUS BILL, HE HIMSELF SAID "CAN'T WE PUT SOMETHING ABOUT POPULATION IN THE NEW SECTION TO ACCOMMODATE MY PARTICULAR OBJECTION." YOU SAID IT YOURSELF, MR. CHAIRMAN.

THERE'S GOT TO BE, SURELY, SOME RECOGNITION OF THE FACT THAT POPULATION AND EQUIVALENT IF POSSIBLE, NUMBERS OF POPULATION AND THESE INCONSISTENCIES SHOULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT. IT'S BASIC TO THE WAY THAT THE COUNTRY IS SPLIT UP FEDERALLY AND IT'S BASIC TO THE WAY EACH PROVINCE IS SPLIT UP AND IT SHOULD BE BASIC TO OUR LEGISLATION HERE, YOU CAN'T JUST IGNORE IT.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, YESTERDAY WHAT I HAVE SAID AND I HAVE MADE NOTES; IT'S A MATTER OF RECORD AND I SAID THAT THERE WAS NO NECESSITY TO PUT IN (D) OR (E) OR ANY REFERENCE TO IT AS WAS PROPOSED IN SECTION 15 OF THE OLD DRAFT.

BY SIMPLY INSERTING THE WORD 'POPULATION' AND I ROSE TO SUPPORT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN BEFORE ME, BY PUTTING IN (A) AND RECOMMENDING THE BOUNDARIES OF ANY ELECTORAL DISTRICTS THE COMMISSION SHALL EITHER CONSIDER (A) POPULATION, GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATIONS INCLUDING PARTICULARLY THE SPARSITY, DENSITY OR RELATIVE RATE OF GROWTH OF POPULATION OF ANY REGION. THE ONE SINGLE WORD "POPULATION" WAS WHAT I PROPOSED THERE I FELT TO BE UNNECESSARY AND IT POSSIBLY IS UNNECESSARY. IF ONE WISHES TO PUT POPULATION IN THERE, FINE, BUT I DO NOT SEE ANY REASON FOR (D) AND (E) TO TIE DOWN THE COMMISSION TO THIS POINT.

Mr. TANNER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'VE EVEN GONE FURTHER THAN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S SUGGESTION, YESTERDAY. HE SAID POPULATION IS DISTINCT. WE ARE SAYING RELATIVE POPULATIONS OF THOSE PLACES OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE AND THOSE PLACES INSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. I DON'T SEE ANYTHING WRONG WITH IT AT ALL. IT'S EXACTLY WHAT THE MEMBER SAID YESTERDAY WITH A FURTHER DEGREE TO WHAT HE SAID.

Mr. TAYLOR: TO THE CONTRARY. WHAT THIS WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF DOING WAS INTIMATING TO THE COMMISSION THAT THEY MUST IN CHOOSING ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY, IT MUST HAVE EQUAL POPULATION IN EACH OF THE CONSTITUENCIES. THIS IS WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO INFER FROM IT. IT HAS NO PLACE IN SECTION 15 AND AS FAR AS I AM

CONCERNED 15 (A) (B) AND (C) ADEQUATELY COVER THE TERMS OF REFERENCE IN RESPECT OF THE DIVISIONS AND CERTAINLY POPULATIONS ARE BEING CONSIDERED AND (D) AND (E) SHOULD NOT APPEAR IN SECTION 15. I WOULD VERY, VERY STRONGLY OPPOSE THE INCLUSION OF (D) AND (E) IN THIS.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO QUOTE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, HE SAYS "MAYBE WE WOULD LIKE TO WRITE POPULATION SPECIFICALLY IN THERE".

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: READ THE REST OF IT.

Mr. TAYLOR: WHERE I TOLD YOU.

Mr. TANNER: POPULATION, GEOGRAPHIC CONSIDERATION AND SO FORTH. I DON'T THINK YOU WOULD BE FAIR TO THE COMMISSION OR FAIR TO THOSE WHO MIGHT MAKE REPRESENTATIONS TO IT BY LOCKING THEM INTO A DEFINITE POPULATION SITUATION. AND THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE NOT DOING WITH THE SUGGESTIONS OF (D) AND (E).

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WELL, FROM THE CHAIR, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT AGAIN, WE GET THE FEELING OF COMMITTEE ON THIS ONE. IT SEEMS TO ME, IT'S ENTIRELY UP TO COMMITTEE WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANT THESE TWO SECTIONS (D) AND (E) IN THE BILL AT ALL.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD STRONGLY RECOMMEND TO COMMITTEE AND ASK COMMITTEE THAT (D) AND (E) BE DELETED.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST BEFORE YOU GO AHEAD, WOULD IT BE AGREEABLE TO MEMBERS THEN TO PUT POPULATION, AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAID, UP AT THE TOP?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S ALREADY IN THERE.

Mr. TANNER: IT ISN'T IN THERE. MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE'S NOT ANY SPECIFIC MENTION OF POPULATION THERE. YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT POPULATION AS RELATED TO GROWTH OR SPARSITY OR DENSITY, THAT IS NOT SPECIFICALLY SAYING POPULATION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: OH, COME NOW.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU WERE OBJECTING AS FAR AS THE RURAL COMMUNITIES ARE CONCERNED, USING THE RELATIVE POPULATION ELECTORAL DISTRICT OUTSIDE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. WOULD IT BE ACCEPTABLE TO LEAVE THE RELATIVE POPULATIONS OF ELECTORAL DISTRICTS WITHIN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE? WOULD COMMITTEE GIVE THAT CONSIDERATION?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN ANSWER TO THAT, I HAVE ONE FLAT ANSWER. NO! LEAVE THE COMMISSION, WE ARE PUTTING A COMMISSION OUT TO DO SOME WORK. DON'T TIE THEIR HANDS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST DON'T ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TO MAKE A DECISION. FINE.

MR. TAYLOR: AS A MATTER OF FACT, IF THIS COMES BACK FROM THE COMMISSION WITH A REPORT AND IT IS UNACCEPTABLE TO THIS COUNCIL, THEN WE WILL FIND OUT WHO IS GOING TO MAKE DECISIONS. THAT'S OUR DECISION TO MAKE; WHETHER WE ACCEPT IT OR REJECT IT OR ALTER IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. IT'S BEEN MOVED THAT SECTIONS (D) AND (E) BE DELETED FROM 15. THOSE IN AGREEMENT PLEASE SIGNIFY. AGREED? DISAGREED? I WOULD DECLARE THAT THEY ARE DELETED.

SOME MEMBER: THERE'S ANOTHER DING-DONG.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH, DO YOU HEAR THAT? RESIGN FROM THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. DON'T ASSOCIATE YOURSELF WITH THESE PEOPLE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD YOU RECORD MY NEGATIVE VOTE PLEASE?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH, IT IS A NEGATIVE VOTE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD YOU RECORD MY NEGATIVE VOTE?

MR. TAYLOR: I WILL NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M SURE THAT THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS OF THESE DISCUSSIONS WILL SO RECORD.

MRS. WATSON: NO MR. CHAIRMAN, NOT UNLESS WE ASK THEM TO BE RECORDED AND WE WOULD SO REQUEST. JUST IN CASE WHEN THE RECOMMENDATIONS COME BACK AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER STARTS DIVIDING WATSON LAKE CONSTITUENCY WITH TWO MEMBERS IF HE WANTS TO DIVIDE IT IN HALF.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, POSSIBLY WE COULD JUST SKIP ALONG AND MR. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD THEN HAVE TIME TO... SO NOTE, MR. LEGAL ADVISOR.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 16. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 16 (1) (2) (3). CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 17. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 17. ARE WE CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 18. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 18 (1) (2). CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 19. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 19.

20. MR. CHAIRMAN READS SECTION 20.

THE COMMISSION MAY MAKE RULES FOR REGULATING PROCEEDINGS AND FOR THE CONDUCT OF ITS BUSINESS.

20. IN PERFORMING ITS DUTIES THE COMMISSION HAS ALL THE POWERS OF A BOARD OF INQUIRY APPOINTED UNDER THE PUBLIC INQUIRIES ORDINANCE.

CLEAR?

MR. TAYLOR READS 21 (1), (2)(A) & (B), (3), (4), (5).

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE JUST RECEIVED "A MESSAGE FROM GARCIA" AND REQUEST THAT THE (3) BE (4) IN THE FIRST SUBSECTION HERE. REPORT WITHIN FOUR (4) MONTHS, TO COVER THE POSSIBILITY OF SOMETHING HAPPENING.

MR. CHAMERLIST: NO, THREE MONTHS IS BETTER.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THIS IS WHAT HAS BEEN REQUESTED BY THE PROPOSED CHAIRMAN.

THIS IS NOT TO SAY THAT WE WILL TAKE THAT, BUT AS A PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE JUST IN CASE OF AN ACCIDENT SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE OF A DELAY, IN ORDER NOT TO INVALIDATE A REPORT THE REQUEST IS TO MAKE IT FORMAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS BECOMING A LITTLE WORRIED ABOUT THIS ONE, BECAUSE THE WHOLE OBJECT OF THIS EXERCISE IS TO ATTEMPT TO GET THIS REDISTRIBUTION DONE AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. NOW IF WE SPREAD THIS OUT FOR FOUR

MONTHS WE ARE BACK WHERE WE STARTED FROM. IF THIS CAN BE DONE IN A MONTH OR A MONTH AND A HALF, ALL THAT MUCH BETTER SO THAT WE CAN HAVE THIS ELECTION ON THE WAY IN THE FALL IN SEPTEMBER. NOW THIS IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS EXERCISE AND WHY IT IS BECOMING A ONE SHOT DEAL. AND I SHOULD THINK THAT IT WOULD BE MADE ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO THE COMMISSION WHO EVER IT MAY BE COMPOSED OF, THAT WE WANT POST HASTE IN THIS PARTICULAR OPERATION AND WE SHOULDN'T OVER EXTEND THIS OPERATION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH RESPECT, A CONSULTATION HAS BEEN AFFECTED. IF YOU WOULD SAY THREE MONTHS AND IT HAD BECOME FOR SOME REASON IMPOSSIBLE, THEN WE ARE IN REAL TROUBLE. THIS IS NOT TO SAY IT IS GOING TO TAKE FOUR MONTHS. IT'S THE NOMINEE OF COUNCIL, AS A PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE, SUGGESTED THIS AND I THINK HIS VIEWS SHOULD BE LISTENED TO WITH SOME DEGREE OF COMMON SENSE. WE DON'T KNOW HOW DIFFICULT THE TASK IS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SURE THE CHAIRMAN IS VERY AWARE OF THE URGENCY OF HAVING THIS REPORT COMPLETED AS SOON AS IT IS POSSIBLE. BUT JUST IN CASE SOMETHING DOES HAPPEN, I THINK WE SHOULD CONCEDE THE FOUR MONTHS. WE ARE SAYING WITHIN FOUR MONTHS. IT COULD BE FOUR WEEKS THAT IT'S READY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S NOT UNREASONABLE AND I WOULD SUPPORT THAT. I HAVE MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE AREA THAT AGAIN WE'RE GOING TO BE IN THE SAME POSITION, AS I SPOKE ABOUT THE OTHER DAY. BECAUSE 17 (1) "THE COMMISSION SHALL RECORD ITS PROCEEDINGS AND THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS BELONGING OR PERTAINING TO THE COMMISSION."

21 NOW READS "21 (2)(B) TRANSMIT ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER AFTER DELIVERING ITS REPORT TO HIM." AND I RAISED THE SAME POSITION YESTERDAY AND NOTHING HAS CHANGED.

MR. TANNER: YES IT HAS MR. SPEAKER.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE REDRAFT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PLEASE, MR. CHAIRMAN, LET ME CONTINUE MR. CHAIRMAN. IF AFTER THE COMMISSION HAS FINISHED THEN AFTER (1) IT SAYS "THE COMMISSION SHALL RECORD ITS PROCEEDINGS AND THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS BELONGING OR PERTAINING TO THE COMMISSION UNTIL IT HAS

MADE IT'S REPORT TO THE SPEAKER." THEN OF COURSE 17 (2)(B) WOULD FOLLOW. THEN HE GETS RID OF IT. BUT THE WAY IT READS NOW IS IN THE POSITION OF HAVING TO RETAIN THE DOCUMENTS. AND THEN YOU HAVE SECTION 21 (2)(B) WHICH SAYS "TRANSMIT THE DOCUMENTS". NOW WHAT DOES HE DO? DOES HE MAINTAIN THEM OR TRANSMIT THEM? AND IT SHOULD BE SPELLED OUT. THIS IS ALL I'M ASKING.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER - - HE SAYS THE EARLIER SESSION WAS PERFECTLY IN ORDER. IF WE GIVE A TIME LIMIT AS TO WHEN THE DOCUMENTS HAD TO BE TRANSMITTED TO THE SPEAKER. THIS I HAVE PUT IN. IT APPEARS VERY DIFFICULT BECAUSE EVERY TIME I REDRAFT TO PLEASE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HE APPEARS TO CHANGE HIS MIND THE FOLLOWING DAY AND HE WANTS A NEW REDRAFT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WISH ENGLISH AND ENGLISH ENGLISH. I GUESS THERE IS A LITTLE BIT OF DIFFERENCE IN IT. NOW, TO ME, AND WHAT I SAID AND I REPEAT AGAIN. THAT THERE MUST BE A CONTINUITY AS OF TO WHEN THE CHAIRMAN HAS CEASED TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DOCUMENTS. THE WAY THIS IS NOW, THERE IS A SECTION THAT SAYS HE HAS TO MAINTAIN IN HIS CUSTODY THE DOCUMENTS. THERE IS NOTHING HERE TO SAY WHEN HE MUST GIVE THEM UP.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE TOOK OUT THE WORD "KEEP" BECAUSE IT WAS SUSEPTABLE OF ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE DIFFERENT MEANINGS, AND WE SAID THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE TO KEEP A RECORD WHICH WAS THE FOUNDATION OF THE ARGUMENT. HE JUST SAID RECORD THE PROCEEDINGS. HE DOESN'T HAVE TO KEEP THAT RECORD. HE JUST HAS TO RECORD IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PLEASE LOOK, THAT'S THE FIRST SENTENCE. IT SAYS "THE COMMISSION SHALL RECORD ITS PROCEEDINGS". AND THERE IS SOMETHING ELSE HE HAS TO DO. "AND THE CHAIRMAN SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ALL RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS." THIS IS WHAT I'M EXPRESSING. THAT YOU ARE SAYING IN THIS LEGISLATION THAT THE CHAIRMAN MUST MAINTAIN THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF THE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS EVEN AFTER THE RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS. AND I SAY THERE MUST BE A TIME SPECIFIED WHEN THE CHAIRMAN IS NO LONGER RESPONSIBLE AND TURNS OVER THE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER. AND I'M SAYING IT WILL BE VERY SIMPLE MR. CHAIRMAN, TO INCLUDE A PROVISION THAT UPON THE REPORT BEING GIVEN TO THE SPEAKER, THEN WILL TURN OVER ALL THE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS.

Mrs. WATSON: THAT'S WHAT WE DO SAY. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE DO SAY, IN 21 (2).

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WHERE DOES IT SAY THAT?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WHERE DOES IT SAY THAT?

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN IN 21 (2), 21 SUB (2). THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT WE SAY. HE FILES THE REPORT WITH THE SPEAKER AND THEN HE TRANSMITS ITS RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS TO THE SPEAKER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IF THIS IS HOW YOU WANT TO READ IT. IT DOESN'T READ LIKE THAT TO ME.

Mr. TAYLOR: AT THIS TIME I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK THE HOUSE TO PASS THE NEXT SECTION WITH SOME ACCLAMATION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: SOME WHAT?

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: ACCLAMATION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 22 (1) IS READ BY Mr. CHAIRMAN. Mr. CHAIRMAN READS 22 (2).

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I SEE A SLIGHT AREA HERE THAT MAY BE THE LEGAL ADVISOR CAN CLEAR UP. IT DOES SAY IN 21 (3) "THE COPIES OF THE REPORT FILED WITH THE SPEAKER SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AT THE OFFICES OF THE TERRITORIAL SECRETARY AND THE TERRITORIAL AGENTS FOR INSPECTION DURING OFFICE HOURS FOR A PERIOD OF TWO WEEKS". AND AT THE SAME TIME THAT REPORT IS BEING GIVEN TO THE SPEAKER AND THE SPEAKER IN TURN CONTACTS THE COMMISSIONER, WHO IN TURN CALLS COUNCIL TOGETHER, WITH THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD IN THERE, IT'S QUITE POSSIBLE BY THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS IT COULD BE PASSED BY LAW BEFORE THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD IN 21 (3) IS EXPIRED.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: THIS ONLY JUST TO USE THE OFFICES AS A LIBRARY SO THAT THE PUBLIC CAN HAVE IMMEDIATE ACCESS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY TO THE REPORT. AT THAT POINT IN TIME I WOULD EXPECT IT TO HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED BY THE COUNCIL, BUT A COPY OF IT WOULD BE THERE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS JUST FROM THE CHAIR. IS IT CLEAR THAT THIS IN NO WAY RESTRICTS THE

IMMEDIATE DISCUSSION AND SO FORTH. THIS DOESN'T RELATE TO THE COUNCIL DISCUSSION.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: NO. IT'S JUST COPIES OF THE REPORTS.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I HAD EVEN THOUGHT THAT WE COULD LENGTHEN THE TWO WEEKS SO THAT THE PUBLIC HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET A HOLD OF THE REPORT. WE HAD FOUR WEEKS BEFORE. IT COULD EVEN BE LEFT FOR FOUR WEEKS SO IT WOULD BE THERE AND AVAILABLE IF ANYBODY WANTS TO SEE IT. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE PROCEDURE OF COUNCIL.

Mr. STUTTER: NO, THE POINT THAT I'M TRYING TO MAKE IS NOT QUITE THAT POINT, Mr. CHAIRMAN. IT IS THAT WE'RE SAYING ON THE ONE HAND THAT THE PUBLIC WILL HAVE ACCESS TO THE REPORT FOR A PERIOD OF TWO WEEKS. NOW MY POINT IS THAT WITHIN THAT TWO WEEK PERIOD IT COULD HAVE ALL BEEN CUT, DRIED, ACCEPTED AND PASSED BY THE COUNCIL, IN WHICH CASE, SOMEBODY IN THE PUBLIC COULD COME BACK AND SAY - LOOK YOU PUT IN LEGISLATION THAT I WOULD HAVE TWO WEEKS TO LOOK AT IT, AND HERE IT'S LAW AND IT'S ONLY TEN DAYS GONE BY. THAT'S THE POINT I'M TRYING TO MAKE. MAYBE THAT TIME, YOU KNOW THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS SET INTO MOTION IS CAUSING SOME OVER LAPPING IN SOME AREAS WHERE THE PUBLIC HAVEN'T HAD AMPLE ACCESS TO THOSE REPORTS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: SPEAKING FROM THE CHAIR, WE DO HAVE THIS PROBLEM OF TIME WHICH IS FORCING US ALL INTO POSITIONS BUT WOULD NOT, Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR, THE PERIOD OF HEARING COVER THAT REASONABLY WELL.

Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR: I THINK THE SIMPLEST WAY OF DEALING WITH IT IS TO JUST SAY TO STOP THIS SUBSECTION AFTER THE WORD "INSPECTION". JUST SEND THEM OUT TO THESE PLACES AND HAVE THEM AVAILABLE FOR INSPECTION. THAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE TIME THEN.

Mr. TANNER: AND THEN LEAVE THE REST OFF, YES, I AGREE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THAT BE AGREEABLE?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I SO NOTE Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR, I BELIEVE NOW IT IS YOUR INTENTION TO RECESS BRIEFLY AND MAYBE Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR COULD CONSIDER THE AMENDMENTS.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: YES, IT WON'T TAKE LONG.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE THING WE SHOULD CLARIFY BEFORE WE RECESS AND THAT IS THE DEFINITION OF POPULATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: RIGHT, THANK YOU.

MRS. WATSON: WILL IT BE A REQUIREMENT TO KEEP THE DEFINITION IN?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE DON'T NEED IT NOW.

SOME MEMBERS: WE DON'T NEED IT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IS THAT THE DECISION OF THE HOUSE?

AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DOES THAT FOLLOW WITH YOUR LAST DECISION?

MR. STUTTER: I DON'T SEE ANY POINT OF EITHER DELETING IT OR LEAVING IT IN. IT'S IMMATERIAL TO ME WHETHER IT'S IN THERE OR NOT, BECAUSE THERE IS NO REAL REFERENCE TO IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS IT THEN AGREED THAT IT IS DELETED?

MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL DECLARE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER, I THINK ALL MEMBERS HAVE THE CORRECTED SHEET TO BILL NO. 12, THE FIRST CORRECTION WAS IN SECTION 2, THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 2 OF BILL NO. 12. CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT CORRECTION IS IN SUBSECTION (6). THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SUBSECTION (6). CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT SECTION IS SECTION 10, THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 10. CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: SECTION 11. THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 11. CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: SECTION 13. THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 13. CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: SECTION 15. THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 15.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WERE GOING TO WRITE POPULATION IN THERE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: POPULATION IS IN THERE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE IT IS IN THERE COUNCILLOR TANNER.

MR. TANNER: I KNOW, MR. CHAIRMAN. I MEANT POPULATION, THEN THE REST.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO.

MR. TANNER: ISN'T THAT WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SUGGESTED?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WAS AGREEABLE TO IT BUT IT WAS POINTED OUT IT WASN'T NECESSARY.

MRS. WATSON: I DON'T THINK SO, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I UNDERSTOOD, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE WOULD JUST BE DELETING (D) AND (E), AND THIS IS WHAT HAS BEEN DONE. THAT WAS THE MOTION THAT WAS AGREED TO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT SECTION IS SECTION 21. THE CHAIRMAN THEN READS SECTION 21. THAT IS THE READING OF THE CORRECTIONS TO THE BILL. ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON THE BILL? I THEN WILL READ THE PREAMBLE. THE CHAIRMAN READS THE PREAMBLE. WHAT IS YOUR PLEASURE IN RESPECT OF THIS BILL?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE THAT BILL NO. 12 "AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION ORDINANCE" BE MOVED OUT OF COMMITTEE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT AMENDMENT?

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY SECONDS?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON, THAT BILL No. 12 BE REPORTED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENTS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I SHALL DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS WILL DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THE HOUSE WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:30 A.M. THIS MORNING TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. COMMITTEE RECESSED AT 11:30 A.M. AND RECONVENED AT 2:45 P.M. THIS AFTERNOON. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON, THAT BILL No. 12 BE REPORTED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENT. THIS MOTION CARRIED. IT WAS THEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR, AND THIS MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: YOU HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. ARE WE AGREED? MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION OF COMMITTEE TO FURTHER DISCUSSION

OF BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS IN THE MORNING.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I MOVE WE CALL IT 5:00.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THE MOTION, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THIS HOUSE NOW STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING.

ADJOURNED

THURSDAY, APRIL 18, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD RISE AT THIS TIME UNDER RULE No. 30, A MATTER OF PUBLIC IMPORTANCE. IN LIGHT OF THE URGENCY SURROUNDING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION IN THE YUKON, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY DO HEREBY RECOMMEND THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. L.S. TIM COLE AS A MEMBER OF THE ELECTORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY DO HEREBY RECOMMEND THE APPOINTMENT OF MR. L. S. TIM COLE AS A MEMBER OF THE ELECTORIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: DISAGREE? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED? ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEE? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? AS THERE ARE NO MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS WE COME TO MOTION No. 19. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER SECONDED BY

COUNCILLOR TAYLOR THAT LEGISLATIVE RETURNS No. 29 AND 31 BE MOVED INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR DISCUSSION. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: WE NOW COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD. MADAM CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF THE ADMINISTRATOR IS AVAILABLE? WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE TRAFFIC TO DAWSON DURING HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MR. ADMINISTRATOR. NOW THAT THE CONTRACT OR AT LEAST THE TENDERS HAVE BEEN OPENED ON THE ROAD RECONSTRUCTION FROM STEWART CROSSING TO DAWSON, I WOULD LIKE ASSURANCE FROM THE ADMINISTRATION THAT AS THIS WORK IS TO BE DONE DURING THE TOURIST SEASON THAT EVERY PRECAUTION WILL BE TAKEN TO MAKE SURE THAT THE TRAFFIC WILL NOT IN ANY WAY BE HINDERED, REALLY MY QUESTION IS. HAS SOMETHING BEING WRITTEN INTO THE CONTRACT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE WILL BE NO DETRIMENT TO THE TOURIST TRAFFIC TO DAWSON DURING THE SUMMER?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS A STANDARD TERM OF THESE CONTRACTS THAT RIGHT OF WAY MUST BE MAINTAINED FOR THE TRAVELLING PUBLIC. AS SPECIFIC TO THE CONTRACT I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THAT AS NOTICE IF I COULD AND GIVE AN ANSWER LATER.

QUESTION RE LACK OF SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM IN MANUAL

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WERE FINALLY PROVIDED WITH A POLICY MANUAL. IN THE POLICY MANUAL I NOTICE THAT

THE ONE GIVEN TO THIS MEMBER, AT LEAST, DOES NOT CONTAIN WHAT THE POLICY MANUAL OF THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS CONTAINS, WHICH IS THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE PASSAGE OF LEGISLATION. I WAS WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD SAY WHETHER THIS WAS AN INTENDED EXCLUSION OF THIS SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM FROM THE POLICY MANUAL PREPARED FOR MEMBERS, WHETHER THE ADMINISTRATION IS WILLING TO GIVE THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM TO THEM SO THAT WE MAY PUT IT IN OUR BINDERS, OR WHETHER THIS SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM IS NOW OUTDATED AND CAN BE TAKEN OUT OF ALL THE POLICY MANUALS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I'M QUITE SURE IT WASN'T INTENTIONAL. EITHER IT HAS BEEN SUPERSEDED OR INADVERTENTLY LEFT OUT, I WILL CHECK INTO THAT.

QUESTION RE OTTAWA'S ABILITY TO VOID LEGISLATION OF YUKON TERRITORY

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD ENJOY A FEW QUESTIONS ON THE CONSTITUTION? I WAS WONDERING WHETHER HE COULD TELL ME, ON THIS SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE PASSAGE OF LEGISLATION, THAT IF IT IS STILL NOW IN EFFECT IN THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974 THAT WHEN ONE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HAS AN IDEA THAT THAT GENERAL POLICY STATEMENT HAS TO BE SENT TO OTTAWA BEFORE THE DRAFT LEGISLATION IS PREPARED IN THE YUKON TERRITORY, AND THAT OTTAWA AT THAT POINT IN TIME, JUST AT THE IDEA TIME, HAS THE ABILITY TO SAY THAT LEGISLATION CANNOT BE PREPARED FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, ALL I CAN SAY IS WHAT THE CONTINUING PRACTISE IS. THE CONTINUING PRACTISE IS THAT WHEN THERE ARE LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE GOVERNMENT THEY ARE AS A COURTESY REFERRED TO THE MINISTER OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT WHO HAS CERTAIN RESPONSIBILITIES. WE DO KEEP HIM INFORMED IN THAT RESPECT.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD AGREE THAT IT IS ABOUT TIME THAT EVEN THE FORMULATION OF DRAFT LEGISLATION SHOULDN'T HAVE TO GO TO

OTTAWA TO BE GIVEN APPROVAL BY EITHER THE MINISTER OR HIS DEPARTMENT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I AM BEING ASKED TO EXPRESS AN OPINION. REALLY I THINK THAT AS LONG AS THE ACT CHARGES THE MINISTER OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT WITH CERTAIN RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE TERRITORY THE ACT IS THE GOVERNING PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

QUESTION RE DRAFT LEGISLATION GOING TO DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS FOR APPROVAL

MR. MCKINNON: A SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER. UNDER THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM, STEP NO. 10. THIS IS AFTER JUST THE DRAFT LEGISLATION HAS BEEN PREPARED, THEN THAT DRAFT LEGISLATION ALSO HAS TO GO THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS FOR THEIR APPROVAL OR REJECTION. CERTAINLY THIS IS ENOUGH PROTECTION IF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON HAD SUCH AN OUTLANDISH IDEA THAT THE DEPARTMENT WOULDN'T ACCEPT THE DRAFT LEGISLATION THEN THE MINISTER ALSO HAS WITHIN A YEAR OF THE PASSAGE, THE RIGHT TO VETO ON THE LEGISLATION. IN THIS DIAGRAM OF HOW LEGISLATION FINALLY GETS BEFORE THIS COUNCIL, THE MINISTER ACTUALLY HAS THREE KICKS AT THE CAN. NOW CERTAINLY WE CAN ELIMINATE ONE OR TWO OF THESE STEPS IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE YUKON, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, LET ME ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT IN FACT THE APPROVAL OF THE FINAL BILL AS SUCH IS NO LONGER DONE. WE PREFER UNDER PRESENT PRACTISE TO GIVE AN INDICATION MUCH BEFORE THE BILL REACHES THAT FINAL DRAFTING STAGE.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD LOOK AT THE SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE PASSAGE OF LEGISLATION AND PERHAPS AN UPDATED VERSION OF WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENS WOULD BE PREPARED TO PUT INTO THE POLICY MANUALS OF THE DIFFERENT MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I CAN CERTAINLY TAKE A LOOK AT THE DIAGRAM AND SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS UP TO DATE. CERTAINLY WE CAN ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS AN INADVERTENT OVERSIGHT IN LEAVING IT OUT.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR?

QUESTION RE TENDER CALL TO WATSON LAKE CHECK POINT

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR IN RELATION TO THE TENDER CALL FOR THE WATSON LAKE CHECK POINT. I WAS SHOWN A COPY OF A LETTER STATING THAT THIS PROJECT HAD BEEN WITHDRAWN, I AM WONDERING, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR, IS IT WITHDRAWN WITH THE REASON THAT WE ARE GOING TO UPDATE OUR CURRENT INFORMATION BOOTH IN OUR CENTRAL LOCATION IN WATSON LAKE?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, THE REASON IT WAS WITHDRAWN WAS BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY AVAILABLE FOR THE PROJECT WAS CONSIDERABLY LOWER THAN THE LOWEST BID, WE HAVE TO RE-EXAMINE THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.

QUESTION RE UPGRADING OF PRESENT INFORMATION BOOTH IN WATSON LAKE

MR. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR, IN LIGHT OF THIS ANSWER HE HAS JUST GIVEN, WILL THE ADMINISTRATION BE LOOKING INTO THE POSSIBILITY OF REPAIRING AND UPGRADING THE CURRENT FACILITY FOR THIS FORTHCOMING SEASON AT A REDUCED COST?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I'M SORRY, MR. SPEAKER, BY THE PRESENT FACILITY DO YOU MEAN THE CHECK POINT?

MR. TAYLOR: NO, THE INFORMATION BOOTH.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, IT HAS NOT BEEN OUR INTENTION UP TO THIS TIME, MR. SPEAKER, TO DO ANYTHING WITH THE EXISTING BOOTH. WE ARE PREPARED TO TURN IT OVER TO THE LOCAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE IF THEY ARE INTERESTED IN HAVING IT. WE HAD HOPED THAT WE WOULD HAVE HAD THE NEW ONE IN OPERATION IN TIME TO MAKE IT UNNECESSARY TO SPEND ANY MONEY ON THE EXISTING ONE. IF NOW AS A RESULT OF THIS DELAY WE FIND THAT WE CANNOT GET THE OTHER COMPLETED IN TIME WE MAY WELL HAVE TO SPEND SOME MONEY ON IT BUT WE HAVE NOT YET MADE THAT DECISION.

QUESTION RE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION TO DIRECT TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF HEALTH. I AM WONDERING IF HE COULD TELL ME WHEN IT WILL BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE A REPLY TO NOTICE OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS No. 2 RESPECTING THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL.

MR. TANNER: VERY SHORTLY. IT WILL BE IN TOMORROW.

QUESTION RE REPLY TO CHIROPRACTIC FACILITIES IN OUTLYING DISTRICTS

MR. TAYLOR: I HAVE A SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER FOR HEALTH. I AM WONDERING IF HE COULD TELL ME ALSO IF HE WILL BE GIVING A SPEEDY REPLY TO THE QUESTION OF CHIROPRACTIC FACILITIES IN THE OUTLYING DISTRICTS.

MR. TANNER: YES, I WILL. I SHOULD CORRECT MY LAST ANSWER. WE HAVEN'T YET GOT THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL PLANS WHICH THE MEMBER REQUIRES AND WE ARE HAVING SOME TROUBLE GETTING THEM FROM, I THINK IT IS VANCOUVER, BUT I ANTICIPATE WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE SOMETHING FOR THE MEMBER BY NEXT WEEK.

MR. TAYLOR: I AM WONDERING ABOUT MY SECOND QUESTION.

MR. TANNER: I HOPE THE ANSWER TO THAT COULD BE NEXT WEEK TOO.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? I WISH TO THANK MR. ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS IN ORDER WE COME TO PUBLIC BILLS IN ORDER.

BILL NO. 12 AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION - THIRD READING

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER I MOVE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT BILL No. 12 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION BE GIVEN THIRD READING.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR

WHITEHORSE NORTH THAT BILL NO. 12 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION BE GIVEN THIRD READING. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: ARE YOU PREPARED TO ADOPT THE TITLE TO BILL NO. 12?

MRS. WATSON: YES MR. SPEAKER, I MOVE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT BILL NO. 12 INTITULED AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION BE ADOPTED AS WRITTEN.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH THAT THE TITLE TO BILL NO. 12 AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION BE ADOPTED AS WRITTEN. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED AND THAT BILL NO. 12 HAS PASSED THIS HOUSE.

MR. SPEAKER: MR. ADMINISTRATOR, THE COUNCIL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS, AT ITS PRESENT SITTING THEREOF, PASSED THE BILL TO WHICH, IN THE NAME OF AND ON BEHALF OF THE SAID COUNCIL, I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR ASSENT.

MADAM CLERK: BILL NO. 12 AN ORDINANCE RESPECTING THE ELECTORAL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES COMMISSION.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER I ASSENT THE BILL NO. 12 AS PASSED BY THE COUNCIL.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL NOW RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MR. STUTTER: I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER AND I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION TO PROCEED WITH BILL NO. 3--MAIN ESTIMATES. I WILL DECLARE A RECESS. MADAM CLERK WILL YOU SEE IF THE WITNESSES COULD NOW BE AVAILABLE?

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. MR. FINLAND AND MR. MILLER, WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL NO. 3 AND WE ARE NOW AT PAGE 24, TERRITORIAL SECRETARY.

BILL NO. 3

THE FIRST ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT NO. 400 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$583,350 TERRITORIAL SECRETARY AND REGISTRAR GENERAL. COUNCILLOR STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

COUNCILLOR STUTTER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR,

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'VE HAD A GREAT DEAL OF PROBLEMS WITH THE INSPECTION AND ENFORCEMENT SERVICES AND I SEE THAT IN PART THEY COME UNDER THIS VOTE. AS I STATED EARLIER IN THE SESSION, THERE IS A GREAT PROBLEM IN THE TERRITORY IN THIS. I MADE A CLEAR STATEMENT AT THE FORMER SESSION THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WAS INVOLVED IN ONE MASS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND THIS IS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT.

I HAD HOPED TO DEAL WITH THIS MATTER IN CAPSULE FORM A LITTLE LATER ON. LAST YEAR WHEN WE DEALT WITH THE BUDGET AT THE SPRING SESSION THERE ALSO WAS A BILL TO AMEND THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE WHICH IN PART WOULD HAVE PROVIDED THAT ALL THE OFFICERS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AND THE MUNICIPALITIES INVOLVED IN THEIR DUTIES WOULD HAVE THE POWER OF PEACE OFFICERS AND THE MEMBERS, IF YOU WOULD RECALL, THROUGH THAT OUT IMMEDIATELY. IT WAS JUST ANOTHER INDICATION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S ATTITUDE ON ENFORCEMENT NEEDS IN THE TERRITORY.

I THINK AT SOME POINT IN TIME, MAYBE NOT AT THIS TIME BUT A LITTLE LATER AT THIS SESSION, WE CAN SIT DOWN AND CONSIDER THIS WHOLE QUESTION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND BY-LAW ENFORCEMENT AND ORDINANCE ENFORCEMENT AND THIS TYPE OF THING AS IT AFFECTS THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT, NOBODY SEEMS TO WANT TO HELP ANYBODY. THEY WANT TO GET IN AND RAISE THE DEVIL WITH PEOPLE.

AGAIN, WHEN WE COME TO THE GAME DEPARTMENT, THE GAME DEPARTMENT IS NOT REALLY A GAME DEPARTMENT AT ALL. THESE ARE ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS. GAME OFFICERS ARE SUPPOSED TO WORK WITH TRAPPERS AND WORK WITH PEOPLE AND THEY ARE NOT DOING THAT. SO, I THINK THAT, RATHER THAN MAKE MY REMARKS ANY FURTHER ON THIS SUBJECT, I WOULD RESERVE THE RIGHT TO SEEK CONCURRENCE OF COMMITTEE TO RAISE THIS QUESTION LATER IN THE SESSION IN CAPSULE FORM INVOLVING ALL THE DEPARTMENTS IN THE GOVERNMENT.

THANK YOU, I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ON TERRITORIAL SECRETARY AND REGISTRAR GENERAL? CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS \$221,724 RECORDS OFFICE, CLEAR?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THIS GIVES US A TOTAL FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SECRETARY AND, OH, DO WE HAVE ANYTHING IN CAPITAL?

Mr. MILLER: NO, THERE IS NO CAPITAL.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE QUESTION. I NOTICE IN THE MANPOWER SUMMARY THAT THERE ARE TWO AND A HALF MORE MAN YEARS UNDER THE TERRITORIAL SECRETARY DEPARTMENT AND YET THE TRAVEL AND RELOCATION COSTS FOR THAT DEPARTMENT HAS GONE UP FROM \$14,103 TO \$25,975. I WONDER IF COULD HAVE AN EXPLANATION OF THE SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, Mr. FINLAND, THIS IS PARTLY DUE TO A RE-ARRANGEMENT OF ITEMS INTERNALLY ON THE VARIOUS STANDARD OBJECTS. THIS INCLUDES TRAVEL AS WELL AS RELOCATION. THERE IS NOT ENTIRELY RELOCATION IN THIS. BUT ALSO, IT INCLUDES THE INSPECTION SERVICES, TRAVEL AND THE POOL CARS WHICH ARE CHARGED AT THIRTY CENTS A MILE, AND THE MOTOR VEHICLES SECTION WHICH CHECKS THE WEIGH SCALES.

THE ONLY EXPLANATION I HAVE IS THE RE-ARRANGEMENT AND THIS IS CONSIDERED TO BE A REASONABLE ESTIMATE OF THE AMOUNT OF MONEY...

Mr. McKINNON: WHAT WASN'T INCLUDED IN THE \$14,103 OF 73/74 WHICH WAS NOW INCLUDED IN THE 1974/75 ESTIMATES?

Mr. FINLAND: I'M NOT CERTAIN, I WOULD REFER TO Mr. MILLER ON THIS BUT I THINK THERE MAY BE SOME POOL CAR CHARGES THAT ARE NOT REFLECTED IN THE 73/74 YEAR.

Mr. MILLER: I THINK THAT'S CORRECT, Mr. CHAIRMAN. JUST GIVE ME ONE MOMENT, I'LL HAVE A LOOK AT TRAVEL WE HAVE THIS YEAR TO DATE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN, OUR EXPENDITURES TO THE END OF MARCH, WHICH DON'T INCLUDE THE FINAL POOL CAR DISTRIBUTION FOR THE LAST THREE MONTHS, TOTAL SOME \$18,000.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M CERTAINLY NOT GETTING AN ANSWER TO MY QUESTION WHICH WAS: THERE IS AN INCREASE OF SOME \$11,000. NOW, TRAVEL AND RELOCATION COSTS WOULD BE, AS FAR AS I CAN UNDERSTAND, WERE THE ONLY CHARGES INCLUDED IN THE \$14,103 - 73/74 ESTIMATES. THERE ARE OTHER CHARGES WHICH ARE BEING CHARGED UNDER THIS

VOTE IN THE 74/75 BUDGET OTHER THAN TRAVEL AND RELOCATION COSTS WHICH ARE MAKING THE PRICE INCREASE. WHY ARE THERE OTHER CHARGES WHICH WERE NOT IN THE 1973/74 ESTIMATES.

Mr. FINGLAND: WELL, THERE ARE NO CHANGES OTHER THAN TRAVEL AND RELOCATION IN 74/75. THIS IS THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE EXPECTED WE WOULD REQUIRE, INCLUDING THE POOL CAR CHARGES. WITH NO SUBSTANTIAL INCREASES IN EMPLOYEES IT'S GOING TO COST \$11,000 MORE.

Mr. McKINNON: IS THIS TRAVEL OUTSIDE THE TERRITORY OR IS THIS INTERNAL?

Mr. FINGLAND: NO, THERE IS SOME TRAVEL OUTSIDE THE TERRITORY. BUT THAT ISN'T A SIGNIFICANT ITEM IN TERMS OF THE OVERALL.

Mr. MILLER: I THINK THE IMPORTANT POINT, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS THAT WE WERE UNDER-BUDGETED IN 1973/74 FOR THIS PARTICULAR ITEM AND IN 74/75 WE ARE CORRECTING THAT APPARENT ERROR THAT WE MADE IN 73/74.

Mr. McKINNON: OH YES, WE CAN DEDUCE FROM THE TRAVEL THAT PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAVE THE BENEFIT OF PROBABLY MORE INSPECTORIAL JOURNEYS DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS THIS YEAR.

Mr. FINGLAND: NO, IT'S NOT A PLANNED INCREASE IN INSPECTIONS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT'S JUST A MORE REALISTIC ESTIMATE OF THE AMOUNT OF MONEY REQUIRED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? THIS GIVES US A TOTAL OF \$805,074 FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SECRETARY AND REGISTRAR GENERAL.

ALRIGHT, THE NEXT ITEM IS VOTE NO. 5 ON PAGE 27.

Mr. MILLER: DO YOU WANT TO DEAL WITH CAPITAL FIRST?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YES, WE WILL DEAL WITH CAPITAL. THIS IS THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION.

Mr. MILLER: CAPITAL IS ON PAGE 59. EXCUSE ME Mr. CHAIRMAN, CAN WE EXCUSE Mr. FINGLAND?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YES, MAY Mr. FINGLAND BE EXCUSED?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR LEAVES THE CHAMBERS

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, MAYBE IF Mr. FINGLAND IS HERE AND NOT IN A HURRY TO LEAVE, WE CAN DEAL WITH THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT HE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WELL, I WONDER IF WE COULD, IF AT ALL POSSIBLE, HAVE SOME CONTINUITY IN GOING THROUGH THIS BUDGET BECAUSE IT IS DIFFICULT FROM THE CHAIR TO KEEP TRACK OF ALL THIS SHIFTING BACK AND FORTH. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, Mr. FINGLAND.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR LEAVES THE CHAMBERS

Mr. MILLER: PAGE 59 IS THE CAPITAL, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, PAGE 59 IS PROJECT CAPITAL. THE FIRST ITEM IS NORTHERN HEALTH SERVICES, CONSTRUCTION \$380,900.

A-47 IS APPARENTLY THE BREAKDOWN. COUNCILLOR STUTTER, WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR PLEASE?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

Mr. TAYLOR: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE IN THE DETAILS, WE HAVE WATSON LAKE TO PROVIDE FUNDS TOWARDS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW COTTAGE HOSPITAL AT WATSON LAKE. "FINAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS ESTIMATES TO BE SUBMITTED IN 75/76" AND WE KIND OF SUSPECTED THIS. THAT THIS WAS NOT TO BE A HOSPITAL AT ALL BUT WAS TO BE A REPLACEMENT OF A FACILITY WE ALREADY HAVE WHICH IS ALREADY INADEQUATE. IT WAS OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WERE GOING TO GET A HOSPITAL AND THIS IS ONE OF THE REASONS WHY I HAD ASKED FOR A COPY OF THE PLANS WHICH WAS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT HAD AN OPERATING THEATRE IN IT. MIGHT I KNOW WHETHER IT HAS AN OPERATING THEATRE?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE FACILITY THAT IS BEING BUILT IS QUITE AN EXPANSION FROM WHAT YOU HAVE NOW. I THINK IT'S A MATTER OF SEMANTICS IN SOME RESPECTS AS TO WHETHER IT'S A COTTAGE HOSPITAL, HOSPITAL, OR NURSING STATION.

WHETHER OR NOT IT'S GOT AN OPERATING THEATRE, I CAN'T TELL THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. I'LL ASCERTAIN FOR HIM BEFORE WE PASS THE BUDGET BUT I SUSPECT THAT IT WOULD NOT HAVE.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD THEN LIKE THIS MATTER STOOD OVER UNTIL THIS INFORMATION IS FORTHCOMING FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE BECAUSE THE PEOPLE OF WATSON

LAKE, THOUGH WE NEED IMPROVED MEDICAL FACILITIES THE PEOPLE HAVE LONG, LONG SOUGHT A HOSPITAL. EVEN TO THE EXTENT THAT AT ONE TIME, ABOUT 15 YEARS AGO, THEY WENT AND BUILT THEIR OWN AND HAD IT TAKEN AWAY FROM THEM BY NORTHERN HEALTH AND THE PEOPLE OF WATSON LAKE ARE MOST CERTAINLY ENTITLED TO MORE ADEQUATE FACILITIES THAN WHAT THEY HAVE.

I CAN'T REALLY SAY WHAT WE ARE GETTING UNTIL I KNOW IF THERE IS AN OPERATING THEATRE AND WHAT THIS BUILDING IS. THIS IS WHY, A LONG TIME AGO, I ASKED FOR THESE PLANS BUT I WOULD ASK THAT THIS MATTER BE STOOD OVER PENDING THE ARRIVAL OF THE PLANS.

WHILE I AM ON MY FEET, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE ALSO HAVE A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM IN FARO AND I THINK ALL MEMBERS HAVE A COPY OF A BRIEF THAT WAS SUBMITTED BY THE FARO BOARD OF HEALTH IN THIS MATTER. I'D LIKE TO KNOW AT THIS TIME FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT AS TO WHAT, INDEED, IS GOING TO BE DONE TO IMPROVE THE FACILITIES IN FARO, AT LEAST UP TO A COTTAGE HOSPITAL STATUS IN THIS FISCAL YEAR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SOME OF THE ANSWERS ARE ON THE PAGE WE ARE PRESENTLY LOOKING AT. THERE IS GOING TO BE, FIRST OF ALL TO RELIEVE SOME OF THE PRESSURE IN FARO, THERE IS GOING TO BE A NURSING STATION BUILT AND STAFFED IN ROSS RIVER. THIS OBVIOUSLY IS GOING TO HAVE SOME EFFECT.

SECONDLY, THE DEPARTMENT OF NORTHERN HEALTH HAVE AGREED TO PUT ANOTHER NURSE IN. HOWEVER WE HAVE A PROBLEM THERE BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T GOT ANY HOUSING ARRANGEMENT FOR THE NURSE.

THIRDLY, THERE ARE PLANS AFOOT TO REVIEW THE PRESENT PHYSICAL CIRCUMSTANCES IN FARO. MONEY HAS ALREADY BEEN SPENT IN LOOKING AT THE PLANS AND WHILE I AM NOT IN A POSITION TO TELL THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR SURE THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE AN IMMEDIATE CHANGE, PLANS ARE AFOOT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NORTHERN HEALTH AND WELFARE TO REVIEW THE WHOLE SITUATION.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT SEEMS THAT WHEN WE HAVE A 'TO-DAY PROBLEM' ALL WE GET OUT OF GOVERNMENT IS THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET A REVIEW, WE ARE GOING TO ESTABLISH A BOARD OR SOMEONE TO LOOK INTO IT AND QUITE OFTEN THESE THINGS DRAG OUT FOR TWO OR THREE YEARS.

WHILE I APPRECIATE THIS, CERTAINLY THAT ROSS RIVER IS NOW TO GET SOME SORT OF A HEALTH CENTRE, THIS WAS DESIGNATED FOR ROSS RIVER, OH, YEARS AND YEARS AGO AND I'M VERY PLEASED THAT AFTER ALL THESE MANY LONG YEARS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SEEN FIT TO PROVIDE THE COMMUNITY WITH WHAT IT REALLY NEEDED TEN OR FIFTEEN YEARS AGO, OR SO.

SECONDLY, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN RESPECT TO FARO, I DON'T THINK THE PEOPLE OF FARO ARE GOING TO BE TOO PLEASED TO HEAR THAT SOMETHING CAN'T EFFECTIVELY BE DONE TO THE HOSPITAL FACILITY, TO UPGRADE IT TO A COTTAGE HOSPITAL STATUS AT LEAST DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR. THE NEED IS NOW, THE NEED IS NOT TOMORROW AND THE BRIEF HAS BEEN IN CIRCULATION. THE PEOPLE OF FARO HAVE BEEN MAKING REPRESENTATION FOR SOME TIME IN THIS MATTER AND I'M JUST WONDERING IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SURE IF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE HAVE NOT ALREADY DONE A STUDY ON THIS. I'D REALLY LIKE SOME EFFORT PUT FORTH BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY ON THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE TO GIVE IMMEDIATE REMEDY TO WHAT IS A VERY, VERY BAD SITUATION IN THE COMMUNITY TOWN OF FARO.

THIS IS NOT JUST THE SORT OF THING YOU CAN STUDY TWO OR THREE YEARS LATER. WHAT THEY DO NEED IS A HOSPITAL JUST LIKE WATSON LAKE BUT AT LEAST A COTTAGE HOSPITAL WOULD BE A BETTER STATUS THAN A NURSING STATION OPERATION THEY HAVE THERE AT THE PRESENT TIME.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I EMPHASIZE AGAIN THE FACT, LET'S NOT GET OURSELVES WRAPPED UP IN THE SEMANTICS OF A COTTAGE HOSPITAL, A NURSING STATION, AND A HOSPITAL BECAUSE THERE IS NO VERY CLEAR DEFINITION AND ARE NOT GRADED IN THAT RESPECT. WE HAVE COTTAGE HOSPITALS WHICH COULD BE CALLED NURSING STATIONS. WE HAVE NURSING STATIONS WHICH COULD BE CALLED COTTAGE HOSPITALS. I DON'T WANT TO BE MORE DEFINITE THAN I HAVE BEEN MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE WE ARE AWARE OF PLANS GOING ON AND WE ARE AWARE OF FUNDS IN THE FEDERAL NORTHERN SERVICES BUDGET BUT THEY ARE NOT YET COMPLETED. BUT I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT WITHIN THOSE TWO AREAS OF HIS CONSTITUENCY WHERE HOSPITALS OR NURSING STATIONS ARE REQUIRED, THAT THEY ARE BEING TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION AND EVERYTHING IS BEING DONE. BUT I WOULD ALSO POINT OUT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE THROUGHOUT IN OUR TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET, NO MATTER WHETHER IT'S A HOSPITAL OR A BRIDGE OR ANYTHING, THERE IS A VERY PRESSING PROBLEM AS FAR AS MATERIALS ARE

CONCERNED IN CANADA TO-DATE AND WHETHER OR NOT THE MATERIALS ARE AVAILABLE TO FACILITATE PLANS WE CAN PUT INTO OPERATION TOMORROW, WHETHER WE CAN GET THE MATERIALS IS A QUESTION WHICH IS BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

I POINT OUT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TOO, AS FAR AS ANY FUNDING TO THE PLANS WE ARE PUTTING IN, THE COST OF MATERIALS IS GOING UP BY ABOUT ONE PERCENT A MONTH AND CONSEQUENTLY IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO GET THE EXACT FUNDING. IT IS ILLUSTRATED MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE FACT THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL IS TAKING THREE OR FOUR YEARS AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS, TO GET SOMETHING GOING. THE COSTS HAVE INFLATED OUT OF SIGHT IN JUST A YEAR AGO. FOR EXAMPLE, ON A-47, THE APPENDIX, YOU WILL FIND THE TERRITORIAL CONTRIBUTION IS \$236,000. THE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTION FOR THIS YEAR IS APPROXIMATELY \$500,000 AND THERE IS MORE MONEY TO BE SPENT NEXT YEAR.

SO, WE HAVE TWO PROBLEMS. A PROBLEM OF IDENTIFYING THE ACTUAL PROBLEM WHICH IS, I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED IN BOTH CASES. WE HAVE THE PROBLEM OF FUNDING AND WE HAVE A THIRD PROBLEM OF GETTING MATERIALS TO PUT IT INTO OPERATION.

MR. TAYLOR: SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, AGREED BUT AS I SAY, THERE SHOULD BE NO PROBLEM ABOUT KNOWING ABOUT THE NEED FOR THE FACILITY AND THE TYPE OF FACILITY. WHILE WE'RE TALKING NURSING STATION, COTTAGE HOSPITAL OR FULL HOSPITAL STATUS, I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU REALLY EQUATE THEM. WHAT WE DO WANT AND NEED AND NEEDED FOR A LONG, LONG TIME IN WATSON LAKE WAS AN OPERATING THEATRE. A HOSPITAL WITH LOTS OF PATIENT BEDS TO ACCOMMODATE THE PEOPLE OF THE DISTRICT. WHAT IS HAPPENING NOW, IS THE PEOPLE MUST COME FROM THE WHOLE AREA AROUND WATSON LAKE INTO THE COTTAGE HOSPITAL, GET AN ASPIRIN AND THEN SEND THEM OFF TO WHITEHORSE. EVERYTHING IS FILTERED INTO THE WHITEHORSE GENERAL HOSPITAL AND YOU KNOW, A PERSON COULD DIE ON THE WAY IN HERE. BAD WEATHER AT NIGHT, NO AMBULANCE AVAILABLE OR ANYTHING AND YOU DIE.

YOU DON'T GET YOUR MEDICAL AID MAINLY BECAUSE THERE IS NOT AN OPERATING THEATRE. THIS IS WHAT FARO NEEDS, THIS TYPE OF THING. AT LEAST WITH AN OPERATING THEATRE A DOCTOR CAN ROLL UP HIS SLEEVES AND GET TO WORK OR TWO DOCTORS CAN DO ELECTIVE SURGERY AND THIS TYPE OF THING AND TAKE THE LOAD OFF THE WHITEHORSE GENERAL HOSPITAL. IN ANY EVENT WE NEED THE FACILITY AND WATSON LAKE HAS BEEN AFTER THIS THING FOR FIFTEEN YEARS OR SO, OR MORE AND THEY ARE CERTAINLY ENTITLED TO IT.

THAT'S WHY I SAY, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE PLANS ON THIS HOSPITAL AND ALSO, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A REAL CONCERTED EFFORT MADE BY THE TOTAL FACILITIES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO VIRTUALLY INSIST UPON OTTAWA THAT THEY PROVIDE THE FUNDS FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE FACILITIES OF WATSON LAKE AND FARO. THEY CAN'T JUST SAY "WELL, WE ARE LOOKING INTO IT." YOU HAVE GOT TO PUT A PRIORITY ON IT AND THAT PRIORITY HAS GOT TO START WITH THE FINANCE COMMITTEE AND WITH THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. AND THAT'S WHAT'S GOING TO BE REQUIRED AND I REALLY SAY YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE A PRIORITY ON THIS THING BECAUSE IT IS AN IMPORTANT MATTER OF CONCERN. WE NEED THE FACILITIES AND WE HAVE JUST GOT TO HAVE THEM. THAT'S ALL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON,

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS SOME STATISTICS ON THE OCCUPANCY RATE AT THE HOSPITAL AT THE PRESENT TIME AT WATSON LAKE AND FARO.

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE STATISTICS FROM WATSON LAKE AND I THINK THEY WERE TABLED YESTERDAY, DON'T SHOW PARTICULARLY IN WATSON LAKE A VAST INCREASE AND NEITHER OF THE STATISTICS WHICH I SHOULD POINT OUT, ORIGINATED AT THE HOSPITAL, AND OTHER THAN IN THE LAST FOUR MONTHS, DON'T SHOW AN ENORMOUS INCREASE IN FARO EITHER. IN SPITE OF THAT, IN SPITE OF THE STATISTICS WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OF NORTHERN HEALTH AND WELFARE HAS BEEN SUPPLIED WITH BY THE STAFF AT THOSE TWO HOSPITALS, THEY ARE STILL GOING TO EXPAND THE FACILITIES HAVING LOOKED AT THE SITUATION. I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER PARTICULARLY IN FARO WHERE YOU'VE GOT A 24 HOUR OPERATION, INDUSTRIAL OPERATION WHERE THE NEED IS RATHER GREAT. BUT IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO MAKE A COMPARISON BETWEEN ONE FACILITY IN ONE PART OF THE TERRITORY AND ONE FACILITY IN ANOTHER PART OF THE TERRITORY. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU COULD MAKE A CASE VERY CLEARLY THAT WATSON LAKE NEEDS MORE MEDICAL FACILITIES THAN FOR EXAMPLE, AND FORGIVE ME I'M NOT MAKING ANY COMMENT ABOUT THE OPERATION AT MAYO, BUT YOU COULD PROBABLY SAY THAT THERE IS MORE NEED IN THE SUMMER MONTHS FOR A MEDICAL FACILITY IN WATSON LAKE THAN THERE IS IN MAYO, BECAUSE OF THE LARGE VOLUME OF TRAFFIC THAT GOES UP THE ALASKA HIGHWAY AND THE PROBABLE INCIDENTS OF ACCIDENTS. HOWEVER, WHAT I'M SUGGESTING TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, HE DOESN'T MAKE COMPARISONS BETWEEN THE VARIOUS FACILITIES WITHIN THE TERRITORY BUT HE TAKES EACH ONE INDIVIDUALLY AND LOOKS AT WHAT ACTUAL STATISTICS HAVE ORIGIN-

ATED IN THAT FACILITY. AND JUST TO REPEAT, THE STATISTICS THAT WE HAVE DON'T ILLUSTRATE A GREAT BUILD UP IN EITHER OF THOSE TWO AREAS IN THE LAST THREE YEARS. THEY MIGHT OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS, PARTICULARLY IN FARO SINCE NOVEMBER, BUT UP UNTIL NOVEMBER THEY CERTAINLY DIDN'T ILLUSTRATE THAT.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS A REAL ANSWER TO THAT ONE. WE HAVE THE STATISTICS AS GIVEN TO US IN LEGISLATIVE RETURN NO. 30 POINTING OUT PATIENT DAYS AND SO ON. WHAT I'M SAYING TO YOU IS THIS, THAT THESE PATIENTS WHO NORMALLY WOULD BE IN THAT HOSPITAL GETTING CARE AND ATTENTION ARE ALL IN WHITEHORSE, IN EDMONTON OR VANCOUVER. THIS IS WHERE THESE PEOPLE ARE REFERRED TO BECAUSE THERE IS NOT A FACILITY IN WATSON LAKE TO ACCOMMODATE THEM AND IT SUGGESTS TO ME THAT I'M NOT LOOKING AT ALL THE FACILITIES AROUND THE TERRITORY AND IT SUGGESTS TO ME THAT IF I LOOK AT THEM AS INADEQUATE AS THEY MAY BE AND SAY THAT WE MUST BE INADEQUATE AS WELL, IS RIDICULOUS. WE IN WATSON LAKE HAVE FOUGHT AND FOUGHT LONG AS A COMMUNITY FOR IMPROVED FACILITIES AND NO ONE HAS EVER COME DOWN TO WATSON LAKE AND GIVEN US ANYTHING THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE TO PUT UP AN AWFUL FIGHT FOR. THE PEOPLE OF WATSON LAKE, I THINK, HAVE BEEN VERY VERY GOOD IN THAT THEY HAVE NOT TROUBLED THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE IN THE PAST TWO OR THREE YEARS, BUT UNLESS WE GET THE FACILITIES THAT ARE REQUIRED IN THE COMMUNITY, I SAY TO YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND I SAY TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER OPPOSITE, THAT HE IS GOING TO HAVE THE DAMNDEST FIGHT HE EVER GOT HIMSELF INVOLVED IN.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CLARIFY THAT POINT RIGHT AWAY BECAUSE I'M NOT GOING TO HAVE THE DAMNDEST FIGHT AT ALL BECAUSE I WON'T BE HERE TO FIGHT YOU. BUT I'LL TELL YOU ANOTHER THING. YOU JUST COMPLETELY REVERSED WHAT I SAID. I SAID DON'T MAKE COMPARISONS AROUND THE TERRITORY THAT EACH INDIVIDUAL AREA HAS GOT SPECIFIC PROBLEMS OF IT'S OWN AND JUDGE IT ON THE MERITS OF THAT PROBLEM.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL DO I HAVE THE ASSURANCE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THROUGH THE OFFICES OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE WILL GIVE SOME PRIORITY TO THIS AND GET AFTER AND NEGOTIATE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE FOR THE FUNDING REQUIRED FOR THE FACILITIES AT WATSON LAKE AND FARO.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YES YOU DO HAVE THAT ASSURANCE. WE HAVE ALREADY ILLUSTRATED IT AS FAR AS WATSON LAKE IS HERE AND AS FAR AS FARO IS CONCERNED THE FUNDS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, ARE ALREADY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE'S BUDGET. HOWEVER, I DON'T WANT TO COMMIT THEM TO SOMETHING THAT I CAN'T VERIFY UNTIL LATER ON.

MR. TAYLOR: WE'LL RETURN TO THIS WHENEVER THE PLANS ARE MADE AVAILABLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU WISH TO RESUME THE CHAIR COUNCILLOR TAYLOR?

MR. TAYLOR: I'LL RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING OR ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON A-47 WHICH FORMS ESTABLISHMENT 2501 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$380,000?

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HEARING HOW THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER AND THE DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE IS. AND I SAY THIS FROM A LONG BACKGROUND OF DEALING WITH NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE WHERE UNDER THE YUKON ACT THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY ARE ENTRUSTED WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT, MAINTENANCE AND MANAGEMENT OF HOSPITALS IN AND FOR THE TERRITORY. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN MY OPINION AND I THINK THAT ONE CAN BRING AN AWFUL LOT OF EXAMPLES BEFORE COMMITTEE THAT THIS RIGHT HAS IN THE PAST BEEN COMPLETELY SUBVERTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE WHERE THEY MADE THE DECISIONS, WHERE THEY DECIDED WHAT HEALTH CARE INSTITUTIONS WOULD BE PROVIDED IN THE TERRITORY AND THE PRIORITY IN WHICH THESE INSTITUTIONS WOULD BE DEVELOPED. AND I KNOW THAT THE FIRST EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION FOUND THE SAME PROBLEM, IN DEALING WITH MEMBERS OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE. I KNOW THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE AND I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN SOME OF THE OTTAWA EPISODES OF DIRECT AND TERRIBLE CONFRONTATIONS WITH THE PEOPLE IN NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE WHO JUST JEALOUSLY GUARDED ANY INTRUSION BY MEMBERS OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OR BY PEOPLE OF THE YUKON, IN AN AREA WHICH SHOULD REALLY BE THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. AND I WAS WONDERING WHETHER THIS RATHER SOLID IMPASS IS COMING TO A HALT OR WHETHER THE ATTITUDE OF THE PEOPLE IN NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE FROM THE DEPUTY MINISTER DOWN, IS STILL AS IMPLACABLE AS IT WAS IN YEAR PRIOR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SURE ALL MEMBERS ARE AWARE THAT THERE HAS BEEN AN ONGOING PROCESS OVER THE PAST FIVE OR SIX YEARS OF FIRST OF ALL GETTING ALL THE MEDICAL FACILITIES IN SPECIFICALLY HOSPITALS AND NURSING STATIONS WITHIN THE SAME JURISDICTION AND THAT HAS ONLY FINALLY HAPPENED IN THE LAST THREE YEARS. IN OTHER WORDS ALL THE HOSPITALS IN THE TERRITORY AND ALL THE NURSING STATIONS IN THE TERRITORY ARE NOW ADMINISTERED BY NORTHERN HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE NEXT STEP WAS, WHICH HAPPENED JUST AS OF APRIL 1ST, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT'S COINCIDENTAL TO THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A CHANGE IN HIS POSITION, BUT AS OF APRIL 1ST THE JURISDICTION WITHIN NORTHERN HEALTH AND WELFARE ON AN ADMINISTRATIVE BASIS HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THE YUKON SPECIFICALLY, NOT THE TERRITORY OF THE YUKON, BUT THE ADMINISTRATION IS NOW A YUKON JURISDICTION, A ZONE, A REGION REPORTING DIRECTLY TO OTTAWA. IT'S OUR FEELING HAVING ESTABLISHED THAT REGION REPORTING DIRECTLY TO OTTAWA WE WILL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY OR THEY WILL BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE COSTS AND THE STATISTICS THAT WILL BE INCURRED IN THIS REGION BY ITSELF. AND IT WILL BE MY FORECAST THAT WITHIN TWO OR THREE YEARS, I HOPE SOONER, BUT CERTAINLY WITHIN THREE YEARS THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE OVER THE TOTAL MEDICAL FACILITIES BY THE TERRITORY IN THE YUKON. AND I WOULD SAY THIS FOR THE MEMBER WHO PREVIOUSLY HELD THIS POSITION IS THAT HE WORKED AS HARD AS ANYBODY TO BRING THAT ABOUT AND WE ARE ONE STEP AHEAD THAN WE WERE LAST YEAR AT BUDGET TIME AND I THINK WE WILL BE TWO OR THREE STEPS AHEAD WITHIN THE NEXT TWO BUDGETS. AS FAR AS THE COOPERATION, I WOULD LIKE TO MENTION THAT THE COOPERATION WE GET LOCALLY IS TREMENDOUS BECAUSE THE PEOPLE WHO WORK LOCALLY ARE IN THE UNFORTUNATE POSITION IF YOU LIKE, OF HAVING TWO MASTERS AND IN SOME PARTICULAR INSTANCES, THREE MASTERS. THEY HAVE THE MUNICIPAL, TERRITORIAL AND THE FEDERAL MASTER. BUT THEY ARE FEDERAL EMPLOYEES, BUT AS FAR AS COOPERATION LOCALLY, IT'S BEEN EXCELLENT. AS FAR AS COOPERATION IN OTTAWA, I THINK IT IS GETTING BETTER, RAPIDLY BETTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I HAVE ONE QUESTION FROM THE CHAIR WHILE WE'RE ON THIS, WELL I'LL LEAVE IT UNTIL WE GET DOWN TO GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER ON ESTABLISHMENT 2501?

THE NEXT ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT 2502 - \$40,000 NORTHERN HEALTH SERVICES MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS. THE BREAKDOWN IS ON A-47.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT ISN'T MUCH OF A BREAKDOWN, BUT WHAT YOU SEE, THE INCREASE YOU

SEE THERE IS AS A RESULT OF FOR EXAMPLE, REPRESENTATION FROM, AS ONE ILLUSTRATION, FARO, FOR MORE EQUIPMENT. AND THAT IS WHAT THAT EQUIPMENT IS, AND IT IS NOT ALL GOING TO FARO, BUT IT IS EQUIPMENT THROUGHOUT ALL THE ESTABLISHMENTS THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE TERRITORY. I THINK YOU WILL FIND THE PREVIOUS YEAR IT WAS ABOUT THE SAME AMOUNT AND IT WAS CUT LAST YEAR AND THAT IN EFFECT WE ARE BACK TO WHERE WE WERE A YEAR OR SO AGO.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK SOME OF THAT EQUIPMENT TOO, IS DENTAL EQUIPMENT SO THAT THE DENTAL THERAPIST PROGRAM CAN BE INITIATED HERE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MIGHT BE CORRECT, BUT I BELIEVE IT IS A SEPARATE ITEM AS FAR AS THE DENTAL EQUIPMENT IS CONCERNED. HOWEVER, IT COULD INCLUDE DENTAL EQUIPMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON ESTABLISHMENT 2502?

THE NEXT ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT 2538 - \$10,000 EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS - WELFARE. ARE YOU CLEAR?

THE NEXT ITEM IS \$85,000 - MACAULAY LODGE ADDITION. BREAKDOWN PAGE A-48. WHAT TIME OF THE YEAR IS THIS PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED? IN THE FALL?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TENDER WAS JUST CALLED AND OPENED RECENTLY AND APPARENTLY ANALYZING THE TENDER NOW. IT'S SCHEDULED FOR COMPLETION THE 30TH OF SEPTEMBER, 1974.

ARE YOU CLEAR ON THIS ITEM?

MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS \$450,000 - JUVENILE TRAINING HOME. WHAT IS THE LOCATION OF THIS HOME?

MR. TANNER: WHERE THE PRESENT JUVENILE TRAINING HOME IS. VOLFE CREEK.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HEAR THAT THE COST OF BUILDING IS GOING UP APPROXIMATELY 1% A MONTH AND YET WE ARE VOTING THE SAME FUNDS THAT WE COULDN'T FIND ANYBODY TO BUILD FOR THAT PRICE IN 1973-74. THE PLANS MUST BE ALTERED QUITE RADICALLY TO BE ABLE TO REVOTE THE SAME AMOUNT OF FUNDS WITH THE INCREASE IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION.

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE

MEMBER IS RIGHT. THE PLANS HAVE BEEN RADICALLY ALTERED. IF MEMBERS WILL RECALL ON THE BLACKBOARD HERE LAST TERM WE HAD A STAR TYPE OF COMPLEX AND THAT'S BEEN CHANGED TO A MORE USUAL FACILITY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR?

MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT FOR CORRECTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000. HOW EFFECTIVE HAS THIS LEADERSHIP TRAINING PROGRAM BEEN?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU'RE JUMPING AHEAD TO THE NEXT ONE I THINK.

HOWEVER, THAT MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT IS OBVIOUSLY JUST REPLACEMENT OF WORN OUT EQUIPMENT IN THE TWO INSTITUTES. AS FAR AS THE LEADERSHIP TRAINING PROGRAM. DO YOU WANT ME SPEAK ON THAT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: YES, IF YOU WOULD.

MR. TANNER: AS FAR AS THAT IS CONCERNED, I HAVE GOT TO PERSONALLY SAY THAT I DON'T THINK WE'RE SPENDING ENOUGH MONEY ON IT.

MR. MCKINNON: IS THAT THE JUVENILE HOME OR --?

MR. TANNER: IT'S BOTH. IT'S TWO PROGRAMS. AS FAR AS THE JUVENILE TRAINING HOME IS CONCERNED, IT'S FOR THE CHILDREN TO HAVE PROGRAMS OUTSIDE OF THE INSTITUTE. FOR EXAMPLE LAST YEAR, TWICE THERE WAS A GROUP THAT WENT OVER THE CHILKOOT PASS. THIS YEAR IN THE MIDDLE OF WINTER, THERE WERE TWO THAT CLIMBED GREY MOUNTAIN ON THE MORE DIFFICULT FACE. AS FAR AS THE OTHER INSTITUTE IS CONCERNED, IT'S PREPARING LEADERS WITHIN THE STAFF IN CASE OF AN EMERGENCY AND THE STAFF HAVEN'T GOT THE TRAINING TO TRAIN THE INMATES OF THE INSTITUTION IF THERE WAS AN EMERGENCY IN OR AROUND THE TERRITORY. AND I PERSONALLY THINK IT'S AN EXCELLENT PROGRAM AND I WOULD HIGHLY RECOMMEND IT TO ALL MEMBERS. YES, THE TRAINING TOO, TO ALL MEMBERS, NOT WITHIN THE FACILITY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON \$5,000 FOR MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT? \$3,000 IN LEADERSHIP TRAINING?

MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THAT TAKES US THROUGH THE CAPITAL SIDE OF THE BUDGET. WE WILL RETURN NOW TO O & M.

ON PAGE 26, 27 PARDON ME.

THE FIRST ITEM IS DISEASE CONTROL IN THE AMOUNT OF \$78,100.

CLEAR?

THE NEXT ITEM IS MENTAL HEALTH \$140,200. WHAT IS THIS REFERENCE TO SECTION 5.45 ETC. OF THE CRIMINAL CODE? IS THIS INVOLVED WITH THE INSANE PERSONS ACT?

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK WE MENTIONED IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY. IN FACT THAT FIGURE YOU HAVE FOR 73-74 SHOULD INCLUDE A \$48,000 SUPPLEMENTARY TOO.

WE HAD AN OCCURRENCE THIS YEAR, WHERE SOME PATIENTS OUTSIDE OF THE YUKON, WHO WERE OUR PATIENTS, HAD TO BE SUPPORTED BY OUR FINANCES AND WE WEREN'T AWARE OF THE AMOUNT UNTIL WE HAD THREE YEARS' BILLS TO PAY. WE FEEL THAT WE SHOULD ANTICIPATE NOT SOME MORE BACK PAYMENTS BUT CERTAINLY SOME MORE PAYMENTS FOR THE FUTURE. HOWEVER, WHEN I LOOK AT THE VOTE, IT HASN'T GONE UP VERY MUCH COMPARED TO THE PRICE THAT WE MIGHT HAVE TO BE PAYING NEXT YEAR TO KEEP THESE PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF THE YUKON BECAUSE THE COSTS OUTSIDE THE YUKON ARE ESCALATING FAR HIGHER AND FAR FASTER THAN THEY ARE HERE. IN FACT WE ANTICIPATE A MUCH HIGHER PERDIEM RATE IN OUTSIDE INSTITUTIONS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER IN MENTAL HEALTH? THE NEXT ITEM IS GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES - \$474,119. BREAKDOWN ON A-21 AND A-22.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN HIGHER BUDGETS, WE WERE ALWAYS PROVIDED WITH A BREAKDOWN OF WHAT THE TERRITORIAL COSTS WERE IN COMPARISON WITH THE COSTS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I SEE THAT HAS BEEN ELIMINATED IN THESE ESTIMATES.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S HERE SOMEWHERE AND I HAVE FAILED TO FIND IT IMMEDIATELY.

MRS. WATSON: A-21.

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT IS THE PERCENTAGES BETWEEN TERRITORIAL AND FEDERAL. AND I THINK IT IS SOMEWHERE IN HERE BUT PERHAPS IT ISN'T. WELL THEN I'LL GET THEM FOR YOU. THEY'RE ON MY DESK AND IT'S MY FAULT. I FORGOT THEM WHEN I CAME IN.

MR. MILLER: I MIGHT POINT OUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE PERCENTAGES HAVE NOT CHANGED. THEY ARE STILL THE SAME.

Mr. McKinnon: Well that's the point because it's been for years that the cost, I think, to the Territorial Government and the one I particularly remember is the Old Crow instance, are almost equal to the Northern Health Services. Now certainly if there is one area where Northern Health Services has a greater responsibility than the Yukon Territorial Government in providing health care services within the Old Crow region. They just presented no semblance to the actual facts of the matter.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, the Honourable Member is wrong and unfortunately is wrong in the wrong direction. Generally speaking particularly in the outlying areas, we're paying a much higher percentage than the Federal Government is. And the reason is this. You've mentioned Old Crow, but you said you thought it was approximately equal. In actual fact it is much higher as the Honourable Member will see when he gets this in many of the areas that we're paying. There is an historic reason for this. The various areas were set up some time ago and we haven't yet been able to persuade the Federal Government to pick up their legitimate and fair share.

Mr. McKinnon: But there is no resemblance to the demographic makeup of the population of the Yukon Territory. And has there been any attempt at renegotiating the cost between the Territorial and Federal Government? This is something we've been talking about for at least half a dozen years.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, these figures were developed following the 1961 census. In 1969, I believe there was an attempt to renegotiate the cost sharing, which fell onto very hard times. Since that point we have of course attempted to get the health package together and get the Yukon Region set up. It was our opinion that there was no point in raising this issue at this point when in about two years or three years we'll have the whole problem right in our laps. The 100% problem.

Mr. McKinnon: Well certainly, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Miller understands that if there isn't a reasonable breakdown of the actual responsibilities, then recoveries are not going to be coming back from the Federal Government when the Yukon takes over the total responsibility. They will be going on the unrealistic background of what they have been providing over the years.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, as the Honourable Member knows, National Health and Welfare now pay a portion of these funds on the cost-sharing and Indian Affairs pays the rest. So it's all Federal dollars. When we take it over it will still all be Federal dollars, just coming through a different source.

Mr. Chairman: Anything further on General Health Services? Next item is Subsidized Travel - Medical Necessity, \$30,000.00.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, this particular item deserves some comment. As all Members will remember in the last instance - you can see that we had \$37,000.00 allocated to this project. During the course of the year Honourable Members in their wisdom decided to vote to dispense with the part-payment on the part of the patient of this fee. The supplementary which was presented to the House some two or three weeks ago included an item of \$77,000.00 which will give you a total of \$114,000.00. Now you will notice in the 1974-75 Estimates we have only allocated \$80,000.00. The reason for this primarily is because we are trying, and I think we have pretty well now, through the Regulations, identified not two schemes for evacuation but a third scheme. We have the scheme within the Territory which incidentally stayed within its budget last year, \$17,000.00. That's to bring people into Whitehorse. We had the Emergency Evacuation which incidentally stayed within its budget last year. We have never really developed any regulations for the one that spends the majority of money; those people being evacuated out of the Territory to a facility which is not available here. We feel we have got regulations now which aren't that much different, but we have a Regulatory Board run by the Doctors. We have the agreement of the Doctors to review the evacuation so that we will be able to keep some reasonable check on the people who are

BEING EVACUATED WHERE WE FEEL, OR WHERE THE DOCTORS FEEL THEMSELVES, THERE ARE ABUSES TAKING PLACE. NOW IT HAS A SECOND EFFECT TOO OF THE DOCTORS THEMSELVES BEING AWARE. WHEN THEY MAKE A RECOMMENDATION FOR EVACUATION THERE ARE OTHER DOCTORS BESIDES THEIR OWN MEDICAL ZONE HEALTH OFFICER LOOKING AT THEIR DECISION. THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THEY ARE READING THEIR PATIENTS' CHARTS; IT MERELY MEANS THEY ARE LOOKING OVER THE TOTAL SITUATION IN REVIEW.

QUITE FRANKLY WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RESULTS OF THAT NEW REGULATORY AUTHORITY IS GOING TO BE, BUT WE HOPE IT WILL CUT IT BACK DOWN TO \$80,000.00. HOWEVER, THE DECISION RESTED ENTIRELY AND COMPLETELY WITH THE MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE TO CHANGE THE CRITERIA LAST YEAR. IT'S MY BELIEF THAT IF WE DO HAVE TO BRING IN ANOTHER SUPPLEMENTARY NEXT YEAR, THAT THE MEMBERS SHOULD NOT HAVE VERY MUCH TROUBLE VOTING FOR IT AS WE HAVE TO THIS YEAR BECAUSE IT IS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE VOTE IN THIS COUNCIL THAT WE HAD TO VOTE A SUPPLEMENTARY OF \$77,000.00 THIS YEAR.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, have the new regulations been promulgated?

Mr. Tanner: No they haven't, Mr. Chairman, and that we feel has probably cost us a little money. I didn't want to bring them in until such time as this item had been passed in the Budget. I thought it was the only proper thing to do.

Mr. Chairman: Councillor Stutter will you take the Chair.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, at the outset I could only comment that I think you would find a reduction in these referrals if you were to provide more adequate facilities in the outlying areas such as were suggested in the discussions related to Watson Lake - Faro a short while ago. Then some of this would not occur. Of course, there is always going to be a great deal of people going outside. I had a question I wanted to ask. I have been lead to believe that there is a policy on referral from outside points that doctors in places other than Whitehorse are not permitted to refer their patients directly outside in order to get travel subsidy, but they must refer the patient to Whitehorse.

Then if Whitehorse decides that the patient goes outside, then he gets travel necessity payments. Is this right?

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, there are two plans. Incidentally, there is one other thing too which I think is going to help next year. Before I answer the Member's question one of the things I omitted to tell the House was the fact that we are also trying to improve the specialist program coming into the Yukon. We think this is probably going to be some saving too. We think it's better to bring specialists in and see a number of people rather than take people out to a specialist on an individual basis. The Honourable Member's question isn't correct exactly. If they are being referred to Whitehorse from the outside, there is no problem and anyway we are staying within the Budget. If they are being referred outside of the Yukon in an emergency from an area outside of Whitehorse, in an emergency for loss of life, limb or what have you, there is no problem - just verification on the part of the zone director. If they are being referred by their doctor to a specialist outside, then there is required verification by the zone health director.

Mr. Chairman: Just from the Chair this would be on a non-emergency basis.

Mr. Tanner: On a non-emergency basis.

Mrs. Watson: For medical necessity is the terminology.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if we could have a draft copy of the regulations so that we could, you know, process it at the same time. I would like to be able to see what the \$80,000.00 - under what regulatory powers it is going to be voted. I think we could do it probably coincidentally in the passage of the Budget and take a look at the regulations. I would find it much easier.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, I have a copy of the proposed regulations right here.

Mr. McKinnon: I wonder, Mr. Chairman, if over the lunch-hour break copies could be made and distributed to all members, and we

COULD LEAVE THIS MATTER IN ABEYANCE,

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THIS BE POSSIBLE?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT'S POSSIBLE, HOWEVER, I WOULD WARN MEMBERS NOT TO PICK THROUGH THE REGULATIONS IN LOOKING THROUGH FOR PHRASEOLOGY AND NITPICKING FOR WANT OF A BETTER WORD, BECAUSE THE REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN WORKED ON FOR THE LAST THREE MONTHS, AND THEY HAVE BEEN VERY CAREFULLY THOUGHT OUT WITH THE PATIENT IN MIND. ALSO, KEEPING IN MIND THE FACT THAT WE WANT TO GET THE COST OF THIS SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC INTO SOME REASONABLE PROPORTION. INCIDENTALLY, AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, IT APPARENTLY APPEARS THAT THE PUBLIC GENERALLY THINK THIS IS COVERED UNDER MEDICARE THAT'S BEEN PART OF OUR PROBLEM. IN FACT, WE HAD A DOCTOR WHO THOUGHT IT WAS COVERED UNDER MEDICARE WHICH IS PART OF OUR PROBLEM.

Mr. McKINNON: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M SURE THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE SHARE THE SAME INTEREST IN BOTH THE PATIENTS AND THE COSTS AS THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER. I ASSURE YOU THAT WE WILL BE RESPONSIBLE IN LOOKING THROUGH THE REGULATIONS IF THEY ARE PROVIDED TO US.

Mr. TANNER: I THANK YOU FOR THAT ASSURANCE.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, ANOTHER QUESTION THAT ARISES OUT OF THE COMMENTS OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IN RESPECT OF THE BOARD - THE REFEREE BOARD SO TO SPEAK - THAT THIS IS A BOARD CONSISTING OF WHITEHORSE DOCTORS. I BELIEVE THIS WAS AT ONE TIME THE FUNCTION OF THE ZONE DIRECTOR, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN. IS THIS REALLY A GOOD IDEA TO HAVE LOCAL WHITEHORSE DOCTORS MAKING RULINGS RATHER THAN HAVE SOME SORT OF AN INDEPENDENT REFEREE LIKE THE ZONE DIRECTOR MAKING THE RULES.

Mr. TANNER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS A VERY TRICKY PROBLEM. IN THE PAST BOTH THE PREVIOUS AND THE PRESENT ZONE DIRECTOR WAS PUT IN THE UNENVIABLE POSITION OF HAVING TO RULE ON HIS FELLOW DOCTORS' DECISIONS AS TO WHETHER THIS PERSON SHOULD BE REFERRED OUT. QUITE FRANKLY IN THE MAJORITY OF CASES THEY HAD TO GO ALONG WITHOUT - THEY COULDN'T DO ANYTHING ELSE THAN GO ALONG WITH THE OTHER DOCTOR'S DECISION. WE FEEL IF THE DOCTORS THEMSELVES ARE BEING REVIEWED BY THEIR PEERS, THAT GENERALLY SPEAKING

FIRST OF ALL THE DOCTOR WILL THINK SERIOUSLY ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT HIS PATIENT CAN WAIT ANOTHER TWO WEEKS TO SEE A SPECIALIST WHO WILL BE COMING IN. WE THINK ALSO THAT THE DOCTORS REVIEWING THEMSELVES WILL PROBABLY DO A BETTER JOB. WE HAVE AN ILLUSTRATION OF HOW WELL THEY HAVE DONE IN THE PAST. THE HOSPITAL UTILIZATION COMMITTEE WHICH IS A DOCTOR REVIEW OF DOCTORS WAS PUT INTO EFFECT SOME TWO YEARS AGO, EIGHTEEN MONTHS AGO. SINCE THAT DATE THE BED-DAYS IN THE HOSPITAL HAVE DROPPED BY A SIXTH, AND WE FEEL IF THEY CAN DO SUCH A REASONABLE JOB IN THE HOSPITAL THEY CAN DO THE SAME JOB FOR US. QUITE FRANKLY WE HAD TO TALK TO THE DOCTORS FOR SOME TIME AND THEY HAVE AGREED TO DO THIS. I THINK IT'S A TRIBUTE TO THE DOCTORS IN THE YUKON TO DO THAT, TO TAKE ON THAT RESPONSIBILITY.

Mr. TAYLOR: I DON'T WISH TO, YOU KNOW, BE OFFENSIVE TO THE DOCTORS IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM. IT BOTHERS ME THAT THERE IS SO MUCH PROFESSIONAL JEALOUSY SORT OF A THING INVOLVED IN THIS THING TOO. I HAVE HEARD MANY, MANY STRANGE STORIES. WHETHER THEY ARE ALL TRUE I DON'T KNOW. SOME OF THEM ARE - ABOUT THE COMINGS AND GOINGS INVOLVING THE MEDICAL FACILITY HERE IN WHITEHORSE, AND SOME OF THE DOCTORS HERE IN WHITEHORSE. I WILL GIVE YOU A GOOD EXAMPLE THAT MIGHT BE INTERESTING TO YOU. LAST SUMMER A PROSPECTOR WORKING NORTH OF WHITEHORSE HERE TOOK HIS SON OUT FOR THE SUMMER, AND HIS SON PULLED MUSCLES IN HIS LEG. AT LEAST THAT'S WHAT HE THOUGHT IT WAS. SO HE BROUGHT HIM INTO TOWN AND WENT AND SAW ONE DOCTOR. THE DOCTOR SAID HE HAD A HERNIA WHICH, OF COURSE, HE DIDN'T HAVE. SO HE TOOK HIM TO ANOTHER DOCTOR AND THE NEXT DOCTOR TOLD HIM AFTER AN EXAMINATION HE HAD KIDNEY STONES. THE THIRD DOCTOR TOLD HIM HE HAD AN APPENDIX THAT HAD TO COME OUT. IMMEDIATELY THE FATHER OF THE PATIENT FLEW THE CHILD TO VANCOUVER WHERE THEY CONFIRMED THAT HE HAD TORN A MUSCLE. THIS TYPE OF THING IS GOING ON. I GET QUITE A FEW STORIES LIKE THIS. IF THIS HAPPENED TO BE SOMEBODY REFERRED INTO WHITEHORSE FROM ONE OF THE OUTLYING COMMUNITIES SOMEBODY WOULD GO UNDER THE KNIFE THERE BEFORE THEY WOULD EVER GO OUTSIDE AND GET SOME, WHAT PROVED TO BE IN THIS CASE, ADEQUATE ADVICE. THEY WOULD BE DOING SURGERY AND HAVING A GREAT TIME WITH THAT POOR FELLOW. MAYBE HE COULD HAVE HAD

HIS APPENDIX OUT. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT WOULD HURT HIM. PUT THE REST OF IT SOUNDS PRETTY AWESOME TO ME. I'M SAYING THIS THING - IN A WAY IT'S FUNNY, IN ANOTHER WAY IT'S DAMN SERIOUS, Mr. CHAIRMAN. THE DOCTORS HERE, IT WOULD APPEAR, WOULD NOT WANT TO REFER OUT IF THEY CAN GET THEM UNDER THE KNIFE HERE. THAT SEEMS TO BE THE IMPRESSION WE ARE GETTING.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T UNDERSTAND IT, I HONESTLY DON'T. I'VE NEVER HEARD SUCH A BUNCH OF GOSSIP AND GARBAGE IN ALL MY LIFE.

Mr. TAYLOR: THAT IS FACT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

Mr. TANNER: I'M SURE IT IS FACT IN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S MIND. YOU CAN TALK TO ANYBODY ON THE STREET AND THEY WILL TELL YOU SOME STORY ABOUT A DOCTOR, DENTIST, CHIROPRACTOR, TEACHER - - -

Mr. McKINNON: A POLITICIAN. (LAUGHTER)

Mr. TANNER: A POLITICIAN, ANYBODY YOU LIKE, IF WE ARE GOING TO MAKE COMMENTS ON THE BUDGET ON THE BASIS OF THAT SORT OF PROBLEM, I THINK WE ARE ALL WASTING OUR TIME. THE DOCTORS THEMSELVES HAVE ASSUMED THE RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTROLLING THEMSELVES. IN THE ONE INSTANCE WHERE WE HAVE HAD THEM DO THAT THEY HAVE DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB. THE QUALITY OF MEDICAL CARE IN THE YUKON IS FAR BEYOND ANY OTHER ISOLATED AREA IN CANADA IN SOME RESPECTS, PARTICULARLY THE NORTHERN PART OF ALL THE PROVINCES. THE QUALITY OF CARE, IN MY OPINION AND I HAVE BEEN IN THIS DEPARTMENT LONG ENOUGH TO SEE THE RESULTS OF SOME OF IT, IN THE YUKON IS FAR SUPERIOR THAN IT IS IN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES. TO SAY - FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TO SAY THAT THE MEDICAL FRATERNITY HERE IS SUSPECT BECAUSE OF SOME STORY HE HAS HEARD ON THE STREET IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS.

Mr. TAYLOR: THE STORY I JUST RELATED IS QUITE ABSOLUTELY FACTUAL. IF YOU WANT A SIGNED, SWORN DOCUMENT I WILL GO GET IT FOR YOU IF IT WILL ACHIEVE ANYTHING. IN ANY EVENT I STILL QUESTION THIS BUSINESS OF REFERRALS OUT BEING HANDLED BY THE DOCTORS COMPLETELY WITHOUT SOME INDEPENDENT PERSON, QUALIFIED PERSON, SUCH AS THE ZONE DIRECTOR TO ACT AS A FINAL ARBITER. I'LL LEAVE IT AT THIS. I'LL

TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT THIS QUESTION, AND IF I FIND WHERE THIS IS RESULTING IN HARDSHIP UPON ANYBODY, THIS OUTSIDE REFERRAL BUSINESS, THEN I WILL BE BACK IN THIS CHAMBERS WHEN THE BUDGET COMES AROUND NEXT TIME TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT WITH SOME SIGNED, SWORN AFFIDAVITS IF THE MEMBER WANTS THEM.

Mr. McKINNON: YOU SURE YOU ARE COMING BACK? LAUGHTER.

Mr. TANNER: THE ONLY HOPE I CAN HAVE IS - - I BETTER NOT, NO, IF THE KNIFE IS GOING TO BE USED - - -

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I APOLOGIZE TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. I FORGOT TO MENTION THAT ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE TWO DOCTORS IS THE ZONE HEALTH DIRECTOR.

Mr. TAYLOR: BUT DOES THE ZONE DIRECTOR STILL HAVE THE FINAL SAY?

Mr. TANNER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN. THE ZONE DIRECTOR IN THE YUKON HAS GOT THE FINAL SAY MEDICALLY IN ALL AFFAIRS MEDICAL.

Mr. TAYLOR: INCLUDING REFERRALS?

Mr. TANNER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TAYLOR: OKAY.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, CERTAINLY HE WOULD WORK ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD THAT HE SITS AS A BODY. THEY ARE ALL QUALIFIED MEDICAL PEOPLE.

Mr. TAYLOR: UNFORTUNATELY, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IN THIS TERRITORY WHAT IS RECOMMENDED BY BOARDS AND INDEED, CERTAINLY IN THE COMMISSIONER'S POSITION ANYWAY, WHAT HE DOES ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS QUITE OFTEN. BOARDS RECOMMEND, BUT I WANT TO KNOW WHO MAKES THE DECISION - THE DOCTORS OR IS THE FINAL DECISION MADE BY THE ZONE DIRECTOR. IF THIS IS THE CASE THEN WE HAVE AN ARBITER. BUT IF IT IS THE DOCTORS WHO MAKE THE FINAL DECISION NOTWITHSTANDING THE ZONE DIRECTOR, THEN THAT IS SOMETHING ELSE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS SOMETHING ELSE. I MIGHT AS WELL TELL THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS NOW BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO FIND OUT IN A FEW MINUTES ANYWAY. I HAVE ANOTHER BOARD COMING UP TOO. IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO GET THE SAME CRITICISM AS SOME OF THE BOARDS HAVE HAD IN THIS HOUSE IN THIS SESSION, I WOULDN'T RECOMMEND ANY BOARDS. BOARDS ARE SET UP TO MAKE THAT ONE DECISION AND WHEN HONOURABLE MEMBERS ASK HOW THEY MADE THAT DECISION AND WHERE THEY MADE THAT DECISION, THEY ARE COMPLETELY NEGATING, IN MY OPINION, THE VERY BOARDS THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT SET UP.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, FURTHER TO THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS BEEN THROWING THESE ACCUSATIONS AROUND WHERE BOARDS HAVE MADE RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE ADMINISTRATION HAVE NOT ACTED UPON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD. I WOULD LIKE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TO BRING FORWARD A SPECIFIC INSTANCE WHERE THIS HAS OCCURRED. YOU CALMLY THROW OUT THESE ACCUSATIONS TIME AFTER TIME, AND YOU HAVE NEVER BEEN ASKED TO BACK THEM UP. NOW I'M ASKING YOU. YOU BACK UP YOUR STATEMENTS. WHAT BOARD MADE A RECOMMENDATION THAT WASN'T FOLLOWED BY THE ADMINISTRATION, VERY SPECIFICALLY.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M VERY ENLIGHTENED. I THOUGHT SHE WAS GOING TO SAY WHAT BOARD HADN'T, BECAUSE JUST ABOUT ALL OF THEM - - YOU KNOW WHAT BOARDS ARE - -

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: SHOUTING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

MR. TAYLOR: ORDER PLEASE, MR. CHAIRMAN. IF THE LADY - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD YOU QUIETEN THE LADY DOWN AND ASK HER TO TAKE HER SEAT.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, POINT OF ORDER, WHO IS THE CHAIRMAN?

MR. TAYLOR: WOULD THE LADY KEEP HER SEAT LONG ENOUGH TO HEAR - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR WILL YOU CONTINUE PLEASE.

MR. TAYLOR: ALRIGHT. NOW IN ANSWER TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER ACROSS THE WAY, HE'S TALKING ABOUT BOARDS BEING SO IMPORTANT IN MAKING DECISIONS. BOARDS ARE NOTHING MORE THAN SCAPEGOATS FOR THOSE WHO DO NOT WISH TO MAKE DECISIONS.

MR. TANNER: YOU SHOULD KNOW ABOUT THAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS WHY WE HAVE NO DECISION-MAKING PROCESS IN THIS GOVERNMENT AS SUCH. WE HAVE GOT ABOUT WHAT - SOME EIGHTY ODD BOARDS. THAT'S WHAT YOUR BOARDS ARE. I'M NOT GOING TO GET GARBAGED UP WITH THE KIND OF NONSENSE THAT GOES ON BETWEEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE. I ABHOR IT SO I WILL NOT GET DRAGGED INTO THAT. I THINK I HAVE GOT MY QUESTION ANSWERED THEN. THE ANSWER IS THAT THE ZONE DIRECTOR CAN OVERRULE THE DOCTORS IN TERMS OF REFERRAL. THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW.

MR. TANNER: YES. YOU ARE A DAMN FOOL IF YOU DON'T.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO GET AN ANSWER TO MY QUESTION FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE. I AM PREPARED TO WAIT FOR A FEW DAYS FOR THE ANSWER BUT I WOULD LIKE AN ANSWER.

MR. TAYLOR: WHAT SPECIFICALLY WAS THE QUESTION? APPARENTLY MR. CHAIRMAN, SHE'S INTERESTED IN KNOWING WHAT BOARDS HAVE MADE A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE GOVERNMENT HADN'T ADHERED TO. IF I CAN FIND TIME FROM DOING THE PEOPLE'S BUSINESS TO GET INTO A DONKEY SITUATION LIKE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SUGGESTS, I WILL ATTEMPT TO GET HER MANY DECISIONS FROM MANY BOARDS. BUT I HAVE TO USE MY INTELLIGENCE NETWORK IN THIS TERRITORY BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT BEING SO SECRET - - BUT, IF I HAVE ENOUGH TIME LEFT FROM DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC'S BUSINESS IN THE TERRITORY I WILL PROVIDE THE INFORMATION TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. ORDER PLEASE. I WONDER IF WE CAN GET ON WITH THE BUDGET. THIS

IS GETTING A BIT OUT OF HAND. IS IT AGREED BY COMMITTEE THAT WE WILL COME BACK TO THIS ITEM, SUBSIDIZED TRAVEL?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE, \$57,000.00.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE FACT THAT YOU GOT \$57,000.00 IN THIS VOTE SHOULD BE POINTED OUT; IT CAME OUT OF WELFARE VOTE LAST TIME. THAT'S WHY THERE IS NOTHING THERE IS 1973-74. I WILL PERSONALLY SAY THAT'S ABOMINABLE. WE SHOULD HAVE A LOT MORE MONEY ALLOCATED TO THAT VOTE. THE PROBLEM OF ALCOHOLISM IN THE TERRITORY IS SHOCKING, AND IF THAT'S THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THE GOVERNMENT CAN PUT INTO THAT TYPE OF PROBLEM, I THINK IT IS PITIFUL. HOWEVER, I WOULD HOPE THAT THE NEXT COUNCIL, OR I HOPE THAT THE NEXT FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, WILL SEE IN THEIR WISDOM TO EXPAND THAT AMOUNT AND PUT THE FUNDS - MAKE THE FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE PROBLEM THAT EXISTS AND TRY TO GO SOME WAY INTO RESOLVING IT. ANY CRITICISM ANY MEMBER WANTS TO MAKE ABOUT THE \$57,000.00 THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND DO.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF UNDER THIS VOTE - ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE, \$57,000.00, IF A PROPORTION OF THIS MONEY IS TO GO TO SOME NEW PROGRAM RELATED TO DRINKING DRIVERS?

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. I THINK THAT COMES OUT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS I BELIEVE. IT'S NOT VERY MUCH MONEY. NO, I BEG YOUR PARDON. IT'S FUNDED ON A FEDERAL PROGRAM. IT'S ABOUT TWO OR THREE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND IT'S NOT VERY MUCH MONEY.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS WHAT I WANTED TO KNOW. THIS IS TOTALLY A FEDERAL PROGRAM THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY ARE IN NO WAY INVOLVED IN IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN STAND TO BE CORRECTED. THE TREASURER CAN TELL US BETTER THAN I CAN BUT THAT IS WHAT I THINK IS HAPPENING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. TREASURER OR RATHER MR. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN AS I UNDERSTAND THE THING, THE PROGRAM WAS PUT TOGETHER USING OUR ALCOHOLISM CONSULTANT, BASED ON A FEDERAL PROGRAM OR A PROGRAM THAT WAS USED IN SOME OF THE OTHER PROVINCES. OUR PROBATION PEOPLE WERE ALSO INVOLVED IN THE THING.

THE COST OF IT IS VERY, VERY LIMITED IN THAT IT IS PUT ON IN THE EVENINGS. THEY USE THE TERRITORIAL COURT SPACE AND THE ONLY THING THAT IS REALLY INVOLVED IN THE PROGRAM IS A LECTURER'S TIME. THE MATERIALS HAVE BEEN PURCHASED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT UNDER THEIR HEALTH PROGRAM.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN WHAT I AND OTHER PEOPLE IN THE TERRITORY WOULD BE MOST INTERESTED IN KNOWING IS WHY IS THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT EMBARKING ON A PROGRAM THAT HAS NOT YET COME BEFORE THIS HOUSE, AND DISCUSSED. WHY NOT? THIS IS WHAT A LEGISLATURE IS SUPPOSED TO BE ABOUT. THE LAST TIME WE WENT THROUGH THE SUPPLEMENTARIES, WE FOUND PROGRAM AFTER PROGRAM THAT HAD NOT BEEN FIRST APPROVED BY THIS HOUSE. THIS PROGRAM WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE INVOLVES THE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS OF INDIVIDUALS AND I THINK IT BEHOVES THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY BEFORE THEY INVOLVE THEMSELVES IN PROGRAMS, EITHER IN WHOLE OR IN PART, TO COME BEFORE THIS COUNCIL.

I THINK THIS COUNCIL SHOULD HAVE A FULL BREAK-DOWN AND A DESCRIPTION OR WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT OF WHAT THIS PROGRAM IS. WHAT YOU ARE DOING IS HAVING THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN CHARGED WITH IMPAIRED DRIVING OFFENSES BEING CHARGED BY THE COURT TO BE PUT ON PROBATION, IS WHAT YOU ARE DOING AND I WANT TO KNOW WHY. I THINK ANY OTHER MEMBER WOULD PROBABLY WANT TO KNOW.

MR. MCKINNON: I THINK WE SHOULD ALL TAKE THE LECTURE.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN I HAVE ALREADY ASKED THAT THE NEXT TIME THEY HAVE ONE, I WANT TO GO DOWN AND WATCH IT. I WATCHED THE INTRODUCTION INITIALLY AND I FOUND IT VERY INTERESTING. INCIDENTALLY IT WAS INTRODUCED IN ALBERTA SOME TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO AND RESULTS THEY HAVE HAD ARE QUITE STARTLING.

TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THERE ARE NO TERRITORIAL FUNDS, THERE ARE SOME TERRITORIAL EMPLOYEES INVOLVED ON A VOLUNTEER BASIS IN THE EVENING.

Mr. TAYLOR: I HAVE IT THEN. AS LONG AS I HAVE THE ASSURANCE THAT THERE ARE NO GOVERNMENT PEOPLE OF THE YUKON INVOLVED ON GOVERNMENT TIME, THAT THERE ARE NO MONIES, NOT ONE NICKEL, FROM THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TO THIS PROGRAM, THEN WE CAN GO AND TALK TO THE FEDERAL PEOPLE ABOUT IT. AS LONG AS I HAVE THAT ASSURANCE. IF THERE IS ONE NICKEL OF TERRITORIAL MONEY IN THIS THING, I THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY MUST BRING THIS WHOLE MATTER BEFORE COUNCIL AND HAVE THEIR DECISION AS TO WHETHER IT IS AGREEABLE OR NOT.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THERE IS NOT A TERRITORIAL NICKEL INVOLVED. WHAT ASTOUNDS ME IS THAT OUR TERRITORIAL EMPLOYEES WOULD BE PREPARED TO TAKE THEIR OWN TIME TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

THE OTHER THING THAT I WOULD SAY, AS I SAID PREVIOUSLY, THEY HAVE HAD GREAT RESULTS IN ALBERTA. THEY ARE PLACED ON THIS COURSE BY THE COURTS NOT BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. THEY HAVE INTRODUCED IT INTO ONE OTHER WESTERN PROVINCE AND ALSO I THINK IN ONTARIO. AGAIN, IN ONTARIO OR WHICHEVER OTHER WESTERN PROVINCE IS THAT IT WAS INTRODUCED INTO, IT HAS PROVED VERY SUCCESSFUL. I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MIGHT TAKE THE OTHER TRACK AND GIVE IT SIX OR SEVEN MONTHS AND SEE THE RESULTS BEFORE HE IS PREPARED TO CRITICIZE IT.

I DON'T THINK ITS RESTRICTING ANYBODY'S FREEDOM BECAUSE QUITE FRANKLY AS I UNDERSTAND IT, QUITE OFTEN IT IS NOT RESTRICTING ANYBODY'S FREEDOM, BECAUSE ALTHOUGH THEY ARE COMPELLED TO GO TO FOUR LECTURES OVER A SERIES OF FOUR EVENINGS, THE OTHER RESTRICTIONS WHICH COULD BE IMPOSED ON THEIR DRIVING ARE NOT IMPOSED AS AN ALTERNATIVE. THAT DOESN'T NECESSARY FOLLOW AND I WOULDN'T LIKE TO BE QUOTED ON THAT BECAUSE THEY MIGHT GET BOTH.

Mr. TAYLOR: NOW Mr. CHAIRMAN I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY. HERE IS THE POINT THOUGH. THIS IS GOING ON IN THE WESTERN PROVINCE WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF THE GOVERNMENTS OF THOSE PROVINCES. THIS IS WHAT I AM GETTING AT. HAVE WE EMBARKED ON A POLICY OF DRINKING-DRIVER EDUCATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE COURTS AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, HAVE WE NOT, INVOLVING ANY PART OF THE TERRITORIAL'S EXPENSES OR ENERGY. IF WE HAVE, IN ANY EVENT, I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE A FULL EXPLANATION OF WHAT THE PROGRAM IS. IT IS PROBABLY AN EXCELLENT PROGRAM, I DON'T KNOW. I'M ASKING THESE QUESTIONS, I COME HERE TO GET THE ANSWERS.

CERTAINLY IF THERE IS ANY OF OUR MONEY GOING INTO THIS THING IN THE FUTURE OR OTHERWISE, THEN THE POLICY MUST BE ESTABLISHED AT THIS COUNCIL, NOT BY THE ADMINISTRATION.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK I'VE MADE IT CLEAR. THERE IS NO TERRITORIAL FUNDS, ITS VOLUNTEER TERRITORIAL LABOR AFTER HOURS. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE WOULD PROBABLY BE ABLE TO GET SOME DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND ALL MEMBERS OF THE PROGRAM FROM SOME MEMBER FROM THE LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED I THINK IT IS A VERY EXCELLENT PROGRAM. I THINK THAT THOSE MEMBERS OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WHO ARE DONATING THEIR TIME TO IT ARE TO BE HIGHLY COMMENDED.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT THE YUKON BRANCH OF THE ALCOHOLICS ANONYMOUS ASSOCIATION CERTAINLY STRONGLY PROMOTED THIS PROGRAM BEFORE IT WAS BROUGHT INTO BEING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM, ARE YOU CLEAR OH...

Mr. McKINNON: I'M JUST A LITTLE CONFUSED Mr. CHAIRMAN AS TO WHAT THE FUNCTION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IS. I THOUGHT THAT A PERSON IN SUCH A POSITION WOULD BE SETTING PRIORITIES AND SETTING POLICIES WITHIN THE BUDGET. IF HE HAD A CONCEPT THAT THERE SHOULD BE AN EMPHASIS PLACED ON A CERTAIN PART OF THE BUDGET THAT HE THEN HAD THE AUTHORITY TO INSTITUTE EXPENDITURES TO SET PRIORITIES AND TO SET POLICIES, THAT MONEY WOULD BE BROUGHT FORWARD IN THE BUDGET.

NOW WE'VE HAD THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER TELL US THAT IT IS ADMINABLE, THE SHORTAGE OF MONEY IN THE ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE, I'M JUST WONDERING, MR. CHAIRMAN WHAT AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER DOES,

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN YOU'VE SEEN MY DIRECTION AND MY OPINION EXPRESSED AS FAR AS THE SUBSIDIZED TRAVEL IS CONCERNED. YOU'LL SEE IT EXPRESSED AS FAR AS ESTABLISHMENT 534 IS CONCERNED, YOU'LL SEE IT EXPRESSED IN ONE OF SESSIONAL PAPERS, IN THE DECISION THAT WAS MADE AS TO WHAT WE DO WITH THE SURPLUS FUNDS IN MEDICARE. IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE, I WASN'T ABLE TO EXPRESS MY VIEW. SHOULD I BE FOOL ENOUGH TO RUN AGAIN, MAYBE I CAN EXPRESS IT NEXT TIME.

Mr. McKINNON: I ALWAYS THOUGHT THE NORMAL COURSE OF EVENTS, MR. CHAIRMAN, ON A DECISION LIKE THAT, IF THE GUY REALLY FELT SO STRONGLY ABOUT IT AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS INDICATED THAT HE HAD, THAT HE SAID, 'I'M SORRY BUT I CAN'T MAKE MY OPINION'. RATHER THAN BREAK CABINET SOLIDARITY, I'D LEAVE MY POSITION BECAUSE I CONSIDER IT TO BE OF SUCH IMPORTANCE AND TO BE SUCH A PRIORITY THAT I CAN'T ACCEPT THE GOVERNMENT DECISION AND THE CABINET DECISION OF NOT GOING ALONG WITH MY THINKING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. THE CHAIR HAS RECOGNIZED COUNCILLOR WATSON.

Mrs. WATSON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN IF YOU LOOK AT ESTABLISHMENT 537, YOU WILL SEE THAT MOST OF THE EXPENDITURES ARE FOR SALARIES OF PEOPLE WHO WORK IN THE PROGRAM. BEFORE ADDITIONAL FUNDS ARE PRESENTED FOR THE PROGRAM, YOU HAVE TO HAVE A DEVELOPED PROGRAM SO THAT YOU CAN JUSTIFY SPENDING THE FUNDS, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS NOT HAD THE TIME SINCE HE HAS TAKEN OVER THE HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT TO HAVE THE PROGRAM DEVELOPED WITH THE COSTING ATTACHED TO THE PROGRAM, SO THAT HE COULD SUBMIT IT IN TIME THAT IT COULD BE PLACED IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET.

I THINK THIS IS THE BIGGEST PROBLEM THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS FACED WITH. THERE ARE EMPLOYEES IN THE PROGRAM, BUT THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS AND THE TYPE OF PROGRAM THAT HE WOULD LIKE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY HASN'T BEEN THAT CLEARLY DEFINED WITH THE EXACT COST ATTRIBUTED,

THIS IS WHERE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS CERTAINLY VERY MUCH HELD BACK.

Mr. McKINNON: OH THAT'S TOO BAD.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULDN'T MAKE THE POINT AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO FIRST RAISED THE QUESTION, SHOULD THE DAY COME WHEN HE, SHOULD FILL ONE OF THESE POSITIONS GO UP FOR BID, HE WILL FIND HIMSELF SOMETIMES BEING ABLE TO MAKE HIS VIEW PREVAIL AND SOMETIMES HE WON'T BE ABLE TO MAKE HIS VIEW PREVAIL. IF HE BELIEVES IN THE THEORY OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, THEN HE HAS GOT TO GO ALONG WITH HIS COLLEAGUES IN SOME RESPECTS.

THE OTHER POINT THAT I WOULD MAKE, THAT THE CLASSES, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS WHEN HE WAS ON THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, FOR THE NEXT YEAR WILL HAVE SOME IMPUT ON THOSE PEOPLE THAT FOLLOW US. I HOPE THAT MY PARTICULAR POINT OF VIEW WILL BE REFLECTED IN THOSE FORECASTS.

Mr. McKINNON: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN I CAN ONLY ANSWER THAT WHEN I WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND PART OF THE BUDGET PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE, I DIDN'T HAVE TOO MANY PROBLEMS, HAVING TO FUND THE ISSUES THAT I THOUGHT TO BE PRIORITY, INDICATED THAT WAY THROUGH EXPENDITURES IN THE BUDGET AND I DON'T THINK THAT THE YUKON TERRITORY SUFFERED ALL THAT GREATLY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON 505? 515-YUKON HOSPITAL INSURANCE SERVICES, \$1,961,959. THERE IS SOME DETAIL ON A-23 ON INSURED IN AND OUT PATIENT SERVICES. ANY QUESTIONS ON 515? YOU SAY THE ADDITION IS WRONG? CLEAR ON 515?

525--YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN, \$1,468,387 SOME DETAIL ON A-24.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULD LIKE THIS ONE STOOD OVER UNTIL THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE EAST RETURNS IF POSSIBLE. ALSO WHILE I'M ON MY FEET, I'M WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION HAS GIVEN ANY CONSIDERATION TO THE REQUEST OF SOME MEMBERS A SHORT WHILE AGO WHILE DISCUSSING THIS GENERAL SUBJECT THAT WE REVISE THE POLICY IN RESPECT OF THE FUND.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHY HE WANTS TO STAND THIS OVER. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO IS ABSENT TODAY, MADE THAT CHOICE HIMSELF. WHY SHOULD WE STAND ANYTHING OVER BECAUSE HE IS NOT HERE,

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN FINAL REVIEW IT WILL GET PICKED UP IN ANY EVENT BUT I ASK THAT IT BE LEFT AS A SPECIFIC ITEM FOR CONSIDERATION WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS RETURNED WHICH IS PROBABLY MONDAY. WE SHOULD STILL BE ON THE BUDGET BY THEN ANYWAY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE COMMENTS OF THE MEMBER?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN WITH REGARD TO THE QUESTION OF INTEREST ON THE FUND, WHICH I THINK WAS THE POINT THAT WAS RAISED. CERTAINLY WE'VE LISTENED TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS COLLECTIVELY BUT WITH RESPECT THESE ARE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUNDS, THEY ARE NOT FUNDS PUT UP BY THE PUBLIC, ONLY A PORTION OF THEM ARE PREMIUMS. IF WE ARE GOING TO ATTEMPT TO, IN THE YUKON TERRITORY TO CHANGE 500 YEARS OF GOVERNMENT METHADODOGY IN ONE FELL SWOOP, I SUPPOSE WE COULD DO THAT.

WE ARE FOLLOWING NORMAL GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES IN DEALING WITH THESE FUNDS.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH THIS ATTITUDE, I THINK IT BEMOOVES THE COUNCIL TO BRING DOWN THE BILL TO AMEND THE ORDINANCE, THE MEDICARE BILL, TO PROVIDE THAT THOSE FUNDS WHICH ARE LAYING UNUSED IN THE FUNDS, THEY GAIN INTEREST WHICH ACCRUES TO THE FUND AND NOT TO THE GENERAL REVENUES. WE DO THIS IN OTHER INSTANCES AND IT SHOULD BE DONE HERE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN WITH RESPECT, THE OTHER INSTANCES THAT WE DO THIS IN ARE TRUST FUNDS. THEY ARE NOT A COMBINATION OF PREMIUMS OR REVENUES AND RECOVERIES. IN THE CASE OF YHCIP, THE FUNDS GOING INTO THAT PLAN ARE A COMBINATION OF PREMIUMS PUT UP BY THE PEOPLE AND RECOVERIES FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. IF MY FIGURES ARE CORRECT, IN 1974-75, ROUGHLY 55% OF THE REVENUE INTO THE PLAN WILL BE PREMIUMS. THE OTHER 45% ARE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS.

WHERE DID THE SURPLUS COME FROM? FROM THE PREMIUMS OR THE FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS? NOBODY CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION? IF THESE WERE 100% PREMIUMS, OR REVENUES, I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU, BUT THEY ARE NOT, THEY ARE A COMBINATION. AND AS SUCH THEY ARE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUNDS AND SHOULD BE TREATED AS THAT.

MR. TAYLOR: I CERTAINLY DON'T AGREE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON 525 AND THE BREAKDOWN?

MR. TAYLOR: I THINK THAT AT THIS TIME I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR, AND I THINK WE WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2:00.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COUNCIL TO ORDER. WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL NO. 3, MAIN ESTIMATES. WE CONCLUDED WITH 525-\$1,468,387.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS ASKED ME TO BRING BACK THE REGULATIONS AND I SHOULD HAVE THEM IN A FEW MINUTES. THERE HAS BEEN A SLIGHT MIX UP.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM ESTABLISHMENT 530- \$571,000. - ADMINISTRATION WELFARE. BREAKDOWN ON A-25.

JUST FROM THE CHAIR, WHAT IS THE SITUATION IN RESPECT OF ADOPTION? ARE THEY GOING SMOOTHLY? ARE THERE MANY CHILDREN FOR ADOPTION AND WHAT IS THE GENERAL SITUATION?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE GENERAL PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE IS TO FIND ADOPTIVE PARENTS. WITHIN THE YUKON WE ARE HAVING SOME PROBLEMS. WE ARE FINDING OURSELVES IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAVEN'T GOT ENOUGH CHILDREN FOR ADOPTIONS. IN OTHER WORDS, SOME PEOPLE WANT SOME PARTICULAR TYPE OF CHILD. ALSO THE DEPARTMENT IS NOW PARTICIPATING IN A NORTH AMERICAN PLAN OF ADOPTION. IT IS A RECIPROCAL PLAN WHERE PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING FOR ADOPTIONS ARE ABLE TO GIVE THE TYPE OF CHILD THEY ARE LOOKING FOR TO A CENTRAL BODY WHO DECIMINATES THIS INFORMATION THROUGHOUT NORTH AMERICA AND ANY AGENCY WHO HAS A CHILD WHO MIGHT BE AVAILABLE FOR ADOPTION THEN INFORMS THE CENTRAL AGENCY AND THEY ARE MADE AVAILABLE.

GENERALLY SPEAKING OUR ADOPTIONS ARE IMPROVING. AS FAR AS THE FOSTER HOMES ARE CONCERNED, AND IT IS THANKS TO THE INFORMATION DEPARTMENT AND THE PROGRAM THAT THE DEPARTMENT PUT ON SOME THREE WEEKS AGO, THE INQUIRES FOR FOSTER PARENTS FROM THE PUBLIC INCREASED BY SOME CONSIDERABLE PERCENTAGE. AT LEAST ABOUT 20% IN THE LAST THREE OR FOUR WEEKS.

GENERALLY SPEAKING THE ADOPTIONS AND THE FOSTER HOME AVAILABILITY OF PARENTS IS GETTING BETTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAS THE DEPARTMENT OF WELFARE OR YOUR OFFICE RECEIVED ANY NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS RESPECTING STANDARDS REQUIRED FOR BOTH FOSTER HOME CARE AND ADOPTIONS. THAT THEY MAY BE TOO ASTRINGENT?

MR. TANNER: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. WE HAVEN'T HAD COMPLAINTS THAT THEY ARE TOO ASTRINGENT. IT WOULD BE A PERSONAL OBSERVATION OF MINE THAT BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PROTECTING CHILDREN TAKEN INTO THEIR CARE, I MUST STRESS VERY STRONG THAT THIS IS MY OWN PERSONAL OPINION, I THINK THEY LEAN OVER BACKWARDS TO PROTECT THE CHILD. SOMETIMES IN MY OPINION AS I SAY AGAIN, THEY ARE OVERPROTECTED. BUT, NO, I DON'T THINK WE HAVE HAD ANY COMPLAINTS THAT I HAVE HEARD OF. HOWEVER, I CAN INQUIRE OF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD AND FIND OUT UNLESS THE MEMBER HAS SOME SPECIFIC INFORMATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I HAVE RECEIVED SOME, NOT TOO MANY BUT SOME, THAT GENERALLY IN THE CASE OF FOSTER HOMES IN PARTICULAR THE WELFARE WORKERS WERE A LITTLE TOO ASTRINGENT IN THEIR DEMANDS OF PEOPLE THAT WOULD TAKE CHILDREN. THEY JUST GAVE UP THE IDEA BECAUSE OF THE ASTRINGENCY.

HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF WELFARE?

NEXT ITEM IS CHILD WELFARE SERVICES - \$624,000.

AS A RESULT OF THIS FIRE IN THE RECEIVING HOME IN WHITEHORSE JUST A SHORT WHILE AGO. WHAT HAS BEEN DONE TO ENSURE THE FURTHER SAFETY OF CHILDREN FROM THIS TYPE OF ACCIDENT?

MR. TANNER: AS MEMBERS WILL RECALL THERE WAS AN INQUEST AND SOME OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT CAME OUT, TWO OF THEM IMMEDIATELY CAME TO MIND. ONE, THAT THERE SHOULD BE SMOKE PROTECTORS, MORE SMOKE PROTECTORS PUT IN. WE DID IN FACT HAVE SMOKE PROTECTORS IN BUT WE FEEL NOW AFTER THE INQUEST THAT THERE SHOULD BE MORE. THERE HAVE BEEN MORE INSTALLED. THE OTHER REQUEST IS A RATHER DIFFICULT ONE TO FULFILL. WHAT THEY SPECIFICALLY ASKED FOR WAS FIRE PROTECTED FURNITURE. THE MANUFACTURE OF FIRE PROTECTED FURNITURE IN CANADA IS VERY LIMITED. THAT IS ONE PROBLEM. THE SECOND PROBLEM IS THAT IT IS EXTREMELY EXPENSIVE, IF YOU CAN FIND IT. WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO FIND MANUFACTURERS IN NORTH AMERICA WHO DO MAKE THAT TYPE OF FURNITURE. IT IS A VERY HARD FUNCTION TO FULFILL.

THIS IS IN NO WAY DEMEANING FROM, OR DETRACTING FROM THAT RECOMMENDATION BUT THE COST IS EXTRAORDINARILY HIGH COMPARED TO ORDINARY FURNITURE. HOWEVER, THE DEPARTMENT IS WORKING ON IT.

THE OTHER POINT IS, AND IT WILL APPEAR AND IN FACT IT DID APPEAR AS A SUPPLEMENTARY. THE COST OF REPAIRS TO THE BUILDING WERE SOMETHING LIKE \$20,000. WHICH IS IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THESE SMOKE DETECTORS ARE GOING TO BE INSTALLED IN ALL THREE ...

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY WERE IN BEFORE BUT WE PUT MORE IN TO GIVE GREATER PROTECTION.

INCIDENTALLY, WE ALSO HAVE A GREATER NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES UNDER CONTRACT THAN WE HAD BEFORE. THIS WASN'T A RECOMMENDATION. WE THOUGHT WE SHOULD DO IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON CHILD WELFARE SERVICES?

NEXT ITEM IS SOCIAL ASSISTANCE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$570,000.

JUST ANOTHER QUESTION FROM THE CHAIR ON SOCIAL ASSISTANCE. IN THE PAST, WE ARE PROBABLY MORE CONSCIOUS OF IT IN WATSON LAKE BECAUSE WE ARE ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY AND MEET A LOT OF PEOPLE COMING AND GOING FROM THE STATE OF ALASKA AND THE YUKON. WE SEE AN EVER INCREASING NUMBER OF PEOPLE SOME WE REFER TO AS POSSIBLY HIPPIES, BUT OTHERS AS YOUNG PEOPLE, GOING UP AND DOWN THE HIGHWAY AND NOT HAVING FUNDS WITH WHICH TO MAINTAIN THEMSELVES. IS THIS A NOTICABLE PROBLEM IN EITHER WATSON LAKE OR WHITEHORSE?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, ODDLY ENOUGH, IT IS THE SAME QUESTION I ASKED THE DEPARTMENT SHORTLY AFTER I TOOK IT OVER. SURPRISINGLY ENOUGH WE DON'T PAY OUT A GREAT AMOUNT OF FUNDS FOR THAT PARTICULAR PROBLEM. I MYSELF WOULD HAVE THOUGHT WE WOULD BE PAYING OUT A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY BUT I DON'T THINK IT HAS EXCEEDED \$20,000.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANOTHER QUESTION THAT I WAS ASKED TO ASK WHEN I CAME TO COUNCIL WAS

AND THIS IS FROM PEOPLE ON HIGHWAY LODGES. THESE PEOPLE ARE HITCHHIKING UP AND DOWN THE HIGHWAY AND GET STUCK AT A HIGHWAY LODGE WITHOUT FUNDS AND THE LODGE-KEEPER IS PRETTY WELL COMMITTED TO FEED THEM AND KEEP THEM UNDER OBSERVATION OR THEY DON'T DARE GO TO SLEEP AT NIGHT. IS THERE OR COULD THERE BE ANYTHING DONE TO ASSIST SOME OF THESE LODGE OWNERS WHO ARE FULFILLING THIS WELFARE FUNCTION?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE WAY TO HANDLE THAT WOULD BE FOR THE LODGE OWNERS TO CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD MAKE A CARTE BLANCHE POLICY ON A THING LIKE THAT. I UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM. I THINK IT IS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHARITY. WHEN A SPECIFIC CASE COMES TO THE ATTENTION OF THE LODGE OWNER I WOULD SUGGEST THEY CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT EVEN IF THEY PHONE COLLECT, AND LET THE DEPARTMENT DEAL WITH IT ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON SOCIAL ASSISTANCE?

NEXT ITEM IS CATEGORICAL ALLOWANCES - \$12,000.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE RECOVERY ON ALL THE WELFARE PROGRAMS IS 50%.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? NEXT ITEM IS SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$57,000.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS ONE OTHER AREA WHERE THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE IN POLICY. AS HONOURABLE MEMBERS WILL RECALL I INTRODUCED SESSIONAL PAPER No. 17, I BELIEVE. (BASICALLY WHAT I PLAN TO DO WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF COUNCIL IS EXPAND THE SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES AND SET UP A BOARD FOR THE AGENCIES THEMSELVES TO DISTRIBUTE THE FUNDS. BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN MY OBSERVATION IN THE SHORT TIME THAT I'VE BEEN HERE AND THE KNOWLEDGE PREVIOUS TO BEING IN THIS POSITION, THAT IN EFFECT WHAT HAPPENED PREVIOUSLY THAT EVERY INDIVIDUAL REQUEST FOR A GRANT FOR SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES WENT THROUGH A LONG MEANDERING RED TAPE PROCESS BEFORE IT WAS GRANTED. IT WAS ON THE HEADS OF THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS EITHER IN THE DEPARTMENT OR IN THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

I FEEL THAT IF WE MAKE THE GRANTS TO THE AGENCIES IN TOTAL AND LET THEM DISTRIBUTE THE FUNDS, IT WILL GET BETTER VALUE FOR OUR MONEY.

WE WILL PROBABLY GET BETTER INPUT FROM THE PUBLIC.

AS I SAY, THERE IS A SESSIONAL PAPER ON THE SUBJECT, SESSIONAL PAPER—

Mr. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU WISH TO DEAL WITH THE SESSIONAL PAPER AT THIS TIME?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN I HAD ASSUMED THAT MOST MEMBERS HAVE ALREADY PROBABLY READ IT. ITS UP TO ANY MEMBER THAT WANTS IT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER IN THIS ITEM? THE NEXT ITEM IS CHILDREN'S GROUP HOMES--\$38,000.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN THE REASON FOR THE DECREASE HERE IS, IF THE MEMBERS WILL REMEMBER, WE HAD AN \$8,000 OVERAGE LAST YEAR IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? NEXT IS LODGES AND SENIOR CITIZENS HOMES, \$429,000. I HAD A QUESTION HERE AGAIN FROM THE CHAIR IN RESECT TO WATSON LAKE AND THE NEW HOUSING CORPORATION PLANS IN WATSON LAKE WITH APARTMENTS AND SINGLE DWELLING UNITS. I WAS TOLD THAT PROVISION WAS GOING TO BE MADE WITHIN EITHER THE APARTMENTS OR THE SINGLE DWELLINGS FOR SENIOR CITIZENS. DO YOU HAVE ANY---

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN COULD YOU REFER THAT QUESTION TO THE TREASURER. I THINK YOU WILL FIND THAT IS SO, UNDER THE HOUSING CORPORATION.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN AS I RECALL THERE IS PROVISION IN THE HOUSING CORPORATION BUDGET FOR FIVE UNITS FOR SENIOR CITIZENS HOMES IN WATSON LAKE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: EITHER INDIVIDUAL DWELLING OR APARTMENTS.

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON LODGES AND SENIOR CITIZEN'S HOMES? ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ADDICTION SERVICES IS NO EXPENDITURE.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN THAT WAS TRANSFERRED TO VOTE 505.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: RIGHT. THE NEXT ITEM IS CORRECTIONS BRANCH IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,045,153. THE BREAKDOWN IS WHERE?

Mr. Tanner: There isn't any Mr. Chairman. Basically the increase there is because of increase in salaries in the supplementary which members will recall, voted previously. Its not necessarily a very big increase in staff. It is basically an increase in salaries due to the agreement the government made with those particular employees last year. The obvious increase in the cost of supplies, in particular, food.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I for one, would like to see it broken down a lot better because we are talking about a \$200,000 increase. I don't believe that a very large portion of that \$200,000 could be all in salaries.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, as the Honourable Member will recall, we had the \$90,000 supplement on this which was basically for salaries. Also, I think every member is well aware if they've been to the grocery store recently, the ever increasing of supplies, in particular, food. We anticipate that sort of an increase.

However, if the Honourable Member wants a breakdown--

Mr. McKinnon: About \$150,000 in salaries.

Mr. Chairman: Is it the wish of committee that further breakdown be sought?

Mr. McKinnon: How many people were affected by the salary increase Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Tanner: Roughly 63 Mr. Chairman, in that particular one. I do believe members will recall that when we brought the supplementary in, corrections branch received an increase in excess of the agreement for the rest of our employees. Members will also keep in mind of course, that there is another increase this year for all our employees across the board.

Mr. Stutter: I wonder Mr. Chairman if Mr. Assistant Commissioner can give us some idea of the salaries that were paid up to date in the last fiscal year.

Mr. Miller: If you can bear with me for one minute.

Mr. Tanner: One other comment on that while the Assistant Commissioner is looking for those figures, that increase came in approxima-

tely September I believe, June was it? Since that time, certainly in the last five or six months we have seen a very radical decrease in the turnover of the employees. We had a very high turnover in this department for the last two years. Up until such time as those increases were made. The whole moral within the department I think, has improved greatly since those increases have been put in. I think the service is being better off and we are getting better value for our money now than we ever did in the past.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman if I give my employees \$200 a month increase, that would be true in any company. It works out though, at 63 employees and we are talking about \$150,000, it works out to about \$2400 a year a piece in one fell swoop.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, you've got \$90,000 that you don't see in last year's figure to add to that figure. Then plus the increase that is coming. Plus the increase in supplies. I don't think that you will find that estimate is going to be too far out. Last year's estimate was, as I say, \$90,000 short.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman I wonder if the Minister could maybe tell us a little bit too about the fact that he has had to have matrons also in corrections as a result of females in.

Mr. Tanner: Yes Mr. Chairman, we have had to have extra staff because we have had more people committed to the institute and some of them have been women and consequently we've had to have supervisory staff for them. It can't be the same supervisory staff for both sections. Also the other thing to keep in mind, when we get the new juvenile home, the female staff will be up there. Mind you, it isn't reflected in this estimate. But that is going to solve the problem but in the meantime we've got an on-going program of having supervisory staff for female inmates. Did you get those figures?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman to answer a previous question, the expenditures from 73-74, they came to \$707,000 for salaries.

Mr. McKinnon: What was the percentage increase Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman for the majority of employees, the increase was an 8% across the board increase, plus there was for a lot of

THEM, A ONE STEP INCREASE IN THE RANGE WHICH WOULD ADD ANOTHER 5% TO 6%, SO YOU ARE LOOKING AT EFFECTIVELY A 13% OR 14% WAGE INCREASE.

MR. TANNER: THAT HAS GOT TO BE COMPOUNDED NEXT YEAR.

MR. MCKINNON: ALL OF THE WAY OF FIGHTING AN INFLATIONARY SPIRAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON CORRECTIONS? THIS GIVES US A TOTAL OF \$7,605,918 FOR DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND REABILITATION. THE NEXT VOTE IS VOTE 6, THAT IS THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,977,189.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE IS CAPITAL ON THIS ON PAGE 60.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE'LL GO TO PAGE 60 IN CAPITAL. THE FIRST ITEM IS FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10,000. PAGE 60 IN CAPITAL, ESTABLISHMENT 2600. THERE IS A BREAKDOWN OF THIS ITEM ON PAGE A49. FROM THE CHAIR, WE HAD A PROGRAM ON SOME TIME AGO, RESPECTING THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOSE DRYING TOWERS IN FIRE HALLS WHICH IS PRESENTING SOME PROBLEM IN THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES. I WAS JUST WONDERING WHY THIS PROGRAM HAD BEEN DROPPED AND WHY IT NO LONGER APPEARS IN SUCCESSIVE BUDGETS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THERE ARE NO PROBLEMS IN THOSE AREAS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK YOU WILL FIND THAT THERE IS A GREAT DEAL OF PROBLEM WITH THE SMALLER FIRE HALLS IN TERMS OF HOSE DRYING TOWERS AND ROOM. I THINK PARTICULARLY IN TESLIN AT THIS POINT. ALSO ROSS RIVER HAS NO HOSE DRYING FACILITIES.

MR. MILLER: I WILL CHECK THIS AREA OUT MR. CHAIRMAN. I WASN'T AWARE OF ANY PROBLEMS IN THIS AREA.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MY ONLY OTHER COMMENT WOULD BE THAT THIS IS NOT A VERY GREAT DEAL OF MONEY TO PROVIDE FIRE EQUIPMENT THROUGHOUT THE YUKON.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN AS YOU REALIZE ALL THE AREAS HAVE BEEN EQUIPED, THIS IS JUST ADDITIONAL ITEMS THAT THEY NEED TO PUT ONTO EACH OF THE UNITS OR TO KEEP THEM IN THE EXISTING UNITS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT, \$10,000? NEXT ITEM IS ROADS, STREETS AND SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS, \$358,000. THAT IS FOUND ON A-49.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN IT IS CERTAINLY NICE TO SEE THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON CITY'S APPOINTMENT TO THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DIDN'T GO UNHEEDED.

MR. TANNER: HE MIGHT WELL BLUSH.

MR. MCKINNON: I WAS WONDERING MR. CHAIRMAN, AS WE ARE GOING THROUGH THE BUDGET, I'M ALWAYS LOOKING AT THE \$100,000 TO PROVIDE TELEVISION SERVICE TO THE SMALL COMMUNITIES. WOULDN'T IT BE MAGNANIMOUS, WOULDN'T IT BE A BEAUTIFUL GESTURE ON BEHALF OF THE MEMBER FROM DAWSON CITY TO SAY, WELL WE GET 2/3 OF THAT PAVING DONE THIS YEAR THROUGH THE MOST HEAVILY TRAFFICKED PART OF TOWN FROM THE FERRY CROSSING THROUGH THE BUSINESS AREA. LEAVE PERHAPS 1/3 OF IT FOR NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. THAT WAY THERE WOULD BE A \$100,000 SAVING AND FIVE COMMUNITIES THROUGH THE YUKON WOULD BE RECEIVING SATELLITE THE SIGNAL FROM CBC THIS SUMMER.

I THINK MR. CHAIRMAN WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THINKS IT OVER AND UPON FINAL REVIEW OF THE BUDGET, KNOWING THE CONCERN OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON FOR THE OTHER YUKON CITIZENS WHO DON'T HAVE THE ADVANTAGES OF ANY PAVEMENT IN THEIR COMMUNITIES NOR THE SIGNAL FROM ANIK, HE'LL BE MORE THAN WILLING TO DONATE AT LEAST 1/3 OF THE \$278,000 TO THE PAVING OF THE DAWSON AREA FOR THOSE PEOPLE TO RECEIVE THE SIGNAL IN THESE FIVE SMALLER COMMUNITIES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON TAKES THOSE REMARKS INTO CONSIDERATION BUT I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT PART OF HIS COMMENT ABOUT PERHAPS DOING 2/3 OF IT AND THE FINAL 1/3 OF IT NEXT YEAR WOULD PROBABLY COST A LOT MORE THAN THIS JUST TO GET EQUIPMENT BACK AND FORTH. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WE EITHER DO IT ALL OR NONE AT ALL. I KNOW WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE DONE THERE TOO, BUT I MUST STATE THAT IN THE SUMMER MONTHS WITH ALL OF THE VERY HEAVY TRAFFIC THAT GOES ALONG FRONT STREET BETWEEN CLINTON CREEK AND ALL OF THE TRAFFIC THAT COMES OVER THE 60 MILE HIGHWAY, THAT IS A REAL PROBLEM IN THE DAWSON AREA.

I THINK CONSIDERABLE THOUGHT HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THIS. YOU MIGHT BE SURPRISED TO LEARN THAT I HAD VERY LITTLE TO DO WITH GETTING THIS INTO THE BUDGET.

Mr. McKinnon: Oh sure Mike, sure.

Some Honourable Members: LAUGHTER

Mrs. Watson: You were away.

Mr. McKinnon: He was too embarrassed to be there.

Mr. Chairman: Is there anything further on roads, streets and sidewalk construction and improvements? The next item is Local Services, \$70,000 and the breakdown is on page A-50. Is this providing for LID's, this equipment?

Mr. Miller: Yes, Mr. Chairman, it is primarily for LID's, though we could buy some of it ourselves. We are operating in unorganized areas.

Mr. Chairman: Under this item, I did have one comment and that is relating to Watson Lake, that is that we now have a paid fire chief in Watson Lake, or we will have by the end of this month. Unfortunately we do not have so much as a bicycle for him to get to the fire with, so to speak. I am wondering if the administration has considered this question and whether or not they would be prepared to look at this and with a view to providing a station wagon type of facility for the fire chief. It is a little embarrassing to call a cab to get to a fire, especially when you are the fire chief.

Some Honourable Members: LAUGHTER

Mr. Chairman: Is this quite possible?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman to my knowledge we've had no requests from the Watson Lake LID for this facility. I am sure that if they request it, we will do everything in our power to arrange that they get the needed equipment.

Mr. Chairman: Apparently this man has just been hired by local government and takes office, I believe at the end of this month. Could this be looked into in any event?

Mr. Miller: Yes.

Mr. Tanner: I've got a pair of running shoes.

Mr. Stutter: Maybe we could use \$90 of that \$40,000 to buy a red bicycle.

Mr. Chairman: It is important that he does have a vehicle to get around with. It should be a station wagon type vehicle or something for rescue. Anything further on local services? Next item is Land Development, \$720,000 and it is found on page A---

Mrs. Watson: 100 for Watson Lake.

Mr. Chairman: We are a growing community, we're not a national park.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, I guess I was away the day you did this in the advisory committee but is that the residue when the member from Watson Lake was on the advisory committee. Is that why he has more than anybody else? Not being developed?

Mr. Chairman: No, I could answer that from the chair by stating strictly stating that this is probably the second largest and fastest and most progressive community in the Yukon Territory that is why. As a matter of fact we talk about five years just to get this far.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman in the four years of this council that sat, I finally got one item in the budget, in capital that affected directly the citizens of Whitehorse West. One thing in four years. That has to be the lowest batting average of any member around this council, bar none. It was the cleaning up of the cemetery in the Whitehorse West area.

Now, it hasn't been done, there has no clean up taken place. It appeared once in the budget and its no longer in the budget. Couldn't the government of the Yukon Territory do one capital for the citizens of Whitehorse West, so when I go on the hot seat next fall, I can say that I wasn't completely eliminated out of everything. I have had some input, really, into getting something for you, my constituents. Do you think you can find it in your heart to just find a little bit of money for this one public work in the four years of my tenure as representing the people of Whitehorse West.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ITEM THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS REFERRING TO IS INCLUDED IN THE 73/74 BUDGET. THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE HAVE AGREED TO DO THE WORK AND WE HAVE PAID THEM THE MONEY TO DO SO. NO, IT HASN'T BEEN DONE MR. CHAIRMAN. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE COST ESCALATED IN THE MEANTIME.

THE CITY HAS UNDERTAKEN TO DO THAT WORK AND HAS THE MONEY IN A TRUST ACCOUNT, EARNING INTEREST THEY CAN WORK WITH AS AND WHEN THEY CAN GET TO IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON LAND DEVELOPMENT? NEXT ITEM IS AMBULANCE SERVICES, \$6,000, CLEAR?

THE NEXT IS THE HAINES JUNCTION WATER SYSTEM, \$450,000. IS THIS TOTAL SYSTEM TO GO IN THIS YEAR?

MR. MILLER: NO, LAST YEAR WE INSTALLED THE SEWER SYSTEM IN THE MAIN PART OF TOWN AND THIS YEAR THE INTENTION IS TO HAVE THE WATER SYSTEM AND ALSO TO DEVELOP 58 LOTS IN A NEW BASICALLY ADJACENT SUBDIVISION. SO IT'S PART OF THE SAME PROGRAM. THAT'S WHY YOU WILL NOTE ON THE APPENDIX PAGE THERE IS A RECOVERY FROM LAND DEVELOPMENT OF \$150,000. THAT WILL BE CHARGED BACK TO THE LAND OWNERS OR THE HOME OWNERS WHEN THEY BUY THE LOTS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WAS THIS SEWER AND WATER NEGOTIATED WITH THE RESIDENTS AND VOTED BY THE RESIDENTS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE SEWER SYSTEM HAS BEEN, THE WATER SYSTEM HAS NOT, AS YET, GONE TO THE RATE PAYERS. BUT IT WILL DO SO BEFORE THE TENDERS ARE CALLED.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY DO HAVE A REFERENDUM THAT GOES TO EVERY HOME OWNER IN THE DESIGNATED AREA THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE SERVICED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IF 50% TURN IT DOWN, THE TOTAL COST, IF THEY ARE TOLD WHAT THE TOTAL COST WILL BE AND IF THEY TURN IT DOWN, THAT'S THE END OF IT?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S THE END OF IT, THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THEN YOU WOULD GO BACK AND RE-NEGOTIATE FOR A BETTER BREAK.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WOULD DEFER THE PROJECT UNTIL SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE AND WAIT UNTIL THE PUBLIC CRIED TO RETURN IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE HAINES JUNCTION WATER SYSTEM? THE NEXT ITEM IS THE HAINES JUNCTION ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, \$400,000. NOW, MR. STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR?

MR. STUTTER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. MCKINNON: TO THE VICTORS BELONG THE SPOILS.

MR. TAYLOR: NOW MR. CHAIRMAN, I RISE AT THIS POINT AGAIN, TO DEPLORE THE FACT THAT THE COMMUNITY OF TESLIN WAS OVERLOOKED AGAIN BY THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL AND BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE TERRITORY. THEY HAVE TAKEN WHAT IS A SUBSTANTIALLY A LARGER COMMUNITY AND IGNORED IT.

I HAD ALSO SUGGESTED, SOME TIME AGO THAT THE COMMUNITY OF CARMACKS, WHICH IS MUCH LARGER THAN HAINES JUNCTION, SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FOR AT LEAST A LIQUOR STORE. HAINES JUNCTION HAD A LIQUOR STORE OR HAS A LIQUOR STORE AND I CANNOT SEE WHY WITH THIS MUCH MONEY LAYING AROUND THAT BOTH CARMACKS AND TESLIN SHOULD NOT HAVE RECEIVED THE FACILITY THEY DESERVE. AND AS I SAY, THESE ARE TWO LARGER COMMUNITIES IN THE YUKON. THESE COMMUNITIES ARE NOT LOCKED INTO A NATIONAL PARK SITUATION. THESE ARE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE A GREAT GROWTH POTENTIAL AND DEVELOPMENT OF RESOURCES AND THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED AND I DEPLORE THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE NOT BEEN CONSIDERED.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, ALL I CAN SAY IS I DEPLORE THE FACT THAT WATSON LAKE ALREADY HAS A SECOND STORY TO THEIR ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AND TESLIN DOESN'T EVEN HAVE A ONE STORY BUILDING OR IS IT CARMACKS THAT DOESN'T HAVE A ONE STORY BUILDING. IT'S A RIDICULOUS SORT OF CHARGE MADE HERE BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. I KNOW FULL WELL AND WE EXPLAINED IT BEFORE WHEN THE PLANS ARE DEVELOPED FOR WATER AND SEWER AND GOES IN THE OTHER COMMUNITIES WILL GET THESE FACILITIES.

AT LEAST THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT IS MAKING SOME STRIDE TO HAVE SOME POLICY OUTLINED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE SERVICES IN THESE COMMUNITIES. IT WILL BE A MATTER OF TIME AND I

AM VERY HOPEFUL THAT EVERY COMMUNITY IN ALL OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL RECEIVE THESE SERVICES AND WILL RECEIVE AN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING.

SURELY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER RECOGNIZES THAT IT CANNOT ALL BE DONE IN ONE YEAR. INCIDENTALLY THE DEVELOPMENT OF 58 LOTS IN HAINES JUNCTION, THERE ARE MANY, MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE LOOKING AT THE LOTS, NOT FOR SPECULATION BUT TO PURCHASE AND BUILD THEIR OWN HOMES,

I MIGHT ADD THAT QUITE A NUMBER OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, LONG TIME EMPLOYEES, WHO HAVE BEEN LIVING IN GOVERNMENT HOUSES, ARE LOOKING VERY SERIOUSLY AT PURCHASING LOTS AND BUILDING THEIR OWN HOMES AND MAKING THEIR HOME AT HAINES JUNCTION. I THINK THE FACT THAT YOU'RE DEVELOPING LOTS, PUTTING IN THE SERVICES, YOU ARE GOING TO GET MORE PEOPLE LIVING IN THESE COMMUNITIES,

MR. TAYLOR: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M THANKFUL THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER RECOGNIZES THAT SHE DEPLORES THE FACT THAT TESLIN HASN'T EVEN GOT A ONE STORY BUILDING. THIS IS WHAT I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO TELL THE ADMINISTRATION FOR THE LAST TEN YEARS AND I WAS ASSURED HERE A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, WHEN ON THE FINANCE COMMITTEE, THAT ON THAT YEAR THE MATTER WAS TURFED AGAIN BUT I WAS ASSURED THAT IT WOULD BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION THAT EITHER A LIQUOR STORE WOULD BE PUT INTO TESLIN OR CARMACKS OR PERHAPS THE FOLLOWING YEAR. THIS HAS NOT BEEN DONE.

LET'S QUOTE FROM SOME OF THESE POPULATION FIGURES THAT WERE BATTED AROUND YESTERDAY AND ARE SO IMPORTANT TO THIS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, OR THE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. WHY IS IT THAT HAINES JUNCTION, WITH A DECLINING POPULATION WHICH HAD A HUGE POPULATION IN 1966 OF 195 PEOPLE AND IN THE 1971 CENSUS THEY SO DEARLY LOVE, YESTERDAY IT SAYS, THEY HAVE ONLY 183. NOW WHY IN A DECLINING POPULATION SITUATION CAN YOU JUSTIFY A \$400,000 EDIFICE WHEN YOU CANNOT PROVIDE A BASIC FACILITY OF A TERRITORIAL AGENT OR LIQUOR VENDOR IN EITHER THE COMMUNITY OF CARMACKS OR THE COMMUNITY OF TESLIN?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS ASKING ME THE QUESTION, THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THAT AREA SERVED A LARGER AREA THAN CARMACKS OR TESLIN. YOU HAVE THE HAINES ROAD, THE NORTH ALASKA HIGHWAY AND IT'S QUITE OBVIOUS THIS IS THE ONLY TYPE OF FACILITY ON THE WHOLE NORTH ALASKA HIGHWAY, WHEREAS THERE IS WATSON LAKE AND THEY HAVE THESE FACILITIES ALREADY ON THE SOUTH BOUND HIGHWAY SO THAT,

REALLY, TESLIN DOESN'T SERVE THE LARGE COMMUNITY THAT HAINES JUNCTION DOES. I THINK IT'S JUST A MATTER OF SEMANTICS AND AS I'VE SAID BEFORE, THIS IS A TYPE OF THING WE SHOULD BE DOING FOR ALL THE COMMUNITIES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY,

I'M VERY PLEASED THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BROUGHT UP THE QUESTION OF ALL CENSUS. THE FACT THAT ALL OR MOST OF THE COMMUNITIES ARE HAVING A DECLINING POPULATION IN THE RURAL COMMUNITIES FOR THE SIMPLE REASON THEY DO NOT HAVE THE FACILITIES. THE PEOPLE ARE NOT ENCOURAGED OR HAVE NOT BEEN ENCOURAGED TO LIVE THERE. AND IF WE DO PROVIDE BETTER SERVICES THEN YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE MORE PEOPLE MAKING THESE SMALL COMMUNITIES THEIR PERMANENT HOME.

THIS IS ONE REASON WHY THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD PROMOTE AND PROVIDE THESE SERVICES IN THESE COMMUNITIES WHETHER THEY GET IT THIS YEAR OR NEXT YEAR. IT'S A MATTER OF SEMANTICS. AS LONG AS IT'S A PLAN, THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO ACT ON IT AS IT'S A LONG TERM PLAN AND THIS IS THE PROPOSAL BEFORE COUNCIL RIGHT NOW.

IF YOU WILL NOTICE, MAYO HAS AN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING.

MR. TAYLOR: I NOTICE THESE THINGS, MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT THAT STILL DOESN'T WAVER FROM THE FACT THAT WHERE WE HAVE GROWING POPULATIONS IN THE HINTERLAND, IN CARMACKS AND TESLIN, BY YOUR OWN VARIOUS STATISTICS, WE HAVE GROWTH INDICATED IN CENSUS FIGURES, UNLESS THEY ARE NOT ACCURATE, AND WE HAVE A DECLINING FIGURE IN THE NORTH HIGHWAY AREA SO THERE IS NOTHING THE HONOURABLE MEMBER CAN SAY THAT WOULD CONVINCE ME THAT THIS BUILDING IS JUSTIFIED AT THIS TIME IN HAINES JUNCTION. THERE COULD BE \$100,000 OF THIS MONEY SHE COULD USE TO PROVIDE TELEVISION FACILITIES IN THREE OF THOSE FIVE COMMUNITIES. THE BALANCE OF THE MONEY COULD WELL BE SPENT ON PROGRAMS AT THIS TIME TO FIX UP THE EXISTING LIQUORE STORE AND THE BALANCE OF THE MONEY SPENT IN PROVIDING SIMILAR FACILITIES TO WHAT NOW EXISTS IN HAINES JUNCTION TO THE COMMUNITIES OF CARMACKS AND TESLIN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOW I'VE LISTENED TO THIS SORT OF RANTING. FOR YEARS NOW WATSON LAKE HAS HAD DEVELOPMENT AND WATSON LAKE HAS DESERVED SOME SORT OF DEVELOPMENT AND I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD SIT AROUND THIS HOUSE AND SAY YOU SHOULDN'T DEVELOP THIS COMMUNITY AND YOU SHOULD DEVELOP THIS COMMUNITY BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THAT'S

RIDICULOUS.

WHERE THE NEED IS DESPARATE THEN THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE AND BECAUSE, AS I SAID BEFORE, THE NATIONAL PARK IS LOOKING AT HAINES JUNCTION AS A SERVICE CENTRE, THERE IS A NEED FOR MORE PROPERTY TO BE MADE AVAILABLE, THERE IS A NEED FOR SEWER AND WATER SERVICES, THERE IS A NEED FOR YUKON GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES FOR THE NORTH ALASKA HIGHWAY AND I THINK IT'S ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS TO PLAY THIS BACK AND FORTH.

BY THE SAME TOKEN I CAN STAND UP AND SAY I DON'T FEEL WATSON LAKE HAS A NEED FOR A HOSPITAL, I CAN SAY WATSON LAKE HAS NO NEED FOR AN OPERATING THEATRE IN THE HOSPITAL, THAT'S JUST MY OPINION AND YOU FEEL WATSON LAKE DOES HAVE THESE NEEDS, THAT'S A RIDICULOUS TYPE OF CRITICISM THAT HAS BEEN LEVIED IN THIS HOUSE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL, THIS IS NOT ABSOLUTE FOOLISH REPRESENTATION, THESE REPRESENTATIONS HAVE FLOWED THROUGH THE YEARS, THIS ISN'T A GRADE ONE THEATRE GARDEN OR ANYTHING ELSE, THIS IS FACT, THIS IS WHERE PEOPLE MAKE THE DECISIONS IN THE HINTERLAND AND WHAT THEY REALLY REQUIRE, THEY KNOW BECAUSE THEY LIVE THERE AND THEY CONTINUE TO MAKE THESE REPRESENTATIONS AND THEY CONTINUE TO BE IGNORED BY THE ADMINISTRATION, IF THE ADMINISTRATION'S ATTITUDE IS ANYTHING SIMILAR TO WHAT I JUST HEARD, THAT THEY FEEL HERE IN WHITEHORSE THAT THEY KNOW MORE ABOUT WHAT WE NEED IN THE HINTERLAND, THEN IT'S ABOUT TIME THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY TOOK OVER THE GOVERNMENT OF THE TERRITORY.

CERTAINLY THERE IS A GREAT INJUSTICE BEING DONE HERE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF FACILITY IN THE HAINES JUNCTION AREA TO THE DETRIMENT, I'M NOT AGAINST DEVELOPMENT ANYWHERE BUT WHEN YOU GET DEVELOPMENT TO THE DETRIMENT OF COMMUNITIES WHO DESPARATELY NEED THESE FACILITIES AND I'M TALKING ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT OF A TERRITORIAL AGENT AND A LIQUOR STORE, AND CERTAINLY IN TESLIN AND THE SAME SITUATION POSSIBLY IN CARMACKS, THE PEOPLE OF TESLIN HAVE REQUESTED THIS CONSTANTLY, YEAR AFTER YEAR, AFTER YEAR AND STILL NOTHING IS DONE AND THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT AS TO WHY I RISE AND OBJECT WHEN I SEE ITEMS LIKE THIS, AS I SAY, WE CAN STILL FIND \$100,000 OUT OF THIS EXPENDITURE TO PUT IN OUR TELEVISION SYSTEM HAVING FIRST LOOKED AFTER THE TESLIN LIQUOR VENDOR AND TERRITORIAL AGENT.,

THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN CONVINCE ME, YOU HAVE NO NATIONAL PARK IN HAINES JUNCTION, YOU'VE GOT A

GAME SANCTUARY AND A NATIONAL PARK RESERVE, I DON'T SEE THAT THE NATIONAL PARKS BRANCH IS SPENDING ANY GREAT BIG AMOUNT OF MONEY OUT THERE OR MOVING A MASS OF PEOPLE INTO THE PARK AREA AT THIS TIME IN ANY EVENT, SO, NO ONE CAN CONVINCE ME THAT THIS IS WELL JUSTIFIED IN HAINES JUNCTION WITH A POPULATION OF AROUND 180 PEOPLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THIS ONE PARTICULAR ITEM?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY THING I WOULD ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS TO DO A LITTLE INVESTIGATING ON THE AREA HE IS CRITICISING AND THEN WHEN HE HAS HIS FACTS STRAIGHT HE CAN COME BACK HERE AND PRESENT THEM.

MR. TAYLOR: I HAVE AND I KNOW, I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR AT THIS POINT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE HAINES JUNCTION ADMINISTRATION BUILDING?

NEXT ITEM IS THE MAYO ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$400,000.

MR. MILLER: NO, MUNICIPAL CAPITAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 2612: MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS, I'M SORRY, \$1,500,000.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M JUST SURPRISED, I'VE BEEN HEARING FOR MONTHS ABOUT THE NEW COST-SHARING FORMULA AND THE PHILOSOPHY AND PRINCIPLE BEHIND IT, THAT EVERYBODY KNOWS ABOUT BUT THE MEMBERS OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, I WAS SURE AT THIS POINT OF THE BUDGET THAT THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD AT LEAST HAVE THE COURTESY TO FINALLY UNVEIL FOR THE COUNCIL WHAT THE COST-SHARING FORMULA IS AND WHAT THE NEW PHILOSOPHY IS BEHIND IT, WHAT DO WE GET? \$1,500,000 BUCKS, GO AHEAD BOYS, AGREE OR DISAGREE, NOT EVEN AN APPENDIX TO TELL US WHAT IT'S ALL ABOUT.

CERTAINLY, MR. CHAIRMAN, SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE SOMEONE CAN HAVE THE COURTESY TO TELL THE MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE THAT AREN'T FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO BE ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OR ON THE BUDGET PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE JUST WHAT THE NEW POLICY AND THE NEW COST-SHARING FORMULA IS THAT MAKES UP THE \$1,500,000, WE ARE THE LAST TO KNOW AGAIN, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR, WHAT IS THE POLICY AND WHAT IS IT ALL ABOUT?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS A SESSIONAL PAPER IN COMMITTEE I BELIEVE, ON COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT SERVICES. COMMUNITY SERVICE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. WHILE I REALIZE IT DOESN'T GO IN TO ALL THE GORY DETAILS THAT PEOPLE MIGHT LIKE. BASICALLY, AS YOU ALL KNOW, WE HAD THIS STUDY DONE OF ALL THE COMMUNITIES IN THE TERRITORY DURING THE COURSE OF THIS LAST YEAR.

FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THIS STUDY, WE ATTEMPTED TO PUT TOGETHER A POLICY WHICH WE WOULD LIKE TO CONSIDER WILL BE A CAPITAL ASSISTANCE OR CONTRIBUTION POLICY WHICH WILL COVER THE NEXT SEVEN YEARS AND IT WILL PROVIDE OR ASSIST IN PROVIDING TO THE COMMUNITIES THE FACILITIES THAT THEY DO NEED. SUCH AS WATER AND SEWER AND ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS, ETC., ETC. THINGS THAT ARE COVERED IN THIS STUDY. FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION, AND WE HAVE A DRAFT POLICY AVAILABLE AT THE MOMENT, WE HAVE BEEN ATTEMPTING TO GET TREASURY BOARD APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE TO THE POLICY, WITH THE OBJECT THAT IF CAN DO THAT FOR THE NEXT SEVEN YEARS OUR FUNDS FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM WILL BE SECURE UNDER THE WAY THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOW NEGOTIATES OUR FUNDS. UNFORTUNATELY WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET THE TREASURY BOARD APPROVAL AS OF TO-DAY. IN FACT, WHEN I WAS IN OTTAWA LAST WEEK, THIS WAS ONE OF THE ITEMS WE WERE LOOKING AT VERY CLOSELY AND QUITE FRANKLY FOR 1974/75 FISCAL YEAR, WE HAVE INDICATED TO THE MUNICIPALITIES THAT THE POLICY WE WILL ADOPT FOR THIS YEAR FOR THE ONE AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS RELATES ALMOST ENTIRELY TO SEWER AND WATER SYSTEMS AND SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS AND WE HAVE BASICALLY SAID THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL PICK UP 90% OF THE MAJOR CAPITAL WORKS.

NOW LET ME EXPLAIN WHAT I MEAN ABOUT MAJOR CAPITAL WORKS. IN A WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM YOU HAVE YOUR WELLS, YOU HAVE YOUR TREATMENT FACILITY, YOUR MAIN LINES. THOSE ARE THE MAJOR CAPITAL WORKS. IN OTHER WORDS, WE WILL PICK UP 90% OF THAT. THE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, THE PART THAT RUNS DOWN THE FRONT OF THE STREET AND SUBSEQUENTLY LEGS OFF TO THE HOUSES, THE HOME OWNERS OR THE MUNICIPALITY WILL HAVE TO BEAR ON A FRONTAGE TAX BASIS.

THIS IS NOT A NEW POLICY. IT'S A POLICY WE HAVE BEEN USING FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS WHEN WE INSTALLED THE SEWAGE SYSTEM IN HAINES JUNCTION AND INSTALLING THE WATER AND SEWAGE SYSTEM IN WATSON LAKE. THIS IS PRECISELY THE POLICY THAT WE HAVE BEEN USING. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS CONTRIBUTED 90% OF THE MAJOR

CAPITAL WORKS.

NOW, WHAT I'M HOPING FOR AND I WILL CARRY ON A LITTLE BIT WITH THIS DISSERTATION. WHAT I AM HOPING FOR IS THAT BETWEEN NOW AND JUNE OR JULY OF THIS YEAR WE CAN GET TREASURY BOARD APPROVAL IN PRINCIPLE TO OUR POLICY AND I MIGHT ADD THAT OUR POLICY COVERS WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS, ROADS, SIDEWALKS, TRANSIT OPERATIONS, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

Mr. CHAIRMAN: TELEVISION RELAYS.

Mr. MILLER: NO Mr. CHAIRMAN, NOT TELEVISION RELAY, AT THE MOMENT AT LEAST.

BUT, IT COVERS THE BASIC FACILITIES TO PROVIDE A STANDARD OF LIVING IN ANY COMMUNITY IN THE TERRITORY WHICH IS AT LEAST TO A BASIC LEVEL.

I'M ASSURED, RELATIVELY ASSURED BY OUR OWN DEPARTMENT IN OTTAWA AND BY THE TREASURY BOARD PEOPLE THAT I WAS TALKING TO, THAT WE SHOULD HAVE NOT TROUBLE AT ALL IN GETTING APPROVAL TO THIS POLICY. FOLLOWING THE APPROVAL OF THIS POLICY IT WOULD BE OUR INTENTION TO BRING BACK TO THIS COUNCIL LEGISLATION COVERING HIGHWAYS, ROADS WE WILL CONTRIBUTE TOWARDS, COVERING WATER AND SEWER AND SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITIES AND POSSIBLY, OR PROBABLY COVERING RECREATION FACILITIES. THESE WILL BE BROUGHT BACK BEFORE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET IS TABLED.

Mr. MCKINNON: SEVERAL QUESTIONS ARISING FROM IT. BEING ONE OF THE MEMBERS FROM THE WHITEHORSE AREA THE FIRST I HEARD OF THE 90-10 SHARING PLAN WAS WHEN THE CITY PLANNERS CAME TO ASK ME WHETHER THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WAS SERIOUS IN THEIR PLAN, THEIR OFFERS, AND WHAT I KNEW ABOUT IT. I JUST HAD TO SAY "FRANKLY I DON'T KNOW A THING ABOUT IT. YOU KNOW AN AWFUL LOT MORE ABOUT IT THAN I DO." Mr. CHAIRMAN, DOES THE ADMINISTRATION HAVE ANY SYMPATHY AT ALL FOR A MEMBER KNOWING AT LEAST BEFORE THE CITY ALDERMEN KNOW ABOUT COST SHARING FORMULAS AND PLANS COMING FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THAT THE MEMBERS INDIRECTLY INVOLVED OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL SHOULD HAVE SOME INDICATION OF WHAT THESE NEW POLICIES ARE GOING TO BE?

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I'll answer that, I don't think it is fair to ask the Administrator. I think the Honourable Member has made a valuable point. There is only one thing. There was a time element and I think that some consideration had to be given to the Municipality. This proposal was based on the report, the Community Services Report. Is that not the name of the Report? The report was only completed the early part of this year. The report costed the improvements, the capital improvements. It was based on this report that our proposal was prepared. Therefore, we were in a bind. There was just no way that we could have approval, in order that it could be presented to Council yet the Municipality had to have their budget prepared in February and they had to have some idea what kind of a system the Government would be providing as far as municipal capital projects. It was this reason, I think, that the basis of the 90% funding information was made available to the Municipality. At that time it hadn't been confirmed completely. The whole program hadn't been confirmed but I believe that there had been some commitment on the one and a half million dollars.

I can sympathize with the Honourable Member that a program should be approved by the Council before the information is made available to some other form of Government or some other organization. In this instance it would have delayed the Municipality by a full year in their capital work.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I don't even go that far. I don't say that approval hadn't been done just the courtesy of a phone call to the Member saying this is a program we are letting the Municipal Council know about and we thought that you as a Member of the Yukon Legislative Council directly involved should at least have the courtesy of knowing of the program which will be appearing in the budget. We are going to spring it to the Alderman of the City of Whitehorse. I don't think that any of the Administration, if you talk to them, from the Commissioner to his Assistant and down the line, should have any problem picking up the phone and getting hold of me and informing me of matters such as this. I just don't think that there was an obvious... I think there was an obvious discourtesy done to the Members who didn't happen to be on a policy making decision.

I am not that disturbed about the timing and knowing full well when the City had to have their budget. Except the whole of the City fathers, knowing the total philosophy and the monies behind it before the Members of the Yukon Legislative Council knew anything about it. I say that it is an obvious discourtesy to the Members.

As far as agreeing with the program - well, how many times have I voted against Motherhood? I think it is a terrific program. The only real problem that I have is that knowing the Treasury Board hasn't approved really the philosophy of the program. Now we have the Municipality looking to a program they say, "Boy, 9-10, Great. We can look into a series of capital work projects. A sewage disposal plant, paving, recreational facilities, terrific. A one shot deal. The Territorial Government comes back next year and says, "Look, we haven't received approval of Treasury Board. We have to drop the program." That gets even a little worse than starting it. In the first year. Getting the political expectations of the Municipality to a real high key and then just dropping it period.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, with respect, this program does not cover a complete 90-10 split. The water and sewer systems and the major facilities thereof are 90-10. There are other criteria developed for roads, for recreational facilities etc. Our instructions to the City of Whitehorse when we advised them of this million and a half dollars was that they should apply this to sewage and water only. And that this was an in-term thing and we could not guarantee any further funds for subsequent years.

We are very hopeful that we will get them. As I say I have all the assurances that I can get at this point that we will get money next year. But I can't guarantee it. I think both the City and the Municipality appreciate the position we are in. Unfortunately, the thing didn't roll the way we had timed it to roll or we would have had it's approval by now and we would have known where the program was.

Mr. McKinnon: Is it possible now, Mr. Chairman, for the Members of the Council to have the policy given in a paper to them so that they could know the future direction

OF THE CITY WHOSE CITIZENS THEY HAPPEN TO REPRESENT ON THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE MEMBER SAYS THAT WHEN HE TALKS OF A DISCOURTESY TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL FROM WHITEHORSE. I THINK HE IS CORRECT AND I PERSONALLY APOLOGIZE. I THINK HE HAS GOT A POINT.

AFTER THAT, HAVING JUST SAID, " SHOULD WE INITIATE A PROGRAM BEFORE WE ARE ABSOLUTELY SURE OF THE FINANCING FROM OTTAWA," AND THEN ASKING WHETHER WE SHOULD PUT THE POLICY ON. HAVING MADE OUR ORIGINAL DISCOURTESY COULD WE NOT CONTINUE IT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE HAVE CONFIRMATION OF THE POLICY. THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER HAS INFORMED ALL MEMBERS THAT IT LOOKS LIKE WE WILL HAVE SOME SORT OF CONFORMATION BY THE LATE OF SEPTEMBER, PROBABLY BEFORE THAT. IN WHICH CASE - LET'S ASSUME THAT WE DID PUT THE POLICY IN FRONT OF COUNCIL RIGHT NOW, AND THEN FOR SOME REASON WE DIDN'T GET THE GUARANTEE, ALTHOUGH WE ARE REASONABLY SURE WE HAVE. THEN WE WOULD BE IN A FAR WORSE POSITION AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS JUST SAID HIMSELF.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THIS MONEY, THIS \$1,500,000 WHICH HAS BEEN ALLOCATED. HAS THAT BEEN SPOKEN FOR? WHAT WAS THE BASE OF \$1,500,000? WHY THAT FIGURE?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THESE WERE THE FUNDS THAT WE HAD AVAILABLE TO THE MUNICIPALITY AND THE TOTAL FUNDS THAT WE HAD AVAILABLE. AS I UNDERSTAND, THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE BUDGET INCLUDES EXPENDITURES WHICH WOULD REQUIRE SOME \$1,600,000 IN FUNDS FROM YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. WHETHER OR NOT THEY WILL ALL BE SPENT THIS YEAR I CAN'T SAY. BUT THEY HAVE ALL BEEN COMMITTED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR DESCRIPTION OF THIS ITEM THAT YOU ARE AWAITING SOME TREASURY BOARD APPROVAL FOR OTHER CRITERIA FOR INSTANCE, RECREATION. IF THIS HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED POSSIBLY IN THE NEXT MONTH OR TWO PRIOR TO THE BUILDING SEASON WOULD MEMBERS OF COUNCIL BE NOTIFIED OF THIS CRITERIA AND IN ORDER THAT THEY COULD TAKE ADVANTAGE. FOR INSTANCE, WATSON LAKE IS LOOKING FOR AN ARENA. THEY ARE GOING TO NEED A CAPITAL GRANT OF MAYBE 60 OR 75% OF THAT. IF UNDER THIS CRITERIA THEY COULD APPLY. HOW WOULD THEY KNOW?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, MY ADVICE. WE DO NOT HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL MONIES IN 1974/75 FOR ANYTHING BUT SEWER AND WATER. MY SUGGESTION IS AND I MADE IT TO THE MUNICIPALITIES AND I'LL MAKE IT TO THE LID AND EVERYBODY ELSE, IS THAT THEY SHOULD HOLD OFF UNTIL '75/76. BY THAT STAGE THE POLICY WILL BE DEVELOPED AND HOPEFULLY WE WILL HAVE SUFFICIENT FUNDS. NOW THAT WILL BE OUR LIMITATION. THE NUMBER OF DOLLARS WE CAN GET FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR THIS PROGRAM.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THESE DOLLARS WILL BE SPREAD OVER A SEVEN YEAR PERIOD. IF YOU GET TREASURY BOARD TO APPROVE THE PROGRAM YOU WILL HAVE THE DOLLARS IN '75 AND EVERY YEAR THEREAFTER FOR SEVEN YEARS. IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THAT STUDY, THE RECOMMENDATION OF THAT STUDY

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER ONE THING HERE. HE JUST MENTIONED THAT ALL OF THESE FUNDS HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, IN FACT IT APPEARED THAT EVEN WHITEHORSE'S NEEDS WERE ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND GREATER THAN THE ALLOTMENT. WERE THERE ANY APPLICATIONS AT ALL FROM THE OTHER TWO MUNICIPALITIES IN THE TERRITORY?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE *HERE HAVE BEEN NO REQUESTS FROM EITHER THE MUNICIPALITY OF FARO OR THE MUNICIPALITY OF DAWSON CITY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS IT POSSIBLE THAT THEY DO NOT KNOW OF THIS NEW POLICY? THEY HAVE NOT BEEN INFORMED?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE THEY BOTH HAVE BEEN INFORMED.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THEY HAVE TO PREPARE A CAPITAL BUDGET. DO THEY NOT?

Mr. STUTTER: IT IS CORRECT WHAT THE MEMBER JUST SAID. THEY HAVE TO PREPARE A CAPITAL BUDGET BUT NEVERTHELESS IN ORDER TO PREPARE A CAPITAL BUDGET THEY HAVE TO HAVE THIS INFORMATION. YOU SAID YOURSELF YOU ONLY GOT INFORMATION ON THIS THE BEGINNING PART OF THE YEAR. THE MUNICIPAL ORDINANCE IN QUITE CLEAR IN DEMANDING THAT A PRELIMINARY BUDGET BE PUT FORWARD BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR TO THAT.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

IN WHAT MANNER WAS THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE MADE AWARE OF THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM AND WERE THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES MADE AWARE OF IT IN THE SAME MANNER?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION WITH CERTAINTY. TO MY KNOWLEDGE THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE AND THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES WERE NOTIFIED THROUGH OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADVISORS.

Mr. STUTTER: I WONDER, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF THIS COULD BE LOOKED INTO AND IF WE COULD GET A REPORT BACK ON THAT?

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COULD I SUGGEST WE BREAK FOR COFFEE?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YES, WE WILL JUST TAKE A RECESS AT THIS TIME.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME WE'LL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON 2612. MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS? NEXT ITEM IS OLD CROW ESCARPMENT, \$40,000. ARE WE CLEAR? THE NEXT ITEM IS THE MAYO ADMINISTRATION BUILDING.

Mr. RIVETT: VERY WELCOME.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: A-51.

Mr. STUTTER: JUST AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, PERHAPS I DID ASK THIS QUESTION IN THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, BUT ARE THEY BASICALLY THE SAME PLANS. I NOTICED THE COSTING IS ALMOST IDENTICAL.

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE INTENT IS TO BUILD RELATIVELY THE SAME BUILDING IN EACH COMMUNITY. IT WILL BE A BUILDING THAT WILL HAVE THE LIQUOR STORE, THE TERRITORIAL AGENT, THE LIBRARY, ROOM FOR THE LID OFFICES AND THERE WILL BE CERTAIN FEDERAL DEPARTMENTS AS WELL IN THESE BUILDINGS. POSSIBLY THE POST OFFICE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WILL SUCH A SIMILAR TYPE OF STRUCTURE BE GOING INTO THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN AND CARCROSS IN NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GIVE YOU A COMMITMENT TO NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. BUT YES,

THERE IS INCLUSION IN THIS IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS IN EACH OF THESE COMMUNITIES IN THE TERRITORY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THEN WE MAY ASSUME IN FINALITY THAT TESLIN AND CARMACKS WILL BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION IN NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET?

Mr. MILLER: YES Mr. CHAIRMAN THEY WILL BE CONSIDERED, WHETHER, IN FACT, THEY WILL BE THE ONES THAT WILL GET THE FACILITY, I CAN'T GUARANTEE AT THIS TIME. CERTAINLY, THEY WILL BE CONSIDERED.

Mr. TANNER: IT WOULD SEEM LOGICAL Mr. CHAIRMAN SURELY, BECAUSE THEY ARE BOTH LIKE THESE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? WE WILL NOW RETURN TO O & M. ON PAGE 35. FIRST ITEM IS ADMINISTRATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$92,992. ANY QUESTIONS ON ADMINISTRATION? THE NEXT ITEM IS COMMUNITY PLANNING AND LAND DISPOSAL, \$119,644, AND ON PAGE 27 THERE IS SOME BREAKDOWN. THIS IS LARGELY BASED ON THE COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM STUDY. IS THIS CORRECT? THE FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY UNDER THIS?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS ITEM HERE INCLUDES AT THE MOMENT, PRIMARILY THE LAND DISPOSAL PEOPLE. THERE IS PROVISION FOR A COMMUNITY PLANNER IN OUR 74-75 MAIN ESTIMATES. IN THE PROFESSIONAL, SPECIAL SERVICES, WHICH I BELIEVE YOU ARE REFERING TO, THERE IS PROVISION FOR A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF CONTRACT COMMUNITY PLANNING. BASICALLY, WHAT WE ARE INTENDING TO DO IN TERMS OF DETAILED PLANNING NEXT YEAR ARE THE COMMUNITIES OF ROSS RIVER, THE GOLDEN HORN AREA SOUTH OF WHITEHORSE AND THE MAYO ROAD NORTH OF WHITEHORSE. THERE IS INTENTION TO DO SOME PLANNING ON HERSHEL ISLAND, NOT AS COMMUNITY PLANNING PER SAY BUT AS PLANNING THAT WOULD FALL INTO THAT AREA. THEN WE CAN DEVELOP CONTROL ZONES. THERE IS MONEY TO BE SPENT ON THE SKAGWAY-CARCROSS ROAD AT CARCROSS, SKAGWAY, PRIMARY AREA DEVELOPMENT TYPE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE IT HAS BEEN AGREED BY COUNCIL OR THE ADMINISTRATION, THAT ANY OF THESE COMMUNITY PLANS, MORE PARTICULARLY IN THE COMMUNITIES, THEMSELVES, WILL NOT BE IMPLEMENTED UNTIL THE MAJORITY OF THE CITIZENS OF THE COMMUNITY HAVE AGREED TO THEM. IS THIS CORRECT?

Mr. MILLER: YES Mr. CHAIRMAN THESE COMMUNITY PLANS ARE CLEARED WITH THE, IN THE CASE OF THE

LID, WITH THE LID BOARD WHO TAKE IT TO THE PEOPLE. IN THE CASE OF AREAS WHO DON'T HAVE LIDS, WE NORMALLY WORK THROUGH THE COMMUNITY'S CLOUD BOOKS. THEY DO THE SAME.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON COMMUNITY PLANNING AND LAND DISPOSAL? THE NEXT ITEM IS PROTECTIVE SERVICES BRANCH, \$184,314. I WONDER IF YOU COULD TELL US SOMETHING ABOUT THE EMO FUNCTION, \$16,000.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE IS PROVISION IN HERE FOR A PART TIME OFFICER OR A CONTRACT OFFICER, AN EMO OFFICER. BASICALLY THE FUNDS THAT ARE IN THERE FOR THAT PURPOSE, IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, IT'S \$6,000. THERE ARE FUNDS FOR THAT OFFICER TO DO SOME TRAVELLING AROUND THE COMMUNITY TO SET UP AN EMO PLAN IN EACH OF THE COMMUNITIES. THERE IS \$6,000 IN THERE FOR CONTINGENCY EXPENDITURES FOR EMERGENCY SITUATIONS, SUCH AS FLOOD THREATS AND THIS TYPE OF THING WHERE WE HAVE TO DO SOMETHING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS \$6,000 IN RESPECT OF THE PERSON WHO IS GOING TO DO THIS WORK, DOESN'T SOUND LIKE VERY MUCH MONEY FOR SOMEONE WHO SHOULD BE QUALIFIED IN SUCH WORK. HOW LONG IS PART TIME? COULD WE HAVE A LITTLE MORE DETAIL.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN I THINK AS ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL REALIZE, WE HAVE TAKEN OVER THE EMO FUNCTION FROM THE PREVIOUS COMBINED NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, YUKON FUNCTION. TOM NAIRN, THE FIRE MARSHALL IS THE EMO CO-ORDINATOR FOR THE YUKON. REALLY THE INTENT HERE IS TO PROVIDE HIM WITH SOME PART TIME ASSISTANTS. TO WHAT EXTENT, I DON'T THINK WE REALLY KNOW AT THIS POINT BECAUSE WE ARE JUST NOW GETTING INTO THE FUNCTION ITSELF AND THEN THE CO-ORDINATION. WE HAVE MADE PROVISIONS FOR THIS PARTICULAR YEAR TO HAVE AT LEAST A PART TIME OFFICER AVAILABLE TO THE EMO CO-ORDINATOR, TO WORK IN THE COMMUNITIES PRIMARILY TO GET THE EMERGENCY PLANS UPDATED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON PROTECTIVE SERVICES BRANCH? ONE OTHER QUESTION TOO, INCLUDED IN THIS, I BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE NOW IN EMPLOY OF THE GOVERNMENT A SECURITY OFFICER. DOES THIS COME OUT OF THIS?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE SECURITY OFFICER IS UNDER OUR PERSONNEL ESTABLISHMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER? NEXT IS

ASSESSMENT SERVICES BRANCH, \$59,332. CLEAR? NEXT ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT 605, \$1,336,690 FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICES BRANCH. CAN WE HAVE A BREAKDOWN OF WHERE THIS MONEY IS TO BE SPENT? A-27. WHERE DO WE FIND THE BREAKDOWNS FOR THE SMALL COMMUNITIES, LIKE ROSS RIVER FOR INSTANCE?

MR. TANNER: ON THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 28 MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I CAN'T SEEM TO FIND ROSS RIVER.

MR. MILLER: NO MR. CHAIRMAN, THOSE FUNDS ARE NOT BROKEN OUT BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT GRANT FUNDS OR CONTRIBUTION FUNDS, THEY ARE DIRECTIVE EXPENDITURES AGAINST MATERIALS & SUPPLIES GENERAL, UTILITY, SUPPLIES & SERVICES, RENTAL MACHINES AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT. ALL THE UNORGANIZED AREAS ARE DEALT WITH IN THAT FASHION, IN PART OF THE GENERAL VOTE. THE ITEMS THAT MAKE UP THE GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS ARE BROKEN OUT SPECIFICALLY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FOR INSTANCE, UNDER THIS VOTE WOULD COME THE OPERATION OF THE ROSS RIVER WATER SYSTEM. HOW COULD I FIND OUT JUST WHAT PORTION OF THESE MONIES GO TOWARDS THIS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, NO, THE ROSS RIVER WATER SYSTEM DOES NOT COME OUT OF THIS VOTE BECAUSE THE ROSS RIVER WATER SYSTEM IS AN ENGINEERING FACILITY. IT WAS PUT IN THERE ESSENTIALLY TO SERVE THE ENGINEERING CAMP. IT HAS SINCE BECOME A MODIFIED COMMUNITY SYSTEM BUT THAT IS CHARGED AS OUR CHARGE TO ENGINEERING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE TALK OF ROADS. ONE OF THE GREATEST COMPLAINTS FOR INSTANCE, IN ROSS RIVER IS THE LACK OF MAINTENANCE ON ROADS AND THE SNOWPLOWING AND GRADING. OBVIOUSLY SOME MONIES MUST BE SET ASIDE FOR THESE COMMUNITIES AND IT WAS USUAL THAT WE WOULD HAVE A BREAKDOWN OF HOW MUCH MONEY IS GOING TO THESE COMMUNITIES SO THAT WE COULD DETERMINE WHETHER THEY HAD A FAIR SHAKE IN THE BUDGET OR NOT. HOW DO WE FIND THAT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN TO MY KNOWLEDGE, THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A BREAKDOWN PRESENTED FOR UNORGANIZED AREAS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL THERE WAS.

MR. MILLER: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, I CAN TELL

YOU WHAT I THINK HAS BEEN SPENT THIS YEAR IF THAT IS OF ANY INTEREST.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NO IT REALLY ISN'T. I'M TALKING ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR INSTANCE, FOR STREET MAINTENANCE FOR ROSS RIVER FOR THE PERIOD OF THIS FISCAL YEAR.

MR. MILLER: I CAN TELL YOU I THINK WHAT HAS BEEN SPENT THIS YEAR, IF THAT IS OF ANY ASSISTANCE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NO, IT REALLY ISN'T. I AM TALKING ABOUT HOW MUCH MONEY WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR INSTANCE FOR STREET MAINTENANCE FOR ROSS RIVER FOR THE PERIOD OF THIS FISCAL YEAR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GIVE YOU THE ANSWER TO THAT SPECIFIC QUESTION. IT WOULD BE PART OF THE \$79,000.00 FOR RENTAL OF MACHINERY AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT. THAT'S REALLY WHAT IT IS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF AT SOME POINT IN TIME I COULD HAVE A BREAKDOWN OF WHAT SERVICES ARE TO BE PROVIDED FOR THE COMMUNITY OF ROSS RIVER FOR THIS COMING YEAR IN TERMS OF SEWER AND WATER, STREET LIGHTING, ROAD MAINTENANCE, AND THIS TYPE OF THING FOR THAT COMMUNITY. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY IS BEING BUDGETED FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICES FOR ROSS RIVER.

MR. MILLER: I CAN ATTEMPT TO FIND THAT OUT, MR. CHAIRMAN. I'M NOT ENTIRELY SURE THAT WE WILL HAVE ANY SUCCESS IN IT BECAUSE WE JUST DON'T DO OUR BUDGETING THAT WAY, BY COMMUNITY. WE DO IT BY OBJECT OF EXPENDITURE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IN ORDER TO DETERMINE HOW MUCH MONEY YOU ARE GOING TO EXPEND ON ROADS YOU MUST HAVE SOME IDEA UPON WHICH TO BASE IT, AND IN ORDER TO DO THAT YOU MUST LOOK AT EACH COMMUNITY TO SEE THEIR NEEDS. YOU MUST KNOW, FOR INSTANCE, HOW MUCH STREET LIGHTING IS GOING TO COST AND HOW MANY ADDITIONAL STREET LIGHTS YOU MUST PROVIDE FOR THAT GIVEN YEAR OR HOW MUCH MONEY IS TO BE ALLOCATED FOR ROADS, MAINTENANCE, SNOW-PLOWING, THIS TYPE OF THING.

MR. MILLER: I WILL ATTEMPT TO GET THE ANSWER TO THE MEMBER'S QUESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THE MUNICIPAL UNCONDITIONAL GRANT - IS THAT THE GRANT WE

REFER TO AS THE - UNDER THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S THE PER CAPITA GRANT UNDER THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHAT PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE IN AFFORDING RELIEF TO FARO? WE ARE NOW RECEIVING CAPITAL GRANTS FOR EIGHT HUNDRED AND SOME ODD PEOPLE WHEN THEY INDEED HAVE OVER TWELVE HUNDRED PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THERE WAS AN ANSWER IN THE FORM OF A LEGISLATIVE RETURN ON THAT. WE ARE NOT ABLE AT THIS TIME TO FIND ANY CORRELATION BETWEEN THE SUPPOSED FIGURES OF PEOPLE WHO ARE IN FARO AND CENSUS FIGURES FOR THE OTHER CRITERIA THAT WE HAVE. BASICALLY WE HAVE THE ORIGINAL CENSUS FOR THE FARO AREA AND FOR THE WHOLE OF THE YUKON. WE HAVE FIGURES THAT WE ARE TRYING TO DEVELOP OFF THE YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN COMPUTER, AND WE HAVE FIGURES THAT COME FROM THE MINES. WE HAVE SO MANY FIGURES AND NONE OF THEM CORRELATE. IF WE COULD FIND SOME CORRELATION, I THINK WE COULD GET SOMEWHERE. WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO FIND ANY CORRELATION UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE GET THE 1976 CENSUS FROM CENSUS CANADA. WE ARE CONTINUING TO WORK ON IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHY WILL THE ADMINISTRATION NOT CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL SET UP ONE YEAR AGO AT THIS TIME THAT A CENSUS, A MUNICIPALLY OPERATED CENSUS, BE TAKEN OF FARO IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH THAT FIGURE UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF EITHER STATISTICS CANADA OR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK WE COULD JUST DO IT FOR FARO. WE WOULD HAVE TO DO IT FOR FARO, DAWSON CITY AND THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. NOW THE COST OF DOING A CENSUS IN ANY COMMUNITY IS, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS VERY EXPENSIVE. STATISTICS CANADA WON'T DO THIS. WE WOULD PROBABLY BE LOOKING AT HIRING ABOUT SIX STUDENTS FOR A WHOLE SUMMER TO DO THE DARN JOB AT A COST OF \$50,000.00 OR \$60,000.00.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SURELY THE POINT IS THE FACT THAT THE STATISTIC WHEN IT COMES OUT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCES ANYWAY.

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS WHY I SAY THAT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE SUPERVISED, IT COULD BE A MUNICIPAL CENSUS SUPERVISED BY THE DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTICS OR STATISTICS CANADA, IF YOU PREFER,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ALL I CAN DO IS ASSURE YOU THAT WE CONTINUE TO LOOK AT IT, I REALIZE THAT THIS ISN'T ANY - THAT THERE ISN'T ANY IMMEDIATE RELIEF BUT WE ARE CONTINUING TO TRY AND FIND SOME FIGURES THAT WE CAN CORRELATE WHICH WE COULD THEN USE, WE WOULD HAVE TO AMEND THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE, BUT THEN WE COULD THEN USE THOSE AS AN ONGOING FIGURE,

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL THESE PEOPLE ARE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO MORE THAN THEY ARE GETTING,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY ARE NOT LEGALLY ENTITLED, THIS COUNCIL PASSED THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE WHICH SAYS IT WILL BE BASED ON THE CENSUS FORMED BY STATISTICS CANADA, AND THAT'S THE LEGAL ENTITLEMENT THAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO AT THE PRESENT TIME,

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL IT CERTAINLY IS UNJUST AND UNFAIR, AND I THINK IT BEHOOVES THIS GOVERNMENT TO TAKE EVERY POSSIBLE AVENUE OF APPROACH TO RESOLVING IT. YOU CAN'T PROVIDE SERVICES, THE SAME LEVEL OF SERVICES, FOR TWELVE HUNDRED PEOPLE BASED ON A GRANT BASED ON EIGHT HUNDRED PEOPLE, IT CAN'T BE DONE,

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I COULD ASK WHETHER THE WHITEHORSE CITY COUNCIL HAS APPROACHED THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON FOR AN UPWARD REVISION OF THE PER CAPITA GRANT,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. NOW THAT DOESN'T MEAN THEY HAVEN'T. IT'S JUST THAT I AM NOT AWARE OF IT,

MR. MCKINNON: COULD YOU CHECK INTO IT?

MR. MILLER: I CAN CERTAINLY CHECK, YES I COULD CERTAINLY CHECK,

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF ANY OF THE MUNICIPALITIES HAD ASKED THE ADMINISTRATION FOR AN UPWARD REVISION OF THE GRANTS UNDER THE MUNICIPAL AID BILL, WHAT AVENUES WOULD BE OPEN TO COUNCIL AT THIS TIME?

MR. MCKINNON: THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE,

MR. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK, AS I INDICATED, IT WOULD REQUIRE A CHANGE TO THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE BEFORE ANYTHING ELSE COULD TAKE PLACE,

MRS. WATSON: AND FINDING THE MONEY,

MR. MILLER: AND SECONDLY FINDING THE MONEY, YES, CERTAINLY THERE ARE THE TWO REQUIREMENTS, ONE WOULD BE TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE AND SECONDLY, TO FIND THE DOLLARS TO PAY IT,

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER SAYS "CHANGE THE ORDINANCE", HE'S REFERRING ONLY TO THE STATISTICS, HE'S NOT REFERRING TO THE DOLLAR FIGURE,

MR. MILLER: OH NO, JUST THE STATISTICAL - -

MR. TANNER: THE DOLLAR FIGURE COULD BE, TECHNICALLY SPEAKING, ANY MUNICIPALITY COULD COME AND ASK FOR MORE MONEY AND THEN OUR PROBLEM IS, OF COURSE, TO FIND THE MONEY, THE ONLY REASON TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE IS AS FAR AS THE STATISTICS,

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON MUNICIPAL SERVICES BRANCH? NEXT IS AMBULANCE SERVICE, \$184,217.00, CAN WE HAVE AN EXPLANATION,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS YOU RECALL ON THE SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES THERE WAS PROVISION ASKED FOR FOR AN ADDITIONAL \$150,000.00 FOR 1973-74 TO COVER THE TAKE-OVER OF THE TAKHINE AMBULANCE SERVICE,

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THAT CLEAR? THIS GIVES US A TOTAL OF \$1,977,189.00 FOR DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT, THE NEXT VOTE IS TOURISM, CONSERVATION AND INFORMATION,

MR. MILLER: DO YOU WANT THE EX. COM. MEMBER HERE FOR THAT AREA?

MR. CHAIRMAN: YES, WHOEVER THAT IS,

MR. MILLER: THAT'S FRANK FINGLAND,

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO WE HAVE MR. FINGLAND HERE? I WILL JUST DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS THEN,

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND IT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS WILL DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THE HOUSE WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:25 A.M. TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS, MR. MILLER, MR. HUBERDEAU AND MR. FINLAND ATTENDED RE BILL NO. 3. COMMITTEE RECESSED AT 12:00 NOON AND RECONVENED AT 2:10 P.M. I CAN REPORT PROGRESS ON BILL NO. 3. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR AND THIS MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: YOU HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. ARE YOU AGREED? MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION OF COMMITTEE TO CONTINUE TOMORROW.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: I MOVE THAT WE CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK.

MR. STUTTER: I'LL SECOND THAT MOTION, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER OF DAWSON THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THIS HOUSE NOW STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING.

ADJOURNED

FRIDAY, APRIL 19, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MRS. WATSON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE FOR TABLING LEGISLATIVE RETURNS 34 & 35. I HAVE FOR TABLING THE WORKMENS COMPENSATION ANNUAL REPORT FOR 1973 PURSUANT TO SECTION 19 OF THE WORKMENS COMPENSATION ORDINANCE.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEES? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? AS THERE ARE NO MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS WE COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD. MADAM CLERK, WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF THE ADMINISTRATOR IS AVAILABLE? WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE STATUS OF REPORT ON HAINES JUNCTION LID

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR THE STATUS OF THE REPORT ON THE HAINES JUNCTION LID. IT SEEMS TO BE TURNING INTO ALMOST ANOTHER ONE OF THESE COMIC OPERA FARCES OF GOVERNMENT. FIRST WE ARE GOING TO GET A REPORT THEN WE ARE NOT GOING TO. THEN THE FILE GETS LOST, THEN IT GETS FOUND AGAIN. I WONDER AS OF TODAY, MR. SPEAKER, WHETHER MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD LET US KNOW WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE REPORT AND WHEN IT WILL BE MADE PUBLIC.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THE REPORT IS STILL UNDER CONSIDERATION. I AM HOPEFUL THAT WITHIN THE NEXT FEW DAYS IT WILL BE POSSIBLE FOR US TO MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT. I CAN'T STATE PRECISELY WHEN THE ANNOUNCEMENT WILL BE.

QUESTION RE DISTRIBUTION OF MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTION

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR THIS MORNING, RELATING TO THE DISCOVERY IN CONSIDERING MAIN ESTIMATES OF AN ITEM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,500,000 TABULATED AS MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS. MY QUESTION OF MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS, WOULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR GIVE HIS ASSURANCE THAT EQUAL OPPORTUNITY WILL BE GIVEN TO THE MUNICIPALITIES OF FARO AND DAWSON TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS GRANT SO THAT THE GRANT IS NOT ALLOCATED TO ONE MUNICIPALITY ALONE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I CAN'T SAY DEFINITELY WITH RESPECT TO THE ONE AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS WITHOUT EXAMINING THE DETAILS WITH WHICH I AM NOT INTIMATELY FAMILIAR. I DO KNOW AND CAN SAY, THAT THE PROPOSED MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM WHICH IS PRESENTLY UNDER NEGOTIATION WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS DESIGNED TO BE AVAILABLE TO ALL COMMUNITIES. TO PROVIDE A CONSTANT STANDARD OF SERVICES TO ALL COMMUNITIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT.

IT IS NOT INTENDED, MR. SPEAKER, TO DISCRIMINATE IN ANY WAY AGAINST A COMMUNITY EXCEPT ON THE GROUNDS OF SIZE AND THAT WILL OF COURSE BE AN OVERRIDING CONDITION.

QUESTION RE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR FARO AND DAWSON IN COST-SHARE PROGRAM

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, POSSIBLY MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS NOT AWARE OF THE \$1,500,000 THAT IS AVAILABLE FOR MUNICIPAL CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO SEWER AND WATER AND SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITIES ON A 90-10 COST SHARE BASIS BETWEEN THE MUNICIPALITY AND THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. POSSIBLY, MR. SPEAKER, MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS NOT AWARE OF THE FACT THAT THE TWO MUNICIPALITIES OF FARO AND DAWSON WERE NOT AWARE OF THIS ITEM COMING UP IN THE BUDGET AND WERE NOT ABLE, IN PREPARATION OF THEIR BUDGETS TO PROVIDE FOR PARTICIPATION IN THIS GRANT.

MY QUESTION THEN, MR. SPEAKER, TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS, IN ALL FAIRNESS WOULD THE ADMINISTRATION ASSURE THAT THESE TWO MUNICIPALITIES WILL INDEED BE GIVEN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS PARTICULAR

PROGRAM?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THEY WILL CERTAINLY BE GIVEN AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE TOTAL PROGRAM BUT I CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT THEY WILL GET EQUAL SHARES OF THE EXISTING PROGRAM. WE HAVE TO TAKE THE AVAILABLE FUNDS THAT WE HAVE AND ALLOCATE THEM ACCORDING TO SOME SORT OF PRIORITY. IN TERMS OF THE OVERALL PROGRAM THEY WILL CERTAINLY BE GIVEN EQUAL CONSIDERATION.

QUESTION RE INTERPRETATION OF PHRASE 'REAL PROPERTY' UNDER TAXATION ORDINANCE

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MR. LEGAL ADVISOR THIS MORNING. MR. LEGAL ADVISOR HAVE YOU OR YOUR OFFICE RECEIVED A REQUEST FROM THE COUNCIL OF DAWSON CITY SEEKING A FURTHER INTERPRETATION OF THE PHRASE "REAL PROPERTY" UNDER THE TAXATION ORDINANCE?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: IT HASN'T REACHED ME PERSONALLY, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. STUTTER: A SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION THEN, MR. SPEAKER. MR. LEGAL ADVISOR ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THIS INTERPRETATION AS WORDED IN THE TAXATION ORDINANCE? IT APPEARS THAT THERE MAY BE SOME PROBLEMS IN THE DAWSON AREA ON THIS INTERPRETATION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. SPEAKER, I PREFER TO TAKE NOTE OF THAT QUESTION AND INVESTIGATE THE MATTER.

QUESTION RE: MONIES PAID TO MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS OUT OF YHCIP

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, LAST BUDGET, I ASKED THE THEN INCUMBENT IN THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE POSITION OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND CORRECTIONS IF IT WAS POSSIBLE TO HAVE ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS, TO ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THE AMOUNTS PAID OUT OF THE YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN TO THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS IN THE YUKON. I WAS TOLD THAT THIS WOULD NOT BE FORTHCOMING. MR. SPEAKER IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION FROM A FAIRLY RELIABLE AUTHORITY THAT THIS IS MADE AVAILABLE IN THE PROVINCE OF B.C. ON A PUBLIC BASIS, NOT A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS. ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC CAN FIND OUT WHAT IS PAID IN PUBLIC MONIES TO ANY MEDICAL

PRACTITIONER IN THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.

WHICH, MR. SPEAKER, I THINK IS RIGHT BECAUSE IT IS PUBLIC MONEY. I'VE ASKED, AS A MEMBER OF THIS HOUSE, ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS TO HAVE THESE AMOUNTS THAT WERE PAID, BOY I'D LIKE TO HAVE THE AMOUNT THAT WAS PAID TO THE MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS IN THE YUKON OUT OF THE HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN, TO MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL. IT IS PUBLIC MONEY, I SEE NO REASON WHY IT WAS REFUSED MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE BEFORE. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE PRESENT EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THAT DEPARTMENT WHETHER SUCH A REQUEST WILL BE HONOURED AT THIS TIME, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER I WOULD CONCUR WITH THE PREVIOUS HOLDER OF THIS DEPARTMENT'S DECISION. I THINK WHILE THE MEMBER ASKING THE QUESTION, CAN SAY THAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE SUCH AND SUCH IN B.C. OR IN A COMMUNITY OF 2,000,000 OR 3,000,000, I DON'T THINK THE SAME CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST HERE. THEREFORE, I WOULD SAY NO.

Question Re: Dangerous Sub-Soil Conditions in Faro

MR. TAYLOR: I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION THAT I WOULD DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR THIS MORNING RELATING TO THE EXTREMELY DANGEROUS SUB-SOIL CONDITIONS IN THE TOWN OF FARO. IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT A REPORT OR A STUDY IS BEING UNDERTAKEN AT THE MOMENT AND A REPORT IS GOING TO BE FORWARDED TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, I BELIEVE SOME TIME IN MAY, WOULD THE ADMINISTRATION ASSURE ME TODAY THAT WHEN THAT REPORT IS RECEIVED THAT THEY WILL GIVE MAXIMUM PRIORITY TO DEALING WITH THE WHOLE QUESTION OF THE DANGEROUS SUB-SOIL CONDITIONS IN FARO.

MR. FINGLAND: MR. SPEAKER, THIS PARTICULAR PROBLEM IS UNDER CONTINUING REVIEW AND WE HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES IN FARO FOR SOME TIME ABOUT THE PROBLEMS THEY ARE ENCOUNTERING. I CERTAINLY ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT WE WILL GIVE IT EVERY POSSIBLE ATTENTION WHEN WE RECEIVE THE REPORT,

Question Re: Travel for Medical Treatment
Outside the Territory and within the Territory

Mr. McKINNON: MR. SPEAKER I AM REVIEWING THE REGULATION RESPECTING TRAVEL FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT OUTSIDE THE TERRITORY, THE REGULATIONS RESPECTING TRAVEL FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT WITHIN THE TERRITORY AND THE REGULATIONS TRAVEL MEDICAL EVACUATION REGULATION. I WONDER MR. SPEAKER, IF I COULD ASK THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION WHETHER THE RESIDENCY CLAUSES UNDER CLAUSE 3, SUBS 1 & 2, ARE AS I READ THEM, THAT A PERSON MOVING FROM ANOTHER PART OF CANADA TO THE YUKON, HAVE TO WAIT THREE MONTHS AND BE RESIDENTS IN THE YUKON BEFORE THEY BECOME ELIGIBLE, WHEREAS A PERSON WITH LANDED IMMIGRANT STATUS COMING FROM A FOREIGN COUNTRY IS ELIGIBLE FOR THIS PROGRAM IMMEDIATELY UPON LANDING IN CANADA.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THOSE TWO REGULATIONS AS FAR AS RESIDENCY IS CONCERNED, IS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE APPLYING TO THE MEDICARE PLAN AND THE HOSPITAL PLAN. AS A MATTER OF INTEREST TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, WE HAVE NEVER HAD ANY APPLICATION UNDER THE SECOND CRITERIA. IF HONOURABLE MEMBERS WISH TO REMOVE THAT PARTICULAR CONDITION, WE HAVE NO HESITATION AT ALL IN DOING IT.

Mr. McKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IF HE WOULD ANSWER MY QUESTION. AS IT APPEARS IN THE REGULATIONS THAT A PERSON MOVING FROM ANOTHER PART OF CANADA HAS TO WAIT THREE MONTHS TO BE ELIGIBLE BUT A PERSON COMING FROM A FOREIGN COUNTRY IS ELIGIBLE IMMEDIATELY UNDER THE REGULATIONS. THAT IS THE QUESTION I ASKED.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK I ANSWERED THE QUESTION. THE REGULATIONS MEAN AS THEY READ IN THE TRAVEL MEDICAL TREATMENT OUTSIDE REGULATIONS. THEY ARE EXACTLY THE SAME AS PRESENTLY EXIST WITH THE HOSPITAL AND THE MEDICARE PLANS. CONSEQUENTLY, WE FELT THAT IT WAS CONSISTENT TO PUT THEM INTO THESE PLANS.

HOWEVER, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS POINTED OUT THE FACT THAT THERE APPEARS TO BE DISCRIMINATION AGAINST RESIDENTS OF CANADA. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND OTHER MEMBERS WANT THAT REMOVED, THE DEPARTMENT IS QUITE PREPARED TO REMOVE IT.

Mr. McKINNON: THANK YOU.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

Question Re: Yukon Liquor Board

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION RELATING TO AN ACCUSATION I RECEIVED ABOUT BOARDS WHILE IN COMMITTEE. JUST ANOTHER CASE HERE, I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS A QUESTION TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR RELATING TO THE YUKON LIQUOR BOARD. I HAVE A COPY OF A LETTER MR. SPEAKER, FROM THE BOARD TO A CONSTITUENT WHO HAD ASKED THAT THE NUMBER OF ROOMS REQUIRED FOR A COCKTAIL LICENCE ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY BE REDUCED IN ORDER THAT THE SMALLER LODGES COULD PROVIDE A SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC. IN THE LAST SENTENCE IT STATES, THE LIQUOR BOARD IS PUTTING FORTH RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO THIS CLAUSE IN THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. THIS WAS DATED THE 19TH OF SEPTEMBER, 1972 MR. SPEAKER. EVERY ATTEMPT I HAVE MADE TO ATTEMPT TO HAVE THIS MATTER CONSIDERED, I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DO NOT WISH THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE OPENED NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING. I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL ME WHY THEY DO NOT WISH THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE OPENED AND WHY THEY WOULD REFUSE TO DEAL WITH RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LIQUOR BOARD.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I THINK THAT IT IS NOT A POSITION OF US REFUSING TO DEAL WITH THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE LIQUOR BOARD. WE RECEIVE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THAT BOARD PERIODICALLY ON A WHOLE VARIETY OF SUBJECTS. OF COURSE WE WOULD BE QUITE INTERESTED IN THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO ANY AMENDMENTS REQUIRED TO THE ORDINANCE.

WITH REGARD TO THE ACTUAL CHANGES IN THE ORDINANCE ITSELF, MR. SPEAKER, I THINK WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE AT THE BEST OF TIMES IS A VERY COMPLEX AND CONTROVERSIAL PIECE OF LEGISLATION. WE CAN'T OPEN THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION TO DEAL WITH EACH SPECIFIC ITEM AS IT COMES TO OUR ATTENTION. WE FEEL THAT WE SHOULD GIVE AN EXISTING PIECE OF LEGISLATION SUFFICIENT TIME TO WORK ITSELF OUT TO ENABLE THE PUBLIC TO FIND OUT WHAT THE PROBLEMS ARE AND TO ENABLE US TO FIND OUT WHAT THE PROBLEMS ARE. IT IS OUR INTENTION ULTIMATELY TO OPEN THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. OBVIOUSLY AN ORDINANCE OF THAT NATURE HAS TO BE OPENED AT SOME POINT AND AT THAT TIME WE WILL TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, A SUPPLEMENTARY. I

WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD BE PREPARED TO TABLE BEFORE COUNCIL THE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO THIS CASE AS RECEIVED, SOMETIME PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 1972.

MR. FINLAND: NO, MR. SPEAKER I COULDN'T GIVE THAT COMMITMENT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

MR. TAYLOR: THIS, MR. SPEAKER, IS WHY WE ALL DESIRE RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT IN THE YUKON, IS TO STAMP OUT THIS SORT OF THING.

MR. TANNER: IS THAT A QUESTION?

Question Re: Teachers Investment and Housing Co-operation Association

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK WHETHER IT IS THE INTENTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION TO BRING IN AMENDMENTS TO THE CO-OPERATIVE ASSOCIATION ORDINANCE AT THIS SESSION SO AS TO ALLOW TEACHERS IN THE YUKON TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE TEACHERS INVESTMENT AND HOUSING CO-OPERATION ASSOCIATION, TO BE ALLOWED TO BUILD HOUSES IN THE YUKON DURING THIS BUILDING SEASON?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, WE DO NOT HAVE THAT ON OUR LIST OF LEGISLATION FOR THIS SESSION.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. LEGAL ADVISOR, BECAUSE AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS IS A VERY EASY AMENDMENT TO MAKE. IT WOULD GIVE TEACHERS OPPORTUNITY OF TAKING ADVANTAGE OF A NATIONAL PLAN. I THINK THE IDEA OF, AT LEAST I THOUGHT THE IDEA OF THE ADMINISTRATION WAS TO ALLOW OR TO GET AS MANY OF THE PUBLIC SERVANTS OF THE TERRITORY OR DIFFERENT PEOPLE WHO ARE RECEIVING GOVERNMENT CHEQUES TO BE ABLE TO BUILD THEIR OWN HOMES AND BECOME CITIZENS OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I'M NOT SURE MR. SPEAKER PRECISELY WHAT THE AMENDMENT IS BUT I THINK, SPEAKING FROM MEMORY, THAT IT WAS AN AMENDMENT WHICH WAS TO BE INSERTED, LACKING TO SOME EXTENT THE POWER OF THE COMMISSIONER TO STRIKE A CO-OPERATIVE FROM REGISTER, BY INSERTING WORDS TO THE EFFECT THAT THE COMMISSIONER SHALL FOR SERIOUS REASONS OF OR FOR SERIOUS CAUSE, STRIKE, RATHER THAN HAVE THE EXPRESSION, THE COMMISSIONER MAY STRIKE AS SOCIETY THE REGISTER. WHAT THE STATUS OF IT IS IN THE

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM I JUST HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. MCKINNON: WELL MR. SPEAKER, IS MR. LEGAL ADVISOR SAYING NOW THAT THE TEACHERS IN THE YUKON ARE ABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PLAN TO GET MORTGAGE MONEY TO BUILD HOMES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. SPEAKER THAT IS NOT THE PRECISE POSITION. A CO-OPERATIVE WHICH WAS COMPOSED OF TEACHERS AND REGISTERED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA APPLIED TO THIS TERRITORY FOR PERMISSION TO REGISTER. ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE TO PERMIT IT TO REGISTER. I THINK WE MAY HAVE AMENDED THE CO-OPERATIVE ORDINANCE IN ORDER TO ENABLE THIS TO BE DONE.

THE LEGAL ADVISOR TO THE CO-OPERATIVE INFORMED THE GOVERNMENT THAT THEIR CLIENT, THE B.C. CO-OPERATIVE OBJECTED TO A PARTICULAR SECTION OF THE CO-OPERATIVE ORDINANCE WHICH READ, THE COMMISSIONER MAY DELETE FOR CAUSE OF THE COMMISSIONER MAY, DELETE THE NAME OF ANY SOCIETY FROM THE REGISTER. THEY WISHED THE GOVERNMENT TO INTRODUCE AN AMENDMENT TO THE LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD IMPEDE THE DOING OF THIS BY ADDING A CONDITION, THE COMMISSIONER MAY, FOR CAUSE SHOWN, OR SOME REASON, STRIKE A CO-OPERATIVE FROM THE REGISTER.

IN THE CORRESPONDENCE, THE LEGAL ADVISOR TO THE CO-OP SOCIETY WAS INFORMED THAT NO KNOWN CASE HAD BEEN RECORDED IN OUR BOOKS WHERE THIS POWER WAS EVER EXERCISED. IT WAS INFORMED THAT IT WAS NOT THE POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT TO STRIKE FOR CASUAL REASONS ANY CO-OPERATIVE WHICH WAS IN EXISTENCE AND THEY WOULD NOT DO THIS.

APPARENTLY, THE CLIENTS WERE NOT SATISFIED AND MAY HAVE SAID, WE WILL NOT REGISTER UNTIL THE AMENDMENT IS MADE. THIS I THINK, IS THE PRESENT POSITION.

MR. MCKINNON: THEN THE ANSWER FROM THE ADMINISTRATION IS THAT THESE AMENDMENTS WILL NOT BE INTRODUCED AT THIS SESSION.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, WE'VE HAD NO FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE TEACHER'S ORGANIZATION TO SIGNIFY THE STANCE THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE, THERE HAS BEEN NO REQUEST TO HAVE THIS LEGISLATION BROUGHT IN THIS SESSION WHATSOEVER.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? WE WISH TO THANK MR. ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS IN ORDER AND NO PUBLIC BILLS IN ORDER, MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. TANNER: I WILL SECOND IT.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH, THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF NOW CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

NOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER AND WE ARE GOING TO BE DISCUSSING MAIN ESTIMATES AND I AM WONDERING, MADAM CLERK, IF YOU COULD SEE IF THE WITNESSES COULD BE AVAILABLE. I WILL DECLARE A RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. WE HAVE WITH US MR. MILLER AND MR. FINGLAND WHO WILL ASSIST US IN THESE DISCUSSIONS.

WE ARE CURRENTLY ON CAPITAL, PAGE 61 WITH THE ESTIMATES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM, CONSERVATION AND INFORMATION. THE FIRST ITEM IS CAMPGROUND AND REST STOP DEVELOPMENT. COUNCILLOR MCKINNON.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE I WAS SO RUDELY INTERRUPTED YESTERDAY AFTERNOON, I WAS ATTEMPTING TO MAKE THE POINT THERE WERE QUITE A FEW YEARS IN TRYING TO GET THE ADMINISTRATION TO CONDESCEND TO THE THINKING OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL IN AN ATTEMPT TO STOP THE PROLIFERATION OF HIGHWAY SIGNS. PARTICULARLY, AROUND MUNICIPAL AREAS AND THE POINT THAT I MAKE IS THERE IS NO REASON TO PLACES LIKE WATSON LAKE AND WHITEHORSE SHOULD LOOK JUST LIKE THE ENTRANCE TO SMALL TOWNS IN NORTH AMERICA ANYWHERE. I SEE THAT IT'S BEING MADE OUT IN WATSON LAKE AND WHITEHORSE AND I THINK THAT, WITH THE PLANS THE ADMINISTRATION HAS SHOWN US THAT IT WILL BE VERY BENEFICIAL TO THE APPROACHES OF THESE TWO COMMUNITIES.

I THINK IT SHOULD BE MADE CLEAR THAT IT ISN'T AN ATTEMPT BY THE COUNCILLOR AT ANY RATE, IT'S NO WAY MY INTENTION TO PRECLUDE SMALL LODGES ALONG THE HIGHWAYS OF THE YUKON TO HAVE SIGNS ADVERTISING THEIR SERVICES AVAILABLE TO THE TRAVELLING PUBLIC. I THINK THERE IS SOME MISCONCEPTION AMONG LODGE OWNERS THAT THIS IS THE ATTEMPT THAT IS GOING TO BE MADE BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON.

CERTAINLY THE POINT THAT IS TRYING TO BE MADE BY THE PEOPLE AT THIS TABLE IS THAT WHERE THERE IS A GREAT NUMBER OF SERVICES AVAILABLE IN A COMMUNITY THAT THESE SIGNS SHOULDN'T BE ALLOWED TO APPEAR TO STRING OUT ALONG THE HIGHWAY APPROACHING THE COMMUNITY IN ANY KIND OF A HAPHAZARD MANNER. I THINK THAT ONE ONLY HAS TO SEE THE NUMBER OF SIGNS ADVERTISING SERVICES THAT HAVE SPRUNG UP ALONG THE APPROACHES TO WHITEHORSE IN THE LAST TWO YEARS TO REALIZE THAT THIS IS GOING TO BECOME A GREATER PROBLEM WITH EVERY PASSING YEAR.

I THINK THAT THE CONCEPT OF HAVING AN AREA AWAY FROM THE HIGHWAYS WITH REST ROOMS, PICNIC TABLES AND ALL THE GOOD SERVICES AVAILABLE IN THAT AREA AND REMOVE THE SIGNS ALONG THE HIGHWAY IS AN APPROACH IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. I WOULD EVEN GO FURTHER THAN THIS AFTER HAVING FOUGHT SO LONG

FOR THIS TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT. THAT I WOULD NOT BE AGAINST THIS PROGRAM REMAINING FROM THE BUDGET FOR ONE MORE YEAR IN ORDER THAT THIS \$50,000 WOULD BE PUT TOWARDS WHAT I WOULD STILL CONSIDER A HIGHER PRIORITY TO PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AND THAT IS THE PROVISION OF SATELLITE SERVICES TO THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES.

AS I SAID, I HAVE BEEN AFTER THIS FOR ALMOST A DECADE AND FOR ONE MORE YEAR, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN WAIT BECAUSE THIS IS PRIMARILY FOR THE ADVANTAGE OF THE TRAVELLING PUBLIC AND FOR THE TOURIST INDUSTRY AND I AM MUCH MORE CONCERNED AND GIVE PRIME CONCERN TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. I THINK THIS IS ONE THING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO GO INTO THE PHILOSOPHY OF WHAT THE TOURIST DEPARTMENT IS TRYING TO DO WITH MR. ADMINISTRATOR, THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE BECAUSE I'M NOT ALL THAT POSITIVE THAT WE SHOULD BE SO PROUD OF THE STATISTICS OF HAULING IN PEOPLE AT AN INCREASE OF MAYBE 25% A YEAR TO THE YUKON TERRITORY. I'M FAST GETTING OF THE OPINION THAT WITH THIS HUGE INFLUX OF TOURISTS IN THE YUKON THAT WHAT WE HAVE TO OFFER, THE ONLY THING WE HAVE TO OFFER IS THE YUKON, THE ISOLATION AND THE UNSPOILED BEAUTY OF THE YUKON IS THE VERY THING WE ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY LONGER WITH THE ATTRACTION OF HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF TOURISTS.

SO, AS I SAY, I THINK IT'S A GOOD PROGRAM. IT'S ONE THE COUNCILLORS HAVE BEEN BEHIND. ONE THAT THEY FOUGHT FOR. ONE THAT APPEARS NOW IN THE BUDGET, I THINK IT'S NECESSARY IN THE TWO AREAS OF WHITEHORSE AND WATSON LAKE. I THINK IT'S GOING TO BECOME NECESSARY IN THE AREA OF DAWSON CITY AND THE AREA OF HAINES JUNCTION IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE BUT I SAY REGARDLESS OF THE POLITICAL CONSEQUENCES, MR. CHAIRMAN, TAKE IT OUT OF THE BUDGET EVEN THOUGH IT'S SOMETHING THAT, AS I SAY, ABOUT THE ONLY PROGRAM THAT I'M SCARED OF SEEING TO FRUITION IN THE BUDGET. THE PRIME AND THE PRIOR CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. HERE'S \$50,000 TOWARDS THE SATELLITE TRANSMISSION FOR THE FIVE SMALLER COMMUNITIES. NOW CAN'T THE GOVERNMENT COME UP WITH \$50,000 AS THE REST OF IT SO THAT THE FIVE COMMUNITIES MENTIONED WILL BE SERVICED IN THIS FISCAL YEAR WITH A TELEVISION PICTURE.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE WE GET TOO FAR ON THIS TELEVISION SERVICE FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE OUTER AREAS WHICH, IN THEORY, I CERTAINLY SUPPORT. YOU KNOW, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS SERVICE IN ALL THE AREAS OF THE TERRITORY. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

WHETHER THERE IS OR NOT ANY WAY TO BEGIN WITH, THAT THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT CAN GET INVOLVED IN A PROJECT OF THIS NATURE, BOTH FROM THE LICENCING POINT OF VIEW AND AS FAR AS INFRINGING UPON C.B.C. RIGHTS. I DON'T KNOW, THIS IS JUST A QUESTION IN MY MIND AT THIS POINT.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THIS IS A COMPLETELY NEW AREA FOR THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TO BE INVOLVED IN AND I THINK THE ANSWER IS THAT WE WOULD PREFER TO LEAVE THIS TO OTHER AGENCIES OF GOVERNMENT THAT ARE INVOLVED IN THIS AND TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

WE WOULD BE MOVING INTO AN AREA THAT IS ALREADY IN A PRIVATE SECTOR AND C.B.C.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER, WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

MR. STUTTER: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DISAGREE VERY, VERY STRONGLY WITH WHAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR HAS JUST SAID BECAUSE INDEED, THE GOVERNMENT IS ALREADY IN THAT AREA.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS DESIGNED A VHF SYSTEM, THROUGH THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT TO LINK ALL POINTS THROUGH THE TERRITORY. I BELIEVE YOU CAN SIT IN A PICKUP HERE ON MAIN STREET AND TALK VHF DOWN HAINES ROAD AND I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION OF GOVERNMENT TO EXPAND THE VHF SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY. THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. IT'S THE SAME THING AND MANY OF THE SITES, FOR INSTANCE, TO THE NORTH TO HAINES JUNCTION, TO THE SOUTH THERE TO JUBILEE MOUNTAIN COULD BE COMPATIBLE WITH THAT SYSTEM IN SO FAR AS SITE LOCATION IS CONCERNED.

IN TERMS OF LICENCING THERE IS NO PROBLEM. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE PROVINCE OF QUEBEC LICENCE THEIR STATIONS. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY ALREADY HAVE LICENCES FOR THEIR OWN SYSTEM. HOWEVER, IF THIS DOES PRESENT A PROBLEM YOU MERELY PUT A LICENCE FOR THE FACILITY WITH THE NAME OF THE COMMUNITY IT SERVES. I REALLY SEE NO PROBLEM. I REALLY THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO GET INTO THIS AREA AND I COMPLIMENT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST IN SUGGESTING, AND I KNOW THIS HAS BEEN A PROJECT UNDER HIS SPONSORSHIP AND HAS BEEN NEAR AND DEAR TO HIS ENDEAVOURS, AND HERE AGAIN WATSON LAKE IS INVOLVED IN THIS THING.

WE WOULD BE MORE THAN PLEASSED TO DEFER THIS FOR

ONE YEAR. THIS CAMPGROUND REST STOP DEVELOPMENT IF WE CAN SEE THE MONEY GO TO SUCH A USEFUL PROGRAM AS PROVIDING TELEVISION SERVICE TO THE FIVE COMMUNITIES IN TERMS OF SOMETHING WHICH IS EDUCATIONAL, INFORMATIVE AND ENTERTAINING. IT'S JUST NOT SOMETHING TO BE LIGHTLY PASSED BY. I THINK THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REALLY SIT DOWN AND TRY AND HELP COUNCIL IN RESOLVING THIS PROBLEM, FIND THE \$100,000 TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WHAT I WAS REFERRING TO WAS RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING ON THE ONE HAND. THE OPERATING OF OUR OWN COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM IS, OF COURSE, QUITE A DIFFERENT MATTER. WHILE WE ARE OPERATING, WE ARE OPERATING MORE THAN ONE SYSTEM IN THE WHOLE OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. FEDERAL AGENCIES ARE ALSO OPERATING A COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM.

WHAT WE ARE ENDEAVOURING TO DO AT THE MOMENT IS TO GET A CO-ORDINATED AND COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM IN THE TERRITORY IN WHICH WE COULD BE INVOLVED AND THE FEDERAL AGENCIES COULD BE INVOLVED AND WHICH WOULD ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DO IN THE AREA OF COMMUNICATIONS BUT I THINK THAT ONE MUST MAKE A DISTINCTION BETWEEN THAT, ON THE ONE HAND, AND RADIO AND TELEVISION BROADCASTING ON THE OTHER.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, REALLY YOU ASKED THE WRONG PERSON THE QUESTION BECAUSE I HAVE HAD SEVEN YEARS OF EXPERIENCE OF PREPARING BRIEFS, RIGHT FROM THE GROUND UP, PRESENTING BRIEFS AND RECEIVING INFORMATION FROM THE CANADIAN RADIO AND TELEVISION COMMISSION. THE COMPANIES THAT I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH HAS YET TO REFUSE ANY OF THE LICENCES THAT THEY HAVE ASKED FROM THE RADIO AND TELEVISION COMMISSION.

I CAN ASSURE YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IF EITHER THE GOVERNMENT APPROACHED THE CRTC FOR THE LICENCING OR THE GOVERNMENT MADE THE MONEY AVAILABLE TO THE COMMUNITIES TO APPROACH CRTC FOR LICENCING, THAT I WOULD BE MORE THAN WILLING TO HELP WITH BOTH THE TECHNICAL AND THE PREPARATION OF A BRIEF TO THE CRTC, PRESENT THE BRIEF TO THE CRTC AND I CAN ALMOST ASSURE YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT KNOWING THE MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION PERSONALLY, AND KNOWING THEIR INTEREST IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS IN THE NORTH, THAT I WOULD BE THE MOST SURPRISED MAN IN THE YUKON IF, IN EITHER DIRECTION, THAT THE BRIEFS WERE REFUSED AND THE LICENCES WERE REFUSED TO BE GRANTED BY THE CRTC WHICH IS THE

ONLY BODY THAT WE HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT IN PROVIDING THE COMMUNICATIONS TO THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MOTION AND THE WHOLE DISCUSSION IS BASED ON THE BRIEF WHICH WAS PREPARED BY TOTAL NORTH COMMUNICATIONS, A WHITEHORSE FIRM. IF YOU LOOK AT THE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BRIEF: "AND IN CONCLUSION THIS TYPE OF SYSTEM CAN BE MADE RELIABLE, SUBJECT TO ADEQUATE PATH EVALUATIONS, CORRECT SITE SELECTION, PROPER INSTALLATION PROCEDURES AND PROVISION FOR REGULAR MAINTENANCE.

OUR RECOMMENDATIONS SHOULD THIS PROPOSAL BE PROCEEDED WITH IS THAT; (A) FIELD WORK BE CARRIED FIRST TO DETERMINE THE PATH AND SITE SUITABILITY TO SEE WHETHER THEY ARE AVAILABLE, AND (B) THAT RESULTS BE FORWARDED TO A CONSULTANT FOR STUDY AND VERIFICATION".

SO, IN OTHER WORDS, THE PEOPLE, AND I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE PERSON WHO HAS PREPARED THIS REPORT, HE IS NOT SAYING THIS IS THE GOSPEL TRUTH, THIS IS HOW YOU CAN HAVE A SYSTEM. AND BEFORE WE ARE JUMPING OFF THE DEEP END AND ARE GETTING PEOPLE EXPECTING THIS TYPE OF SERVICE, WHICH I THINK WOULD BE A TRAGEDY. THESE PEOPLE ARE WAITING FOR TV AND IF THEY THINK THEY CAN GET IT AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN, IT ISN'T FEASIBLE, AND THE CONSULTANTS DON'T VERIFY THE STUDY, THEN WHAT HAPPENS?

I THINK BEFORE YOU DO ANYTHING LIKE THIS, YOU HAVE TO DO WHAT THE STUDY ACTUALLY RECOMMENDS ITSELF. I WAS SPEAKING TO THE PERSON WHO PREPARED THIS STUDY JUST YESTERDAY AND HE SUGGESTS " I CERTAINLY DO FEEL THAT THESE THINGS HAVE TO BE DONE BEFORE ANY FURTHER STEPS ARE TAKEN."

MR. MCKINNON: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, ONCE AGAIN, IF THE GOVERNMENT WILL PROVIDE ENOUGH MONEY FOR THE CONSULTING AND NOT LET THE GOVERNMENT ACCEPT SOME SUGGESTIONS FROM HONOURABLE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THE CONSULTANTS THAT SHOULD BE APPROACHED AND HOW QUICK THEY COULD WORK THAT THIS CONSULTING WORK COULD BE DONE PROBABLY IN A MATTER OF A MONTH RATHER THAN GOING THROUGH THE USUAL GOVERNMENT PROCEDURES IN SELECTING AND GETTING THE WORK DONE FOR THE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND I'M TELLING YOU, DON'T THROW TOO MANY RED HERRINGS IN THIS AREA BECAUSE THIS CONSULTING WORK CAN BE DONE, THE VERIFICATION CAN BE DONE AND THE CONSTRUCTION CAN BE DONE IF THIS GOVERNMENT WANTS TO DO IT. WHETHER THEY WANT TO JUST

THROW IT INTO A HIATUS OF NOTHING BEING ACCOMPLISHED, THIS GOVERNMENT HAS THE CAPABILITY GOD ONLY KNOWS OF DOING THAT TOO WELL ALSO.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST TO KEEP THE RECORDS STRAIGHT IN THIS MATTER, THIS PATH EVALUATION THAT HER LADYSHIP WAS SPEAKING ABOUT IS INDICATED IN THAT FIGURE OF \$100,000 AT \$3,500 AND THAT JUST SUBSTANTIATES HALF THE EVALUATION. THE ADJUSTMENT THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE MADE IF THE EXISTING SITES ARE MADE ARE SO SLIGHT THAT IT'S NOT A MAJOR THING.

THE SECOND IS THAT THE FURTHER RESEARCH WORK, AS INDICATED IN HER REMARKS AS INDICATED IN THE BRIEF, IS NOW BEING DONE AND THE INSTRUCTIONS WENT OUT YESTERDAY TO HAVE THIS WORK DONE BY AN ENGINEER.

SO, I DON'T THINK THIS PRESENTS A PROBLEM AND REALLY ONLY REPRESENTS A SMOKE-SCREEN. FOR THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY WE COULD SERVICE FIVE COMMUNITIES. IF, INDEED, THERE SHOULD BE A PROBLEM, WELL, MAYBE WE CAN GET FOUR IN THIS YEAR AND START THINKING ABOUT THE REGIONS IN THE SECOND YEAR AND IN THE THIRD YEAR SERVICE IT. BUT I REALLY THINK IT BEHOVES THIS GOVERNMENT AND THIS COUNCIL TO SUPPORT GETTING COMMUNICATIONS INTO THAT AREA.

WE ARE BEING OBJECTIVE ENOUGH THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING IN GIVING UP WHAT WE FEEL ARE MEANINGFUL PROGRAMS TO GIVE PRIORITY TO THIS. THE CAMPGROUND REST STOPS DEVELOPMENT, WE WOULD ALLOW IT TO GO ANOTHER YEAR AND DO WITHOUT IN ORDER TO PROVIDE SOMETHING THAT WOULD GIVE YEAR ROUND BENEFIT TO THE POOR GUY WHO HAS TO LIVE IN THE HINTERLAND AND PAY THE TAXES FOR THE

MR. MCKINNON: FOR THE FOOT-SLOGGER.

MR. TAYLOR: THE FOOT-SLOGGER, RIGHT, AND HE IS THE LITTLE GUY THAT DOESN'T LIVE IN A GOVERNMENT HOUSE, DOESN'T RECEIVE A GOVERNMENT SUBSIDY OR ANYTHING. HE WORKS VERY, VERY HARD TO PAY TAXES SO THAT GOVERNMENTS CAN HAVE MONEY TO PUT INTO BUDGETS. NOW WE'RE SAYING, LET'S REGRESS SOME OF THE MONEY TO HIS BENEFIT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PERSON WHO PREPARED THIS BRIEF SAID THERE WOULD BE MONEY MET SO THAT THE PATH VERIFICATION COULD BE MADE AND THE CONSULTANT HE WOULD STRESS VERY STRONGLY THE REPORT WOULD BE SENT TO A CONSULTANT FOR

VERIFICATION.

MR. TAYLOR: IT'S GONE, THAT'S WHAT I SAID. IT'S ALREADY GONE. I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON CAMPGROUND REST STOPS DEVELOPMENT?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HISTORIC SITES DEVELOPMENT, \$30,000. JUST FROM THE CHAIR, WHAT SPECIFIC PROGRAMS ARE TO BE UNDERTAKEN FOR THIS \$30,000 THIS YEAR?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: THE MAIN THRUST OF OUR PROGRAM, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THE AREAS OF THE GOLD RUSH TRAIL PARK WHICH CANNOT BE HANDLED UNDER THE NATIONAL HISTORIC SITES PROGRAM.

THE TWO THAT WE ARE PARTICULARLY CONCERNED WITH AT THE MOMENT ARE FORT SELKIRK AND 40 MILE. NOW, THEY ARE GOING TO BE SINGLED OUT FOR MAJOR ATTENTION THIS COMING SUMMER. WE ARE HOPING TO GET A START ON THEM AND WE ARE HOPING THAT IN THE FOLLOWING FISCAL YEAR, 75/76 WE CAN GET SUFFICIENT FUNDS THAT WE CAN MAKE A MAJOR SPECIAL EFFORT ON THOSE TWO SITES.

NOW, OF COURSE, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF SMALL SIGNING PROGRAMS AND THIS SORT OF THING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST ONE OTHER QUESTION FROM THE CHAIR AFFECTING HISTORIC SITES DEVELOPMENT. SOME YEARS AGO, I CAN'T RECALL JUST WHEN, WHEN THE GOVERNMENT, MORE OR LESS, COMMITTED A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY TO THE PROGRAM OF HISTORIC DEVELOPMENT IN DAWSON CITY IN TERMS OF RESTORATION AND THIS SORT OF THING. IS THIS PROGRAM UNDER WAY OR IS IT FIZZLING, OR JUST WHAT'S GOING ON?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I SHOULD SAY, MR. CHAIRMAN, NATIONAL HISTORIC SITES SUFFERS FROM BEING A RELATIVELY LOW PRIORITY AREA AND THEY ARE ALWAYS HAVING THE PROBLEM OF GETTING MONEY. BUT THE PROGRAM IS UNDER WAY AND IS IN PROGRESS. ONE OF THE UNFORTUNATE THINGS ABOUT THE KIND OF PROGRAM THE AMOUNT IS IT DOESN'T SHOW TOO MUCH EVIDENCE OF ACCOMPLISHMENT IN THE EARLY STAGES BECAUSE THE WAY THEY WORK IT IS TO TRY AND GET VERY METICULOUSLY THE IDENTICAL TYPE OF STRUCTURE. THE RESTORATION IS TO BE IDENTICAL WITH WHAT THE ORIGINAL STRUCTURE WAS.

THEY HAVE SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF MONEY ALREADY UP

TO THIS POINT IN ACQUIRING PROPERTY ALTHOUGH I COULDN'T SAY HOW MUCH. THERE HAS BEEN A GREAT DEAL OF ACQUISITION AND THEY HAVE ALSO STARTED ON A PROGRAM OF RESEARCH TO GET THE NECESSARY INFORMATION TO PROCEED WITH THE RESTORATION,

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THEY UP TO VERY RECENTLY HAD ACQUIRED TWENTY-SEVEN INDIVIDUAL PIECES OF PROPERTY AND THEY HAVE OTHER PIECES ON THEIR LIST AS MONEY BECOMES AVAILABLE. THEY INTEND TO ACQUIRE MORE.

I DO HAVE ONE QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK Mr. ADMINISTRATOR SINCE HE WAS TALKING ABOUT SELKIRK. WHAT ARRANGEMENTS ARE BEING MADE TO PROTECT THE HISTORIC SIGNIFICANCE IN VALUE OF THE SETTLEMENT OF FORT SELKIRK? THERE ARE OTHER SETTLEMENTS ALONG THE RIVER BUT PARTICULARLY SELKIRK. I NOTICE THAT RESOLUTION No. 3, PASSED BY THE TOURIST ADVISORY COUNCIL RECENTLY SAYS "WHEREAS THE INCREASE OF WILDERNESS TRAFFIC AND THE ABSENCE OF QUALIFIED WILDERNESS GUIDES CREATES A SAFETY HAZARD FOR TRAVELLERS AND A THREAT TO YUKON ENVIRONMENT, RESOLVED THAT IN ORDER TO CONTROL WILDERNESS TRAVEL WITHIN THE YUKON, LEGISLATION BE IMPLEMENTED TO ASSURE THAT EACH COMMERCIAL OPERATOR UTILIZING THE YUKON RIVERS, MOUNTAINS, FOREST, LAKES, AND SO ON, BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE EACH VISITING PARTY WITH A CERTIFIED GUIDE, REGISTERED WITH THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT." THAT MOTION CARRIED.

WHAT PROVISIONS ARE BEING MADE TO PROTECT SOME OF THE SETTLEMENTS AND IS THE TOURIST DEPARTMENT DEFINITELY ENCOURAGING THIS TYPE OF TRAVEL ON SOME OF OUR RIVERS?

Mr. MILLER: THERE ARE TWO OR THREE PARTS TO THE ANSWER Mr. CHAIRMAN. AS FAR AS SELKIRK AND 40 MILE ARE CONCERNED WE'RE VERY MUCH CONCERNED ABOUT THE DANGER TO THESE SITES AND IN THE CASE OF SELKIRK, NATIONAL HISTORIC SITES HAVE HAD A SUMMER GUARDIAN RESIDENCE THERE. AND WE HAVE ASKED THEM AND THEY HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY WILL TRY TO ALSO HAVE ONE THIS SUMMER AT 40 MILE. NOW SELKIRK IS MORE EXPOSED THAN 40 MILE BUT WE HOPE THAT BY HAVING A SUMMER RESIDENT AT THESE TWO LOCATIONS THIS WILL GIVE US PROTECTION IN THE INTERIM AND IF THE FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE NEXT YEAR, WE WOULD THEN HOPE THAT WE COULD MOUNT A MAJOR PRESERVATION AND RESTORATION PROGRAM AT THESE TWO SITES.

AS FAR AS THE HAZARD OF TRAVEL, WILDERNESS TRAVEL IS CONCERNED, WE DO NOW HAVE A FORM

LETTER WHICH WE INVITE PEOPLE TO FILL OUT AND LEAVE WITH THE LOCAL R.C.M.P. DETACHMENT. NOW, I DON'T THINK WE WOULD BE PREPARED AT THIS POINT TO GO SO FAR AS TO SAY THAT WE WOULD WANT TO REQUIRE EVERYBODY TRAVELLING IN THE WILDERNESS TO HAVE A GUIDE. I DON'T THINK WE WOULD WANT TO DO THAT. BUT I THINK THAT WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS TO PROVIDE SOME MEANS OF ENABLING THE TRAVELLING PUBLIC TO MAKE KNOWN TO COMPETENT AUTHORITY WHERE THEY ARE GOING, WHEN THEY EXPECT TO BE IN THE WILDERNESS AND WHERE THEY EXPECT TO BE OUT. WE ARE PRESENTLY RE-EXAMINING THIS PRESENT SYSTEM WITH THE R.C.M.P. IN THE HOPE THAT WE CAN GIVE A LITTLE MORE PUBLICITY TO IT AND PERHAPS MAKE SURE THAT MORE PEOPLE ARE AWARE THAT THERE IS THIS FACILITY AVAILABLE.

AS FAR AS TRAVEL ON THE RIVER IS CONCERNED OUR BIGGEST PROBLEM AT THE MOMENT IS ACTUALLY PROVIDING SUITABLE PLACES WHERE PEOPLE CAN CAMP SO THERE ISN'T THE GARBAGE AND WASTE DISPOSAL PROBLEM THAT WE TEND TO ENCOUNTER AND HAVE ENCOUNTERED OVER PREVIOUS YEARS ON THE HIGHWAY. AND AGAIN, WE ARE HOPING THAT WE CAN GET SUFFICIENT FUNDS TO EXPAND OUR CAMPGROUND PROGRAM NEXT YEAR TO ESTABLISH A SYSTEM OF CAMPGROUNDS ALONG THE RIVER.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MAKE ONE FURTHER POINT BEFORE WE MOVE ON AND THAT IS THAT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE TOURIST ADVISORY BOARD WASN'T SUGGESTING THAT EVERY TRAVELLER HAVE A GUIDE. ONLY THE COMMERCIAL PEOPLE THAT ARE IN THE BUSINESS OF TAKING TOURISTS DOWN THE RIVER OR INTO THE WILDERNESS. NO DOUBT SOME OF THESE WILL BE DEVELOPED AND THEY ARE JUST SUGGESTING THAT THESE PEOPLE HAVE GUIDES MUCH IN A SIMILAR MANNER AS YOUR GAME GUIDES NOW OR YOUR GAME OUTFITTERS ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE.

Mr. MILLER: I'M SORRY, Mr. CHAIRMAN. I MISUNDERSTOOD THAT ASPECT OF IT. I THINK WE WOULD BE TAKING A LOOK AT THAT TO SEE JUST FIRST OF ALL WHETHER IT SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED AND WHAT WOULD BE INVOLVED. I MAY SAY I HAVEN'T SEEN THESE RECOMMENDATIONS YET MYSELF.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON HISTORIC SITES?

NEXT ITEM IS MUSEUM CONSTRUCTION CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$25,000.

THE BREAKDOWN IS ON A-29.

OF LAST YEAR'S \$25,000, WHERE DOES THAT GO? HOW WAS THAT DISTRIBUTED?

Mr. MILLER: 73-4 payments were as follows,
 THE KLUANE HISTORICAL SOCIETY - \$5,000
 THE TESLIN HISTORICAL SOCIETY - \$5,000
 McBRIDE MUSEUM - \$12,650
 ANOTHER \$2,500 TO THE KLUANE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
 AT THE END OF MARCH.

I SHOULD EXPLAIN I THINK FOR Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE \$25,000 THAT IS SPENT EACH YEAR IS LESS THAN THE ONGOING COMMITMENTS FOR THIS PROGRAM. ALL WE ARE PAYING OUT IN ANY ONE YEAR IS \$25,000 BUT WE MAKE COMMITMENTS IN ADVANCE IN ANTICIPATION OF THE MONIES BECOMING AVAILABLE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I ASSUME THAT THE POLICY WILL BE THAT AGAIN THAT ALL THE MUSEUMS WILL HAVE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY SO THAT NO ONE APPLICATION IS RECEIVED WITHOUT CONSULTING WITH THE OTHERS.

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S OUR INTENTION Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS TO DEAL WITH EACH APPLICATION UP TO THE LIMITS OF THE FUNDS AVAILABLE EACH YEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON MUSEUM CONSTRUCTION CONTRIBUTIONS?

NEXT ITEM IS PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT \$2,000.

IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON THIS ITEM?

I THINK WE WILL JUST TAKE A BRIEF SMOKE BREAK HERE.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. ARE WE CLEAR ON PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT?

MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS THE WATSON LAKE INFORMATION CENTRE - \$35,000.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF I COULD JUST, AND I CAN GIVE YOU A LITTLE MORE INFORMATION SINCE I ANSWERED YOUR QUESTION IN THE HOUSE. IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE BIDS FOR THIS CAME IN SO HIGH, WE HAVE DECIDED TO DO THIS BY DAY LABOUR RATHER THAN BY CONTRACT AND WE THINK WE CAN DO THAT WITHIN THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT AND WE ALSO THINK THAT WE CAN BE COMPLETED BY ROUGHLY THE FIRST OF JULY. THE HOPE IS OF COURSE THAT WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO SPEND ANY MONEY ON THE OLD BUILDING. THAT WAS THE WHOLE POINT OF THE EXERCISE TO GET THE NEW ONE READY IN TIME.

IT WOULD SAVE THE GOVERNMENT SOME MONEY BECAUSE IT'S REALLY WASTED MONEY BY PUTTING MONEY INTO THE OLD ONE WHEN WE ARE GOING TO BUILD A NEW ONE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ALL THAT IS REQUIRED IN THE OLD ONE IS A WASHROOM, AND THERE IS A PIPE RIGHT BEHIND THE PLACE.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WE OF COURSE AND THE ENGINEERS HAVE LOOKED AT THAT AND WE WOULD LIKE TO AVOID THAT EXPENSE IF WE COULD BECAUSE IT WOULD BE VERY COSTLY TO HOOK IT UP.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON THIS ITEM?

NEXT ITEM IS GAME EQUIPMENT - \$30,000.
 IT IS OUTLINED IN A-52.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS IT POSSIBLE TO AT ONE POINT IN THE BUDGET, HAVE Mr. FITZGERALD AT COMMITTEE? THERE ARE MANY QUESTIONS INVOLVING GAME THAT I HAVE AND I'M SURE THAT OTHER HONOURABLE MEMBERS HAVE AND WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO Mr. ADMINISTRATOR, I THINK THAT THEY WOULD PROBABLY BE MORE FULLY ANSWERED AND BE BETTER PRESENTED TO THE DIRECTOR OF GAME CONCERNING GAME PROGRAMS AND STATISTICS AND CENSUS AND MAYBE EVEN Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE RESIDENT BIOLOGIST, Mr. HOEFFS TO GET AT THE AREA OF PROGRAMS THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT IS PRESENTLY UNDERTAKING. I THINK, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT COMMITTEE HAS ALWAYS AGREED TO HAVING Mr. GAME DIRECTOR APPEAR BEFORE COMMITTEE AND I THINK THAT IT IS ALWAYS ONE OF THE MOST INTERESTING AND PROBABLY USEFULL EXERCISES THAT COMMITTEE DOES Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE DECISION WAS MADE LAST YEAR THAT NO DEPARTMENT HEADS WOULD APPEAR IN FRONT OF COMMITTEE AND I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD MAKE AN EXCEPTION IN THIS CASE. THAT WAS THE DECISION MADE AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS BUDGET DEBATE.

Mr. McKINNON: WELL WITH RESPECT, I THINK THAT IF YOU CHECK BACK IN THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS THAT Mr. FITZGERALD AND Mr. HOEFFS APPEARED BEFORE COMMITTEE AND WE HAD A MOST ENLIGHTENING, EDUCATIONAL AND INTERESTING EXCHANGE AND I CAN'T REMEMBER AT ONE POINT IN THE HISTORY, AS LONG AS I'VE BEEN A MEMBER OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL, WHERE THEY HAVEN'T APPEARED AND WHERE THERE HAVE BEEN ANY PROBLEMS WHATSOEVER, EXCEPT A THOROUGH EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION BETWEEN MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AND BETWEEN Mr. FITZGERALD. AND I SEE NO REASON AND I WOULD

BE REALLY APPAULED IF THIS MOST INTERESTING SESSION IS NOW GOING TO BE CURTAILED BY THE PRESENT ADMINISTRATION.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I could just ask the Member whether he is not prepared to look at this section of the budget without Mr. Fitzgerald. I mean, it seems to me there would be no reason why we couldn't enjoy a session with Mr. Fitzgerald but not necessarily in conjunction with the budget.

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Chairman, I think that it couldn't be Mr. Fitzgerald anyway at this particular time because he is on sick leave.

Mr. McKinnon: Yes just like its in the Game Equipment, certainly there is going to be a new program considering something when you're talking about a rough water boat for \$7,000. A rough water boat for \$7,000! That's an incredible investment as the Honourable Member from Dawson knows. Now certainly there is a very real reason for the purchase of this equipment. I would be most interested in knowing. Perhaps Mr. Administrator has the answer. What is the type of boat that is being considered? What is the size of the boat that is being considered? Why is it necessary? Where is it going to be used? What type of program is it going to be used for? This is the area that I'm concerned with.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, I can answer that one because as the Member has pointed out, I picked it up in the Advisory Committee and this is being kept by the Game Department primarily for rescue work and emergency work where either people are stranded during the hunting season or at any time on the river. There are very few boats of a portable nature that can then be used in almost all weathers, whether to go to the aid of anybody that is stranded or that is having trouble and that's the main thought to purchasing this particular boat. And it is also of course for enforcement of any regulations or violations under the Game Ordinance.

Mr. McKinnon: Well, Mr. Chairman, I would certainly like to know the specifications and the size intended of both because, as I say, \$7,000.00 is a considerable sum to be spending on this type of equipment. Is it considered to be for river use only? I would imagine that it would be most useful on the lakes where small boats get stranded or hunting parties get stranded. I was wondering what statistics are available for what kind of use would have been made of this boat in the last several years on Yukon lakes and rivers, and whether an investment of this type is warranted by the Government of the Yukon Territory in this budget. As I say, these are the general types of questions that I have all the way through the Game vote.

Mr. Finland: Mr. Chairman, the Member from Dawson City has explained the use and we are satisfied that there is a definite need. There is no equipment at the moment that can handle that water available to the Territorial Government. As to the specifications and further details about the boat itself, I would have to - I could get that information.

Mr. McKinnon: And statistics also about the number of times that it would have been used in rescue work during the last several years. I don't know. It doesn't seem to me that there is that high a rate of people out in hunting parties that may have to sit out for several days because of weather. Certainly any of the Yukon residents that I know of have knowledge of the bush and go out on lakes and expect that they are going to have to lay up for several days if the weather breaks. Now I just don't know about expenditure of this type of money where people are going out looking for people who are a day overdue, and we are taking up the time of the Game Department doing rescue work where it is not warranted at all. I think the Honourable Member from Carmacks-Kluane can remember the point in time where Albert Isaac went out hunting and was overdue a few days, and they had the dogs and helicopters and the whole of the Yukon Game Department out looking for old Albert. When they found him he was sitting beside the stream killing his moose and wondering

WHAT ALL THESE CRAZY WHITE MEN WERE DOING WITH ALL THIS DAMN EQUIPMENT COMING OUT LOOKING FOR HIM. THEY WOULDN'T EVEN LET A GUY HUNT IN PEACE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY ANY LONGER. THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING THAT HAPPENS WHEN ALL THIS EQUIPMENT IS AVAILABLE AND TOYS AVAILABLE FOR PEOPLE TO USE. OF COURSE, THIS GOES INTO THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE WHOLE OF THE TOURIST AND INFORMATION WHICH I WOULD LIKE TO GET INTO WHEN WE COME TO THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, THE COMMENT THAT HAS BEEN MADE REQUESTING STATISTICS IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT. I DON'T THINK STATISTICS IN ANY WAY WOULD BE OF ANY HELP TO YOU. AS YOU KNOW, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF LAST SUMMER, I HAVE SPENT TWELVE SUMMERS ON THE RIVER AND IT IS ONLY THE LAST YEAR OR TWO THAT THERE HAS BEEN HARDLY ANY TRAFFIC ON THE RIVER. IN THOSE LAST TWO YEARS I WOULD SAY THAT EACH YEAR IT MULTIPLIES BY THREE OR FOUR TIMES THE AMOUNT IN THE PREVIOUS YEAR. THERE HAVE BEEN OCCASIONS WHERE WE OURSELVES HAVE COME TO THE AID OF PEOPLE WHO ARE STRANDED. I REMEMBER ONE PARTICULAR INSTANCE WHERE WE CAME ACROSS A LARGE RIVER BOAT THAT WAS COMPLETELY UPSIDE DOWN FLOATING DOWN THE MIDDLE OF THE YUKON RIVER. WE RESCUED IT, TIED IT ON TO THE SIDE OF OUR OWN BOAT AND HAD GREAT DIFFICULTY IN TURNING IT RIGHT SIDE UP. IT WAS ABSOLUTELY FULL OF EQUIPMENT. WE COULDN'T FIND ANY BODY; WE HOPED NOT TO FIND ONE BUT A FEW MILES FURTHER UPSTREAM WE DID FIND A FELLOW THAT LOOKED LIKE HE WAS STREAKING. ANYWAY HE WAS STANDING ON THE BARGE DRYING OUT, AND WE BROUGHT HIM OUT TO DANSON TOO. THAT'S NOT AN ISOLATED CASE. IT'S NOT THE ONLY TIME WE HAVE COME TO THE RESCUE OF SOMEBODY ON THE RIVER. I REALLY DON'T THINK THAT STATISTICS WOULD HELP A GREAT DEAL. WE ARE ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO GO ON SORT OF WILDERNESS TREKS. WE ARE SORT OF SELLING THAT AS A PART OF OUR TOURIST PROGRAM. I AGREE WITH YOU. WE ARE NOT GETTING INTO THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE WHOLE TOURIST PROMOTION INDUSTRY BUT NEVERTHELESS, THE USE IS JUST COMING ABOUT NOW. IT'S NOT SO MUCH WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN THE PAST BUT WE ARE EXPECTING TO HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE. WE ARE TRYING TO PREVENT REAL BAD ACCIDENTS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON GAME

EQUIPMENT?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. I'M WONDERING HOW MANY BEAR TRAPS ARE NOW IN EXISTENCE WITH THE GAME DEPARTMENT. EVERYTIME WE COME TO THE GAME EQUIPMENT VOTE WE PURCHASE AT LEAST TWO OR THREE NEW GAME TRAPS. OF COURSE, THEY ARE ESCALATING IN COST PRETTY QUICKLY EVERY YEAR. I SEE THAT WE NOW HAVE TO PAY \$2,000.00 FOR A BEAR TRAP. THERE ARE THREE MORE ADDED TO THE GAME DEPARTMENT. THESE HAVE GOT TO BE GETTING TO BE PRETTY LUXURIOUS BEAR TRAPS WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT \$2,000.00 A PIECE FOR THEM. I THINK IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE \$600.00 THE LAST TIME THERE WAS A VOTE FOR BEAR TRAPS. AS I SAY, WE SEEM TO BE INCREASING THEM AT THE POINT OF A COUPLE, TWO OR THREE EVERY YEAR FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS. HOW MANY TRAPS DO WE HAVE NOW? WHAT IS THEIR UTILIZATION? HOW MANY BEARS ARE WE GETTING INTO THE TRAPS EVERY YEAR AND PUTTING BACK INTO WILDERNESS AREAS? I WOULD BE VERY INTERESTED IN HEARING A REPLY TO THESE QUESTIONS.

MR. FINLAND: WELL AT THE MOMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE THREE. THEY ARE PRETTY CRUDE. THE REAL PROBLEM IS THAT WE ARE GETTING MORE AND MORE INTO HAVING TO DEAL WITH BEARS IN THIS WAY. NOW THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO SHOOT THEM. I DON'T THINK REALLY - - -

MR. MCKINNON: SHOOT THE TOURISTS.

MR. FINLAND: I THINK IF WE ARE IN THE BUSINESS OF PRESERVING THE GAME POPULATION OF THE TERRITORY THAT WE SHOULDN'T SIMPLY SHOOT THE BEAR EVERYTIME HE SHOWS UP AT A GARBAGE DUMP. THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT IS HAPPENING. THE AMOUNT OF HUMAN WASTE IN THE TERRITORY IS INCREASING AND ATTRACTING BEARS. WE ARE FINDING MORE AND MORE THAT GAME GUARDIANS ARE HAVING TO GO OUT WEEKENDS, EVENINGS DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS AND TRAP BEARS AND REMOVE THEM. I THINK THAT IF WE DON'T HAVE THE EQUIPMENT OUR ONLY ALTERNATIVE IN THE EVENT OF COMPLAINTS AND SO ON IS TO SHOOT THEM. WE PREFER NOT TO DO THAT IF WE CAN AVOID IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: THIS WAS ANOTHER POINT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN WE WERE GOING THROUGH THE

PRELIMINARY BUDGET THAT I ALSO QUESTIONED - THIS APPARENT EXCESSIVE COST OF BUILDING THESE TRAPS. THEY ARE LARGE, METAL, LIVE TRAPS, AND I HAD SUGGESTED THAT PERHAPS - FIRST OF ALL I QUESTIONED Mr. HOEFFS AS TO THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING THE WELDING CLASS, THE DAY WELDING CLASS OVER AT THE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL, POSSIBLY BUILDING THESE. HE AGREED THAT THEY ARE RELATIVELY SIMPLE AND IT COULD BE DONE BY THE WELDING CLASS. WE WERE ASSURED THAT THEY WOULD LOOK INTO IT AND IF AT ALL POSSIBLE, THIS IS WHAT THEY WOULD DO IN ORDER TO CUT THIS COST DOWN. AS I SAY THESE ARE FAIRLY LARGE TRAPS AND THEY ARE QUITE HEAVY. THEY ARE FOR LIVE TRAPPING, BUT THEY WERE TO LOOK INTO THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING THEM DONE LOCALLY AT A MUCH LESS COST THAN \$2,000.00 A PIECE.

Mr. FINLAND: WELL I THINK TOO, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE SHOULD ADD THAT THIS IS JUST NOT THE METAL TRAP ITSELF. THIS IS ALSO TO PUT THE WHEELS UNDER IT AS WELL.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON GAME EQUIPMENT? THE NEXT ITEM IS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT, \$1,800.00, A BREAKDOWN ON A 53, WHAT WERE THESE V.H.F. UNITS TO BE USED FOR I WONDER?

Mr. FINLAND: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHO ARE THESE QUESTIONS FOR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: GAME.

Mr. FINLAND: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE REASON FOR THIS IS TO ENABLE THEM TO MAKE USE OF THE NEW V.H.F. SYSTEM. WE HAVE HAD SINGLE SIDEBAND EQUIPMENT, AND THIS IS PART OF THE CONVERSION TO THE V.H.F. SYSTEM. THEY ARE GOING TO USE SOME OF THE SINGLE SIDEBAND EQUIPMENT FOR THE SUMMER DETACHMENT THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE AT KOMAKUK.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: RIGHT NOW WE WILL GO BACK TO THE - OH, LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES, I'M SORRY. WE HAVE LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES EQUIPMENT, \$8,000.00, AS OUTLINED ON A 53. I BELIEVE ALL THE DATA THAT WAS IN OTTAWA HAS NOW BEEN COMPLETELY TRANSFERRED BACK TO ARCHIVES FROM

OTTAWA. IS THIS CORRECT?

Mr. FINLAND: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT WE WILL GO TO O AND M, PAGE 40, ESTABLISHMENT 700, ADMINISTRATION, \$641,500.00.

Mr. MCKINNON: NOW, Mr. CHAIRMAN, HERE'S WHERE I WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME ANSWERS ON THE PHILOSOPHY THAT IS BEHIND TOURIST PROMOTION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. THE WAY I SEE IT I SEE AN ACTUAL EXPENDITURE IN THE ADMINISTRATION FROM \$460,000.00 IN 1972-73 TO \$641,500.00 IN 1974-75 WHICH IS SOME \$180,000.00 INCREASE IN JUST THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM AND INFORMATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY IN THREE YEARS. Mr. CHAIRMAN, FRANKLY WHEN I SEE THE TOURISM AND PROMOTION DEPARTMENT SENDING OUT IN THE NEWSLETTERS THE INFORMATION OF INQUIRIES AND NUMBERS OF PEOPLE, SHOWS THAT THEY HAVE ATTENDED, BROCHURES THAT THEY ARE SENDING OUT, AND TRAVELLERS THAT ARE COMING OUT, AND JUSTIFIABLY PERHAPS PATTING THEMSELVES ON THE BACK BEING VERY PROUD OF WHAT THEY ARE DOING. EVERYTIME I SEE THE STATISTICS I BECOME JUST A LITTLE TERRIFIED. I MEAN IT. I SEE IN THE BREAKDOWN OF CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE THAT 180,000 VEHICLES OR 540,000 PEOPLE USED THE YUKON CAMPGROUNDS LAST YEAR. I SEE IN JUST ABOUT EVERY WILDERNESS AREA IN THE YUKON WHERE ONE COULD GO PRIOR, WHICH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON HAS VERIFIED, WHERE VERY FEW PEOPLE AND VERY FEW SIGNS OF HUMAN HABITATION COULD ONCE BE SEEN. ANY OF THE AREAS THAT ARE NOW APPROACHABLE OR ONE CAN GET TO SHOW SIGNS OF THE DISPOILMENT OF HUMANS HAVING BEEN THERE, KNOWING NOTHING OF HOW THEY SHOULD KEEP AND USE WILDERNESS AREAS. I'VE SAID IT BEFORE, I'LL SAY IT AGAIN, THE YUKON IS BECOMING ONE MASSIVE PIGPEN. THERE IS NO AVAILABILITY FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO POLICE THE 207,000 SQUARE MILES OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. WHAT ARE WE DOING? WHAT IS THE PHILOSOPHY BEHIND THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM AND INFORMATION? THE WHOLE CRITERIA TO WHAT THEIR SUCCESS SEEMS TO BE IS THE NUMBER OF BROCHURES THEY CAN MAIL OUT, AND THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT THEY CAN GET INTO THE YUKON TERRITORY. WHAT ARE THEY USING? THEY ARE USING THE THEME OF THE GREAT, UNCLUTTERED, BEAUTIFUL WILDERNESS OF THE YUKON. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO READ SEVERAL

PARAGRAPHS FROM A PAPER THAT ALL MEMBERS HAVE BEEN GIVEN BY JOHN LAMMERS. I'M GOING TO SAY THAT MR. LAMMERS AND I HAVE HAD OUR DISAGREEMENTS OVER THE YEARS IN THIS AREA. I AGREE WITH HIM COMPLETELY - 100%. "THE YUKON IS ATTRACTING A RAPIDLY INCREASING NUMBER OF VISITORS EVERY YEAR. IT SEEMS TO BE ABUNDANTLY CLEAR. NOT AS CLEAR AS WHETHER THIS GROWTH SHOULD BE ATTRIBUTED MOSTLY TO THE HEROIC EFFORTS OF THE YUKON DEPARTMENT OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION WHICH SEEMS TO USE EVERY KNOWN DEVICE TO LURE ANY TYPE OF TOURIST UP HERE OR THE NATURAL CAUSES OF POPULATION PRESSURE ELSEWHERE AND WORD OF MOUTH RECOMMENDATIONS. IT'S CERTAIN THAT THE YUKON HAS VERY MUCH TO OFFER. THAT WHAT IT OFFERS MOST IS NATURAL BEAUTY INHERENT IN A GREAT VARIETY OF STILL MOSTLY UNCLUTTERED, AND UNSPOILED LANDSCAPES, A PRICELESS AND ALMOST UNIQUE ASSET IN A DETERIORATING WORLD. WE ARE ALL AWARE OF THIS ASSET, AND THINKING A LITTLE FURTHER KNOW THAT WE SHOULD PROTECT IT, IF NOT FOR THE DEEPER REASONS OF REVERENCE FOR NATURE AND LOVE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS TO WHOM WE WISH TO PASS IT ON UNIMPAIRED, THEN FOR THE MERCINARY ONE OF KEEPING IT IN GOOD ORDER FOR THE PRODUCTION OF MONEY FROM TOURISTS WHO COME TO SEE IT AND EXPERIENCE IT. WHAT ARE WE REALLY DOING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE HOARDS OF VISITORS WHOM WE ARE SO INDISCRIMINATELY INVITING TO COME DO NOT, LIKE SO MANY LOCUSTS TO SPOIL WHAT THEY FIND STEADILY ERODING THE QUALITY OF THE YUKON RECREATION EXPERIENCE FOR VISITORS AND RESIDENTS ALIKE? WHAT ARE WE REALLY DOING TO CAREFULLY ACHIEVE A BALANCE BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF VISITORS, THE SPECIFIC ACTIVITIES AND THE AMOUNT OF USE AND PRESSURE OUR LAKES AND RIVERS CAN TAKE WITHOUT IRREPARABLE HARM?"

MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. LAMMERS GOES ON TO SHOW IN PRETTY CERTAIN TERMS THAT WE ARE REALLY DOING NOTHING. MR. CHAIRMAN, WE ARE DOING NOTHING BUT ATTEMPTING TO ATTRACT AS MANY VISITORS AS WE POSSIBLY CAN TO THE YUKON TERRITORY AS THAT IS OUR BE ALL AND END ALL FOR EXISTENCE IN THE TRAVEL AND PROMOTION OFFICE. WHEN I SEE ALMOST \$100,000.00 A YEAR INCREASE IN JUST THE ADMINISTRATION SERVICE OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION, AND I KNOW THAT WHAT IS HAPPENING IS A MUCH BETTER SYSTEM OF MAILING OUT BROCHURES, PREPARING ADVERTISING AND PUTTING IT IN MAGAZINES TO LURE MORE PEOPLE HERE. ONCE THEY ARE HERE NOBODY GIVES A DAMN AS TO WHAT THEY ARE DOING TO THE YUKON TERRITORY. MR. CHAIRMAN,

IF SOMEBODY PRETTY SOON DOES NOT COME OUT WITH A LONG-RANGE PROGRAM OF WHAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO DO IN THE YUKON TERRITORY IN THE FIELD OF TOURIST PROMOTION, I GUARANTEE YOU THAT WITHIN A DECADE WE WILL HAVE KILLED THE GOOSE THAT LAYED THE GOLDEN EGG. WE WILL NO LONGER HAVE THE ONLY UNIQUE ATTRACTION IN NORTH AMERICA THAT WE CAN SELL TO THE TOURIST.

WE WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE, THOSE OF US WHO LIVE HERE AND LOVE THIS COUNTRY, TO GO OUT TO AREAS OF THE YUKON AND FIND THEM UNSPOILED ANY LONGER. THE REASON AND THE BE ALL AND THE END ALL FOR MOST OF THE PEOPLE BEING HERE WILL NO LONGER BE HERE. MR. CHAIRMAN, I CANNOT STRONGLY ENOUGH URGE THE PEOPLE IN CHARGE OF THIS DEPARTMENT TO LOOSE THE THINKING IMMEDIATELY THAT WHAT THEY ARE IN EXISTENCE FOR IS TO ATTRACT MORE, MORE AND MORE TOURISTS. WHEN THEY BECOME ANOTHER DISNEYLAND THERE IS NO WAY THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ATTRACT ANYBODY TO THE YUKON ANY LONGER. I CANNOT URGE TOO STRONGLY THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THIS DEPARTMENT, AND THOSE MEMBERS IN CHARGE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AT THIS TIME, TAKE A LONG HARD LOOK AT THE LONG-RANGE PROGRAM AND THE PHILOSOPHY OF WHAT THEY ARE DOING TO THE YUKON TODAY. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: I AGREE WITH QUITE A FEW OF THE REMARKS THAT WERE JUST MADE BY THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE VEST. AT THE SAME TIME I THINK THAT ONE HAS TO FACE THE FACTS OF LIFE. THAT IS WE ARE NOT ABOUT TO, AND I DON'T THINK IT EVEN DESIRABLE TO TRY TO PASS LEGISLATION TO PREVENT TOURISTS FROM COMING INTO THE TERRITORY. IT HAS GOTTEN TO THE POINT NOW WHERE EVEN THE RESIDENTS OF THE TERRITORY ARE SPENDING MORE AND MORE TIME IN THE OUTER AREAS. I DON'T BLAME THEM ONE BIT.

I ENJOY BEING IN THE OUTER AREAS TOO. THE RESIDENTS ARE ALMOST AS GUILTY IN MANY INSTANCES OF THE FILTH THAT'S AROUND OUR HIGHWAYS. THE NUMBER OF BEER CASES AND BOTTLES THAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT THE OTHER DAY ARE MORE AN INDICATION OF WHAT THE RESIDENTS ARE DOING THAN WHAT THE TOURISTS ARE DOING. IN ANY EVENT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO POLICE THESE AREAS. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME SORT OF AN OVERALL PLAN TO SEE THAT THE WILDERNESS AREAS IN THE

TERRITORY ARE PROTECTED AND NOT JUST FROM THE TOURISTS. WE HAVE GOT TO PROTECT THEM FOR OUR OWN USE. YOU KNOW FULL WELL, THE MEMBERS KNOW FULL WELL, THAT WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THIS TYPE OF PROTECTION WE ARE TALKING ABOUT FANTASTIC EXPENDITURES OF MONEY.

TOURISM AT THE MOMENT IS THE SECOND MOST IMPORTANT INDUSTRY IN THE TERRITORY. WITHOUT THAT INDUSTRY THE TAXATION ON THE RESIDENTS OF THE TERRITORY FOR NECESSARY SERVICES THAT ARE NOW PROVIDED WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY ASTRONOMICAL. IT'S HIGH ENOUGH NOW. THE REVENUE FROM TOURISTS EITHER DIRECTLY AND INDIRECTLY, AND THE DEPENDENCE OF THE BUSINESS MEN IN THE TERRITORY ON THE TOURISTS COMING IN MAKES ONE GREAT BIG DIFFERENCE TO THE TAXATION THAT HAS TO BE PLACED ON THE NORMAL RESIDENT OF THE TERRITORY. THESE ARE THE FACTS OF LIFE.

Mr. Stutter: THESE ARE FACTS WE HAVE TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION. WE CANNOT TURN AROUND AND SAY, WE CLOSE OUR DOORS TO THE TOURISTS COMPLETELY BECAUSE YOU ARE ALSO GOING TO HAVE TO STOP YOUR OWN RESIDENTS FROM GETTING OUT INTO THE BUSH AS WELL. THAT WE DON'T WANT TO DO.

Mr. McKinnon: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T WANT TO BE MISUNDERSTOOD. I HAVE WRITTEN IN THE LOGS ALL ALONG THE YUKON RIVER OF HOW I'VE FOUND WHERE YUKON RESIDENTS, I KNEW, HAD CREATED A HELL OF A BIGGER MESS THAN MOST OF THE TOURISTS THAT ARE USING THE WILDERNESS AREAS AND USING THE RIVERS OF THE YUKON. CERTAINLY, THERE HAS TO BE AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM AS FAR AS THE YUKON USER IS CONCERNED.

ALL I'M ASKING FOR AND I KNOW IT IS INEVITABLE OF WHAT IS HAPPENING. I'M ASKING FOR AN OUTLINE OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM AND INFORMATION FOR THE NEXT TEN YEARS. I KNOW THAT TOURISTS WANT TO GO TO DAWSON CITY. I KNOW THAT THERE IS A MAGNET AN ATTRACTION THAT IS GOING TO BRING THEM IN EVER-INCREASING NUMBERS TO DAWSON CITY. I WANT THE DEPARTMENT OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION TO OUTLINE WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO TAKE CARE AND MAKE SURE THAT DAWSON CITY IS CAPABLE OF KEEPING THE NUMBER OF TOURISTS AND POLICING THE NUMBER OF TOURISTS THAT ARE GOING TO DAWSON CITY IN THE NEXT DECADE OR THE NEXT TWENTY YEARS.

WHAT IS GOING TO BE DONE ALONG THE HIGHWAYS OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WHEN THIS NUMBER OF VISITORS

GO OUT. THEN THERE HAS TO BE ANOTHER COMPLETE SET OF GUIDELINES OF PHILOSOPHY, THE YUKON VISITORS ASSOCIATION IS STARTING TO COME OUT WITH IT NOW, AS TO WHAT THE POLICY IS GOING TO BE ON WILDERNESS AREAS AND WILDERNESS USE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

WE CAN NO LONGER ACCEPT THE APPROACH THAT IT IS THE DIVINE RIGHT OF ANYBODY AND EVERYBODY WITHOUT CHECKING THEIR EQUIPMENT, WITHOUT ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THE WILDERNESS TO USE THE WILDERNESS AREAS OF THE YUKON WITH ABSOLUTELY NO PROTECTION BEING AFFORDED. THE WILDERNESS OF THE YUKON, THESE ARE THE HARD FACTS OF LIFE.

I'M SAYING, IS ANYTHING BEING DONE ABOUT IT, I SAY, NOTHING IS BEING DONE ABOUT IT EXCEPT ATTEMPTING TO ATTRACT MORE TOURISTS TO THE YUKON TERRITORY. THESE ARE THE VERY THINGS WHICH HAVE TO BE OUTLINED, WHICH HAVE TO BE GEARED TO BY THE DEPARTMENT OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION AND IF IT DOESN'T MR. CHAIRMAN, IS WHAT I'M SAYING, THEN THE YUKON IS NOT GOING TO HAVE THE VERY THINGS THAT THEY DO HAVE TO ATTRACT VISITORS TO THE YUKON TERRITORY.

I KNOW THAT THE TOURISTS ARE COMING, I KNOW THAT I WOULD LIKE TO THINK THAT THEY ARE GOING TO BE WELCOME IN THE YUKON TERRITORY BUT I WANT TO SEE THE DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM AND INFORMATION OUTLINE WHAT THE RESULTS AND WHAT THEIR POLICIES ARE GOING TO BE TO ACCEPT THESE VISITORS IN THE TWO DIFFERENT AREAS. ONE, THAT ARE GOING TO STAY ON THE MAIN PATH AND HIGHWAYS OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, THESE OTHERS WHO ARE GOING TO USE THE WILDERNESS OF THE AREA OF THE YUKON IN EVER INCREASING NUMBERS.

YOU CAN'T SHUT DOWN THE HIGHWAYS, YOU CAN'T ACCEPT THE VISITORS, BUT FOR GOODNESS SALES, LET'S LET THEM KNOW WHAT WE EXPECT FROM THEM. LET'S KNOW WHAT THE GOVERNMENT AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION, PARTICULARLY IS PREPARED TO DO TO MAKE SURE THAT THE ONE THING THAT WE'VE GOT THAT'S UNIQUE IN ALL OF NORTH AMERICA DOES NOT VANISH AND WE CAN'T ATTRACT VISITORS ANY LONGER.

THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING FOR. THAT'S WHAT I DON'T SEE BEING DONE AND I DON'T THINK ANY MEMBER OF GOVERNMENT CAN GIVE ME THAT LONG RANGE OUTLINE. ALL I KNOW IS THAT THERE IS ONE HELL OF A LOT MORE STAMPS BEING LICKED, A HELL OF A LOT MORE BROCHURES GOING OUT AND A HELL OF A LOT MORE PEOPLE COMING UP. THAT IS ALL THAT IS HAPPENING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: PLEASE COUNCILLOR, ORDER COUNCILLOR. COULD YOU KINDLY KEEP YOUR LANGUAGE A LITTLE MORE PARLIAMENTARY.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONCE AGAIN I HAVE TO RISE AND YOU KNOW I AGREE WITH 90% OF WHAT THE MEMBER HAS JUST SAID. I HOPE HE HASN'T INFERRED FROM THE REMARKS THAT I MADE THAT I'M OF THE OPINION THAT WE SHOULD JUST ALLOW TOURISTS TO COME IN AND RUN WILLY NILLY ALL OVER THE BUSH. I HAVE NEVER SUGGESTED THAT. IN FACT I WAS TALKING ABOUT CONTROL. MY QUESTION TO THE ADMINISTRATOR A WHILE AGO WAS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE PROTECT PLACES IN THESE OUTER AREAS. BUT ALL OF THESE THINGS COST MONEY. MY POINT AGAIN IS THAT THEY HAVE TO BE DONE. THEY HAVE TO BE DONE IN ANY EVENT EVEN FOR THE LOCAL RESIDENTS. EVERY TIME WE TALK ABOUT INSPECTORS AND OTHER PEOPLE THAT ARE ENFORCING OR SUPPOSED TO ENFORCE THE POLICIES AND LEGISLATION THAT WE ARE PUTTING FORWARD, THERE'S ONE HECK OF A HUMAN CRY AROUND THIS TABLE SAYING - "LEAVE US ALONE, WE DON'T WANT ANY OF THIS POLICING, WE DON'T WANT ANY INSPECTION". NOW WE ARE BOTH AGREEING THAT WE DO WANT INSPECTION IN THIS ONE PARTICULAR AREA AND WE WANT A CONCENTRATION OF CONTROL PUT ON THESE PEOPLE. WE DON'T WANT THEM TO RUN WILLY-NILLY ALL OVER THE TERRITORY.

MR. MCKINNON: SO I'M INTERESTED IN THE FIELD OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION AND THE FIELD OF WILDERNESS CONTROL AND IN THE FIELD OF GAME. IF YOU WILL CHECK MY RECORD OVER THE YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN HERE IT'S BEEN CONSISTENT ALL THE WAY ALONG, FROM DAY ONE, THAT THESE ARE AREAS WHERE MONEY HAS TO BE SPENT TO CONTROL WHAT WE PRESENTLY HAVE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. I NEVER STRAYED FROM THAT FOR A MOMENT. AND I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHETHER MR. ADMINISTRATOR CAN TELL US WHETHER ANY OF THESE REMARKS HIT HOME. WHETHER THERE IS ANY PHILOSOPHICAL DISERTATION OF WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE FIELD OF TRAVEL AND INFORMATION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AND WHETHER THE THINGS WHICH HE MUST KNOW ARE VERY NEAR AND DEAR TO YUKONERS' HEARTS AND TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THIS TERRITORY ARE GOING TO BE PUT INTO PRACTICE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK I SHARE THE FEELING ENTIRELY OF THE MEMBER WHO HAS SPOKEN. BUT I THINK THAT WE ALSO HAVE TO BE QUITE CLEAR ABOUT WHAT WE ARE ACTUALLY DEALING WITH. WE ARE DEALING WITH FIRST OF ALL THE PROMOTION OF THE TRAVEL INDUSTRY WHICH IS THE PROVISION OF SERVICES TO THE TRAVELLING PUBLIC.

NOW ONE OF OUR MAJOR THRUSTS IN THIS AREA IS TO PROMOTE AND DEVELOP THE TRAVEL INDUSTRY AS SUCH AND MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO MAXIMUM AVAILABLE, TO DEVELOP FACILITIES, ACCOMMODATIONS PARTICULARLY AND TO PROVIDE COURSES THAT WILL ENABLE PEOPLE TO OBTAIN EMPLOYMENT AND PROVIDE AN ATTRACTIVE FACILITY. WE ARE ALSO OF COURSE, AND YOU'RE QUITE RIGHT IN THIS, ENCOURAGING PEOPLE TO COME AND VISIT THE YUKON. WE THINK WE SHOULD,

THEY LEAVE A SIZEABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY IN THE COMMUNITY. THE OTHER PART OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO TRY AND ANTICIPATE THE FUTURE AS YOU HAVE INDICATED AND TO DEVELOP IN SUCH A WAY THAT WE CAN ACCOMMODATE THEM IN A REASONABLE MANNER. AND IN THAT REGARD, WE ARE PRESENTLY NEGOTIATING WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR PARTICIPATION IN A FEDERAL - PROVINCIAL PROGRAM CALLED TIDP WHICH IS TOURIST INDUSTRY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. AND UNDER THAT PROGRAM, THE FIRST STEP IS TO DEVELOP AN OVERVIEW TO SEE WHAT THE TRAVEL PATTERNS ARE, TO TRY AND GET A DRAFT OF HOW THE FLOW IS, WHAT EXISTING FACILITIES THERE ARE AND FROM THAT MOVE ON TO THE SECOND STAGE WHICH IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM. AND THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE EMBARKED UPON RIGHT NOW, IS SOME KIND OF CAREFULLY DEVELOPED LONG RANGE PROGRAM WHERE WE CAN SEE WHERE WE ARE GOING, WHERE THE INDUSTRY CAN SEE WHERE ITS GOING, WHERE THE INVESTMENT SHOULD BE, WHAT THE TRAVEL PATTERNS ARE LIKELY TO BE IN THE FUTURE AND HOW THE WHOLE BUSINESS WILL BE EFFECTED.

NOW THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS COIN IS THE PROTECTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT. AND OUR SHARE OF THAT IS THE INVOLVMENT THAT WE HAVE THROUGH THE GAME BRANCH. AND I THINK IF YOU HAVE LOOKED AT THE PAPERS THAT HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED ABOUT THE RESULTS OF THE FIRST YEAR GAME CENSUS AND THE DISCUSSIONS THAT ARE CURRENTLY GOING ON WITH THE FISH AND GAME SOCIETY ABOUT GAME MANAGEMENT ZONES, WE ARE NOW REACHING THE POINT WHERE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO FACE UP TO THE FACT THAT THERE ARE SOME AREAS IN THE TERRITORY WHERE THE GAME POPULATION HAS BEEN DEPLETED AND WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO START EXERCISING SOME CONTROL. AND THEY SAY THAT THE OTHER FURTHER PART OF THAT ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL OF COURSE IS IN THE HANDS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THEY HAVE ALSO MADE CONSIDERABLE FORWARD STEPS IN RECENT YEARS, LAND MANAGEMENT ZONES AND THESE ZONES WE EXPECT WILL EVENTUALLY BE EXTENDED TO COVER THE ENTIRE TERRITORY. YOU CAN'T DO THIS WITHOUT CONTROL AND THERE WILL INEVITABLY BE CONTROL. THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT IT.

BUT THE TWO AREAS THAT ARE UNDER OUR CONTROL, WE FEEL ARE ON THE ONE HAND DEVELOPMENT AND PROMOTION FOR THE INDUSTRY AND THE FINANCIAL BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY AND ON THE OTHER, THE PRESERVATION, PROTECTION AND CONSERVATION OF THE ONE RESOURCE THAT IS UNDER OUR CONTROL WHICH IS GAME.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON ADMINISTRATION \$641,500?

THE NEXT ITEM IS CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE - \$184,000.

I BELIEVE THERE IS A BREAKDOWN OF THIS ON A-30.

Mr. McKINNON: ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY GRAND FOR T.V. Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT IS INTERESTING AGAIN TO REFER TO ONE OF THE RESOLUTIONS OF THE TOURIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THEY WANTED TO TAKE THE MONEY, THE FIRST \$50,000 FROM THE REST-STOP AND PUT IT INTO CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE EXPANSION.

Mr. McKINNON: HOW MANY ARE THERE FROM THE NORTH HIGHWAY?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WAS ANY THOUGHT GIVEN TO WITHDRAWING FOR PEOPLE OF TERRITORIAL RESIDENCY, THE NECESSITY FOR A CAMPGROUND FEE IN PREPARATION OF THIS BUDGET?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: AS A MATTER OF FACT, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS CONTINUOUSLY UNDER REVIEW, I HAD THOUGHT THAT THERE MIGHT BE A NUMBER OF VARIATIONS THAT WE COULD INTRODUCE IN THE CAMPGROUND FEE. BUT THIS IS A VERY COMPLICATED AREA. YOU GET INTO THINGS LIKE PEOPLE WHO STOP ONLY ONCE ON THEIR WAY THROUGH WHO FEEL THAT THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE TO PAY THE SAME AS SOMEBODY WHO HAS A SEASONS PASS. WHAT WE ARE REALLY FACED WITH IS THAT IF WE DEVELOP OR REFINE THE CAMPGROUND FEE ANY FURTHER THAN IT IS AT THE PRESENT WE ARE INTO A MAJOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROBLEM. WE HAVE TO GET INTO THE BUSINESS OF ENFORCEMENT AND ALREADY WE ARE RELYING ON OUR EXISTING CAMPGROUND STAFF WHICH ARE MAINLY MAINTENANCE PEOPLE TO COLLECT THE FEES AND OCCASIONALLY TAKE. AND WE KNOW THAT WE ARE MISSING PEOPLE BUT I THINK WE REALLY HAVE TO BE QUITE SURE ABOUT WHAT THIS WOULD INVOLVE BEFORE WE GET INTO IT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WELL I'M SPEAKING SIMPLY OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WHO ARE PAYING HERE THROUGH THEIR BUDGET TO MAINTAIN THE CAMPGROUNDS AND

STILL BEING ASKED AGAIN TO PAY A FEE TO ENJOY THE BENEFITS OF THEM.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, AGAIN I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT WE AS THE COUNCIL, WE FORM THESE BOARDS AND COMMITTEES FROM TIME TO TIME WHICH AFTER, YOU KNOW, THEY'VE REALLY BECOME A COMMITTEE OF THIS COUNCIL. AND THAT'S WHAT HAS HAPPENED WITH THE TOURIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE. IT'S FORMED UP AS YOU ALL KNOW, OF ONE MEMBER APPOINTED BY EACH OF US AND THAT'S THE WAY THAT COMMITTEE IS MADE UP. AND ONE OF THEIR STRONGEST RECOMMENDATIONS LAST YEAR WAS THAT EVEN YUKON RESIDENTS SHOULD BE CHARGED AS WELL. NOW WHAT DOES ONE DO? IGNORE THE RECOMMENDATIONS ALSO OF THIS BOARD?

Mr. McKINNON: I THINK Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE FACT THAT'S WHAT HAPPENING SHOULD BE MADE AWARE TO THE MEMBERS ON THAT COMMITTEE ALSO, BECAUSE IT COST \$184,000 FOR CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE LAST YEAR, OR THIS YEAR. THE ESTIMATED REVENUE FROM THE COLLECTION OF THE FEES IS \$26,526. WHEN THIS FEE WENT ON IT WAS GOING TO GO A HECK OF A LONG WAYS TOWARDS PAYING THE COST OF THE MAINTENANCE OF THESE CAMPGROUNDS AND IT'S SO MINISCULE, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT I'M POSITIVE THAT IF A PROPER DELINEATION OF THE TIME SPENT BY ALL THE PEOPLE IN THE CAMPGROUNDS GOING AROUND AND THE ACTUAL TIME SPENT IN SELLING THE STICKERS, THAT IT WOULD BE OVER THE REVENUE RECEIVED OF \$26,526. SO WHAT YOU'VE ACTUALLY DONE Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS JUST PROVIDED A FEE WHERE YOU DON'T EVEN COLLECT AND THAT'S THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATION TO PAY FOR THE REVENUE OF IT WHICH IS EXACTLY THE POSITION WHICH WAS STATED BY MYSELF AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE, WOULD HAPPEN AND ALL YOU'VE DONE IS GOT THE GUY WHO USES THE TERRITORIAL CAMPGROUNDS WHO HAPPENS TO BE A MEMBER OF THE YUKON PUBLIC JUST A LITTLE BIT HOTTER AT THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT FOR ANOTHER UNWARRANTED FEE UPON HIS POCKET BOOK. TAKE THOSE PEOPLE WHO USED TO USE THE CAMPGROUNDS UP INTO THE WILDERNESS AREAS AND A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO USED TO USE THE CAMPGROUNDS FOR THE FACILITIES BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE OF GOING OFF ON THEIR OWN, ARE NOW GOING OFF ON THEIR OWN IN THE BUSH AND THESE ARE THE LAST PEOPLE THAT YOU WILL WANT THERE WITHOUT ANY SORT OF CONTROL. SO YOU TALK ABOUT A USELESS, UNWARRANTED, UNADMINISTRABLE TYPE OF FEE WHICH HAS DONE NOTHING BUT CAUSE HARD FEELINGS WITH THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. YOU'VE GOT IT ALL OUTLINED ON A-30 OF YOUR BUDGET.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HOW MUCH MONEY WAS COLLECTED LAST YEAR IN CAMPGROUND FEES?

MR. MILLER: \$26,000.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT A LOT OF THAT REVENUE OF THAT \$26,000 WAS COLLECTED RIGHT AT THE INFORMATION BOOTH ON A VOLUNTARY BASIS WITHOUT ANYBODY HAVING TO GO OUT ON A NIGHTLY BASIS. ONCE AGAIN I AGREE WITH SOME OF THE POINTS THAT YOU'VE MADE. THAT THE ACTUAL ADMINISTRATION OF THAT PORTION OF THIS BUDGET PERHAPS LEAVES A LOT TO BE DESIRED. IT WAS LATE IN BEING IMPLEMENTED LAST YEAR FOR ONE THING AND THE METHOD OF COLLECTION LEFT A LOT TO BE DESIRED. I STILL DON'T THINK IT'S TRUE TO SAY THAT IT COSTS \$26,000 TO GAIN \$26,000 AND EVEN THOUGH \$26,000 MAY BE A PALTRY AMOUNT IT WOULD PUT THREE OF YOUR STATIONS INTO SERVICE IN YOUR T.V.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I SHOULD SAY THERE HAS BEEN NO ADDITIONAL MONEY PROVIDED IN THE BUDGET FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF FEES.

MR. MCKINNON: AND THEN BE ACCEPTED IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WANTS TO TRANSFER THE COLLECTION FROM THE OPERATION FROM ISSUING THE FEES, I MIGHT BE PREPARED TO GO ALONG WITH IT THIS YEAR BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO PROVIDE SOMETHING FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY BECAUSE WHEN YOU PUT ON THE FEE ALL YOU DID WAS TAKE SOME MORE BUCKS OUT OF HIS POCKET. SO IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WANTS TO MAKE A MOTION TO THAT EFFECT, I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SECOND IT AND SPEAK TO IT AND TO VOTE ALONG WITH HIM IN IT, EVEN THOUGH I STILL DON'T AGREE WITH THE FEE. I THINK THAT THE PRIME CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE MORE SERVICES FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON.
NOW WE'VE GOT \$75,000 - \$25,000 TO GO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS, UNTIL 2:00 O'CLOCK.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. THE FIRST ITEM IS GAME BRANCH ON PAGE 41 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$384,600.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF WE BROUGHT TOURISM AND INFORMATION, AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT AN INCREASE OF \$164,300; THERE IS NO WAY THAT IT IS JUSTIFIED IN MY MIND. THIS INCREASE IS NECESSARY, THAT ARGUMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE, THAT THEY HAVE OUTLINED THE TYPE OF PROGRAM FOR THE YUKON TERRITORY, THAT I AND I THINK OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO SEE OUTLINED AND I JUST DON'T AGREE WITH THE EXPENDITURE OF THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY AND THE WAY IT HAS JUST SKYROCKETED IN THIS DEPARTMENT IN THE LAST FEW YEARS WITHOUT FURTHER EXPLANATION OF WHERE WE ARE GOING AND WHERE WE ARE HEADING IN THIS DIRECTION. THERE IS NO POSSIBLE WAY THAT I CAN SUPPORT THIS SUM IN THE BUDGET WHEN IT COMES TO THE VOTE BECAUSE I HAVE JUST RECEIVED NO ANSWERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE TRAVEL AND PUBLICITY SIDE? THE NEXT ITEM THEN IS GAME BRANCH - \$384,600.

COUNCILLOR STUTTER WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR PLEASE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN I AM APPALLED, IN TERMS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE GAME BRANCH. I WORKED PRETTY HARD, THIS WAS ONE OF MY PET PROJECTS FOR MANY MANY YEARS; WAS TO BUILD A GAME DEPARTMENT IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT WAS JUST IN RECENT YEARS IT GOT OF THE GROUND. BUT WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO IT? I SPOKE THE OTHER DAY OF MASSIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN THE TERRITORY, THIS IS WHAT WE NOW HAVE DISGUISED AS A GAME BRANCH.

EXPERIENCE HAS SHOWN, AT LEAST IN MY AREA, THAT THE GAME WARDENS OR GAME GAUDIANS ARE NOT GAME GUARDIANS IN THE TRUE SENSE OF THE WORD. THEY ARE NOT OUT HELPING THE TRAPPER, MINGLING IN THE COMMUNITY, WORKING IN THE COMMUNITY INTEREST, WORKING IN AREAS OF CONSERVATION AND THIS TYPE OF THING. THEY ARE PURELY AND SIMPLY POLICEMEN. THEY ARE RUNNING AROUND WITH SEARCH WARRANTS, INVADING PEOPLE'S PRIVACY, ROARING THROUGH PEOPLE'S DEEP FREEZE, PUTTING WELFARE RECIPIENTS ON CHARGE BECAUSE THEY MANAGED TO ACQUIRE A PIECE OF MOOSE MEAT FROM SOMEBODY. AND

THIS TYPE OF THING.

THIS IS TERRIBLE. THESE PEOPLE SHOULD BE PUT DOWN WITH THE POLICE FORCE, DOWN THE STREET, AND THEN GO OUT AND HIRE A GAME WARDEN. WHEN WE STARTED, AND AS I SAY I'VE WORKED MANY HARD YEARS TO BUILD A GAME DEPARTMENT. NOW I AM NOT PROUD ONE WIT OF WHAT WE HAVE.

I SEE IN THE LAST AD FOR GAME GUARDIANS IT SAYS THAT EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OR ACTIVITIES PROVIDING FAMILIARITY WITH HUNTING AND TRAPPING IN THE YUKON ARE AN EQUIVALENT COMBINATION OF EXPERIENCE AND TRAINING REQUIRED. EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT IS THE VERY FIRST THING THAT IS REQUIRED IN A GAME WARDEN FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THIS SHOULD BE A SECONDARY THING. THIS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AS A HOME FOR RETIRED MEMBERS OF THE FORCE EITHER.

I THINK LAW ENFORCEMENT IS NOT THE IMPORTANT FUNCTION OF A GAME GUARDIAN. IT IS A PARTIAL FUNCTION TO UPHOLD, TO ENFORCE THE LAW BUT THAT IS NOT HIS PREDOMINANT JOB. HIS PREDOMINANT JOB IS TO WORK IN THE GAME MANAGEMENT, WORK WITH THE PEOPLE, THE TRAPPERS. DON'T GO TO A TRAPPERS CABIN WITH THE IDEA THAT YOU ARE GOING TO PINCH HIM FOR WHATEVER YOU CAN FIND TO PINCH HIM FOR. BECAUSE HE HAS AN EXTRA MUSKRAT OR TWO OR MAYBE TRAPPING A BEAVER A DAY LATE OVER SEASON.

THESE PEOPLE LIVE A PRETTY HARD LIFE. HIS JOB IS TO LOOK INTO THE WELFARE OF THE GENTLEMAN, SEE HOW HE CAN HELP HIM, THIS TYPE OF THING. I SAY THIS IS A REAL PROBLEM. I SAY THAT SOMETHING HAS TO BE DONE. I DON'T KNOW HOW BECAUSE WE ARE NO LONGER THAT DEEPLY INVOLVED WITH THE DEPARTMENT BY DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION OF GOVERNMENT AS WE USED TO BE.

I ASK, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THIS DEPARTMENT TAKE A HARD LOOK AT THIS ONE AS WELL. WE HAVE GOT TO GET THESE PEOPLE OFF THIS LAW ENFORCEMENT KICK AND GET THEM FUNCTIONING AS A GAME DEPARTMENT SHOULD. ONE OF THE LEAST CRITERIA IN HIRING GAME GUARDIANS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY SHOULD BE EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. YOU WANT A PERSON EXPERIENCED WITH BUSH, WITH WILDLIFE, WITH THE ENVIRONMENT, WITH COMMUNITY RELATIONS. WHO AS AN ADDED ABILITY POSSIBLY COULD HAVE EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT OR COULD LEARN THAT BY VIRTUE

OF. I SEE ANOTHER CLIPPING THAT I HAVE TAKEN OUT. POLICE COURSE OFFERED FOR THE YTG PERSONNEL. THEY COULD LEARN HOW TO BE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS THERE. BUT LET US GET PEOPLE INTO OUR GAME DEPARTMENT WHO WILL WORK FOR THE PEOPLE. WE DO NOT NEED POLICEMEN. WE HAVE GOT TOO MANY OF THEM. WE HAVE THEM IN EVERY - WE'VE GOT THEM OVER IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT WE'VE GOT THEM IN ENGINEERING AND WE'VE GOT THEM DOWN IN HERB TAYLOR'S DEPARTMENT. WE'VE GOT THEM EVERYWHERE. INSPECTORS AND, MY GOD, THE LIKE.

THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING I KEEP POINTING UP AS WE GO THROUGH THIS. WE NEED LESS POLICEMEN, WE NEED MORE PUBLIC SERVANTS AND THE PUBLIC SERVANTS ARE TO WORK IN THE SERVICE OF THE PUBLIC AND ASSIST THE PUBLIC. THEY ARE NOT DOING THAT IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AT THIS MOMENT.

I AM WONDERING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I WOULD HAVE THE ASSURANCE, AND I MEAN THE ABSOLUTE ASSURANCE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THESE AFFAIRS THAT THIS WILL BE LOOKED INTO BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AND A STUDY MADE TO STOP THIS PREDOMINANCE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND START DEVELOPING A GAME DEPARTMENT THAT IS MORE INTERESTED IN THE AREAS I SUGGESTED?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I SHOULD EXPLAIN WHAT IS HAPPENING. I COULDN'T AGREE MORE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. HIS ATTITUDE IS EXACTLY LIKE MY OWN ATTITUDE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE WHEN ALL WE CAN BE CONCERNED ABOUT IN THE AREA OF GAME MANAGEMENT IS ENFORCEMENT.

NOBODY IS MORE CONFIDENT OF THAT THAN WE ARE. AS A MATTER OF FACT, WE LOOK UPON THE EXTENSION OF OUR PROGRAM TO INCLUDE MAYO AND OLD CROW AS SOUNDING OUT AND VIRTUALLY A CONCLUSION OF THAT SIDE OF OUR GAME MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. WHAT WE ARE NOW ENDEAVOURING TO DO, AND WE ARE MOVING ON IT FAIRLY WELL IN MY OPINION IN THE GAME CENSUS, IS TO MOVE HEAVILY IN THE NEXT YEAR OR TWO TOWARD A MORE SCIENTIFIC SIDE OF THE GAME MANAGEMENT OPERATION. RIGHT NOW THE THING IS HEAVILY IMBALANCED IN THE SENSE THAT IT IS ALMOST PREDOMINANTLY ENFORCEMENT. BUT WE HAVEN'T YET DEVELOPED THE SCIENTIFIC SIDE OF THE THING. WE SHOULD AND WE ARE WORKING ON THAT NOW AND WE HOPE THAT AS A

RESULT OF THE GAME CENSUS BEING MERGED LAST YEAR AND THIS YEAR THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO MOVE NEXT YEAR INTO A ROUNDING OUT OF THE TOTAL PROGRAM.

I THINK YOUR REMARKS ARE VERY KINDLY AND MUCH TO THE POINT AND I CERTAINLY SYMPATHIZE WITH YOU.

MR. TAYLOR: I THANK THE WITNESS, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE THIS DEPARTMENT SO MUCH AT HEART THAT, AND I AM SO DISCOURAGED AT THE WAY THINGS HAVE GONE, THERE MUST BE SOME IMMEDIACY TO A CHANGE IN POLICY IN SO MUCH AS THE INDIVIDUAL GAME GUARDIANS ARE CONCERNED. I COULD STAND HERE AND RELATE INCIDENTS TO YOU AND I WILL GIVE YOU ONE EXAMPLE.

THERE IS A TRAPPER WHO LIVES DOWN AT THE LIARD RIGHT NOW. HE GETS A KNOCK AT THE DOOR ONE DAY AND HERE IS A SEARCH WARRANT SIGNED BY A FELLOW FROM JP FOR THE GAME WARDEN. HE HAS A MOUNTIE WITH HIM TOO. THEY GET ALONG PRETTY GOOD. THEY GO RIGHT THROUGH THIS MAN'S HOUSE LOOK THROUGH ALL HIS PAPERS AND EVERYTHING ELSE. WHAT ARE THEY LOOKING FOR? NOTHING IN PARTICULAR. BUT THEY THINK HE IS BREAKING THE LAW. THEY THINK HE MIGHT HAVE AN EXTRA WOLF SKIN OR AN EXTRA MARTIN OR SOMETHING HIDDEN IN HIS PILLOW. BUT NOTHING SPECIFIC. THEY THINK HE IS BREAKING THE LAW AND THEY ARE GOING TO GO IN AND PINCH THE GUY FOR SOMETHING.

THEY COULDN'T FIND ANYTHING. AS THEY LEFT HE SAID, "I DON'T KNOW WHY YOU NEED THAT PIECE OF PAPER. YOU CAN COME DOWN AND KNOCK ON MY DOOR AT ANY TIME AND IF I AM NOT HERE JUST MARCH IN AND HELP YOURSELF."

THIS IS GOING ON DAY BY DAY BY DAY. JUST HARASSMENT, HARASSMENT. THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING I THINK HAS TO BE STOPPED AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE GAME OFFICER AND THE COMMUNITY SHOULD BE THE SAME RELATIONSHIP AND SHOULD COMMAND THE SAME RESPECT AS SHOULD A CONSTABLE OF THE FORCE. BUT WHEN YOU HAVE THESE PEOPLE RUNNING AROUND AND PEOPLE FEARING THEM, THAT IS NOT A VERY GOOD THING. I DON'T THINK THAT IN THE GAME DEPARTMENT THIS IS A DESIRABLE THING.

AS I POINT OUT THE FIRST QUALIFICATION EXPERIENCED IN THE AD IS EXPERIENCE IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. THIS SHOULD BE THE LEAST QUALIFICATION, I WOULD THINK.

I THANK THE WITNESS FOR HIS REMARKS AND I WISH HIM WELL THE ENDEAVOR TO BRING TO THE YUKON A PROPER GAME DEPARTMENT.

ONE OTHER MATTER, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS PROJECT HAS BEEN GOING NOW FOR ABOUT THREE OR FOUR YEARS. I HAVE ASKED THAT THE TWO GAME DEPARTMENTS GET TOGETHER AND I HAD HOPED FITZ WOULD BE ABLE TO BE WITH US AND I AM SORRY TO HEAR HE IS ILL. BUT I HAVE ASKED THROUGH FITZ (MR. FITZGERALD) OVER THESE PAST THREE, FOUR YEARS THAT A BOUNDARY CONFERENCE BE HELD IN WATSON LAKE BETWEEN THE GAME DEPARTMENT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND THE GAME DEPARTMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. TO SIT DOWN WHERE WITNESSES ARE AVAILABLE AND DISCUSS REAL PROBLEMS BETWEEN OUR GAME ORDINANCE AND THE BRITISH COLUMBIA GAME ACT. THERE ARE REAL PROBLEMS THERE AND AS A RESULT OF A COURT CASE, I THINK EVERY BODY IS AWARE OF THE DELZELL CASE WHICH INVOLVED ITSELF BETWEEN THE TWO JURISDICTIONS. OUR GAME DEPARTMENT AND THE B.C. GAME DEPARTMENT, THERE WAS SUCH A TERRIBLE HARD FEELING OVER THE FACT THAT THE B.C. GAME DEPARTMENT AND THE YUKON GAME DEPARTMENT LOST THEIR CASES THAT CHANGES IN POLICY WERE IMMEDIATELY EFFECTIVE. THE B.C. GOVERNMENT WITHDREW EXPORT PERMITS AND EVERYTHING BECAME VERY DIFFICULT TO GET. THEN THE HARASSMENT REALLY WENT ON THE PEOPLE. I WOULD ASK THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AT THIS POINT WOULD GIVE CONSIDERATION TO THIS CONFERENCE IN WATSON LAKE BETWEEN THESE TWO JURISDICTIONS. WHERE, AS I SAY WITNESSES ARE AVAILABLE TO SPEAK TO THIS, WITH AN IDEA OF SOLVING PROBLEMS RELATED TO THIS BOUNDARY. THIS LITTLE DOTTED LINE. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THIS GET UNDER WAY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE IT INVOLVES BIG GAME HUNTING AND IT INVOLVES TRAPPING, FISHING. IT INVOLVES ALL THESE AREAS OF CONCERN. I AM SURE IT WOULD AFFECT NOT ONLY THE WATSON LAKE AREA BUT IT AFFECTS THE ATLIN ROAD; THERE ARE SOME REAL PROBLEMS THERE, AND IT AFFECTS THE HAINES ROAD.

I WOULD ASK ONCE AGAIN, IT IS ALL I CAN DO I AM NOT IN POWER AS AN ADMINISTRATOR TO DO ANYTHING. I WOULD ASK THAT THE GOVERNMENT LOOK INTO THIS AND SEE IF IT CAN'T BRING ABOUT A SPEEDY MEETING OF THIS NATURE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE

HAS BEEN DISCUSSIONS GOING ON ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS WITH B.C. IT HAS BEEN A CONTINUING THING. WHETHER OR NOT THE FORMALITY OF A CONFERENCE IS REQUIRED IS SOMETHING I WOULD BE PREPARED TO LOOK INTO. I CAN LOOK INTO THAT.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I FEEL THAT THE REASON FOR A CONFERENCE IS BECAUSE IF YOU DO IT ON SIGHT YOU HAVE GOT THE WITNESSES ON SIGHT. IF YOU ARE CONFERRING FROM THE OFFICE IN WHITEHORSE TO VICTORIA YOU ARE NOT REALLY GETTING ANYWHERE. YOU ARE GETTING ONE OPINION FROM VICTORIA, FROM A GUY WHO MAY BE JUST CURRENT IN WHAT IS GOING ON IN ANY GIVEN DISTRICT. YOU ARE GETTING AN OPINION FROM THE SAME SITUATION IN WHITEHORSE. IF YOU CAN HOLD THAT CONFERENCE RIGHT AT THE BOUNDARY AND TALK TO THE MANY PEOPLE WHO ARE INVOLVED PERMIT THEM TO COME AS WITNESSES AND SAY, "NOW LOOK, I AM A TRAPPER AND I TRAP ON SUCH AND SUCH A PLACE, DOWN DEASE LAKE ROAD. AND I CAN'T TAKE MY FUR THROUGH THE YUKON, I CAN'T SHIP IT OUT THROUGH B.C. BECAUSE YOUR GAME LAWS SAY I CAN'T AND YOUR GAME WARDEN PINCHES ME." THIS IS GOING ON TOO AT THE MOMENT. YOU HAVE TO SMUGGLE YOUR FUR THROUGH. THAT IS PRETTY BAD WHEN YOU HAVE TO SMUGGLE JUST BECAUSE TWO JURISDICTIONS CAN'T GET TOGETHER AND COME UP WITH COMPATIBLE REGULATIONS.

THIS IS WHY IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE CONFERENCE BE HELD ON SIGHT, WHERE WITNESSES ARE AVAILABLE. YOU GET RIGHT TO THE ROOT OF THE PROBLEM.

THE OTHER THING IS THESE PEOPLE CAN COME IN AND SAY, "I AM HAVING A REAL PROBLEM. MAYBE YOU NEVER THOUGHT ABOUT IT BUT THIS IS THE PROBLEM." IT IS GOOD FOR EVERYBODY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: LETS REFER TO DETAIL ITEM ON A-31 AND 32.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, HOW FAR HAVE WE GONE WITH THIS GAME CENSUS? AT WHAT POINT ARE WE IN THIS THING AND HOW EFFECTIVE IS THE CENSUS? HOW IS THIS BEING OPERATED?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, IT IS BEING OPERATED, IN MY OPINION, VERY SUCCESSFULLY. WE HAVE ONLY HAD ONE YEAR AND THE RESULT OF THE FIRST YEAR IS SET OUT IN ONE OF THE PAPERS THAT HAVE BEEN DISTRIBUTED. WE WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO DO THE WHOLE TERRITORY ALL AT ONE TIME BUT OF COURSE, IT IS OBVIOUSLY IMPRACTICAL.

I THINK TOO THAT IT IS BETTER TO DO IT ON AN ON-GOING BASIS AS WE ARE, SPENDING ABOUT \$25,000 OR \$30,000 A YEAR. WE CAN ABSORB THE DATA AS IT BECOMES AVAILABLE.

WE ARE DEALING WITH IT FROM YEAR TO YEAR ON A PRIORITY BASIS AND SOMETIMES WE SHIFT OUR PRIORITIES BUT WE ARE TRYING TO GO OVER A FIVE YEAR PERIOD TO COVER THE ENTIRE TERRITORY.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, ONCE AGAIN I'VE GOT A LIST OF ABOUT 30 QUESTIONS THAT I WANT TO ASK THAT GO INTO DETAIL ON CREDITOR CONTROL. GO INTO DETAIL ON THE CENSUS, GO INTO DETAIL ON ANY REPLACEMENT PROGRAM ON LEG HOLE TRAPS OR .. BEAR TRAPS, ANY EDUCATION PROGRAMS IN THIS RESPECT. I JUST SAY THAT THIS CAN BE DEALT WITH IN COMMITTEE WITH A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GAME GUARDIAN ON A GIVE AND TAKE BASIS OTHER THAN ME PRESENTING THE WHOLE LIST OF QUESTIONS TO THE ADMINISTRATOR, WHO I'M SURE HASN'T GOT THE ANSWERS ON THE TOP OF HIS HEAD RIGHT NOW AND HE WILL COME BACK WITH THE ANSWERS WHICH WON'T BE SATISFACTORY ANYWAY.

AND THEY WOULD BE WITH A GIVE AND TAKE SITUATION WITH THE GAME BIOLOGIST OR WITH THE DIRECTOR OF GAMES. I JUST CAN'T UNDERSTAND COMMITTEE'S RELUCTANCE TO HAVE A MEMBER OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT, EITHER THE DIRECTOR OR THE GAME BIOLOGIST HERE TO ANSWER THESE VERY INFORMATIVE QUESTION OF A NON-CONTROVERSIAL NATURE WHICH THE GAME DEPARTMENT HEAD HAS ALWAYS BEEN BEFORE COMMITTEE TO ANSWER.

I CAN STAY HERE AND QUESTION THE ADMINISTRATOR AND I CAN WRITE THE QUESTIONS DOWN AND GO BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT AND TRY AND BRING THE ANSWERS BACK BUT IT IS JUST A WASTE OF THIS COMMITTEE'S TIME.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I THINK FROM THE CHAIR I WOULD HAVE TO POINT OUT THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR HAS ALREADY MENTIONED THAT THE SUPERINTENDANT, AT LEAST, IS ON SICK LEAVE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT COMMITTEE --

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHAT I WOULD BE GLAD TO DO, IS THAT SEPARATE AND APART FROM THIS COMMITTEE ARRANGE A MEETING AT WHICH ANY MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE WOULD BE WELCOME TO ATTEND, WITH ANY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GAME BRANCH. WOULD THAT BE A REASONABLE THING THEN WE COULD...

Mr. McKinnon: This meeting should be, Mr. Chairman, prior to the passage of the Game Department Estimates. What the Members are looking for is the information as to how the amount of the monies, \$384,600 an increase of \$134,600 over last year's estimates is going to be spent. Which programs are going to be in effect, what the effect of different programs that have already been undertaken are and just normal information.

As I say, you want the absolute taking away of the few investigative rights that this Council has had prior, it has just whittled it away, year by year, so now it even comes to the point where for the first time in the history of the Council of the Yukon Territory at least since I've been a part of the Council, we can't even have information from the Director of Games or from the Resident Biologist prior to the passage of the Game Ordinance.

I just can't understand the reluctance and as Mr. Administrator well knows that the questioning strictly for information purposes with the Game Director has never been anything else but that. Mr. Administrator knows that the type of information that I seek from any of the public servants of the Territory has always been on a absolute question of seeking for information purposes only. It is really frustrating trying to get answers to questions that my constituents ask me and that I have of the Game Branch, to run into this kind of opposition. It is just frustrating. It is just impossible to do your job effectively.

Mr. Chairman: What are the wishes of Committee in this regard?

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman I would certainly like to know, there must be an assistant to Mr. Fitzgerald, or possibly the Game Biologist would be the man that could answer more of our questions and I am wondering if he could be invited to join us while we are discussing Game Estimates.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman every Member knows of the policy decision that we weren't going to have the Department Heads at the discussion of the Budget. The Budget has been in the hands of Members for some three going on four weeks now. If they had individual questions they wanted to ask of any Department Head, they have had all the information here. They could have gone and asked the questions.

Secondly, there is a sessional paper concerning the Game Department in, and if at that time, we want a Department Head in, then the decision of the Committee will probably prevail. As each Executive Member, who is responsible for his department, and I think he is the person to answer the questions.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I fail to believe what I hear. A policy decision by who, by the Executive Committee or the Executive Committee Members or the majority in the House. Certainly not a policy decision on my end of the stick.

If what the Member is saying is true, then he is saying no, you as elected representatives forgetting that he himself is an elected representative, have no right to question the Members of Government you so wish to question.

In the House of Commons they can question anybody they want in Committee. This Committee should have the same power. If we request someone to come up and give us information relative to this Budget, we should be entitled to have it as long as it is in reason. There can be nothing more reasonable than to ask the Game Biologist of the Yukon Territory to answer some questions in relation to the operation of the Game Department without asking Members to take a \$384,600 of the taxpayer's money and just say, checked, clear, and go home.

No, no, we are entitled to that and I would ask that the Game Biologist be asked to attend Committee.

Mr. Tanner: Nobody is asking any Member to say, \$384,600, now we're cleared. Ask all the questions you like. If the answers aren't forthcoming, they will be forthcoming very shortly. In every department up to this one, nobody has requested any Department Heads. The Executive Member responsible for each department has answered the questions. If the questions weren't able to be answered, then the information was brought back to Committee.

I don't see why you should change that ongoing process in this particular Department. We didn't do it last year, as I recall.

Mr. Taylor: The process was only established by the Executive Committee or a majority of the House at that time. As I say, if we are

EVER GOING TO HAVE A LEGISLATURE IN THIS TERRITORY, WE MUST ENJOY THE RIGHT THAT OTHER LEGISLATURES HAVE. THAT IS THE RIGHT TO QUESTION WITNESSES AT ANY TIME IN REVIEW OF ESTIMATES, MORE PARTICULARLY IN COMMITTEE.

I ONCE AGAIN ASK, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE BIOLOGIST BE ASKED TO ATTEND COMMITTEE IN ORDER THAT WE CAN CONTINUE DISCUSSIONS ON THE GAME ESTIMATES.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ALL COMMITTEE MEMBERS THAT THE SUGGESTIONS BEING MADE BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURE THAT WE HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING UP TO NOW AND I DON'T SEE ANY REASON AT ALL TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE AT THIS PARTICULAR JUNCTURE.

MR. TAYLOR: THEN MR. CHAIRMAN, DO I TAKE IT WE ARE REFUSED. IF WE ARE REFUSED, YOU HAVE NOT MY CONCURRENCE OF ANY PART OF THIS BUDGET AND I WILL VOTE AGAINST IT BECAUSE IF ANY MEMBER OF THIS COMMITTEE, OR ESPECIALLY IN THE GOVERNMENT SIDE OF THE HOUSE HAS THE UNMITIGATED GALL TO COME AND TELL ME THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON, THROUGH THEIR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES CAN NO LONGER QUESTION THE GOVERNMENT AND CALL FOR WITNESSES WHEN THEY WANT THEM, MUST HAVE SOMETHING AWFUL BIG TO HIDE. IF THEY HAVE SOMETHING BIG TO HIDE I'M NOT PREPARED TO VOTE FOR THE BUDGET.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON A POINT OF ORDER. ON A POINT OF ORDER, I AM BEING MISQUOTED AND WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SAYING, IS DAMN NEAR A DOWNRIGHT LIE. YOU CAN ASK THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER ANY QUESTION YOU WANT TO AND YOU CAN GET THE ANSWERS AND IF THE ANSWERS AREN'T FORTHCOMING, THEN THEY WILL BE FORTHCOMING LATER ON. NOW, DON'T MISQUOTE ME AND SAY THAT YOU HAVE BEEN DENIED INFORMATION. THAT IS NOT TRUE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN LET US THEN HAVE THE WITNESS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ONCE AGAIN THE CHAIR IS SEEKING DIRECTION FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MRS. WATSON: DISAGREED.

MR. MCKINNON: AGREED

MR. TANNER: I DISAGREE MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MAY I SEE A SHOW OF HANDS FOR THOSE WHICH WOULD SEEK WITNESSES AT THIS POINT. DISAGREED. IT HAS BEEN DENIED.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IT IS PRETTY OBVIOUS WHY THE INTEREST OF COMMITTEE HAS ALWAYS BEEN SO KEEN IN THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME 'BECAUSE ALL MEMBERS MUST KNOW AND IF THEY DON'T KNOW BY NOW, THEY REALLY DON'T HAVE MUCH REASON FOR BEING HERE; THAT GAME IS THE ONLY RESORT, THE ONLY ONE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS CONTROL OVER. THE ONLY ONE IN WHICH BOTH THE LEGISLATIVE, THE ADMINISTRATION AND THE EXECUTIVE CONTROL IS IN THE HANDS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

IF ANYBODY NEEDS ANY FURTHER REASON WHY THIS IS THE AREA WHERE MEMBERS SEEK INFORMATION, AND WHERE MEMBERS WOULD LIKE TO GET INVOLVED IN POLICIES AND PROGRAMS BECAUSE UNDER THE TERMS OF THE YUKON ACT, THAT I'M SURE MANY MEMBERS OF THIS COMMITTEE DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND OR HAVE READ. IT IS THE ONLY RESOURCE THAT THIS COUNCIL AND THESE MEMBERS HAVE ANY CONTROL OF IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM WHATSOEVER. NOW, MR. CHAIRMAN LET'S START ASKING QUESTIONS. I WONDER MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR TELL COMMITTEE HOW MANY COMPLAINTS WERE RECEIVED BY THE GAME DEPARTMENT THIS WINTER IN THE AREA OF PREDATOR CONTROL

MR. FINGLAND: NO, I WILL HAVE TO GET THAT INFORMATION MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER THEN, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHETHER MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE WHERE THESE COMPLAINTS IN THE AREA OF PREDATOR CONTROL CAME FROM. (SILENCE) CAN I HAVE AN ANSWER MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. FINGLAND: I WILL GET THAT INFORMATION, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER WHETHER MR. MINISTER CAN TELL MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE WHETHER THERE WERE ANY UNUSUAL CONFIGURATIONS OF PREDATORS IN DIFFERENT AREAS OF THE YUKON TERRITORY DURING THIS WINTER.

MR. FINGLAND: I'M SORRY I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY ---

MR. MCKINNON: WHETHER THERE WAS ANY UNUSUAL CONCENTRATION OF PREDATORS IN ANY OF THE AREAS WHERE COMPLAINTS CAME FROM AND AT THE GAME DEPARTMENT SURVEY DURING THIS WINTER.

MR. FINGLAND: I WILL TAKE THAT AND IMPLY THE SAME QUESTION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULD LIKE TO THROW A QUESTION WHILE HE IS ON PREDATOR CONTROL TOO. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THE

ADMINISTRATION WOULD DELINEATE THEIR POLICY IN RESPECT OF THE USE OF POISONS IN PREDATOR CONTROL.

MR. MCKINNON: WELL WE WERE JUST COMING TO THAT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. FINLAND: OUR POLICY, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS TO AVOID THE USE OF POISON AS FAR AS POSSIBLE, THIS HAS VERY FAR REACHING CONSEQUENCES AND HAS DAMAGE FAR BEYOND ANYTHING THAT WE IMMEDIATELY SET OUT TO DO. WE DO NOT HOWEVER, ABSOLUTELY REFUSE TO USE IT. IN FACT WE DO USE IT. WHEN WE DO PUT OUT POISON BAITS, WE ENDEAVOR TO CONTROL THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT THEY ARE OUT AND WE ENDEAVOR TO HAVE THEM CHECK PERIODICALLY AND TO HAVE THEM PROPERLY TAKEN UP, WHEN THEY HAVE SERVED THEIR PURPOSE.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WAS GOING TO BE MY NEXT AREA OF QUESTIONING WHETHER MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD ANSWER THE QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME. THAT IS, HOW MANY POISON BAITS WERE DISTRIBUTED THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY THIS WINTER FOR PREDATORY CONTROL?

MR. TAYLOR: AND IN WHAT AREAS?

MR. FINLAND: I WILL GET THE EXACT NUMBER MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE AN IDEA OF THE NUMBER, BUT I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT NUMBER.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN COULD WE HAVE THE AREAS IN WHICH THEY WERE USED AND ALSO THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF PREDATORS THAT WERE KILLED THROUGH THE USE OF POISONED BAIT THIS WINTER, MR. CHAIRMAN. ALL QUESTIONS COULD BE ANSWERED BY THE GAME DEPARTMENT.

MR. TAYLOR: ONE QUESTION MR. CHAIRMAN. THE QUESTION I RAISED EARLIER, WAS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF IT IS A POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT TO, BEFORE PLACING POISONED BAIT, TO TALK TO THE TRAPPER IN THE AREA THAT HE IS TRAPPING THAT PARTICULAR AREA. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THAT POLICY IS STILL IN EFFECT. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT WAS AT ONE TIME.

MR. FINLAND: AS A MATTER OF FACT, I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT THEY DO TALK TO THE LOCAL TRAPPERS AND THEY TALK TO ANYBODY WHO MIGHT HAVE ANIMALS IN THE AREA. DOG OWNERS, IF THEY ARE IN THE VICINITY OF A COMMUNITY. VERY MUCH SO, VERY MUCH SO.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE A THOUSAND QUESTIONS THAT ONE COULD CONTEMPLATE HERE.

MR. MCKINNON: ASK THEM.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS THE REASON WHY A PERSON SHOULD HAVE THE BIOLOGIST HERE. SIMPLY ASK THE QUESTION AND HAVE AN INFORMATIVE BACK AND FORTH ANSWER THING AND ALL THIS IS UNNECESSARY, THIS IS FOOLISH.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK IT HAS ALREADY BEEN POINTED OUT THAT FOR INFORMAL QUESTION PERIODS, THAT THIS CAN CERTAINLY BE ARRANGED WHEN WE ARE DISCUSSING THE LEGISLATIVE RETURN. IT IS NOW IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE, AT A LATER DATE, THE BIOLOGIST OR PERHAPS EVEN THE SUPERINTENDENT HIMSELF, WILL BE THEN BETTER, CAN BE CALLED IN AS A WITNESS.

MR. TAYLOR: WOULD THIS BE AGREEABLE TO..

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN THIS HAS ALREADY BEEN SAID TWICE.

MR. TAYLOR: YES BUT THE POLICY, I HAVE JUST BEEN TOLD WAS NO, WE CAN'T HAVE WITNESSES HERE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOBODY SAID THAT.

MR. MCKINNON: PRIOR TO CLEARING THE BUDGET.

MR. TANNER: NOBODY SAID THAT AT ALL. WE SAID THAT WHEN IT COMES TO DISCUSSION OF THE SESSIONAL PAPER OR THE LEGISLATIVE RETURN THAT HAS BEEN TABLED, IF YOU WANT WITNESSES, THEN WE CAN HAVE AS MANY WITNESSES AS YOU LIKE.

MR. MCKINNON: CAN YOU DEFER THIS BUDGETARY ITEM UNTIL THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE BEING ASKED BY MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE CAN BE ANSWERED? BECAUSE IT IS INTRICATELY INVOLVED IN THE AMOUNT OF MONIES THAT ARE BEING ASKED FOR UNDER THESE ESTIMATES, THE QUESTIONS THAT WE ARE POSING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK IT HAS BEEN THE PRACTICE UP TO KNOW THAT QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN SPECIFICALLY ASKED, THAT SHOULD THE ONES THAT BOTH MEMBERS HAVE JUST NOW ASKED, WILL RECEIVE AN ANSWER BEFORE THE BUDGET HAS BEEN PASSED, THAT HAS BEEN A COMMON PRACTICE TO THIS POINT.

MR. MCKINNON: THE SALARIES AND WAGES UNDER ESTABLISHMENT #700 HAVE INCREASED FROM \$217,738 IN THE 1973-74 ESTIMATES TO \$300,500 IN THE 1974-75 ESTIMATES.

MR. TANNER: YOU'RE GOING BACK NOW. WE DID THAT ITEM.

Mr. McKinnon: IN THE GAME BRANCH, I'M SORRY, \$140,735 TO \$188,00. AN INCREASE IN PRETTY CLOSE TO \$48,000. I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD SAY WHERE THE NEW EMPLOYEES OF THE GAME BRANCH WILL BE LOCATED IN THE FISCAL YEAR OF 1974-75.

Mr. Finland: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF YOU LOOK ON PAGE 43, YOU CAN SEE THE INCREASE IN MAN YEARS. THERE IS A NEW MAN YEAR FOR MAYO AND FOR OLD CROW, MAKING A TOTAL OF TWO FOR THE TWO NEW GAME GUARDIANS. TWO HALF YEARS FOR KOMATUK, MAKING ONE FULL YEAR, THAT'S IN CONNECTION WITH OUR SUMMER PROGRAM IN THE NORTH END OF THE TERRITORY. THERE ARE 18 MAN MONTHS, WHICH IS A YEAR AND A HALF FOR CASUAL GAME GUARDIANS. THERE IS A CASUAL CLERK-TYPIST FOR 1/4 OF A MAN YEAR AND A CASUAL LABORER FOR THE OVERHAUL OF EQUIPMENT FOR ANOTHER 1/4 OF A MAN YEAR, MAKING A TOTAL OF FIVE ADDITIONAL MAN YEARS.

Mr. McKinnon: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR INFORM MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE WHERE THE ACTUAL GAME CENSUS WAS CONDUCTED IN THE FISCAL YEAR 1973-74 AND HOW MUCH OF THE \$50,000 OR THE \$45,000 OF THE RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT IN THE 1973-74 ESTIMATES WAS EXPENDED ON THE ACTUAL GAME CENSUS.

Mr. Finland: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE AMOUNT SPENT WAS \$25,000 AND THE RESULTS OF THE CENSUS ARE SET OUT IN SESSIONAL PAPER, WELL I GUESS IN THE TWO SESSIONAL PAPERS, SESSIONAL PAPER #16 AND SESSIONAL PAPER #20. MOST PARTICULARLY, I GUESS, SESSIONAL PAPER #16.

Mr. McKinnon: MR. CHAIRMAN ON THE RESULTS OF LAST YEAR'S GAME CENSUS ARE THERE ANY GAME MANagements' ZONES OUTLINED OR TO BE OUTLINED FOR THE 1974 HUNTING SEASON.

Mr. Finland: NOT IN THE RESULTS OF THE CENSUS MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT THERE ARE OF COURSE GAME MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS IN THAT, WE WILL BE USING THE RESULTS OF THE GAME CENSUS TO ASSIST US IN DETERMINING OPEN SEASON FOR 1974. IN FACT, WE ARE ALSO CONTEMPLATING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF GAME MANAGEMENT ZONES AND THESE ARE CURRENTLY UNDER DISCUSSION WITH PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE INDUSTRY.

Mr. Taylor: MR. CHAIRMAN, APPARENTLY, I SEE IN THE NEWSPAPER, THE GAMES ZONES TO BE DISCUSSED AT FISH AND GAME MEETING. I SEE THAT THERE IS A LITTLE SKETCH HERE. DOES THIS NOT MEAN THAT IN FACT, YOU SAID THE PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM THE GAME BRANCH FOR THE 1974-75 SEASON SCHEDULE, IS NOT IN FACT THIS IS WHAT IS GOING TO TAKE PLACE?

Mr. Finland: NOT NECESSARILY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THESE GAME MANAGEMENT ZONES ARE PRESENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION AND WHAT WE WILL DO IF OUR DISCUSSION WITH THE FISH AND GAME ASSOCIATION PROVE TO INDICATE THAT THERE IS SUPPORT FOR THIS KIND OF MANAGEMENT ZONE, WE WILL THEN MOVE AHEAD WITH OTHER DISCUSSIONS. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE FULLEST POSSIBLE DISCUSSIONS WITH MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. OUR MAIN CONCERN, OUR IMMEDIATE CONCERN, IS MAKING USE OF OUR CENSUS INFORMATION TO FIX OUR SEASONS FOR 1974. THAT IS A NORMAL ONGOING THING BUT FOR THE FIRST TIME, WE ARE STARTING TO GET SOME HARD DATA ON WHICH TO BASE THE SEASON. THIS IS ONLY A PROPOSAL AT THIS POINT AND IT IS ONLY FOR DISCUSSION.

Mr. Taylor: IS THIS BEING CIRCULATED ELSEWHERE THAN WHITEHORSE. IN OTHER WORDS ARE PROPOSALS BEING SOUGHT FROM THE REST OF THE TERRITORY OR JUST FROM THE WHITEHORSE FISH AND GAME DEPARTMENT?

Mr. Finland: AT THE MOMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT HAS ONLY BEEN REFERRED TO THE FISH AND GAME ASSOCIATION AND I THINK TO THE BIG GAME OUTFITTERS BUT WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO, IS WE WOULD LIKE TO EXTEND THAT AND HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS WITH OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY BEFORE WE MAKE A MOVE OF THIS KIND.

Mr. Taylor: MR. CHAIRMAN WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO GET SOME INFORMATION RELATING TO THE FISHERY ASPECT OF THE OPERATION OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT?

AS YOU WILL RECALL, I'M SURE THAT WE HAD A FEAR-FULL FIGHT FOR MANY YEARS TO GET THE FISHERIES RESPONSIBILITY AND NOW THAT WE'VE GOT IT, I WONDER IF WE COULD GET THROUGH THE SECRECY OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND FIND OUT HOW IT'S DOING, THE FACTS AND FIGURES ON WHAT IS BEING DONE, WHAT DIFFICULTIES ARE BEING EXPERIENCED, IF ANY, AND GENERALLY AN OUTLINE OF THE TOTAL PROGRAM.

I AM ONE WHO WOULD BE VERY INTERESTED TO KNOW HOW THIS PROGRAM IS WORKING.

Mr. Administrator: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD BE GLAD TO DO THAT. IN FACT, YOU CAN GET A FULL PAPER ON THAT IF YOU LIKE.

Mrs. Watson: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE CONDITION ISN'T THERE IN THE PAPER THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MUST READ IT.

Mr. Taylor: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ANSWER THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BY SAYING THAT WHEN WE

LEAVE THIS PLACE FOR SMALL RECESSES, THE GOVERNMENT WOULDN'T TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO PICK A MAN'S PAPERS OFF HIS DESK AND LOCK THEM AWAY SOMEWHERE, AND REFUSE ACCESS TO HIS OWN PERSONAL PAPERS WHEN HE GETS BACK HERE, HE CAN DO A LOT MORE READING THAN WHAT HE HAS DONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN, RELATED TO THE FISHERIES PROPOSAL, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW, OR PARDON ME, THE FISHERIES ASPECT OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH RE-STOCKING OF RAINBOW TROUT WAS DONE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY LAST YEAR, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ON WHICH LAKES THESE PROGRAMS WERE UNDERTAKEN, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE RESULTS OF THE RE-STOCKING PROGRAM IN GENERAL AND I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO KNOW WHAT PROGRAMS ARE BEING ESTABLISHED THIS YEAR FOR TRANSPLANTING OF RAINBOW TROUT IN YUKON LAKES AND WHAT LAKES?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I SHOULD POINT OUT, OF COURSE, IT'S NOT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT THAT DOES THAT BUT I CAN GET THAT INFORMATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 720?

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, UNDER RENTAL OF MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT FOR THE 74/75 FISCAL YEAR. IN THE NORTH COAST THERE WILL BE 140 HOURS AT 75 DOLLARS AT FIXED WING AND 65 AT \$150 FOR A HELICOPTER. I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL WHAT COMPRISES THE NORTH COAST AREA, WHAT THESE 205 HOURS WILL BE USED FOR AND, MR. CHAIRMAN ALSO, THE NECESSITY FOR USING HELICOPTER DURING SOME PERIOD OF TIME AND USING FIXED WING AT OTHER PERIODS OF TIME, THE HELICOPTER RATE OF COURSE BEING DOUBLE THAT TO FIXED WING.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WHOLE NORTH COAST PROGRAM WILL BE BASED ON, OUR MAN WILL BE BASED AT KOMATUK WHICH IS THE DEW LINE STATION ON THE NORTH SLOPE, WITH RADIO CONTACT WITH THE RCMP IN OLD CROW AND, I THINK THAT THE AREA, WE COULD SAFELY SAY WOULD BE FROM OLD CROW NORTH TO THE COAST, AND EAST AS FAR AS THE YUKON BORDER. THE MAIN PURPOSE FOR THE HELICOPTER TIME IS TO ENABLE US TO MAKE LANDINGS WHERE REQUIRED, THIS IS ALMOST ENTIRELY AN ENFORCEMENT PROPOSITION.

FIXED WING CAN BE USED FOR PATROLS BUT WE HAVE TO MAKE LANDINGS IN PARTICULAR AREAS AND WE HAVE TO HAVE A HELICOPTER.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS PERSON IS ONE HALF MAN-YEARS AS FAR AS I UNDERSTOOD. NOW WHAT TIME WOULD HE BE IN STATION IN KOMATUK? WHAT PERIOD OF TIME?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WE WILL HAVE TWO MEN BASED AT KOMATUK, MR. CHAIRMAN, FOR APPROXIMATELY FOUR MONTHS.

MR. MCKINNON: FOUR MONTHS. IT'S FOUR MONTHS, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT; 205 HOURS IN THE AIR. THIS IS A PRETTY GOOD NUMBER OF HOURS FOR FOUR MONTHS, WHY? I'M JUST WONDERING IF THERE IS ANY FURTHER BREAKDOWN TO THE 205 HOURS, JUST HOW IS THIS FIGURE ARRIVED AT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, THIS IS A BRAND NEW PROGRAM, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS NO FURTHER BREAKDOWN, THIS IS ONLY A CALCULATION OF WHAT WE EXPECT WE WILL REQUIRE.

WHAT I SHOULD EXPLAIN HERE, IS THE PEOPLE THAT WE'RE ENGAGED IN DEALING WITH ARE, TO A VERY LARGE EXTENT, ARE TOURISTS FROM THE NWT, THE AMERICANS COMING IN FROM ALASKA AND I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT THEY ARE FULLY EQUIPPED WITH HELICOPTERS. THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT IT, THEY ARE IN AND OUT AND THEY ARE EXPLOITING OUR RESOURCES, WE FIND THAT IN SOME CASES WE EVEN HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THEY ARE SETTING UP COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS.

WE SIMPLY HAVE TO HAVE THE NECESSARY ABILITY TO DEAL WITH THE SITUATION AND IF WE FIND THAT WE ARE SHORT OF TIME OR WE DON'T HAVE HELICOPTER TIME AVAILABLE TO US, WE ARE JUST NOT GOING TO BE OF ANY USE.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN THIS IS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE GAME CENSUS. THERE WON'T BE ANY CENSUS WORK DONE AT ALL IN THIS 205 HOURS, IT IS STRICTLY ENFORCEMENT.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: GAME CENSUS IS ENTIRELY IN THAT SECOND PART OF \$30,000.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS PERSON WHO IS GOING TO BE STATIONED AT KOMATUK BEACH, WHAT PROVISION IS BEING MADE, WHERE IS HE STAYING? A TENT AND WHATEVER? LIKE THE REST OF THE COMMON PEOPLE OR DOES HE, . . . WHAT ARRANGEMENTS ARE MADE FOR HIM TO CAMP?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, WE HAVE MADE ARRANGEMENTS WITH THE DEW LINE PEOPLE TO PROVIDE ACCOMMODATION AND MEALS AND COMMUNICATION FACILITIES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 720?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, UNDER THE GAME SERVICES THERE HAS BEEN THOUGHT FOR YEARS

WHETHER THE GAME DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO GET INVOLVED IN INFORMATION TO TRAPPERS CONCERNING THE CHANGE FROM LEG-HOLD TRAPS TO CARNIVORE TRAPS,

I WAS WONDERING WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN ANYTHING MOVING IN THIS DIRECTION?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE MOST DEFINITELY IS. THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT IS CONVENING THE JOINT FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL CONFERENCE IN WHICH WE ARE ASKED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF HUMANE KILLING TRAPS. I SHOULD SAY IN THIS CONNECTION THAT CARNIVORE TRAPPING HAS NOT IN FACT BEEN PROVEN TO BE A HUMANE TRAP.

WHAT WE NOW HAVE TO DO IS TO UNDERTAKE A PROGRAM AND I'M GLAD TO SEE THAT IT IS A JOINT FEDERAL-PROVINCIAL PROGRAM TO CARRY OUT FURTHER RESEARCH IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF HUMANE TRAPPING. WE ARE VERY DEFINITELY A PART OF THAT PROGRAM.

Mr. McKINNON: THEN THERE HAS BEEN NO MOVE AT ALL UP UNTIL THE PRESENT TIME OF ANY REPLACEMENT TO TRAPPERS AS MANY PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENTS ARE DOING IN REPLACING LEG-HOLD TRAPS THAT ARE RETURNED FOR CARNIVORE TRAPS.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, AT THE MOMENT, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE NO SUCH PROGRAMS BUT WE ARE CERTAINLY INTERESTED IN DEVELOPING A HUMANE TRAP IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE PROVINCES.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 720?

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, BACK TO THE USE AND THE RENTAL OF THE MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT, I WONDER, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHETHER WE SHOULD HAVE A BREAKDOWN OF THE NUMBER OF HOURS IN EACH OF THE AREAS THAT WILL BE PATROLLED OVER THE SUMMER AND OTHER THAN THE KOMATUK AREA WHICH IS A NEW PROGRAM, THERE MUST BE SOME REASONS FOR THE NUMBER OF HOURS THAT ARE DELINEATED IN EACH OF THE AREAS - HAINES JUNCTION, WATSON LAKE, FARO, DAWSON CITY, MAYO, OLD CROW AND WHITEHORSE.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: I'LL SEE WHAT I CAN DO, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, QUITE OFTEN IN THIS BUDGET, IN FORMER BUDGETS THERE WAS AN ITEM FOR RESCUE AND THIS TYPE OF THING. I'D LIKE TO KNOW IT WAS USUALLY A GENERAL QUESTION WHEN WE USED TO HAVE FITZ IN (Mr. FITZGERALD) I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT EXPERIENCE WE HAD WITH RESCUE LAST YEAR AND DID WE DO ANY RESCUE WORK AT ALL OR IF NOT, FINE. IF SO, IN WHAT AREAS DID WE DO

RESCUE WORK AND TO WHAT EXTENT? AND HOW MUCH OF THIS BUDGET IS ALLOCATED TOWARDS RESCUE WORK THIS YEAR?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, NONE OF IT IS SPECIFICALLY ALLOCATED TO RESCUE, Mr. CHAIRMAN. WHAT WE DO IN THE CASE OF RESCUE IS WE SIMPLY MAKE OUR AIRCRAFT AVAILABLE IF WE HAVE THEM UNDER CONTRACT AT THAT TIME.

Mr. TANNER: THE SAME AS IN THE PAST, Mr. CHAIRMAN. THERE IS NO CHANGE.

Mr. TAYLOR: ANOTHER QUESTION THAT OCCURS TO ME TOO THAT SHOULD BE ASKED AND THAT IS IN THE CASE OF USING AIRCRAFT OR HELICOPTERS. IS IT THE POLICY OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT TO SHARE A HELICOPTER, WHERE POSSIBLE, WITH ANOTHER GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT, YOU KNOW, SHARE A MACHINE TO DO HIS DUTIES IN RELATION TO HIS DEPARTMENT?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: VERY DEFINITELY, Mr. CHAIRMAN. WE DO THAT WHEREVER WE POSSIBLY CAN.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT Mr. FINGLAND NOTED THAT THERE WOULD BE AN INCREASE OF FIVE MAN-YEARS BETWEEN 1973/74 ESTIMATES AND THE 74/75 ESTIMATES AND WITH A FIVE MAN INCREASE, YOU SEE OVER A DOUBLING IN THE USE OF MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES IN GENERAL FROM \$7,000 TO \$15,500 AND THE TWO JUST DON'T SEEM TO CORRESPOND SOMEHOW. YOU ARE ONLY INCREASING FIVE MAN-YEARS BUT YOU ARE OVER DOUBLING THE MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES ESTIMATES.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, THAT'S RIGHT, Mr. CHAIRMAN. IT'S BASED ON AN ACTUAL REQUIREMENT. IF YOU LOOK ON PAGE 831 YOU WILL SEE THE ACTUAL BREAKDOWN IN THERE. THIS IS AN ITEM WHICH IS SUBJECT TO FLUCTUATION TO SOME EXTENT FROM YEAR TO YEAR.

Mr. McKINNON: I STILL SEE, Mr. CHAIRMAN, HOW YOU KEEP DOUBLING THE DEPARTMENT THEN DOUBLING THE COST OF MATERIALS BUT FIVE MAN-YEARS INCREASE AND OVER A DOUBLING OF COSTS, THERE IS JUST NO RELATION.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY FOLLOW THAT WHEN YOU DOUBLE YOUR MAN-YEARS IT COULD VERY WELL BE THAT THE MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES COULD HAVE GONE DOWN. THE TWO THINGS ARE NOT COMPARABLE. YOUR MATERIALS ARE NECESSITATED TO SOME MINOR EXTENT BY THE NUMBER OF MAN-YEARS BUT SURELY THEY ARE NECESSITATED BY THE NEED OVERALL. SO, BECAUSE THE MAN-YEARS HAVE GONE UP BY FIVE, THERE IS THE RELATIONSHIP

TO THAT IN MATERIALS AND GENERAL SUPPLIES, IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY FOLLOW,

Mr. McKinnon: MAYBE NOT IN GOVERNMENT, Mr. CHAIRMAN BUT I ASSURE YOU IT WOULD BE LOOKED AT PRETTY HARD IN PRIVATE ENTERPRISE.

AND RENTAL OF LAND AND BUILDINGS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, ALSO A WHOPPING INCREASE, WELL OVER DOUBLE FROM THE 1973/74 ESTIMATES. I WONDER IF WE COULD HAVE SOME SORT OF FURTHER BREAKDOWN IN THE RENTAL OF LANDS AND BUILDINGS.

Mr. Taylor: I HAVE ONE PROBLEM, Mr. CHAIRMAN, INVOLVING THE GAME ORDINANCE OR REGULATIONS. I'M JUST NOT SURE AT THIS MOMENT OF WHERE TO FIND IT. IT'S EITHER IN OUR ORDINANCE OR REGULATIONS, A PROVISION THAT A PERSON IN BRITISH COLUMBIA TO TRANSPORT FUR TO THE YUKON TERRITORY, WELL, IF HE BRINGS THIS FUR IN TO THE TERRITORY WITHOUT HAVING A B.C. EXPORT PERMIT THAT HE IS IMMEDIATELY PICKED UP BY THE GAME WARDEN. FOR INSTANCE IN WATSON LAKE. THIS IS WHY THE QUESTION ABOUT SMUGGLING FUR WAS RAISED A MOMENT AGO OR A WHILE AGO. APPARENTLY THE PROBLEM IS WITHIN OUR OWN LAWS.

I'M WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD CONSIDER AMENDING EITHER THE BILL, PROPOSING AMENDMENTS TO THE BILL, OR THE REGULATIONS. I'M NOT SURE WHERE THIS APPEARS, TO WHEREBY B.C. TRAPPERS WHO HAVE TO COME TO WATSON LAKE TO EXPORT THEIR FUR BACK TO B.C. MAY DO SO WITHOUT BEING HARRASSED BY THE TERRITORIAL GAME DEPARTMENT FOR DOING SO.

NOW, I WILL JUST CITE YOU QUICKLY ONE CIRCUMSTANCE SO YOU WILL KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT. A TRAPPER FROM DEASE LAKE, ACTUALLY THE TELEGRAPH CREEK AREA, STIKINE RIVER. ALL THESE PEOPLE HAVE TO COME UP THE DEASE ROAD AND INTO WATSON LAKE IN ORDER TO GET TRANSPORTATION TO WHATEVER.

HE BROUGHT HIS FUR TO WATSON LAKE AS HE HAS GENERALLY DONE IN OTHER YEARS AND SHIPPED IT FROM WATSON LAKE BACK TO BRITISH COLUMBIA, DOWN TO PRINCE GEORGE, AS A MATTER OF FACT. IN THIS CASE HE CAME TO TOWN, WENT TO THE POST OFFICE AND THEY SAID NO, "WE'VE BEEN TOLD NOT TO SHIP ANY FUR UNTIL YOU HAVE AN EXPORT PERMIT." HE TOLD THEM WHAT HE WAS SHIPPING. SO HE WENT TO THE POLICE TO GET AN EXPORT PERMIT AND THEY SAID "WELL WE DON'T HANDLE B.C. EXPORT PERMITS. NO BODY DOES ANY MORE." THEY SAID TO SEE THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT GAME WARDEN. SO HE WENT TO OUR GAME WARDEN, WALKED IN AND SET THE PARCEL

ON THE TABLE AND HE SAID, "I HAVE THIS FUR AND I WOULD LIKE TO SHIP." THE GAME WARDEN SAID "WHERE DO YOU COME FROM?" HE SAID, "TELEGRAPH CREEK", HE SAID, "DO YOU HAVE AN EXPORT PERMIT FOR THIS FUR?" HE SAID, "NO". HE SEIZED THE FUR RIGHT NOW, BANG. HE SEIZED IT AND HE SAID "WELL I'M NOT SURE IF WE ARE GOING TO CONVICT YOU OR NOT, BUT WE MUST RETAIN THE FUR". SO, AT THIS POINT THEY GOT A HOLD OF ME AND I TOLD THEM TO EITHER CHARGE HIM OR GIVE HIM HIS FUR BACK BECAUSE THIS IS RIDICULOUS. AT LEAST HE CAN TAKE IT BACK TO B.C. GO TO CASSIAR AND MAIL IT. THEN IT COMES TO WATSON LAKE IN A MAIL BAG. SO IT'S RIDICULOUS AND THIS IS WHAT IS HAPPENING TO THE B.C. TRAPPERS.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THAT ONE CASE THAT I MENTIONED ABOUT THE SEARCH WARRANT AND GOING THROUGH THE MAN'S HOUSE; HE LIVES IN THE YUKON BUT HE IS A B.C. TRAPPER. HE HAS NO WAY TO GET HIS FUR TO LOWER POST RIGHT NOW BUT HE HAS TO GET ON HIS SKIDOO AND BY-PASS AND GO WAY DOWN BELOW WATSON LAKE AND AROUND THE LIARD RIVER AND GO AROUND THE SKIDOO TRAIL TO AVOID OUR TERRITORIAL GAME WARDENS.

THERE IS NO REASON THAT THIS SHOULD HAPPEN AND THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN CURE WITHIN OUR OWN LAWS AND REGULATIONS AND I WOULD ASK THAT THIS BE LOOKED INTO AND AT THIS SESSION. AND IF IT MEANS A CHANGE TO THE ORDINANCE OR THE REGULATION THAT PROVISION BE MADE FOR TRAPPERS IN THE NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA AREA TO PERMIT THEM TO COME INTO WATSON LAKE WITH FUR FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPORTING THAT FUR BACK INTO BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Mr. Administrator: WELL, I THINK, Mr. CHAIRMAN, AS FAR AS EXPORTING FROM THE YUKON IS CONCERNED THAT'S REALLY ALL OUR LEGISLATION IS CONCERNED WITH. NOW, I WOULD THINK, WHAT WE HAVE TO FIND OUT IS WHAT ARE THE B.C. REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE EXPORT OF FUR FROM B.C. BECAUSE IN THE FIRST INSTANCE HE HAS EXPORTED FROM B.C. NOW ONCE HE HAS DONE THAT, IF HE HAS DONE THAT UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ARE ACCEPTABLE TO B.C., I CAN'T SEE WHY THERE CAN'T BE SOME KIND OF ARRANGEMENT, SOME KIND OF BONDING ARRANGEMENT THAT WOULD ENABLE HIM TO DO THAT.

BUT I'LL CERTAINLY UNDERTAKE TO LOOK INTO THAT AND SEE WHAT CAN BE DONE.

Mr. Taylor: THE POINT IS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IN ORDER FOR THESE PEOPLE TO GET AN EXPORT PERMIT THEY HAVE TO BRING THEIR FUR TO THE YUKON. THEY CAN'T GET ONE IN NORTHERN B.C. SO THEY HAVE TO

BRING THEM TO WATSON LAKE, YUKON, WHERE THEY USED TO GET THEIR FUR PERMITS - FROM THE STORE OR THROUGH DON MACINTOSH IN UPPER LIARD.

NOW THAT IS NOT POSSIBLE. NOW THE TRAPPER IS THE GUY WHO IS STUCK. HE'S GOT TO BRING HIS FUR OR ELSE COME UP TO THE BOUNDARY AND CACHE IT IN THE BUSH AND COME INTO YUKON AND TRY AND GET A PERMIT. I KNOW THIS HAPPENED TOO. IT'S NOT A JOKE, IT'S QUITE TRUE. AND ONCE GETTING THE PERMIT, GO BACK AND GET THE FUR IF NO ONE HAS STOLEN IT. IT'S A TERRIBLE THING AND THE TRAPPER WORKS PRETTY HARD TO GET HIS FUR AND LIVES A PRETTY TOUGH LIFE AND I THINK IF WE CAN MAKE LIFE A LITTLE BETTER FOR HIM THROUGH OUR OWN REGULATIONS, I THINK WE SHOULD.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, WE'LL TALK TO THE B.C. AUTHORITIES ABOUT IT BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A B.C. MATTER AS WELL AS THE YUKON AND WE'LL HAVE TO TALK TO THEM BUT I'LL CERTAINLY LOOK INTO IT.

MR. TAYLOR: IN THE INTERVAL WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE FOR DIRECTION TO BE GIVEN TO THE GAME WARDEN IN WATSON LAKE TO LAY OFF THESE PEOPLE UNTIL THE MATTER IS RESOLVED SO WE NO LONGER HARRASS THE TRAPPER?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, I'M SORRY, I COULDN'T DO THAT, MR. CHAIRMAN. I COULDN'T INSTRUCT ANY OF OUR OFFICERS NOT TO ENFORCE THE LAW AND IF THERE ARE, IN FACT, VIOLATIONS OF OUR LEGISLATION OCCURRING THEN HE HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ENSURING THAT THERE IS ENFORCEMENT BUT I COULD CERTAINLY UNDERTAKE TO LOOK INTO IT WITH THE B.C. PEOPLE AND FIND OUT JUST EXACTLY WHAT THE PROBLEMS ARE IN TERMS AS TO WHOSE LEGISLATION IS BEING VIOLATED AND TO MAKE SURE THAT AN ATTEMPT IS MADE TO SORT OUT SOME KIND OF AN ARRANGEMENT.

CERTAINLY I WILL LOOK INTO IT AND SEE WHAT CAN BE DONE AS I UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM NOW.

MR. TAYLOR: IT WILL HAVE TO BE CURED BECAUSE IT'S TERRIBLY UNFAIR AND I'M SURE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY OR ANYONE HERE DON'T WANT TO BE UNFAIR TO ANYONE. THIS IS PRETTY BAD. THIS IS WORKING A HARDSHIP ON THE PEOPLE AND IT'S GOT TO BE DONE. THERE'S AN IMMEDIACY TO THIS ONE TOO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF I COULD ASK FROM THE CHAIR, MR. MILLER, HOW MUCH MONEY SPENT IN THE PAST FISCAL YEAR ON THE RENTAL OF LANDS AND BUILDINGS?

MR. MILLER: JUST GIVE ME A MOMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON 720?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. MR. CHAIRMAN I'M WONDERING IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL US WHETHER THERE WAS ANY COMPLAINT LAID THROUGH THE GAME DEPARTMENT OF GAME BEING HARRASSED LAST YEAR BY ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLES?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I THINK THERE WERE, MR. CHAIRMAN BUT I COULDN'T TELL YOU WHERE OR HOW MANY THERE WERE BUT I CAN FIND OUT.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD FIND THAT OUT. ALSO, WHETHER ANY PROSECUTIONS WERE LAID AND WHETHER THEY WERE SUCCESSFUL OR NOT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION. THE ACTUAL EXPENDITURES ON RENTAL OF LAND AND BUILDINGS IN 1973-74 WAS \$15,161.

MR. CHAIRMAN: SO IT WAS UNDERESTIMATED IN 73-74 AND CONSIDERABLY.

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ONCE AGAIN FROM THE CHAIR. IN THESE TWO NEW PROPOSED STATIONS, FAYO AND OLD CROW, DO THEY INVOLVE RENTAL OR HOW DOES THIS RENTAL OF MACHINERY OR RENTAL OF OFFICE SPACE COME ABOUT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, THEY DON'T INVOLVE RENTAL, MR. CHAIRMAN, BOTH OF THOSE ARE CONSTRUCTION PROPOSITIONS.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD LET COMMITTEE MEMBERS KNOW HOW MANY PROSECUTIONS WERE LAID BY THE DEPARTMENT UNDER THE GAME ORDINANCE, IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR.

I WONDER IF MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD ALSO FIND UNDER WHAT SECTION THEY WERE LAID AND HOW MANY WERE SUCCESSFUL IN THE COURT.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD TELL US WHETHER THERE HAVE BEEN ANY COMPLAINTS LAID TO THE GAME DEPARTMENT THIS WINTER OF POACHING ON TRAP LINES.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WHETHER THERE WERE?

Mr. McKinnon: Whether there were.

Mr. Administrator: I don't know whether there were or not, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. McKinnon: I wonder if Mr. Chairman, if Mr. Administrator finds out whether there were which I'm sure he will find out there were, whether these complaints were prosecuted and whether they were successful in the courts.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I'm wondering if we can determine from the Game Department as to whether or not the Indian Brotherhood or the Government of Canada on their behalf made application to take over all traplines in the south east Yukon, namely from the Canol Road east.

Mr. Administrator: I'm sorry Mr. Chairman, is there a proposal to take over traplines from the Canol Road east?

Mr. Taylor: Yes, I would like to know if there have been any discussions or proposals made by the Indian Brotherhood or the Government of Canada through Indian Affairs and Northern Development if you prefer to tie up for exclusive Indian use, traplines in south east Yukon basically east of the Canol Road.

Mr. Administrator: Well I know of no discussions Mr. Chairman, but there may have been. And I could find out.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if Mr. Administrator can tell us whether there are any policy guidelines issued to Game Guardians re: the hunting in the Kluane Game Sanctuary or the proposed Kluane National Park of Indian people hunting in those parks.

Specifically I would like to know, Mr. Chairman, whether the Game Department Guardians have been instructed to inform the Indian people that it is not against the law for them to hunt in the Kluane Game Sanctuary if it is one of their traditional hunting grounds or whether the Game Guardians are informing the Indian people that it is against the law for them to hunt in the Kluane Game Sanctuary and the proposed Kluane National Park.

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Chairman, they have not been telling people that they cannot hunt there, but there have been discussions between the Game

Department and the people in the band in that area about hunting privileges in the Park and in the Game Sanctuary. Now these took place before the Park legislation was actually passed. And of course the findings of the courts just took this particular moment, are that Indians can hunt for food on an occupied Crown land which includes the Kluane Game Sanctuary. But really the results of our discussions were to ask the Indian people to not do anything about any further extension of whatever hunting they were doing until such time as we could determine just where we stood in relation to the establishment of the Park. Because the Park is one body of legislation and the findings of the courts in relation to the Sanctuary is another body of legislation. Yes there have been discussions, but there have been no instructions Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, this year after following this fall's moose season which I must say was terribly late, there was a request made for all hunters who were then taking cow moose to return parts of the moose for scientific study. It was reported later this winter by the Game Department, and I thought it astonishing that a responsible Department would make this statement that the hunters did not respond to the requests of the Game Department in obtaining these parts of the moose, to the extent that they would probably have to shut down the cow moose season because they weren't getting co-operation of the hunters. And this I found was an astonishing statement to make by people who profess to know and to try and bring into fact, big game management, because you just don't stop a cow moose season for that. I am wondering if Mr. Administrator could look into that question and advise me if indeed this is what they are trying to do.

Mr. Administrator: Well I can answer that question Mr. Chairman. I must say that I was a bit shaken myself that the response of the hunters to a request to return certain parts of the animals that they took should actually affect whether or not there was a season and I can assure the Honourable Member that that alone is certainly not going to have any effect on whether there is a cow season. I do know that the response to the request for the return of these parts was very very poor, but that's not going to have any bearing on cow season.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if I could ask Mr. Administrator whether he is aware of how much policing or any policing is done on the regulation that big game outfitters must bring in all the meat that is killed on a hunt.

Mr. Administrator: Well Mr. Chairman, I would hesitate to put it in the category of policing. There are conversations going on all the time about the enforcement of this Game Ordinance and the regulations with the outfitters, but I think this is one area where we would very much prefer to seek compliance by co-operation rather than by enforcement. I know for a fact that there are probably several instances where this doesn't happen every year, but it's a very very difficult thing to tie down and I think that we would much sooner co-operate as far as possible rather than to police and enforce it in that manner.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, how could one know whether it was one outfitter that was constantly not obeying the regulatory section if there is absolutely no policing except through conversation?

Mr. Administrator: Well we would know if it were a particular outfitter that we were dealing with. There would only be one outfitter in one area.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, the Administrator is satisfied that there is, and I agree that there are circumstances which don't allow at certain times and because of certain extenuating circumstances, the removal of the total amount of meat from a kill, but is Mr. Administrator through the Game Department, aware that there are some outfitters who try to abide by the regulations stringently and others who may not be attempting to abide by the regulations as some other are.

Mr. Administrator: Yes, Mr. Chairman, we are definitely aware of this.

Mr. McKinnon: Now, the ones that aren't even making an honest attempt to abide by the regulations might understand from the Administrator's answer that they have no intention of trying to enforce the terms of the Game Ordinance on these outfitters.

Mr. Administrator: Well I couldn't say Mr. Chairman that we wouldn't enforce it if the

thing became unenforceable in other ways and I think our preference would be to do it through discussions with the Outfitters' Association as a whole and perhaps if necessary the individual outfitters. If it became a flagrant violation and situation that recurred, yes, we would prosecute.

Mr. Stutter: From the Chair, Mr. Administrator, would it not be true to say that in the case of a professional outfitter that there is always the renewal of his licence which is actually in the form of a bond in his particular business. If he is not complying with the regulations and the authorities know of it, surely to gosh there is no obligation for them to renew his licence.

Mr. Administrator: Well that's true. That is one route we can take. I think if it were individual instances of violation of the Ordinance, rather than pull the licence, we would prefer first of all to take the route I mentioned and then if it were a flagrant case, perhaps to prosecute, but to actually remove any existing entitlement by way of removing his licence or refusing to renew, I think we would have to look at that very carefully indeed. That would be our very last resort.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, just getting down to the licencing side of it. As suspect in all other things, I suspect that the Game Department is probably increasing fees this year. I hope that they haven't too drastically, but I'm wondering and I would like to know first on the revenue side. In order that we can get some idea of what type of fur industry we do experience in the Yukon Territory, you know what volume of fur was exported from the Yukon Territory last year? And what revenue accrued from that export of that fur.

The second thing I would like to know is what revenues accrued to the Game Department in terms of trophies. Big game hunter fees by big game hunters and from licences sold? I would also like to know what are the anticipated increases in hunting and fishing licences for Yukoners and non-residents this forthcoming year?

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Chairman, just at the moment there have been no increases as yet. These are under examination at the present time. I may say in this regard, the one thing we find is that we are very seriously out of

LINE WITH NEIGHBOURING JURISDICTIONS, BUT WE HAVE NOT IN FACT MADE ANY CHANGE YET.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE TIMES I THINK WHEN WE CAN LOOK UPON NEIGHBOURING JURISDICTIONS FOR ADVICE BUT I THINK YOU KNOW, ON STANDING ON OUR OWN FEET WE'VE GOT TO SEE HOW OUR OWN FEE STRUCTURES AFFECT OUR TERRITORY, NOTWITHSTANDING WHAT'S GOING ON IN BRITISH COLUMBIA.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: I QUITE AGREE Mr. CHAIRMAN, BUT I THINK THOUGH WE HAVE TO LOOK VERY CAREFULLY AT THE RISK WE EXPOSE OURSELVES TO IF OUR FEES ARE GROSSLY OUT OF LINE WITH THOSE IN NEIGHBOURING JURISDICTIONS AND ALL THE PEOPLE WHO ARE COMING HERE AND TAKING OUR GAME, BUT, YOU KNOW I HAVE TO ALSO AGREE THAT THERE ARE OTHER FACTORS AS WELL. THERE ARE OTHER EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES AND WE WOULDN'T WANT TO LOOK AT JUST THAT FACTOR ALONE. I MAY SAY Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS YOU'VE ASKED IN CONNECTION WITH THE LICENCES AND FUR AND SO ON ARE CONTAINED IN THE MATERIAL THAT HAS BEEN CIRCULATED, AND ALSO IN THE REPORT.

Mr. TAYLOR: NOW IN RESPECT OF THE FISHERIES LICENCES I BELIEVE, I'M NOT SURE IS THAT 31ST OF MARCH OR 1ST OF JUNE? 31ST OF MARCH SO NOW THEY SHOULD BE ON SALE THEN FOR THIS YEAR. DO I TAKE IT THAT THERE IS NO CHANGE IN THE FISHERIES LICENCE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: THAT'S RIGHT, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TAYLOR: IS THERE A CHANGE ANTICIPATED IN THE FISHERIES LICENCE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE Mr. CHAIRMAN, No.

Mr. TAYLOR: WHEN WILL WE THEN KNOW WHAT CHANGES, IF ANY, OR IF ANY CHANGE IS GOING TO BE MADE TO THE GAME LICENCES?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE FAIRLY SOON. I COULDN'T JUST PUT A TIME LIMIT ON IT, BUT SOMETIME I WOULD HOPE, IN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS. BUT WE WANT TO MAKE ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN THAT WE HAVE GIVEN THE THING FULL CONSIDERATION.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, UNDER GAME EQUIPMENT THERE HAS BEEN A SUBSTANTIAL EXPENDITURE ON THE AMOUNT AND VARIETY OF GAME EQUIPMENT

OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS. I WONDER WHETHER IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE WHETHER MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE COULD BE PROVIDED WITH AN INVENTORY OF THE ACTUAL EQUIPMENT WHICH IS IN THE HANDS OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK Mr. ADMINISTRATOR WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN ANY CONVERSATION OR ANY STUDY BETWEEN THE RESIDENT BIOLOGIST AND THE BIG GAME OUTFITTERS AS TO THE PRESSURE OF NOT RESIDENT HUNTERS BUT BIG GAME HUNTERS ON THE GAME POPULATION OF CERTAIN BIG GAME AREAS AND WHETHER BECAUSE OF PRESSURE OF BIG GAME OUTFITTING WERE FOR REASONS IN THE PAST GOOD HARVEST METHODS WERE EMPLOYED. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF TO KEEP A GAME POPULATION VIABLE IN A BIG GAME OUTFITTING AREA, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO THE OUTFITTER IN THAT AREA DURING THE COURSE OF ANY CONTROL THAT WERE PLACED UPON THAT MANAGEMENT ZONE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL THIS IS A QUESTION, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE ARE CURRENTLY LOOKING INTO. THE WHOLE QUESTION OF HOW GAME MANAGEMENT ZONES WOULD AFFECT OPEN SEASON OR BIG GAME OUTFITTERS, IS A THING THAT WE ARE JUST NOW AT THE PRESENT TIME LOOKING INTO. AND QUITE FRANKLY, WE HAVN'T REALLY COME TO GRIPS WITH HOW WE WOULD DEAL WITH THIS. ALL WE DO KNOW IS THAT THE CENSUS IS STARTING TO THROW UP INFORMATION THAT REVEALS VERY CLEARLY THAT THERE ARE SOME AREAS THAT ARE BEING OVERLOOKED, BUT NOT NECESSARILY BECAUSE OF THE BIG GAME OUTFITTERS. A LOT OF THE OVER HUNTING COMES BECAUSE OF CLOSE PROXIMITY TO CENTRES OF POPULATION IN THE TERRITORY.

Mr. MCKINNON: BUT IT WOULD SEEM TO INDICATE, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT IN SOME AREAS IF THE CONCEPT OF GAME MANAGEMENT ZONES WHICH SEEMS TO BE A CONCEPT THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO PUT INTO PRACTICE, IT WOULD DRAMATICALLY AFFECT THE LIVELIHOOD OF THE OUTFITTER IN WHOSE AREA THAT GAME MANAGEMENT ZONE HAPPENS TO BE IN. WHAT WOULD BE THE ATTITUDE OF THE GOVERNMENT BECAUSE PERHAPS, IN FACT PROBABLY IN THE GREAT MAJORITY OF CASES IT WOULDN'T BE THE OUTFITTER'S FAULT BECAUSE IF ANYBODY REALIZES WHAT A SENSIBLE HARVEST MEANS TO HIS CONTINUED PROFIT, IT WOULD BE THE OUTFITTER. BUT BECAUSE OF DIFFERENT PRESSURES PUT ON, THE OUTFITTER WOULD BE EFFECTED AND EFFECTED TO THE EXTENT THAT HIS LIVELIHOOD COULD BE TAKEN AWAY FROM HIM. CERTAINLY THERE MUST BE SOME THINKING OF GOVERNMENT WHAT WOULD

BE THEIR REACTION TO THAT OUTFITTER IF SUCH AN INSTANCE CAME TO PASS.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL MOST DEFINITELY IF WE WERE TO INTERVENE PART WAY THROUGH THE LIFE OF AN EXISTING BIG GAME OUTFITTER'S LICENCE, IT WOULD CERTAINLY SUDDENLY LIFT FROM HIM HIS MEANS OF LIVELIHOOD. AND WE WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO LOOK AT VARIOUS WAYS AND MEANS OF NEGOTIATING SOME ARRANGEMENT. BUT THE VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES THAT ARE OPEN TO US UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, I MUST SAY WE HAVEN'T FULLY EXPLORED. YOU CAN ASSUME THAT NOTHING WOULD HAPPEN UNTIL AN EXISTING LICENCE EXPIRED BUT AT THE SAME TIME WHAT WE FIND IS LIKELY HAPPENING IN THESE SITUATIONS IS THAT THE LIVELIHOOD IS GRADUALLY DISAPPEARING ANYWAY, BECAUSE OF THE TAKING OF THE GAME. BUT QUITE FRANKLY, ALL THESE ALTERNATIVES WE JUST SIMPLY HAVE NOT YET HAD A CHANCE TO COME TO GRIPS WITH. WHAT THE GAME CENSUS IS REVEALING, THIS IS IMMINENT AND THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING.

Mr. McKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR, ARE THERE ANY TRAPLINES OPEN IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AT THE PRESENT TIME?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. McKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR WHETHER A TRAPLINE THAT LAY DORMANT FOR MANY YEARS UNTIL THE PRESENT INCREASE IN THE PRICE OF FUR, NOW IF THOSE TRAPLINES STILL AREN'T BEING WORKED AND ARE STILL LAYING DORMANT AND OTHER PEOPLE ARE ATTEMPTING TO OBTAIN A TRAPLINE. WHAT IS THE POLICY OF THE GAME DEPARTMENT WITH THE DORMANT TRAPLINES?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL WE WOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH AN EXISTING REGISTERED TRAPLINE UNLESS IT WAS CLEAR THAT IT WAS NO LONGER GOING TO BE USED AT ALL. IN WHICH CASE THEN, I THINK WE WOULD ATTEMPT TO CONTACT THE PEOPLE AND FIND OUT WHAT THEIR INTENTIONS WERE. AND I KNOW THIS HAS HAPPENED IN SOME INSTANCES, BUT IT'S PURELY A PROCESS OF DISCUSSION WITH THE REGISTERED OWNER OF THE LINE.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS HAPPENS AT ALL TIMES. THEY ALWAYS CARRY ON THE DISCUSSION WITH THE REGISTERED OWNER OR HIS FAMILY.

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: YES VERY DEFINITELY.

Mr. TAYLOR: IS THERE A POLICY MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT A NATIVE OWNER OF A TRAPLINE CANNOT SELL THAT TO A WHITE MAN BUT CAN ONLY SELL IT TO ANOTHER NATIVE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO THERE IS NO LEGAL WAY IN WHICH THAT CAN BE ENFORCED BUT AS A MATTER OF COURTESY, WE HAVE IN FACT BEEN INFORMING THE BROTHERHOOD WHERE THERE ARE APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFERS OF LINES FROM AN INDIAN TO A WHITE PERSON. BUT IF IN FACT IT WERE INSISTED UPON BY THE INDIAN, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WE CAN DO.

Mr. TAYLOR: WHAT HAS BEEN THE EXPERIENCE IN THIS CASE? HAS IT BEEN THE CASE THAT WHERE A NATIVE PERSON SOLD TO A WHITE MAN THAT WAS REFUSED OR WISHED TO SELL?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, AS A MATTER OF FACT, WHAT WE HAVE BEEN FINDING IS THAT WHEN WE HAVE NOTIFIED THE BROTHERHOOD OF AN APPLICATION FOR THAT KIND OF TRANSFER THAT USUALLY IT DOESN'T GO THROUGH, USUALLY IT'S KEPT IN INDIAN HANDS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 720?

Mrs. WATSON: I WILL JUST CARRY ON THE DISCUSSION WITH THE OWNER OR HIS FAMILY.

Mr. TAYLOR: IS THERE A POLICY IN THE YUKON, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT A NATIVE OWNER OF A TRAP LINE CAN NOT SELL THAT TO A WHITE MAN, HE CAN ONLY SELL IT TO ANOTHER NATIVE?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, THERE IS NO LEGAL WAY IN WHICH THAT CAN BE ENFORCED BUT AS A MATTER OF COURTESY WE HAVE IN FACT BEEN INFORMING THE BROTHERHOOD WHERE THERE ARE APPLICATIONS FOR A TRANSFER OF TRAP LINES TO A WHITE MAN FROM AN INDIAN. BUT IF IN FACT IT WERE INSISTED UPON BY THE INDIAN, THERE IS ACTUALLY NOTHING WE CAN DO.

Mr. TAYLOR: WHAT HAS BEEN THE EXPERIENCE IN THIS CASE? IN THE CASE WHERE A NATIVE PERSON SOLD TO A WHITE MAN AND IT WAS REFUSED? OR WISHED TO SELL?

Mr. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, AS A MATTER OF FACT, WE HAVE BEEN FINDING THAT WHEN WE HAVE NOTIFIED THE NATIVE BROTHERHOOD OF AN APPLICATION FOR THAT KIND OF TRANSFER, THAT USUALLY IT DOESN'T GO THROUGH. IT USUALLY IS KEPT IN INDIAN HANDS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 720?

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, all depending on the answers I receive from Mr. Administrator, I would certainly ask that we be given the one small courtesy of not being forced to pass the estimates until the answers are provided and perhaps further debate may ensue from the answers that come.

Mr. Chairman: Well, I'm sure that courtesy has been extended in any event.

730. LIBRARY SERVICES, \$453,000. SOME DETAIL ON A-33 AND 34.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to know, it relates to Archives. Just how are the people of the Yukon using the Archives facility to any great extent and to what extent are people seeking information on a fairly regular rate there? Just generally how is it going?

SOME MEMBER: READ THE PAPER, DON.

Mr. Administrator: Well I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I don't have any particular statistics but I do know that there is a heavy use of the Archives and this applies, I think, to outsiders as well as ourselves. We get a lot of requests for exchanges of information and for copies of documents that are in our possession but I'm quite impressed actually with the use of the Archives by the local people.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, as a matter of interest, I spent several hours in there a couple of weekends ago and I was talking to the Archivist there. When they had the conference here the observations by the other people from the rest of Canada, he was quite pleased that in so far as that they were all very impressed by the number of public using it as compared to the bigger centres outside.

I don't know what it is about the Yukon but apparently it's out of all proportion to our population. It's out of all proportion to our facility, the amount of use that is being made of our Archives.

Mr. Chairman: From the chair, I would like to point out that's also true, I believe, with the Library Services in general.

Mr. Administrator: Very definitely, yes.

Mr. McKinnon: Well, that's what makes the

question all the more interesting, Mr. Chairman, because we know of a Department that is successful, the Library Services Branch. We know that it is having great use throughout the Territory and we see all other Departments with pretty whopping increases in their Budget, both Capital and Operation and Maintenance. It almost seems that it was a policy decision of having prepared, I hope it wasn't but it almost seems that it was a policy decision of the Government of the Yukon to say "Well, we're really going ahead in the other areas and we're spending a little too much money. Maybe it's time we brought the Library Department down to earth. Perhaps it's time we put a curtailment on the expansion of their activities throughout the Yukon." And Mr. Chairman, without a miniscule increase of \$53,000 for what the Library Services provide throughout the Yukon, here is one area where I would like to see a further expenditure of funds because I think the Library Services Branch is doing one heck of a good job. I wonder if Mr. Administrator could comment on whether it was a policy decision of the Government of the Yukon not to further the Library Services Branch's operations in the fiscal year, 1974/75?

Mr. Administrator: Yes, Mr. Chairman, it's a policy decision of the Government based on the recommendation of the Regional Librarian that he is anticipating that the actual usage, the book usage in the Library has reached a plateau.

It's not a policy to suppress or restrict the Library in any way. It's on the advice of the Regional Librarian that he thinks it has peaked and for the coming year he doesn't anticipate having to have additional money except, of course, to meet the higher costs and if it turns out that this is incorrect and there is a demand for books and it does in fact increase, I assure you we will be back asking for more money.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, is that the advice of the Regional Librarian or the advice of the Acting Director of Library Services?

Mr. Administrator: That's the advice of the Acting Regional Librarian. I think that is also the result of discussions with the Regional Librarian. I don't think it's entirely his own.

Mr. Taylor: I'll resume the chair.

Mr. Chairman: Anything further on Library Services Branch? \$453,000.

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AND I BELIEVE THERE ARE SOME ANSWERS COMING IN FROM GAMB.

I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL A RECESS. WE NEED THE BEAGLE FOR THE NEXT ONE.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. THE NEXT ITEM IS THE DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS. IS THERE ANYTHING IN CAPITAL ON THIS?

MR. STUTTER: NO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: APPARENTLY THERE IS NO CAPITAL SO WE WILL PROCEED TO PAGE 45 AND THE FIRST ITEM IS GENERAL ADMINISTRATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$534,663. JUST FROM THE CHAIR, HOW MUCH OF THIS WOULD YOU SAY IS TO PROVIDE LEGAL ADVICE AND SERVICES TO THE COMMISSIONER, TERRITORIAL COUNCIL AND ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES? HOW MUCH OF THIS IS RELGATED TO THE TERRITORIAL COUNCIL?

MR. FINLAND: IT ISN'T BROKEN DOWN MR. CHAIRMAN. IT IS JUST TO PROVIDE THE SALARIES FOR THE LEGAL OFFICES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THERE SEEMS TO BE A GREAT PROBLEM IN COURT REPORTING STAFF, GETTING OR RETAINING COURT REPORTERS. I'M NOT TOO CLEAR. I'VE HEARD SEVERAL VIEWS ON THE SUBJECT. I KNOW IT IS DIFFICULT. I KNOW I WAS FINED \$500 LAST YEAR AND I'VE YET TO BE ABLE TO GET A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT, NOTWITHSTANDING THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST WAS OUT FOR A FEW DAYS FOLLOWING THE THING AND RECITING FROM IT. TO THIS DAY, I HAVE YET TO GET A TRANSCRIPT. I JUST POINT OUT THE FACT THAT THERE IS SOME PROBLEM IN TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES. WHAT IS THE PROBLEM AND WHAT IS BEING DONE TO CURE IT?

MR. FINLAND: WELL THE PROBLEM ACTUALLY, MR. CHAIRMAN IS THAT - COURT REPORTERS THIS IS A CHRONIC PROBLEM EVERYWHERE MR. CHAIRMAN. WE WERE VERY MUCH BEHIND IN OUR CASE LOAD AND IN THE PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPTS AND WHAT WE HAVE UNDERTAKEN TO DO ON A CRASH BASIS IS TO BRING IN AN OUTSIDE FIRM FOR A FEW WEEKS TO PICK UP THE BACKLOG.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, ANOTHER ANNUAL EVENT AT BUDGET TIME IS MY CONTINUED, REPEATED REQUEST ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF WATSON LAKE FOR THE PROVISION OF A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE IN THAT COMMUNITY. THIS IS, AS I SAY, AN ANNUAL EVENT, AND I THINK THE TIME HAS COME IN THIS CURRENT

FISCAL YEAR, WHEN THIS MUST BE DONE. WE HAVE JUST NOW OPENED THE NEW COURTROOM. THERE IS A FACILITY THERE FOR A MAGISTRATE. THERE IS AN OFFICE AND QUARTERS FOR HIM THERE. THE WORK LOAD, I THINK, WOULD SUBSTANTIATE WHAT I AM GETTING AT. THE DEPUTY-MAGISTRATE IN WATSON LAKE, WE ARE NOT ONLY TALKING ABOUT POLICE MAGISTRATE'S COURT, BUT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ALL THE OTHER NUMEROUS COURTS, JUVENILE COURT, FAMILY COURT AND THIS TYPE OF THING. THE J.P.'S AS THE MAGISTRATE HAVE EXERCISED JURISDICTION, NOT ONLY IN THE YUKON BUT IN SOME CASES OF THE MAGISTRATE INTO THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES WHERE WE HAVE, OF COURSE, CANADA TUNGSTEN, AND INCREASING ACTIVITY IN THAT AREA. NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA OF WHICH WE HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF ACTIVITY IN THROUGH DEAS LAKE, TELEGRAPH CREEK, CASSIAR AND THESE AREAS. PLUS THE YUKON AREAS OF COURSE, THE SOUTH HIGHWAY.

THERE IS SUFFICIENT, IN MY OPINION, WORKLOAD THERE TO WARRANT THE PLACEMENT OF A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE IN WATSON LAKE. RIGHT NOW, HAS THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES, ARE DEPENDED UPON THE JUSTICES OF THE PEACE, LOCAL PEOPLE WHO HAVE ACCEPTED THE POSITION OF JUSTICES OF THE PEACE FOR THEIR JUSTICE. IF A PERSON SHOULD PLEAD NOT GUILTY AND ASK FOR REMAND TO THE HIGHER COURTS, THEN OF COURSE, THE POLICE MAGISTRATES, THE COURT PARTY COMES AROUND EVERY SO OFTEN.

THIS CREATES A LOT OF DIFFICULTY FOR THE PEOPLE. IN SOME CASES THEY WIND UP PLEADING GUILTY TO OFFENCES THAT THEY ARE NOT GUILTY BECAUSE OF THE TIME INVOLVED WAITING FOR THE NEXT COURT PARTY TO COME AROUND.

THIS TIME IS EVERY TWO MONTHS OR SOMETHING OF THAT NATURE. PEOPLE BY THE VERY NATURE OF THE COMMUNITY AS A COMMUNICATIONS AND SUPPLY CENTRE, THAT FIND THEMSELVES WITH PROBLEMS WITH THE LAW, ARE OFTEN FROM WIDELY SCATTERED POINTS, SOME OF THEM VERY REMOTE AND IN THE BUSH AND REQUIRING HELICOPTER FIXED WING TO GET THEM OUT TO MEET COURT DATES ONLY TO BE REMANDED AGAIN AND GO BACK OUT.

THERE ARE MANY PROBLEMS. I DON'T THINK THAT I COULD, JUST OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, ENUMERATE THEM ALL. BUT I THINK THAT COMMITTEE HAVE SOME UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT. SOME OF THE DIFFICULTIES THAT ARE ENCOUNTERED IN DISPENSING JUSTICE IN THE SOUTH EAST YUKON,

I THINK I HAD WISHED THAT MR. LEGAL ADVISOR WAS

HERE BECAUSE I WOULD IMAGINE HE WOULD HAVE SOME INFORMATION IN THIS MATTER. I'M SURE THAT IF YOU CHECKED WITH THE OFFICE OF THE MAGISTRATE YOU COULD GET SOME INDICATION OF WHAT THE CASE LOAD IS AND WHAT THE REMANDS TO WHITEHORSE ARE, GENERALLY THE WHOLE PICTURE. I WOULD ASK THAT THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT THROUGH THE OFFICES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, TAKE A REAL HARD LOOK AT THIS WITH A VIEW TO PLACING A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE IN WATSON LAKE.

ANOTHER POINT TO CONSIDER IS, WE HAVE NOW SEVEN R.C.M.P. IN THAT COMMUNITY AND THEY ARE CURRENTLY GENERATING AN AWFUL LOT OF BUSINESS FOR THE COURTS. AS A RESULT OF THIS, I THINK THAT THE TIME HAS COME WHEN THE PEOPLE CAN PROPERLY DEMAND AND PROPERLY HAVE, A MAN ON THE BENCH WHO IS LEARNED IN LAW AND I THINK IT IS IN THE INTEREST OF COMMON JUSTICE THAT THIS BE PROVIDED TO THE PEOPLE OF THE SOUTH EAST YUKON.

I AM WONDERING IF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THE JUSTICE AND LEGAL AFFAIRS WOULD HAVE ANY COMMENT ON THIS. CAN HE GIVE ANY ASSURANCES THAT THIS WILL BE GIVEN EARLY CONSIDERATION.

MR. FINGLAND: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, THE WHOLE QUESTION OF PROVIDING COURT SERVICE IS REVIEWED, I WOULDN'T SAY ON A CONTINUING BASIS, BUT PERIODICALLY EACH YEAR. I THINK THE PROBLEM IN PROVIDING A LAWYER OR A LEGALLY TRAINED OFFICER ON THE BENCH IS SOMETHING THAT EVERYBODY WOULD DESIRE IN ALL THE COMMUNITIES OF THE TERRITORY BUT MY INFORMATION, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE DELAYS THAT ARE INVOLVED AND THERE ARE DELAYS FROM TIME TO TIME IN VARIOUS CASES, CANNOT BE LAYED AT THE DOOR OF THE ABSENCE OR NON-EXISTENCE OF FACILITIES. THERE IS ADEQUATE COVERAGE, IN TERMS OF J.P.'S AND MAGISTRATES AT THE PRESENT TIME.

MANY OF THE DELAYS THAT HAVE OCCURED ARE NOT REALLY THE FAULT OF THE NONAVAILABILITY OF A MAGISTRATE. MANY CASES RISE FROM THE FACT THAT THE CASES ARE NOT PREPARED AND IF THERE ARE LAWYERS INVOLVED, THEY WANT A DELAY IN ORDER TO HAVE MORE TIME TO PREPARE A CASE. I'M SATISFIED MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT AT THE PRESENT TIME, THE ACTUAL CASE LOAD AS SUCH, WOULD NOT REQUIRE A MAGISTRATE, A PERMANENT MAGISTRATE LOCATED AT WATSON LAKE.

MR. TAYLOR: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN WITH A STATEMENT

LIKE THAT, I MUST SAY THAT OBVIOUSLY, THE GOVERNMENT, THE WITNESS HAS NOT TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT THE OTHER AREAS THAT I HAVE SUGGESTED, BECAUSE WE ARE QUITE SATISFIED, MORE SATISFIED, THAT THERE IS A DESPERATE NEED FOR A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE IN WATSON LAKE BECAUSE OF THE WORK LOAD. YOU MUST CONSIDER THE WORK LOAD THAT WOULD BE UPON HIM COVERING CASES AND ALSO THE OUTLYING JURISDICTIONS OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES AND NORTHERN B.C.

THERE IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF WORK THERE, DAILY, WHICH COULD SUPPORT A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE. THERE IS NO QUESTION IN ANYBODY'S MIND THAT IN THE INTEREST OF COMMON JUSTICE, THAT WE SHOULD HAVE ONE.

I WOULD ASK THE ADMINISTRATION TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT THIS QUESTION BECAUSE THIS IS NOT ONE THAT IS EASILY SLUFFED OVER JUST ON THE COMMENT, WELL WE DON'T THINK YOU NEED ONE.

MR. FINLAND: WELL IT ISN'T QUITE THE QUESTION OF WHETHER I THINK WE NEED ONE OR NOT, MR. CHAIRMAN IT IS A QUESTION OF INTERNAL DISCUSSION WITH THE PEOPLE WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THIS KIND OF THING. I HAVE NOT REALLY SEEN ANY CONCRETE EVIDENCE THAT, IN TERMS OF STATISTICAL DATA, THAT WOULD INDICATE THAT THERE IS THIS NEED, BUT OF COURSE, I AM NOT GOING TO SAY THAT THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT WE ARE GOING TO DISREGARD, WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO LOOK AT THIS FROM TIME TO TIME. WHAT I FIND HAPPENING IN MANY OF THESE SITUATIONS, THE ACTUAL LOAD FLUCTUATES ESPECIALLY THE CRIMINAL LOAD, VARIES FROM YEAR TO YEAR AND VARIES MONTH TO MONTH. IT'S ALWAYS AN ONGOING THING. I THINK IT IS A MATTER OF JUDGEMENT I SUPPOSE, TO A CERTAIN EXTENT, THE INCIDENCE OF CASES SUDDENLY INCREASES, DO YOU RESPOND IMMEDIATELY AND THEN YOU FIND THAT THE CASE LOAD GOES DOWN.

I THINK WE HAVE TO KEEP IT CONSTANTLY UNDER REVIEW AND THIS IS WHAT WE ARE DOING.

MR. TAYLOR: WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE, POSSIBLY NOT BUT I'VE GOT TO TRY ANYWAY, TO ASK AS A WITNESS BE CALLED THE CLERK OF THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT? MAYBE HE COULD GIVE US THIS INFORMATION.

MR. FINLAND: IF IT IS STATISTICAL INFORMATION, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CERTAINLY CAN GET STATISTICAL INFORMATION AND MAKE IT AVAILABLE.

MR. TAYLOR: I WOULD THINK MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT PROBABLY, MAYBE HE COULDN'T, BUT PROBABLY HE COULD TELL US BECAUSE HE IS THE MAN THAT EXPERIENCES THE TOTAL OPERATIONS THAT THE J.P.S AND THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT?

MR. FINLAND: NO, I DON'T THINK SO MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULD PREFER, IF YOU DON'T MIND, LEAVE IT WITH ME AND I'LL GET IT FROM OUR ADMINISTRATOR, THE STATISTICS.

MR. TAYLOR: INVOLVED IN THIS, NOT SO MUCH IN THE STATISTICAL END, BUT WOULD THE WITNESS ENDEAVOR ALSO TO DETERMINE WHAT ADDITIONAL WORK LOAD WOULD BE ON THE MAGISTRATE LOOKING AFTER THE NEIGHBOURING JURISDICTIONS, LIKE LOWER POST, IN B.C. HE COULD SERVE ON THE BENCH FOR THOSE CASES AND TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT AS WELL. THE B.C. CASES AND THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES CASES.

MR. FINLAND: I'M NOT ENTIRELY CERTAIN HOW READILY AVAILABLE THE STATISTICS MAY BE FROM OUTSIDE THE YUKON BUT I WILL ENQUIRE AND SEE WHAT I GET.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS DESPERATELY NEEDED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 800?

MR. TAYLOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I COULD PROBABLY DEAL WITH IT IN 802 BUT WHEN PEOPLE ARE AGREED BY THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, AS I SAY THE TYPE OF THING THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT, BECAUSE THEY CAN'T GET CASES TO COURT AND THIS TYPE OF THING. WHEN THEY ARE AGREED, WHO DO THEY GO TO. WE HAVE NO ATTORNEY-GENERAL IN THE YUKON. WHO RUNS CONTROL OVER FOR INSTANCE, THE POLICE, WHO RUNS CONTROL OVER THE COURTS? DO WE HAVE TO GO STRAIGHT TO OTTAWA FOR THIS OR WHAT?

MR. FINLAND: WELL IF ITS A MATTER OF ENFORCEMENT OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF COURSE, THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR CANADA IS THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR THE YUKON.

MR. TAYLOR: THE OPERATION OF THE COURTS.

MR. FINLAND: WELL THE OPERATION OF THE COURTS IS A TERRITORIAL RESPONSIBILITY. THE ACTUAL OPERATION OF THE COURTS PER SAY. BUT IF IT IS A MATTER OF ENFORCEMENT OF LEGISLATION, THEN IT IS A MATTER FOR THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR CANADA IF ITS A CRIMINAL MATTER. IF ITS A TERRITORIAL MATTER THEN ITS THE COMMISSIONER.

MR. TAYLOR: WHAT ABOUT IN RESPECT TO POLICE SERVICES?

MR. FINGLAND: THIS IS WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT, IF IT IS A MATTER OF POLICE SERVICES IN RESPECT TO THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE CRIMINAL CODE, THEN THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE IS THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA. IF ITS A MATTER OF POLICE SERVICES IN RESPECT OF THE ENFORCEMENT OF TERRITORIAL LEGISLATION, THEN ITS THE COMMISSIONER WHO IS THE PERSON TO CONTACT.

MR. TAYLOR: WHAT ABOUT THE TERMS OF JUST GENERAL ENFORCEMENT?

MR. FINGLAND: WELL IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHETHER IT WAS ENFORCEMENT OF THE, YOU HAVE TO MAKE THAT DISTINCTION.

MR. TAYLOR: THE ACTIVITIES IN,...

MR. FINGLAND: I WOULD THINK THAT IF IT WERE GENERAL ENFORCEMENT IN THE CASE OF A RESIDENT OF THE YUKON, MAKE KNOWN THE COMPLAINT TO THE COMMISSIONER. I THINK IF IT WERE A GENERAL MATTER, MAKE IT KNOWN TO US AND WE WILL TAKE IT UP WITH THE APPROPRIATE FEDERAL AUTHORITIES IF THAT IS REQUIRED.

MR. TAYLOR: I WILL WAIT UNTIL WE GET DOWN THE LINE A LITTLE FURTHER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM, 801, LEGAL AID, \$18,000.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN AS FAR AS I KNOW, THERE IS A PAPER NOW IN COMMITTEE DEALING WITH THE SUBJECT OF DEALING WITH LEGAL AID. I THINK THERE IS ALSO A LETTER AS OF TODAY, FROM THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE YUKON ASKING THAT REPRESENTATIONS BE MADE BEFORE COMMITTEE, WHEN THE SESSIONAL PAPER ON LEGAL AID COMES UP FOR DISCUSSION.

I AM WONDERING WHETHER THERE'LL BE ANY RELEVANCE TO THIS BUDGET IF THE PHILOSOPHY BEHIND AND EXPENSIVE LEGAL AID PROGRAM IS ACCEPTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AT THIS SESSION. CERTAINLY, IT WILL BE REFLECTED IN THIS BUDGET, EXCEPT IF IT COMES THROUGH SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES, WHICH WE'VE ALL AGREED AREN'T THE WAY TO DO THINGS.

IT WOULD BE BETTER IF THE SESSIONAL PAPERS WERE EXAMINED AND A DECISION MADE PRIOR TO THE PASSAGE

OF THIS VOTE OF THE BUDGET AT THIS TIME. THAT WOULD BE MY WAY OF DOING BUSINESS.

MR. FINGLAND: I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN I SHOULD POINT OUT AT THE OUTSET AND I DON'T WANT TO INTERFERE WITH ANYTHING YOU MIGHT HAVE TO SAY, BUT WE ARE REALLY TALKING ABOUT TWO KINDS OF LEGAL AID. THERE IS LEGAL AID FOR CRIMINAL MATTERS WHICH IS THE EXISTING PROGRAM AND IS ALREADY IN EFFECT. THIS IS WHAT THESE MONIES ARE FOR. THE PAPER THAT YOU ARE BEING ASKED TO CONSIDER IS LEGAL AID IN CIVIL MATTERS.

MR. MCKINNON: WHICH WOULD COME UNDER LEGAL AID IN THE BUDGET.

MR. FINGLAND: WELL, NO, BECAUSE THAT IS NOT YET A PROGRAM. WHAT WE REALLY WANT IS THE DIRECTION OF COUNCIL AS TO, FIRST OF ALL WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE CIVIL LEGAL AID. SECONDLY, IF THERE IS TO BE CIVIL LEGAL AID, HOW IT SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED. THERE ARE NO FUNDS HERE FOR CIVIL LEGAL AID.

MR. MCKINNON: IF THE PHILOSOPHY WERE PASSED AT THIS SESSION OF COUNCIL, WOULD THERE BE MONIES IN THE 1974-75 BUDGET FOR A POLICY OF CIVIL LEGAL AID?

MR. FINGLAND: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS NOT OUR INTENTION AT THE PRESENT TIME TO INTRODUCE THAT IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.

MR. TANNER: THIS MIGHT BE ONE EXAMPLE WHERE SUPPLEMENTARY, IF IT WOULD BE CALLED FOR, WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO COUNCIL. AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, THAT I AM WRITING ON MY OWN PERSONAL BEHALF, THAT I ANSWERED THAT LETTER. I SAID, "DEAR MR. PITZEL, THANK YOU FOR YOUR LETTER OF APRIL THE 18TH, 1974 CONCERNING THE LAW SOCIETY APPEARING IN FRONT OF COUNCIL TO DISCUSS SESSIONAL PAPER #16. WHEN THE SESSIONAL PAPER COMES UP FOR DISCUSSION, I WILL ASK THE COUNCILLORS CONCURRENCE TO HAVE REPRESENTATION FROM THE LAW SOCIETY.

MR. MCKINNON: GREAT TO HAVE A SECRETARY ISN'T IT?

MR. TANNER: I WENT ON TO SAY THAT I WAS PERSONALLY PARTICULARLY PLEASED TO SEE THAT THE LAW SOCIETY WAS TAKING AN INTEREST IN THIS MOST IMPORTANT MATTER. YES, IT IS GREAT TO HAVE A SECRETARY MR. SPEAKER, BUT THE THING THAT IMPRESSES ME IS THAT THE LAW SOCIETY IS EQUALLY

CONCERNED WITH OURSELVES FOR GETTING SOMETHING DONE. I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE WITNESSES WHEN THAT PAPER COMES UP AND I WOULD AGAIN, EXPRESSING A PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW, IF COUNCIL WAS UNANIMOUS IN ITS DECISION AND CAME TO A CONCLUSION THAT WE COULD POSSIBLY LOOK AT A SUPPLEMENTARY FOR NEXT YEAR. POSSIBLY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 801? 802, \$844,000, POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN ON THIS, UNDER THIS PARTICULAR SECTION, POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT, IT WAS MY INTENTION, TO CALL BEFORE COMMITTEE, AS A WITNESS, INSPECTOR HUNTER. I HEAR WAILS OF WOE FROM THE FAR END OF THE TABLE Mr. CHAIRMAN BUT THIS IS A PRETTY IMPORTANT ESTIMATE AND ITEM IN THE ESTIMATES. WHILE IN OTTAWA, I TOOK THE OPPORTUNITY OF VISITING WITH CHIEF-SUPERINTENDANT CART AND I HAVE JUST AS RECENTLY AS TODAY, CONSULTED WITH INSPECTOR HUNTER IN RESPECT TO PROBLEMS RELATING TO POLICE SERVICES, IN THE FUTURE OF POLICE SERVICES AND THE FUTURE OF THE FORCE IN THE TERRITORY.

THE, I DON'T KNOW IF MEMBERS KNOW BUT, IN A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME A NEW DIVISION OF THE R.C.M.P. IS TO BE CREATED TO COVER THE YUKON TERRITORY TO BE KNOWN AS 'M' DIVISION. AND G DIVISION WILL BE GOING TO OTTAWA OR TO YELLOWKNIFE.

THIS IS GOING TO MEAN SOME CHANGE IN THE ADMINISTRATION IN THE POLICE SERVICES IN THE TERRITORY. IT IS GOING TO MEAN SOME CHANGES IN TERMS OF POLICY AND NO DOUBT IT WILL HAVE SOME CHANGES IN THE TERMS OF MANPOWER. IT WAS MY HOPE TO INVITE INSPECTOR HUNTER BEFORE COMMITTEE TODAY TO OUTLINE TO US JUST WHAT THE EFFECTS WERE OF THIS IMPORTANT CHANGE. UNFORTUNATELY, ALL THE INFORMATION AND DATA RESPECTING THE TRANSFER HAS YET TO COME FROM OTTAWA AND FOR THIS REASON I WILL NOT ASK THAT INSPECTOR HUNTER COME.

WE HAVE SOME REAL IDENTIFIABLE PROBLEMS WITH POLICING, PARTICULARLY IN MATSON LAKE. WE HAVE A FORCE OF SOME SEVEN MEMBERS OF THE FORCE DOWN THERE. IT IS HAVING THE EFFECT OF OVER POLICING THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS WHY OF COURSE, I WENT TO OTTAWA TO TALK TO THE CHIEF SUPERINTENDANT. WHY I HAVE DISCUSSED THE MATTER WITH INSPECTOR HUNTER AND ALSO THE LOCAL SERGEANT IN AN EFFORT TO TRY AND PROVIDE A SITUATION AS HAS EXISTED UP TO TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO, WHEREBY THERE WAS NOTHING BUT REAL RESPECT FOR

THE FORCE AND THAT HAS LARGELY BEEN REPLACED BY FEAR IN THAT PARTICULAR COMMUNITY AT THIS TIME.

IT WAS MY INTENTION VIRTUALLY TO BLOW MY STACK ON THIS ISSUE IN THE HOPES THAT MAYBE WE COULD GET SOMETHING DONE. WE HAVE NO ATTORNEY-GENERAL. THIS IS BAD. ALL WE CAN DO IS DEPEND UPON THE COMMISSIONER I THINK IT WAS POINTED OUT BY Mr. FINGLAND ON ONE HAND WHICH I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT COULD DO US MUCH GOOD OR THE CHIEF SUPERINTENDENT ON THE OTHER HAND. POSSIBLY WHEN M DIVISION COMES INTO BEING WHICH I BELIEVE IS NOT LONG AWAY, TO THE SUPERVISING OFFICER OF M DIVISION, I HOPE, AND I TRUST, THAT WHEN THESE FUNDS ARE EXPENDED UNDER THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT THAT THE FORCE WILL REALIZE THAT THERE IS AN IDENTIFIABLE PROBLEM IN THE MATSON LAKE AREA. I THINK IT IS A GENERAL PROBLEM. I KNOW OF SEVERAL CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH I WILL NOT RELATE AT THIS TABLE AT THIS TIME BECAUSE IT MIGHT BE UNFAIR BOTH TO THE FORCE AND TO THE PEOPLE INVOLVED. THESE ARE SUBJECTS I BELIEVE LARGELY OF INTERNAL INVESTIGATION WITHIN THE POLICE FORCE ITSELF. I SPEAK OF INCIDENCES THAT HAPPENED IN THE NORTH CENTRAL YUKON, RIGHT DOWN TO THE SOUTHEAST YUKON THROUGH WHITEHORSE WHICH ARE NOT, TO MY MIND, ACTIONS THAT THE FORCE CAN BE THAT PROUD OF. SO AT THAT POINT I WILL LEAVE IT. I THINK IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT WE DON'T HAVE THIS INFORMATION AT THIS TIME BUT, HOWEVER, IF THE SITUATION - THE PROBLEM IN MATSON LAKE CANNOT BE RESOLVED AND IF THE TROUBLED WATERS BETWEEN THE PEOPLE ON THE FORCE CANNOT BE SMOOTHED, I WILL AT THE NEXT SPECIAL SESSION BE RAISING THIS QUESTION IN THE HOPES THAT POSSIBLY BETWEEN THE COUNCIL WORKING WITH THE ADMINISTRATION AND WORKING WITH THE FORCE, ALL THE PARTIES TOGETHER CAN MAYBE CURE THIS SITUATION THAT DOES EXIST. THANK YOU, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON 802?

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS IT POSSIBLE TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT THAT WE THIS YEAR SPENT \$844,000.00. THE FIRST ITEM - SPEAKING OF THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT IN THIS HOUSE I THINK IT WAS IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF JUST OVER \$200,000.00, Mr. CHAIRMAN. I DON'T REALLY SEE WITH THE RISE IN POPULATION THAT THE \$844,000.00 THAT COUNCIL NOW HAS TO AGREE ON FOR THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT - I CAN ONLY SAY THAT I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE THE TERMS OF THE POLICE AGREEMENT WHETHER THERE ARE ANY

SIGNIFICANT CHANGES THAT BRING ABOUT AN ABSOLUTELY AMAZING COST IN POLICING THE YUKON TERRITORY OVER A TEN-YEAR SPAN. PERHAPS THERE ARE NEW PROGRAMS AND NEW AREAS THAT I AM NOT AWARE OF THAT HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED THE COST OF POLICING THE YUKON TERRITORY. I CERTAINLY BELIEVE THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL SHOULD BE ABLE TO PERUSE THE AGREEMENT IN AN ATTEMPT TO ANSWER THE MANY QUESTIONS OF THEIR CONSTITUENTS ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE PUBLIC OF THE YUKON AND THE R.C.M.P. I WILL AGREE TO SOME EXTENT WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE THAT THERE ARE MANY QUESTIONS RAISED THAT A MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL DOESN'T HAVE THE ANSWERS TO. OF COURSE, IT'S A HIATUS PERIOD BETWEEN RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT BEING GRANTED TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON THAT HAS THE ABSOLUTE IMPOSSIBLE REGION OR THE IMPOSSIBLE AREA OF A PERSON WHO FEELS AGGRIEVED TO THE YUKON TERRITORY AS HIS ONLY OUTLET REALLY WHEN IT COMES RIGHT DOWN TO IT TO BE THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA. TO ASK A PERSON WHO FEELS THAT HE HAS A LEGITIMATE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CONSTABULARY TO HAVE TO TAKE THAT COMPLAINT TO THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA JUST ISN'T A REALISTIC PROPOSAL. FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES, MR. CHAIRMAN, EVEN WITH THE CHANGES IN THE AREA OF JUSTICE AND THE RESPONSIBILITY THAT THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT HAS TAKEN OVER IN THE OPERATION OF THE COURTS, THE BIG AREA, THE AREA WHERE THERE HAS TO BE SOME LIASON BETWEEN THE PUBLIC AND THE LAW, THE AREA OF WHO IS IN CONTROL OF THE POLICE - IS IT THE PEOPLE OR ARE THE POLICE A LAW ONTO THEMSELVES. IT CANNOT BE ANSWERED. I DON'T CARE WHAT YOU WANT TO SAY AND PUT UP. IT ALL DEPENDS, RIGHT NOW, ON THE WHIM OF THE INSPECTOR OF THE R.C.M.P. IN THE YUKON AND THE WAY IN WHICH HE WANTS TO CO-OPERATE WITH THE TERRITORIAL AUTHORITIES THAT DEPEND ON THE AMOUNT OF COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT AND THE PUBLIC RELATIONS OF THE POLICE, THESE WOULD BE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT'S AN IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION. IT'S COMPLETELY AND TOTALLY INADEQUATE. IT'S UNSATISFACTORY. I THINK THAT EVEN THE INSPECTOR OF THE POLICE IN THE YUKON WOULD AGREE WITH THIS ASSESSMENT. UNFORTUNATELY UNTIL THERE IS THE SAME OFFICE UNDER A POLITICAL PERSON IN THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT EXISTS IN ALL OTHER AREAS IN THE COUNTRY, SAVE THE YUKON, THIS VERY REAL PROBLEM REMAINS. I HAVE TO THINK SOMETIMES THAT WE ARE NOT IMPROVING THE SITUATION. THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE PEOPLE AND THE ENFORCING AUTHORITY AND THE

RULE OF LAW AND THE WAY THE COURTS ARE OPERATED ARE BECOMING MORE AND MORE DISTANT AND MORE AND MORE FOREIGN. I THINK THAT WHEN THIS HAPPENS IT IS ONLY INEVITABLE, AND IT IS ONLY A MATTER OF TIME, THAT YOU HAVE A TOTAL BREAKDOWN OF THE RULE OF LAW, AND YOU HAVE A TOTAL BREAKDOWN OF OUR SOCIETY AS WE KNOW IT.

IT IS IMPORTANT AND PERHAPS IT MAY BE GETTING OFF IN LEFT FIELD A LITTLE BIT, BUT IT IS SUCH AN IMPORTANT MATTER FOR THIS EVENTUALLY TO BE DOMICILED, TO BE DOMICILED IN AN AWFUL HURRY THAT REALLY THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON KNOW AND THEY FEEL THAT THEY DO HAVE SOMEBODY TO GO TO WHEN THEY FEEL AGGRIEVED. YOU CAN'T SAY YOU HAVE SOMEBODY TO GO TO. YOU HAVE GOT THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WHAT CHANCE HAS THE LAYMAN IN THE YUKON, 1974, OF BRINGING HIS COMPLAINT TO THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF CANADA.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITHIN THE POLICE AGREEMENT WE USED TO ASK AND GET THE BREAKDOWN OF THE PERCENTAGES THAT WE PAY FROM THE NATIONAL COST I GUESS IT IS OF THE TRAINING PROGRAM OF THE AIR DIVISION AND SO FORTH. WHAT PERCENTAGE, YOU KNOW, WHAT THIS AMOUNTS TO. I'M WONDERING IF WE CAN HAVE THAT INFORMATION AGAIN IN RESPECT OF THE CURRENT POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT?

MR. FINGLAND: I THINK WHAT I SHOULD DO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS TABLE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT. WOULD THAT BE ACCEPTABLE?

MR. CHAIRMAN: DOES COMMITTEE AGREE?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS AGREEMENT WILL BE SIGNED OR IS INTENDED TO BE SIGNED VERY SHORTLY?

MR. FINGLAND: NO, IT'S ALREADY SIGNED, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TAYLOR: I SEE. SO IT DOESN'T MATTER IF WE BUDGET OR NOT. IT'S ALREADY DONE.

MR. FINGLAND: WELL IT WAS VIRTUALLY JUST A RENEWAL OF THE OLD AGREEMENT. THERE ARE SOME MINOR CHANGES, SOME TO OUR ADVANTAGE AND SOME OTHERWISE. THERE ARE NO MAJOR NEW CHANGES OR DEPARTURES OR NEW PROGRAMS

OR ANYTHING. IT'S JUST A CONTINUING OPERATION.

MR. TAYLOR: I THINK IF YOU BEAR IN MIND THAT IF YOU TOOK A LOOK, MR. CHAIRMAN, AT THE FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION ORDINANCE, YOU CANNOT ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH ANYBODY UNTIL THE FUNDS HAVE FIRST BEEN PROVIDED BY COUNCIL.

MR. FINGLAND: WHY IT'S A CONDITION OF EVERY AGREEMENT; JUST A CONDITION OF EVERY AGREEMENT THAT FUNDS BE VOTED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST FOR THE RECORD, THE FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION ORDINANCE SAYS THAT IT IS A CONDITION OF EVERY CONTRACT THAT THERE BE AN APPROPRIATION.

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS A CONTRACT.

MR. MILLER: BUT THAT DOESN'T SAY YOU CANNOT ENTER INTO THE CONTRACT. IT SAYS YOU MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PAY OUT UNDER THAT CONTRACT. THERE IS A BIG DIFFERENCE HERE IN THE LAW. IT'S IN EVERY FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION ACT OF EVERY GOVERNMENT IN CANADA. A GOVERNMENT HAS THE ABILITY TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS. THEY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PAY OUT UNDER THAT CONTRACT IF THERE IS NOT AN APPROPRIATION BY THE APPROPRIATE LEGISLATIVE BODY. IT DOES NOT SAY YOU CANNOT ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITHOUT AN APPROPRIATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR MIGHT I ASK WHAT RECOURSE A CONTRACTOR WOULD HAVE UNDER THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES IF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY DID NOT BACK UP THE CONTRACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT OR THE ADMINISTRATION HAD SIGNED?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT RECOURSE UNDER THE LAW WOULD BE. I COULD ONLY ASSUME THAT HE WOULD HAVE NO RECOURSE. IT'S WRITTEN RIGHT INTO THE CONTRACT THAT THAT IS THE CASE AND IT'S PART OF THE CANADIAN FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION ACT OF CANADA, AND PART OF EVERY PROVINCIAL ACT.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE DONE THIS BEFORE. WE HAVE REFUSED ON AT LEAST ONE OCCASION TO PASS THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT. IF WE AT THIS TIME IN COMMITTEE DECIDED TO DELETE THE AMOUNT OF \$844,000.00 WHAT THEN WOULD THE GOVERNMENT DO?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WOULD CANCEL THE

CONTRACT.

MR. FINGLAND: I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, I SHOULD SAY THAT THE VOTING OF THE AGREEMENT IS CLEARLY IMPOSSIBLE IF THERE ARE NO FUNDS BECAUSE THE AGREEMENT BECOMES VOID.

MR. TAYLOR: I'M SPEAKING OF THE POLICY OF ENTERING INTO AGREEMENTS WITHOUT FIRST COMING TO THE HOUSE FOR THE FUNDS.

MR. FINGLAND: WELL THERE IS, OF COURSE, MR. CHAIRMAN, EXISTING LEGISLATION AUTHORIZING THE COMMISSIONER TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS WITH THE POLICE.

MRS. WATSON: LEGISLATION WHICH WE PASSED IN THIS HOUSE IN 1973.

MR. MILLER: YOU GET ONGOING LEGISLATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: SO THE AGREEMENT IS TO BE TABLED.

MR. FINGLAND: YES, I WILL UNDERTAKE THAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME THEN ON 802? THAT TAKES CARE OF THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT PRELIMINARY, NEXT DEPARTMENT - DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS.

MR. MCKINNON: JUST A QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THE POLICE DECIDED TO MOVE IN A CONTINGENT OF 500 OFFICERS TO POLICE THE YUKON AND YUKON COUNCIL SAID "YOU KNOW, WE ARE PRETTY BAD UP HERE BUT 500 IS A LITTLE HEAVY", AND PASSED A MOTION SAYING "HOW ABOUT CUTTING BACK TO 300 INSTEAD OF 500 BECAUSE WE CAN'T REALLY AFFORD YOU." WHAT WOULD THE IMPACT OF A COUNCIL MOTION TO THAT EFFECT HAVE ON THE AGREEMENT THAT IS ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON AND THE R.C.M.P.?

MR. FINGLAND: WELL I BELIEVE IT WOULD HAVE A VERY CONSIDERABLE IMPACT. ONE OF THE ARRANGEMENTS WE HAVE UNDER THE AGREEMENT WITH THE POLICE IS THAT A DECISION BY THEM TO INCREASE THE ESTABLISHMENT BECOMES THEIR RESPONSIBILITY DURING THE LIFETIME OF AN AGREEMENT. IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, THERE IS AN INCREASE IN THE ESTABLISHMENT AS A RESULT OF A REQUEST FROM US WE MUST ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT ADDITIONAL COST. SO ANY RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL WOULD HAVE

VERY CONSIDERABLE INFLUENCE ON WHAT HAPPENED IN A CASE LIKE THAT.

Mr. McKINNON: WHO MAKES THE DECISION WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF POLICE FROM AGREEMENT TO AGREEMENT. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE NEVER MADE THIS DECISION IN THE HOUSE.

Mr. FINLAND: WELL THE AGREEMENT IS, OF COURSE, AN AGREEMENT AND AS SUCH IT IMPLIES AGREEMENT. THE ACTUAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS THAT ARE REQUIRED IN ANY GIVEN PLACE AT ANY GIVEN TIME IS THE DECISION OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE R.C.M.P.

Mr. McKINNON: BUT IT HAS TO GET AGREEMENT FROM SOME-ONE, AS YOU JUST SAID BEFORE THE OTHER SIDE. SO WHO GIVES THE PERMISSION FROM THIS END WHEN THE COMMISSIONER OF THE R.C.M.P. SAYS THAT WE WANT AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF CONSTABLES IN THE YUKON AND WE WANT YOU TO PAY FOR THAT INCREASE?

Mr. FINLAND: WELL THEN IT WOULD HAVE TO APPEAR IN THE AGREEMENT. IF IT IS ACCEPTED BY THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON AND THE COMMISSIONER SIGNS THE AGREEMENT THEN, OF COURSE, HE'S THE ONE THAT AGREES.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST ONE QUESTION I WANTED TO ASK IN RELATION TO THAT. I'M SORRY I FORGOT IT. I'M WONDERING IF WHEN YOU ARE GETTING INFORMATION RELATIVE TO POLICE SERVICES, THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT, I'M WONDERING WHETHER YOU COULD FIND OUT FOR ME, AND I'M SURE ALL THE MEMBERS WOULD BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING, THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE R.C.M.P. THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY. THAT IS IN TERMS OF NUMBERS VERSUS COMMUNITY AND THAT TYPE OF THING.

Mr. FINLAND: IT'S SPELLED OUT IN THE AGREEMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. TAYLOR: NO, I'M TALKING ABOUT COMMUNITY BY COMMUNITY.

Mr. MILLER: YES, IT IS IN THE AGREEMENT BY COMMUNITY, YES.

Mr. TAYLOR: THIS WILL SHOW HOW MANY IN PICKLE CREEK AND HOW MANY IN GOPHER GULCH AND THE WHOLE DEAL.

Mr. TANNER: THERE'S NONE IN GOPHER GULCH.

Mr. TAYLOR: THANK YOU.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS UNDER CAPITAL, PAGE 62, FIRST ITEM IS ROAD EQUIPMENT \$2,900,00 FOR \$375,000.00. DETAIL ON PAGE 54.

Mr. FINLAND: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I BE EXCUSED AT THIS TIME?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COMMITTEE AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YOU MAY BE EXCUSED.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THESE THREE THREE-QUARTER TON PICKUPS FOR FARO, MAYO AND WHITEHORSE. ARE THEY REPLACEMENT VEHICLES OR ARE THESE ADDITIONAL VEHICLES OR WHAT IS THE SCORE ON THEM?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PICKUP FOR FARO IS TO COVER THE GAME OFFICER THAT WE INTEND TO HAVE IN THE FARO AREA. I DON'T BELIEVE HE IS THERE AS YET. THE ONE FOR MAYO IS A NEW DETACHMENT WHICH WE COVERED WHEN WE COVERED THE GAME. THE ONE IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA IS A REPLACEMENT VEHICLE.

Mr. TAYLOR: IT'S INTERESTING TO NOTE, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE FINAL CHANGE IN POLICY - THIS IS SOME SORT OF HIGHLIGHT. IN THIS BUDGET I SEE WE HAVE GOT TWO CHAMPION GRADERS FOR A CHANGE. IT WAS GENERALLY THE POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT THAT THEY WOULDN'T BUY THEM AT ALL. I COMMEND THE GOVERNMENT IN THIS RESPECT BECAUSE IT WILL NO DOUBT RESULT IN A SAVING TO THE TAXPAYER OF THE YUKON.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE \$2,900,00?

Mr. McKINNON: THEY ARE BUYING FORD PICKUPS TOO.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM - 2902 - SUNDRY EQUIPMENT. DETAIL ON PAGE 55. ANYTHING FURTHER ON 2902? 2903 - GARAGE TOOLS AND EQUIPMENT, \$5,000.00.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2909 - BUILDING CONTINGENCY FUND, \$20,000.00, CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2910 - PRE-ENGINEERING TERRITORIAL PROJECTS, \$60,000.00.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2911 - GROUND IMPROVEMENTS VARIOUS LOCATIONS, \$100,000.00, DETAIL ON A 56.

Mr. McKINNON: BEAUTIFUL. A NICE, ROUND FIGURE. WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ONLY SAY THAT AS ONCE CHAIRMAN OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE THAT THIS WAS ANOTHER PROJECT THAT THE COMMISSION AND THE COUNCIL OR THAT THE COMMISSIONER - THAT THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL WANTED TO SEE PUT INTO PRACTICE. FOR SOME THREE OR FOUR YEARS RUNNING IT WAS DELETED FROM THE BUDGET AS WE SAW GREATER PRIORITIES THAT WOULD BENEFIT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON OVER GROUND BEAUTIFICATION. WHEN I SEE THE SUM - BEAUTIFUL, ROUND SUM OF \$100,000.00, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ONLY SAY LET'S REALLY THINK WHAT IS THE PRIORITY - THE CHAIN LINK FENCING, THE GROUND IMPROVEMENT, THE SODDING OR IS IT THE PROVISION OF A TELEVISION SERVICE TO FIVE SMALL COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE YUKON TERRITORY. I THINK THERE IS A PRETTY CLEAR INDICATION OF WHAT THE PRIORITIES SHOULD BE FROM THIS HOUSE WHICH IS SUPPOSED TO REPRESENT THE INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, ITEM 1 WATSON LAKE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING COMPLETE PAVING OF PARKING AREA APPROXIMATELY 700 SQUARE YARDS AT \$7.00 PER SQUARE YARD. HERE AGAIN THIS IS FOR THE GOVERNMENT BECAUSE EVER SINCE THEY OPENED THE BUILDING NOW, IT'S FULL OF LITTLE BLACK AND ORANGE CARS AND THERE IS NO ROOM FOR ANYONE ELSE TO GET NEAR THE POST OFFICE. I'M WONDERING IF THIS WOULD BE LOOKED INTO WITH THE IDEA OF TAKING OUT ALL THIS GRASS AREA AND SO FORTH THAT THEY'VE GOT AROUND THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING AND MAYBE MAKE THAT AVAILABLE FOR TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT PARKING, SO THE CITIZENS CAN GET TO THE POST OFFICE AND THE FIREMEN CAN GET TO THE FIREHALL AND HAVE A PLACE TO PARK THEIR CARS. NOW IT IS PRODUCING A TRAFFIC JAM IN FRONT OF THE BUILDING. IT'S GOING TO BE VERY DIFFICULT TO GET A FIRE TRUCK OUT OF THERE ONE OF THESE DAYS WHEN SOMEBODY'S HOUSE CATCHES FIRE.

Mr. McKINNON: I KNOW WHERE YOU CAN GET SOME PARKING METERS CHEAP.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

Mr. TAYLOR: WITH THE USUAL GOVERNMENT PLANNING THEY DIDN'T PLAN FOR ANY PARKING SPACE FOR PEOPLE TO PUT THEIR VEHICLES EXCEPT FOR THE GOVERNMENT PEOPLE, AND MAYBE THIS COULD BE LOOKED INTO.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ARE THESE FIRST THREE ITEMS TO BE DEBATED - WATSON LAKE?

Mr. TAYLOR: YES INDEED IF THIS MONEY CAN BE TRANSFERRED TO THE ACCOUNT OF THE TELEVISION FACILITIES FOR THESE OTHER COMMUNITIES I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO RECOMMEND THAT THESE MONEYS BE PLACED INTO THAT ACCOUNT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER COMMENT ON 2911?

Mr. TAYLOR: FOUND SOME MORE MONEY KEN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 2912 - TEMPORARY MAINTENANCE CAMP DEMPSTER HIGHWAY, \$100,000.00, DETAIL ON A 57.

Mr. McKINNON: WE ARE ALL THE WAY TO BEAVER CREEK NOW.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: DETAIL IS ON A 57.

Mr. TAYLOR: WE'VE GOT THE \$100,000.00 - \$200,000.00, YES RIGHT.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I don't know where to bring this in but we are talking about temporary maintenance camps. You know we have been talking television around here for quite a bit this session and no doubt we are going to talk a great deal more about it during the session. The government do plan in getting in the television business themselves because these are the little recorders and tape systems that are going in, or hopefully going in, to some of these maintenance camps. I was thinking in particular of Tochtitwa camp. Have agreements been signed with someone to do the taping of these programs and has equipment been purchased for this program?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, to my knowledge there are no agreements that have been signed because we can't find an economical product to buy.

Mr. Taylor: Am I to understand then that in this fiscal year there will be no television provided for the outlying - mostly the remote camps on a VTR basis?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, we are attempting to find a supplier of tapes at a price that we can afford. If we can do that, our intention would be for the outlying camps, Tochtitwa and particularly the Dempster Highway, that we would provide the facilities there.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I'm so pleased that the administration of the Yukon Territory have the interests of those people who work for the government in remote areas of the Yukon in heart. I hope that the generosity that we may expect will be forthcoming soon in respect of the \$100,000.00 to provide for the other people who pay the taxes for this sort of thing.

Mr. Chairman: I would take it that this is one item that councillor McKinnon cannot vote on.

Some Honourable Members: Laughter.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman, just one further thought. Just to try and save the government some money, they should be sure that any company that they are dealing with

has the permission of the Canadian Radio Television Commission to do what they are doing prior to them signing a contract with them.

Mr. Miller: That's the other part of our problem, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, in respect of maintenance camps I refer back to Tochtitwa camp. Here is an isolated camp. It's what they term as a single man's camp. As you know this camp, and I think Sheldon camp is another, Drury Creek is another, Eagle camp would be another, Dempster, these camps have no recreation facilities whatsoever. They have no areas for ping pong, for television viewing - anything of this nature. This brought to mind a television because the idea on Tochtitwa camp was that they were going to put in one television set with one VTR and hook it all up with a co-axial cable and everybody in camp was to sit around this one little television set, and watch the thing. This could only be done in the corridor between the trailers because there is no other space. I'm wondering if in the supply of trailers within engineering or within any department of government around the territory, if one of the older trailers could be converted economically into a recreation area, recreation trailer, for some of these isolated camps as a gathering point or a social center sort of a thing.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I can only say that we are only too well aware of how inadequate some of our facilities in these camps are. We are in the process now of doing some preliminary engineering on designing a new camp-type structure which would solve most of the problems the Honourable Member has raised. It would be our intention to come forward in further years, not in 1974-75, with an ongoing program of gradually replacing the inadequate facilities we have with what we would hope would be adequate facilities.

Mr. Chairman: Anything further on 2912?

Some Honourable Members: Clear.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2913 - ROBERT CAMPBELL BRIDGE, \$1,485,000.00. ANY QUESTIONS?

Mr. TAYLOR: OH OH. THAT WAS AN UNDER-STATEMENT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY ANSWERS?

Mr. TAYLOR: ARE THERE ANY ANSWERS, Mr. CHAIRMAN? I WONDER IF MAYBE Mr. MILLER COULD LEAD US DOWN ON THIS ONE.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE CONTRACTS FOR THE ROBERT CAMPBELL BRIDGE HAVE BEEN SIGNED. THE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY HAS BEEN SIGNED. THE CITY HAS A RESOLUTION. THE CITY HAS APPROVED THE DESIGN. THE CONTRACT IS PROCEEDING. THE CITY IS GOING TO A PLEBISCITE TO ARRANGE FUNDING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER QUESTIONS THERE ARE.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

Mr. MCKINNON: THERE'S JUST ONE QUESTION TO BE ANSWERED, Mr. CHAIRMAN, AND THAT IS WHAT HAPPENS IF THE TAXPAYERS OF WHITEHORSE TURN DOWN THE PLEBISCITE?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T TELL YOU FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. WE WOULD HAVE TO ASK OUR LEGAL ADVISER WHETHER HE KNOWS OF WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. THE CITY HAS A SIGNED AGREEMENT WITH US THAT SAYS THAT THEY WILL PAY EVERYTHING OVER \$60,000.00 FOR A 28 FOOT BRIDGE. I DON'T KNOW WHERE IT GOES. WE HAVE AN ORDINANCE WITH THIS COUNCIL WHICH SAYS THE SAME THING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON CAMPBELL BRIDGE?

Mr. MCKINNON: DEFINITELY NOT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM - 2914, WHITEHORSE WORKSHOP EXTENSION, \$875,000.00, DETAIL ON A 45. JUST ONE QUESTION FROM THE CHAIR. NOW THAT THE PRICES OF STEEL HAVE INCREASED FANTASTICALLY OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS IS IT LIKELY THAT THIS IS SOMEWHAT UNDER-ESTIMATED NOW?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE DON'T, OF COURSE, KNOW ON THIS. WE HAVEN'T GONE TO

TENDER ON IT AS YET. THERE IS A FAIR POSSIBILITY THAT THE TENDERS COULD EXCEED THIS AMOUNT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 2914?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 2918 - TERRITORIAL ROAD IMPROVEMENTS, \$95,000.00, DETAIL A 57.

Mr. TAYLOR: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I NOTICE THE LACK OF SOME PROJECTS IN THE BUDGET, IN THE CAPITAL BUDGET, ONE OF WHICH IS THE BRIDGE REPAIRING ON THE MAHANI RANGE ROAD. IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS WAS TO APPEAR IN THIS BUDGET THIS YEAR INASMUCH AS THE BRIDGE - SOME OF THE TEMPORARY BRIDGES, THE SMALL BRIDGES THAT NEED REPLACING, ARE VIRTUALLY FALLING APART. I'M WONDERING IF Mr. MILLER COULD MAYBE ADVISE ME AS TO WHY THIS WAS TAKEN OUT OF THE BUDGET. I BELIEVE EVEN IN THE NEWSLETTER IT WAS ASSUMED THAT THIS PROJECT WOULD GO ON UP THE MAHANI RANGE ROAD. COULD I GET AN ANSWER ON THAT?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE ON THIS PARTICULAR SUBJECT AND I TALKED TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER ABOUT THIS PRIVATELY, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE IT WAS DETERMINED THAT FOR THIS PARTICULAR YEAR AT LEAST THERE WAS NO MAJOR NEED TO REPLACE BRIDGES UNTIL THEY DETERMINED WHAT FURTHER MINING ACTIVITY WAS GOING TO GO ON IN THAT AREA. ALL MONEYS THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY LOOKED AT FOR THAT PARTICULAR AREA FOR BOTH THE NORTH CANOL AND THE CANTUNG ROAD WERE DELETED FOR ONE FURTHER YEAR, AND DEPENDING ON THE ACTIVITY THIS CURRENT FISCAL YEAR IN THE MINING INDUSTRY. THAT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT WE WILL DO THE WORK IN 1975-76.

Mr. TAYLOR: THE OTHER ITEM WAS THE PAVING, THE CONTINUING PAVING PROGRAM ON THE KLONDIKE HIGHWAY. WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE PUT THOSE TWO PROJECTS FORWARD TO THE NORTHERN ROADS COMMITTEE AND BOTH PROJECTS WERE CANCELLED FOR THIS PARTICULAR WORK. WE ARE CONTINUING TO TRY TO GET MONEY THROUGH THE NORTHERN ROADS COMMITTEE BUT WE HAVEN'T BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL AT THIS POINT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR IT WAS SUGGESTED YESTERDAY THAT \$100,000.00 BE TAKEN OUT OF PAVING PROJECTS IN DAWSON FOR THE TELEVISION PROGRAM. IT SEEMS TO ME THIS \$100,000.00 WHICH WAS ONLY A RE-PAVING OF A SOUTH ACCESS HIGHWAY IN WHITEHORSE MIGHT BE A MORE APPROPRIATE FUND TO GIVE.

MR. TAYLOR: EXCELLENT. EXCELLENT.

MR. MCKINNON: SURE. WE WILL GO ALL THE WAY TO BEAVER CREEK. THAT ITEM, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO KNOW WHETHER - PERHAPS MR. MILLER CAN ANSWER. THIS HAS TO BE A DRAINAGE PROBLEM THAT IS AFFECTING THE SOUTH ACCESS ROAD OVER AND OVER AGAIN. WHAT'S THE USE OF PUTTING A NEW ASPHALT OVERLAY IF THE DRAINAGE PROBLEM ISN'T FIXED PERMANENTLY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE DRAINAGE PROBLEM WAS RIGHT AT THE BOTTOM OF THE SOUTH ACCESS ROAD AT THE BOTTOM OF THE HILL. THAT DRAINAGE PROBLEM WAS, IN FACT, CORRECTED LAST SUMMER.

MR. MCKINNON: SO THERE IS EVERY INDICATION - IT'S AN ENGINEERING BELIEF THAT THE ASPHALT THAT IS REPLACED ON THE SOUTH ACCESS ROAD THIS TIME WILL STAY THERE PERMANENTLY. IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN. THEY FEEL THEY HAVE GOT THE DRAINAGE PROBLEM CORRECTED. SECONDLY, THIS COVERS THE WHOLE RE-PAVING, NOT JUST THAT PARTICULAR SPOT. IT'S NOT JUST A PATCH JOB. IT'S RE-PAVING RIGHT FROM THE ALASKA HIGHWAY DOWN TO THE CITY BOUNDARIES AT LEAST, I WOULD ASSUME DOWN TO 2ND AVENUE.

MR. MCKINNON: I'M WILLING TO FOREGO IT ANOTHER YEAR IF THAT MONEY CAN BE USED FOR PURPOSES WHICH I WOULD GIVE MORE PRIORITY TO. I HAVE NO PROBLEMS AT ALL. YOU MIGHT TAKE \$50,000.00 OUT OF YOUR \$278,000.00.

MR. TAYLOR: THAT'S A DEAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM 2921 - RECREATIONAL ROADS, BOAT LAUNCHING RAMPS, AIRSTRIPS, \$31,000.00, DETAIL A 57.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW MANY RAMPS WERE INSTALLED LAST YEAR? IF SO, WHERE AND WHERE ARE THESE TWELVE NEW RAMPS GOING THIS YEAR?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION ASKED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BUT I WILL BRING THAT FORWARD.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 2921?

MR. TAYLOR: THERE IS NO PROVISION, MR. CHAIRMAN, FOR THE ADDITION IN THIS BUDGETED FIGURE, IT WOULD APPEAR, FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF ANY NEW RECREATIONAL ROADS OR CAMPSITES IN THE TERRITORY FOR THIS YEAR. IS THIS THE GOVERNMENT'S INTENTION THAT THEY DON'T ANTICIPATE ANY OR WHAT'S THE SCORE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN: THERE IS NO INTENTION TO BUILD ANY NEW CAMPGROUNDS THIS YEAR NOR IS THERE ANY INTENTION, AT THE MOMENT AT LEAST, TO PROVIDE ANY NEW RECREATIONAL ROADS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2922 - DAWSON AIRPORT BUILDING, \$140,000.00, DETAIL A 45.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M PLEASED TO SEE THIS IN HERE. THIS - IT'S BEEN A LONG TIME SINCE DAWSON HAS BEEN LOOKING FOR A FACILITY AT THE AIRPORT AND THEY ARE CERTAINLY ENTITLED TO ONE. I JUST HOPE THOUGH THAT IT WILL BE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC TWENTY-FOUR HOURS A DAY BECAUSE IT HAS A TELEPHONE PEOPLE CAN GET TO RATHER THAN FREEZE TO DEATH STANDING OUTSIDE TRYING TO GET INTO IT IN THE MIDDLE OF WINTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL FROM THE CHAIR I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT'S THE INTENTION OF THE AIRPORT BUILDING ITSELF TO BE OPEN, BUT CERTAINLY THE M.O.T. OFFICE THAT WILL BE OUT THERE WILL BE OPERATING ON A TWENTY-FOUR HOUR BASIS WHICH MEANS THAT SOMEBODY WOULD AT LEAST BE THERE IN THE BUILDING ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS.

MR. TAYLOR: STACK UP A LOAD OF FIREWOOD BESIDE IT SO SOMEBODY CAN GET THE FIRE GOING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2940 - PRE-ENGINEERING FEDERAL PROJECTS, \$100,000.00. THIS IS 100% RECOVERABLE. IT'S ALWAYS NICE TO THROW THAT IN.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2941 - MISCELLANEOUS

MINOR FEDERAL PROJECTS, \$50,000.00 - AGAIN 100% RECOVERABLE.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2950 - STEWART CROSSING DAWSON ROAD, \$1,300,000.00.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 2951 - CAMPBELL HIGHWAY, RECONSTRUCTION, \$1,350,000.00.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THAT COMPLETES THE CAPITAL UNDER ENGINEERING, DETAIL BACK ON PAGE 48. FIRST ITEM IS VOTE 900 - ADMINISTRATION, \$544,000.00.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT MIGHT JUST BE A POINT TO INTERJECT FOR THE EDIFICATION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IN CHARGE OF ENGINEERING THAT IN THIS NEW BUILDING IN WATSON LAKE THERE WAS ONE ROOM SET ASIDE IN ORIGINAL PLANNING FOR THE USE OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT. I WOULD HOPE THAT THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WOULD JEALOUSY GUARD THAT ROOM IN THAT BUILDING. IT WAS OUR HOPE THAT A DRAFTING TABLE AND A DESK COULD BE PLACED IN IT FOR VISITING PERSONNEL FROM THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF WHICH THERE ARE A GREAT NUMBER, MORE PARTICULARLY DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS, SO THAT IT COULD BE USED AS A PROJECT CENTER WITHIN WHICH TO DO SOME WORK. WITH A TELEPHONE, A DESK, SOME DRAFTING BOARD AND SOME BASIC EQUIPMENT I THINK IT WOULD BE MOST USEFUL. I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS FROM TIME TO TIME WITH VISITING MEMBERS OF THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WHO REALLY FELT THAT THIS WOULD BE OF GREAT BENEFIT TO THEM RATHER THAN SITTING IN A HOTEL ROOM BALANCING A BOOK ON THEIR KNEE AND THIS SORT OF THING, TRYING TO DRAFT. I AM WONDERING IF THEY WOULD LOOK INTO THAT AND SEE IF THAT OFFICE COULD BE EQUIPPED FOR THE USE OF ENGINEERING PERSONNEL.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT ENTIRELY SURE THAT THE NEED IS AS GREAT AS IT USED TO BE WHEN THE D.P.W. RAN THE HIGHWAY. I WILL CERTAINLY TAKE THIS UP WITH THE DEPARTMENT

MR. MILLER: I WILL TAKE THIS UP WITH THE DEPARTMENT HEAD AND WE WILL GET SOME ANSWER TO THE PROBLEM AT WATSON LAKE

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY QUESTIONS UNDER 900? CLEAR: 901 - MAINTENANCE OF TERRITORIAL BUILDING-\$719,000.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT SURE WHERE I FIND THIS. I HAD A QUESTION RELATED TO... PROBABLY I COULD ASK IT UNDER ADMINISTRATION. RELATED TO THE FUNCTION OF THE NEW RADIO SYSTEM, THE NEW VHF SYSTEM. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW IT IS WORKING, TO WHAT EFFICIENCY? I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE IT IS NOW INSTALLED TO? I BELIEVE IT IS INSTALLED DOWN TO HAINES ROAD AND BACK TO WHITEHORSE. AND WHERE IT IS INTENDED FOR FUTURE INSTALLATION DURING THE SUMMER?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CURRENT COVERAGE ON THAT SYSTEM RUNS FROM THE CUSTOMS AT THE ALASKA-B.C. BORDER THROUGH THE HAINES JUNCTION AND DOWN TO WHITEHORSE. WE HAVE A SECOND PIECE OF THE SYSTEM IN FROM DAWSON UP THE DEMPSTER HIGHWAY TO THE OGIUVIE CAMP.

FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND THE COVERAGE THAT THEY ARE GETTING IS FAR IN EXCESS OF THAT WHICH THEY ANTICIPATED. FOR EXAMPLE, THE DAWSON SYSTEM REACHES AS FAR AS 30 MILES WEST OF STEWART CROSSING. WHICH IS PROBABLY 30 OR 35 MILES FURTHER THAN THEY ANTICIPATED IT WOULD REACH.

A SIMILAR SITUATION IS HAPPENING ON THE HAINES ROAD. WE ARE GETTING COVERAGE NORTH OF HAINES FOR A CONSIDERABLE DISTANCE FURTHER THAN THEY THOUGHT THEY WOULD GET. SO BASICALLY THE SYSTEM IS WORKING WELL. AS FAR AS CURRENT PLANS FOR THE, OR THE FUTURE PLANS FOR FURTHER INSTALLATIONS. WE ARE CURRENTLY TRYING TO, THROUGH THE FICC TRYING TO GET AN INTEGRATED SYSTEM INSTALLED THAT WILL COVER ALL GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS IN THE YUKON.

THE TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR OUR FURTHER STUDY AND OUR INTEGRATED STUDY ARE JUST IN THE MILL AND HOPEFULLY THAT STUDY WILL BE RELEASED SHORTLY. I HOPE THAT WE CAN GET AT LEAST SOME COVERAGE ON THE CAMPBELL HIGHWAY THIS YEAR, DOWN AS FAR AS WATSON LAKE. WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THAT I DON'T KNOW AT

THIS TIME, OUR OBJECT IS TO TRY AND GET A FULLY INTEGRATED SYSTEM THAT WOULD PROVIDE FULL COVERAGE FOR ALL GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS IN THE NORTH. IN CERTAIN AREAS, AT LEAST, THESE WOULD BE ACCESSABLE TO THE PUBLIC, THAT IS WHERE WE STAND.

MR. TAYLOR: I WOULD THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE CNT WOULD PROBABLY HOWL LIKE WOUNDED COUGAR THROUGH SUCH A PROPOSAL BUT HAVE THEY INDEED. ARE THEY CAUSING ANY PROBLEM OR ARE THEY GOING TO LEAVE US ALONE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE INTEGRATED SYSTEM I WAS REFERING TO AND PART OF THE STUDY THAT WILL GO ON IS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT WE CAN'T INTEGRATE THIS PRIVATE SYSTEM, IN CERTAIN AREAS AT LEAST, INTO THIS CNT SYSTEM, OR AT LEAST TRUNKING FACILITIES.

MR. TAYLOR: ARE THEY RESPONSIVE?

MR. MILLER: THEY SEEM TO BE VERY COOPERATIVE AT THIS STAGE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF IN VIEW OF THE TIME, THAT WE MIGHT EXCUSE THE WITNESSES. WE HAVE QUITE A LONG WAY TO GO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHATEVER COMMITTEE WISHES.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD SUGGEST WE FINISH THIS IN PRIVATE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE ARE NOT GOING TO COMPLETE THIS THING TODAY AND I BELIEVE THERE IS AN ANNOUNCEMENT FORTHCOMING TONIGHT THAT MR. FINGLAND WISHES TO MAKE IN THE HOUSE. IF IT IS POSSIBLE, COULD WE EXCUSE THE WITNESSES AND REVERT BACK TO THE HOUSE TO HEAR THE ANNOUNCEMENT.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHY NOT FINISH THIS DEPARTMENT? WHY STOP HALF WAY THROUGH? WHY NOT FINISH THIS DEPARTMENT THEN MR. FINGLAND CAN MAKE HIS ANNOUNCEMENT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS BUT SURELY WE CAN WAIT UNTIL THEN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WENT UNTIL 4 O'CLOCK YESTERDAY, THIS ISN'T GOING TO TAKE MUCH MORE THAN 15, 20 MINUTES SO LET'S JUST FINISH IT OFF.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COMMITTEE AGREE?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM 901, OH SORRY. 902 - TERRITORIAL ROADS AND AIRSTRIPS- \$228,000.

MR. TAYLOR: THERE IS A BREAKDOWN ON THIS IS THERE NOT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: A-35.

MR. TAYLOR: IN RESPECT TO AIRSTRIPS, WHEN WILL WE HAVE THE PROPOSED MOT POLICY UPGRADING AND CREATING NEW TERRITORIAL AIRSTRIPS IN THE TERRITORY? WE HAVE BEEN INFORMED THAT THEY WERE GOING TO PUT ONE NEAR THE CHICHITWA RIVER FOR SOME REASON. I'M WONDERING IF THAT IS IN THE MILL AND IF SO WHEN AND WHAT IS THE SCORE ON THAT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION ASKED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IN THE HOUSE THE OTHER DAY, WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO PUT TOGETHER AT THE PRESENT TIME A LEGISLATIVE RETURN WHICH WILL DETAIL THE FUTURE AIRSTRIPS AND A POLICY AS IT APPLIES TO THE YUKON TERRITORY. I WOULD HOPE THAT WE WOULD HAVE THIS AVAILABLE EARLY NEXT WEEK.

AS FAR AS AN AIRSTRIP AT THE CHICHITWA CAMP. I CAN'T SPEAK SPECIFICALLY FOR THAT BUT IT HAS BEEN OUR PAST PRACTISE IN MOST AREAS TO HAVE AIRSTRIPS WITHIN REASONABLE ACCESS OF OUR MAINTENANCE CAMPS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER, COUNCILLOR TANNER, IF YOU WOULD TAKE THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 902?

MR. TAYLOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. WITH RESPECT TO THE FARO AND ROSS RIVER AIRPORTS, I AM WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD CONSIDER PUTTING LIGHTING ON BOTH OF THESE STRIPS. THERE IS POWER HANDY TO BOTH OF THEM. I DON'T SEE THAT IT WOULD BE TOO GREAT A PROBLEM TO, OR COST, TO PROVIDE LIGHTING TO BOTH THESE RUNWAYS. THEY COULD SURE USE IT IN THE WINTERTIME.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, PART OF THIS NEW MOT POLICY ON AIRSTRIPS IN THE NORTH INCLUDES RADIO EQUIPMENT, BEACONS, LIGHTING, AIR TERMINALS, AND THE GENERAL IMPROVEMENT OF AIRSTRIPS AND THEIR PARTS. WE NOW COME

UNDER THAT POLICY. THE DETAILED PLANNING AS TO WHEN THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO HAPPEN HAS NOT AS YET BEEN COMPLETED. WE HAVE A DETAILED LIST WHICH WILL BE TABLED WITH THE LEGISLATIVE RETURN, SOMETIME EARLY NEXT WEEK I WOULD HOPE, THAT INDICATES WHAT TYPE OF EQUIPMENT, WHAT TYPE OF FACILITY WILL BE PROVIDED AT EACH AIR STRIP.

THE EXACT TIMING OF WHEN THAT WILL BE DONE HAS NOT AS YET BEEN WORKED OUT. THE REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH STRIP HAVE BEEN LAID DOWN AND THEY INCLUDE SUCH THINGS AS LIGHTING, DIRECTIONAL BEACONS, I DON'T KNOW ALL THE FANCY NAMES FOR THIS RADIO EQUIPMENT BUT ALL OF THAT WILL BE PROVIDED.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION RELATING TO AIRSTRIPS. WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ALL STRIPS IS SHOWN AS \$33,100. AGAIN I SITE THE CASE OF WHERE WE PASSED THE FUEL TAX ORDINANCE. IT WAS 1¢ A GALLON ON AVIATION FUEL IN ORDER THAT THIS MONEY WOULD GO TOWARD AIRSTRIPS. SINCE WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THAT REALLY WASN'T THE REASON, WE DIDN'T AGREE TO THAT BUT THAT WAS THE WAY IT WAS SOLD TO COUNCIL. I'M WONDERING IF I COULD KNOW THAN HOW MUCH MONEY APPROVED FROM THE 1¢ TAX ON FUEL LAST YEAR, ON AVIATION FUEL.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M AFRAID I DON'T HAVE THAT FIGURE WITH ME. I THOUGHT I DID BUT I DON'T. I WILL BRING FORWARD THAT ANSWER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON 902? NEXT ITEM IS 903-\$110,000 - RECREATIONAL ROADS AND BOAT LAUNCHING RAMPS. DETAILS ON A-36.

MR. TAYLOR: HOW IS THE A-SCHEDULE? IS THAT HANGING IN GOOD? IS IT IN GOOD REPAIR RIGHT INTO AISHIHIK?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVEN'T BEEN ON THAT ROAD FOR QUITE A WHILE BUT AS I UNDERSTAND IT THERE ARE NO PROBLEMS WITH THE ROAD, AT LEAST AS FAR AS THE DAM SITE CONSTRUCTION.

MR. TAYLOR: CAN YOU GET INTO AISHIHIK ON IT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE ONLY EVERY MAINTAINED IT TO OTTER FALLS NEVER INTO THE...

MR. MCKINNON: THE REST IS 4 WHEEL DRIVE BUT YOU CAN GET IN IF YOU WANT TO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON 903?

NEXT ITEM IS 904 - \$20,000 - AIRPORT MAINTENANCE. ONE HUNDRED PERCENT RECOVERABLE. A-37.

CLEAR?

905 - \$113,000 - THIRD PARTY SERVICES. ONE HUNDRED AND TEN PERCENT RECOVERABLE.

FROM THE CHAIR DO WE GET MANY OF THESE IN FOR 110? IS SEEMS WORTHWHILE.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE DO A LOT OF WORK UNDER THIS PARTICULAR ESTABLISHMENT AND THE BILLS ARE NORMALLY PAID. WE GET THE ODD BUMMER BUT THE BILLS ARE NORMALLY PAID.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON 905? 906 - \$100,000- TOTE TRAIL ASSISTANCE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW MUCH DEMAND WAS PLACED UPON THIS IN THE LAST YEAR?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE VOTED A \$50,000 SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATE AND, IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECT, TOTAL COMMITMENT MADE BY THE COMMITTEE WAS SOMETHING IN EXCESS OF \$140,000. BETWEEN \$140 AND \$150,000 I BELIEVE THERE WAS SOMETHING LIKE \$20,000 OF THAT WILL NOT HAVE BEEN PAID OUT BECAUSE THE APPLICANT DID NOT COMPLY. SO THE ACTUAL COST FOR THE 1973/74 YEAR WERE APPROXIMATELY \$120 - \$125,000.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON 906?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: 907- \$3,767,000 - FEDERAL ROADS 85% RECOVERABLE. DETAILS ON A-37.

MR. TAYLOR: CAN THE ADMINISTRATION GIVE ANY ASSURANCE THAT THE WORK ON THE TAKHINI RANGE ROAD PLANNED ORIGINALLY FOR THIS SUMMER, WILL INDEED, THAT IS THE BRIDGE STRUCTURE, THAT THIS WILL CONTINUE NEXT SUMMER.

MR. MILLER: NO, THE ADMINISTRATION CAN'T GIVE ANY ASSURANCE BECAUSE THIS IS A FEDERAL ROAD AND IT IS FEDERAL MONEY. ALL WE CAN SAY IS THAT WE WILL CONTINUE TRYING TO GET THE MONEY TO DO THE WORK. IT IS

ACTUALLY THE NORTHERN ROADS COMMITTEE THAT ALLOCATES THE FUNDS AND DETERMINES WHICH CAPITAL PROJECTS WILL BE DONE.

Mr. TAYLOR: BUT WE PAY 15% OF THE MAINTENANCE.

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE WAY IT WORKS WITH ALL RESOURCE ROADS. THEY DO THE CONSTRUCTION, THEY PAY 85% OF THE MAINTENANCE, WE PAY 15%.

Mr. TAYLOR: THEN I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE SOME INDICATION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BECAUSE AS I SAY, IT WAS IN THE BUDGET THIS YEAR. IF INDEED THEY WON'T PRODUCE, THE WORK MUST BE DONE, YOU CAN'T JUST HAVE THE BRIDGES FALLING DOWN WITHOUT REPLACING THEM. AND THEY ARE FALLING DOWN ON SOME OCCASIONS. NEXT YEAR, I AM ASKING, WILL THIS BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION AND WILL THIS PROGRAM BE UNDERTAKEN?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT WE WILL CONTINUE TO ATTEMPT TO GET THE FUNDS FOR THIS. WHETHER THESE BRIDGES WILL FALL DOWN, OUR ENGINEERS SAY NO. I CAN ONLY ACCEPT THAT AS BEING THE CRITERIA BUT IN TERMS OF WHETHER WE CAN KEEP TRYING. YES, DEFINITELY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON 9077 908 - \$58,000-
DAWSON SKYLINE - 100% RECOVERABLE. DETAIL
ON A-38.

CLEAR?

909 - \$4,990,000 - ALASKA HIGHWAY-HAINES
ROAD 100% RECOVERABLE. THAT'S ALSO ON A-38.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, ARE THERE ANY FUNDS AVAILABLE UNDER THE ALASKA HIGHWAY BUDGET FOR THE UPGRADING OF THE ROAD OUT OF WHITEHORSE UP TO THE OLD DAWSON STAGE COACH CROSSING AT THE TAHKINI RIVER?

Mr. MILLER: NO, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS AGAIN WAS AN ITEM THAT WE PROPOSED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR WORK TO BE DONE THIS YEAR AND UNFORTUNATELY IT WAS NOT APPROVED.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK MY HISTORY OF INVOLVEMENT WITH THIS, GOES BACK TO WHEN THE ARMY HAD IT IN THEIR BUDGET ORIGINALLY OF 15 YEARS AGO, AND PERHAPS THEY EVEN HAD IT IN BEFORE THAT. THEN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TOOK OVER THE HIGHWAY AND HAD

IT IN THEIR BUDGET EVERY YEAR SINCE THEN AND NOW THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT HAS HAD IT IN IT'S BUDGET EVERY YEAR SINCE THEN. CERTAINLY AFTER THIS NUMBER OF YEARS IN THE BUDGET FOR THE APPROPRIATION OF THIS ROAD TO BE UPGRADED, SOMEBODY MUST BE GETTING THE MESSAGE SOMEWHERE, WHERE YOU GO DOWN TO DISCUSS THE ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT AND THE ALASKA HIGHWAY AGREEMENT AND ALL THE OTHER AGREEMENTS, THAT THIS HAS BEEN A PRIORITY FOR SOME FIFTEEN YEARS AND JUST PERHAPS, MAYBE PERHAPS IT'S BLOODY WELL ABOUT TIME SOMEBODY GOT DOWN TO DOING SOMETHING ABOUT THAT TERRIBLE STRETCH OF ROAD. NOW IS THERE ANY INDICATION OR IS IT GOING TO CONTINUE TO BE ASKED FOR FOR ANOTHER FIFTEEN YEARS BEFORE IT BECOMES ACCOMPLISHED OR YOU KNOW, ARE WE GETTING CLOSE TO THE DAY WHEN IT'S GOING TO BE UPGRADED?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS THAT RECONSTRUCTION ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. IT'S NOT OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO DO THE WORK. NOW WE CONTINUE TO REQUEST THESE THINGS BE DONE AND WE USE WHATEVER PRESSURES WE CAN WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO TRY AND GET THESE APPROVED. HOWEVER, IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY BECAUSE OF OTHER PRIORITIES, THERE IS LITTLE OR NOTHING THAT WE CAN DO ABOUT IT, EXCEPT TO KEEP TRYING TO INDICATE THAT NEED.

Mr. MCKINNON: WELL THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS KEEPS SAYING THAT THEY PRESENT IT ALSO BECAUSE IT IS ON THEIR LIST OF PRIORITIES, TO BE DONE, AND HAS BEEN SINCE THEY TOOK OVER THE HIGHWAY AND THE ARMY BEFORE THEM SAID IT WAS ON THEIR LIST OF PRIORITIES TO HAVE THAT SECTION UPGRADED.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THE LOCAL DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS PEOPLE FORWARD THIS TO THEIR HEADQUARTERS BUT AGAIN, WHAT'S FIFTEEN MILES OF CROOKED HIGHWAY IN THE NATIONAL INTERESTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. WE CAN'T JUDGE THESE THINGS. WE CAN ONLY KEEP TRYING TO PUT THE PRESSURE ON AND GET THE WORK DONE.

Mr. TAYLOR: I THINK AT THIS TIME I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: MAY THE WITNESSES BE EXCUSED AT THIS POINT?

IS THAT ITEM CLEAR?

MAY THE WITNESSES BE EXCUSED.

MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: GENTLEMEN IT LOOKS LIKE ABOUT MONDAY FOR THE ORDERS OF THE DAY.

WHAT IS YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THE COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:35 A.M. TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. MR. MILLER, MR. HUBERDEAU AND MR. FINGLAND ATTENDED COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS BILL NO. 3. COMMITTEE RECESSED AT 12 NOON AND RECONVENED AT 2:00 P.M. I CAN REPORT PROGRESS ON BILL NO. 3. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR AND THIS MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: YOU HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. ARE WE AGREED? MAY I HAVE YOUR FUTURE PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, IN RESPECT OF THE AGENDA, I BELIEVE IT'S THE INTENTION OF COMMITTEE ON MONDAY TO FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES UNDER BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS AND ALSO I BELIEVE MR. SPEAKER, AT THIS TIME, THAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR WISHES TO ADDRESS THE HOUSE.

MR. SPEAKER: MR. ADMINISTRATOR.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: THANK YOU MR. SPEAKER. I WANTED TO INFORM THE COUNCIL OF THE PROGRESS THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO MAKE ON THE APPOINTMENT OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES COMMISSION SINCE THE ORDINANCE WAS PASSED. JUDGE MADDISON OF COURSE IS CHAIRMAN BY VIRTUE OF THE ORDINANCE ITSELF AND MR. COLE WAS THE SELECTION OF THE COUNCIL AND JUDGE MADDISON AND MR. COLE HAVE SELECTED JOHN B. SCOTT OF WHITEHORSE AS THE THIRD MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION AND I HAVE SIGNED THE APPOINTMENTS A FEW MOMENTS AGO. IT'S EXPECTED THAT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO GET UNDER WAY NEXT WEEK AND AT THE PRESENT MOMENT IT'S ANTICIPATED THAT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO HAVE A REPORT READY SOMETIME IN LATE JUNE.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I MOVE WE CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: THIS HOUSE NOW STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. MONDAY MORNING.

ADJOURNED

MONDAY, APRIL 22, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE FOR TABLING THIS MORNING THE PRELIMINARY PLANS OF THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEES? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? AS THERE ARE NO MOTIONS OF THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS OR MOTIONS WE COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD. MADAM CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS AVAILABLE? WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE ANSWER RE COMMISSIONERS ABSENCE

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS FOR MR. ADMINISTRATOR. MR. ADMINISTRATOR ON WEDNESDAY, APRIL 17 IN ANSWER TO A QUESTION, "CAN IT BE ASSUMED THEN, MR. SPEAKER, THAT HE IS AWAY SPECIFICALLY TO TAKE A COURSE IN THE FRENCH LANGUAGE?" MR. ADMINISTRATOR YOU ANSWERED, "I CAN'T ANSWER THAT QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT SPECIFICALLY WHY HE WAS AWAY."

MR. ADMINISTRATOR, ON FRIDAY I WAS IN TOUCH WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT ABOUT VARIOUS QUESTIONS. THINGS THAT WERE PUT TO YOU. I ASKED OFFICERS THERE WHETHER THEY KNEW OF WHY THE COMMISSIONER WAS AWAY. THEY INDICATED TO ME THAT YOU MR. ADMINISTRATOR WERE MADE FULLY AWARE OF WHY MR. COMMISSIONER WAS AWAY. WHY DID

YOU ANSWER IN THIS MATTER SO AS TO MISLEAD THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, IN ANSWERING THE QUESTION I FELT THAT I WAS TELLING EXACTLY WHAT I UNDERSTOOD THE SITUATION TO BE. THAT IS THAT THE COMMISSIONER AS FAR AS I KNEW WAS IN VANCOUVER ON MATTERS TO WHICH AS FAR AS I KNEW WERE NOT SPECIFICALLY FOR FRENCH NOW IF THEY WERE SPECIFICALLY FOR FRENCH THEN I MUST SAY THAT I REGRET THAT I SAID WHAT I DID. BUT AS FAR AS I WAS CONCERNED I DID NOT KNOW THAT IT WAS SPECIFICALLY FOR THAT PURPOSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. ADMINISTRATOR, CAN I UNDERSTAND ...

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, POINT OF ORDER. THE QUESTIONS MUST BE ADDRESSED TO THE CHAIR. MR. SPEAKER, THE QUESTIONS MUST BE ADDRESSED TO THE SPEAKER AND NOT TO THE ADMINISTRATOR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, THE QUESTION IS THROUGH YOU TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. THE QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER, IS THIS. MR. ADMINISTRATOR INFORMED THIS HOUSE BY WAY OF A SPECIFIC ANSWER, I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS ADVISED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT THAT MR. COMMISSIONER WOULD BE AWAY FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING A COURSE IN FRENCH?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, NO I WAS NOT INFORMED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT THAT THE COMMISSIONER WOULD BE AWAY SPECIFICALLY FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING FRENCH.

QUESTION RE: MR. FLEMING

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, MR. ADMINISTRATOR HAD INDICATED THAT THE ADMINISTRATION DOES NOT INTEND TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS ABOUT MR. FLEMING. DURING MY DISCUSSION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT I WAS INFORMED THAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD BE TOLD TO ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS.

I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD INDICATE WHETHER HE HAD RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE

DEPARTMENT TO ANSWER QUESTIONS RELATED TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS? MR. FLEMING IN PARTICULAR.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE NOT BEEN SO INSTRUCTED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, SUPPLEMENTARY. WOULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT, ONCE HE HAS RECEIVED THOSE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE DEPARTMENT WOULD HE INFORM COUNCIL ACCORDINGLY AND GIVE ANSWERS TO THOSE QUESTIONS?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD HAVE TO SEE THE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE I COULD ANSWER THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALRIGHT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE POLICY RE SALE OF LOTS

MR. STUTTER: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR WOULD PROVIDE A POLICY PAPER TO COUNCIL ON THE SALE OF SERVICED LOTS BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT? WE HAVE A POLICY BOOK IN FRONT OF US AND I AM UNABLE TO FIND ANY POLICY ON THE SALE OF LOTS.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I CAN PROVIDE A PAPER ON THAT SUBJECT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE SECTION 10, SUBSECTION 2 RE CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I SEE WE HAVE NEW REGULATIONS REGARDING THE CONSUMPTION OF LIQUOR AND I WONDER WHETHER MR. ADMINISTRATOR CAN TELL ME THE REASON FOR SECTION 10, SUBSECTION 2, "BEER AND ALE SOLD BY THE BOTTLE OR CAN SHALL BE SERVED WITH A GLASS AND SHALL BE CONSUMED FROM THE GLASS."

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THERE MAY BE MORE REASONS THAN I CAN GIVE JUST OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD BUT MY UNDERSTANDING

IS THAT THE REASONS RELATE TO HEALTH AND HYGIENE. THERE MAY BE FURTHER REASONS AND IF I COULD BE ALLOWED TO TAKE THAT AS NOTICE I COULD FIND OUT EXACTLY WHY. THIS HAS BEEN IN EFFECT FOR A LONG TIME.

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I THINK MR. ADMINISTRATOR WILL FIND THAT IT HAS BEEN IN EFFECT FOR A LONG TIME AND THAT IS THE ONLY REASON FOR IT BEING IN THERE. THE HYGIENE OF THE BREWERY ISN'T VERY MUCH BETTER THAN THE HYGIENE OF THE LOCAL GLASS WASHERS.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

WE WISH TO THANK MR. ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS IN ORDER, NO PUBLIC BILLS IN ORDER MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION.

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. I WONDER IF MADAM

CLERK COULD ASCERTAIN IF THE WITNESSES WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR BILL NO. 3. I NOW DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL NO. 3. THE FIRST ITEM IS YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION AND WE GO TO CAPITAL WHICH IS FOUND ON PAGE 58-A. THE ITEM IS PUBLIC HOUSING - \$2,500,000. THERE IS ALSO A BREAKDOWN ON PAGE A-42.

I HAVE A QUESTION FROM THE CHAIR. IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WOULD NOT BE EMBARKING ON ANY FURTHER PUBLIC HOUSING AT LEAST IN THIS YEAR, BUT RATHER WOULD STAY WITH RENTAL PURCHASE AND I SEE THIS IS UNDER PUBLIC HOUSING. DOES THIS INFER THAT SOME OF THESE HOUSES WILL BE PUBLIC HOUSES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE USED THE TERM PUBLIC HOUSING AND WE WOULD LIKE TO INCLUDE RENTAL PURCHASE AND OTHER FORMS OF PUBLIC HOUSING. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S CAUGHT UP WITH US OVER THE LAST YEAR IS SOME PRETTY MAJOR CHANGES IN THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT AND THE RENTAL PURCHASE HOUSING PROGRAM THAT WE WERE OPERATING UNDER SECTION 43 OF THE ACT IS NO LONGER THE ADVANTAGEOUS SECTION FOR US TO USE. WE HAVEN'T COMPLETED OUR REVIEW OF ALL THE CHANGES IN THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT AS YET, BUT WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF DOING THAT. AND IT WOULD APPEAR THAT WE SHOULD BE POSSIBLY LOOKING AT AND USING SECTION 40 A LOT MORE THAN WE HAVE BEEN, WHICH CAN BE EITHER RENTAL PURCHASE NOW OR PUBLIC, OR RENT ON THE PRIVATE MARKET OR SALE. THEY'VE JUST THROWN THE WHOLE ACT TO PIECES AND WE'RE NOT QUITE SURE JUST WHICH WAY WE SHOULD BE GOING IN SOME OF THESE PROJECTS. WE HAVE USED THE TERM PUBLIC HOUSING IF YOU LIKE, TO GIVE US A LITTLE MORE LEeway.

MR. CHAIRMAN: YOU STATE ALSO THAT SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING WILL BE BUILT BY A SPONSORING ORGANIZATION IF POSSIBLE. COULD YOU EXPLAIN THIS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT WE'RE HOPING TO DO, FOR EXAMPLE. WE HAVE A PROJECT IN WHITEHORSE HERE AT THE MOMENT, THAT WE ARE WORKING ON AND THE INTENT WOULD BE THAT THE GOVERNMENT AND C.M.H.C. WOULD BUILD THE FACILITY AND THAT WE WOULD HAVE A SPONSORING ORGANIZATION SUCH AS THE YUKON ORDER OF PIONEERS, ACTUALLY OPERATE THE FACILITY AS THE HOUSING AUTHORITY. IN THE CASE THAT SOME OF THE OTHER COMMUNITIES

SUCH AS MAYO, THE ANGLICAN CHURCH IN MAYO HAS BEEN CONTACTED AND THEY APPEAR TO BE WILLING TO AGAIN OPERATE THE SENIOR CITIZENS FACILITY IN THAT COMMUNITY. BUT WHAT WE ARE REALLY HOPING TO DO IS TO GET SOMEBODY OTHER THAN GOVERNMENT INVOLVED IN DEALING WITH SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING IN THESE OUTLYING COMMUNITIES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST A FURTHER QUESTION WITH RESPECT TO THE SENIOR CITIZENS HOUSING. WHAT TYPE OF RENT STRUCTURES HAVE BEEN FORMULATED TO ACCOMMODATE PENSIONERS IN THIS TYPE OF HOUSING?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE ONE HERE IN WHITEHORSE, WE ARE LOOKING WITH BUILDINGS UNDER SECTION 40 AND THEN APPLYING SECTION 44 TO IT WHICH MEANS THAT C.M.H.C. WILL PICK UP PART OF THE DEFICIT. THE RENT STRUCTURES WOULD BE BASED ON INCOME SIMILAR TO THE RENT STRUCTURES THAT WE HAVE UNDER THE RENTAL PURCHASE PROGRAM, OR UNDER THE PUBLIC HOUSING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FOR INSTANCE IF THE PENSIONER IS RECEIVING JUST THE STRAIGHT BASIC PENSION, WHAT WOULD HIS RENT BE FOR SAY A TWO BEDROOM APARTMENT?

MR. MILLER: I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GIVE YOU THAT ANSWER. SPECIFICALLY THE RENT RANGES FROM \$5.00 PER MONTH TO A MAXIMUM PER MONTH, IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, SOMETHING LIKE \$120 TO \$130.00 PER MONTH, DEPENDING UPON THE INCOME OF THE INDIVIDUAL. SO THEIR CONTRIBUTION CAN BE AS LOW AS \$5.00 PER MONTH.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AND THIS IS WITH HEAT, LIGHT AND UTILITIES INCLUDED?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER IF MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE WHETHER THE APARTMENTS COME UNDER A RENTAL PURCHASE ARRANGEMENT AS WELL SO THAT THEY COULD BE SOLD ON A CONDOMINIUM TYPE BASIS TO THE TENANT OCCUPIERS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TWO APARTMENT BLOCKS THAT WE'VE TALKED ABOUT IN THE OUTLYING AREAS, SPECIFICALLY WATSON LAKE AND HAINES JUNCTION, THE INTENT THERE IS TO HAVE A PRIVATE ENTREPRENEUR BUILD THE APARTMENTS AND THE HOUSING CORPORATION WOULD LEASE THE FACILITY THAT ARE REQUIRED FOR THE PUBLIC HOUSING PEOPLE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT ISN'T MY QUESTION. WHEN APARTMENT BLOCKS ARE BEING BUILT NOWADAYS AND ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF THE SECTIONS UNDER CENTRAL

MORTGAGE AND HOUSING, WHICH I BELIEVE ARE NOW TRANSFERRED TO SECTION 40, THAT THE APARTMENTS CAN NOW BE SOLD TO THE OCCUPIERS. WILL THEY COME UNDER THE SAME SCHEME OF RENTAL PURCHASE IN THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AS HAS BEEN INDICATED AT THE COMMENCEMENT OF THIS ESTABLISHMENT 2182, WHICH CLEARLY INDICATES THAT THEY WILL BE RENTAL PURCHASE, THERE WILL BE 100 RENTAL PURCHASE HOMES. NOW DO THESE APARTMENTS COME WITHIN THAT CATEGORY OF THOSE HOMES?

MR. MILLER: WELL THAT WAS THE POINT MR. CHAIRMAN. I'M SORRY IF I DIDN'T MAKE MYSELF CLEAR. THE PROPOSAL UNDER 2182 IS TO CONSTRUCT APPROXIMATELY 100 RENTAL PURCHASE HOMES. THERE'S PROVISION HERE FOR 165 UNITS. THE BALANCE OF THE UNITS WILL BE LEASED FROM PRIVATE ENTREPRENEUR BY THE HOUSING CORPORATION RATHER THAN BUILT.

NOW ITEMS SPECIFICALLY ARE THE APARTMENT IN WATSON LAKE, THE APARTMENT IN HAINES JUNCTION AND 24 APARTMENT OR TOWN HOUSE UNITS IN WHITEHORSE, WILL ALL BE LEASED RATHER THAN BUILT BY THE HOUSING CORPORATION. NOW THE UNIT THAT WAS BUILT IN WHITEHORSE LAST YEAR AND IT'S JUST ABOUT TO BE OCCUPIED, THE EIGHTEEN SUITE APARTMENT OVER IN THIS PART OF TOWN OF WHITEHORSE, WAS BUILT UNDER RENTAL PURCHASE HOUSING AND THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT THOSE COULD BE SOLD UNDER THE CONDOMINIUM ORDINANCE, BUT THAT HAS NOT BEEN APPROACHED AS YET.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS WHAT I'M TRYING TO ASCERTAIN MR. CHAIRMAN. THE APARTMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT NOW IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA, ALL WERE BUILT UNDER A RENTAL PURCHASE SCHEME. WHAT MACHINERY HAS BEEN SET UP ALREADY, IF ANY, FOR PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THOSE APARTMENTS TO PURCHASE THOSE APARTMENTS AT THIS TIME?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE HAS BEEN NO MACHINERY SET UP AT THIS POINT IN TIME. THE HOUSES DOWN ON LOT 19 ARE BUILT UNDER SECTION 40. THEY ARE UNDER THE PUBLIC HOUSING SO THEY ARE NOT AVAILABLE FOR SALE. THE APARTMENT BEING BUILT OVER ON OGILVIE OR WHATEVER THE STREET IS, WAS BUILT UNDER RENTAL PURCHASE BUT THERE IS NOBODY IN THEM AS YET. WE HAVE SET UP NO MACHINERY TO ATTEMPT TO SELL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL COULDN'T WE GET SOMETHING DEFINITE?

MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT I'M TRYING TO ASCERTAIN IS THE MOMENT A TENANT OCCUPIER GOES INTO THOSE APARTMENTS, DO THEY GO IN WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY CAN PURCHASE THE APARTMENT UNDER THE RENTAL PURCHASE SCHEME THAT THIS MONEY HAS BEEN PROVIDED FOR BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I INDICATED THERE HAS BEEN NO MECHANISM AT ALL SET UP AT THE MOMENT. THE BOARD OF THE HOUSING CORPORATION HAS NOT PUT FORWARD A PROPOSAL FOR THIS TO BE DONE. IF THEY COME FORWARD WITH A PROPOSAL CERTAINLY WE WOULD GRANT THEM THAT PRIVILEGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE, JUST FROM THE CHAIR, THAT IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU HAVE TO PRETTY WELL GO TO THREE BEDROOM APARTMENTS FOR CONDOMINIUM. IS THIS NOT RIGHT?

MR. MILLER: NO, I THINK ONE BEDROOM.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M A LITTLE DISTURBED KNOWING THE HOUSING PRESSURE THAT IS IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE FOR, I THINK IT'S FAIR TO SAY WELL OVER 60% OF THE POPULATION OF THE YUKON LIVE, THAT THERE IS SOME 59 UNITS GOING UP IN WHITEHORSE IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR AND 106 IN OTHER AREAS AROUND THE TERRITORY. NOW, I THINK THAT IF ANY MEMBER IS FAIR WHEN IT CONCERNS THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON OUTSIDE OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE THAT IT'S THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER, BUT ARE WE REALLY BEING FAIR TO THE PRESSURES, THE VERY REAL PRESSURES, THE GREATEST PRESSURE OF ALL OF THE YUKON WHICH ARE BEING PLACED ON HOUSING IN WHITEHORSE AND I UNDERSTAND TALKING FROM THE PUBLIC HOUSING PEOPLE JUST AT THE END OF LAST WEEK, THAT THE EIGHTEEN UNITS ARE NOW FILLED AND THERE WERE SOME FORTY TO FIFTY OTHER APPLICATIONS ON THOSE APARTMENTS NOW BEING BUILT ON ALEXANDER STREET. IS THIS THE SAME TYPE OF PRESSURE THAT'S BEING APPLIED ON ALL THOSE 106 UNITS THAT ARE BEING BUILT THROUGHOUT THE YUKON TERRITORY NEXT YEAR OR IS IT REALLY DONE ON A LEAD BASIS RATHER THAN, MR. CHAIRMAN, A PRETTY POLITICAL BASIS? I THINK THIS IS ONE THAT WE REALLY HAVE TO EXAMINE IN OUR MINDS AND REALLY COME UP WITH THE ANSWER AS TO WHETHER WHITEHORSE IS BEING TREATED FAIRLY IN THIS RESPECT.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD JUST ASK THE MEMBER IF HE WOULD JUST EXPLAIN THAT ONE CONNOTATION OF POLITICAL BASIS.

MR. MCKINNON: WELL YOU KNOW WHEN YOU HAVE OVER 60% OF THE POPULATION LIVING IN AN AREA WHERE YOU KNOW THE HOUSING PRESSURES ARE SO GREAT FROM THE EXAMPLE I'VE JUST GIVEN, THAT AREA IS GETTING 59 HOUSES AND THE OTHER AREA OF THE YUKON WHERE 40% OF THE POPULATION LIVES, WHERE TO MY KNOWLEDGE THE PRESSURES AREN'T QUITE AS GREAT AS THE NEED IN THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE, ARE GETTING 106 UNITS. YOU KNOW, NOT BEING FROM

MISSOURI, I WOULD THINK, I WONDER IF THERE IS A LITTLE BIT OF JUGGLING GOING AROUND TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERY AREA GETS A CERTAIN NUMBER OF HOUSES, NOT REALLY TAKING INTO PRIME CONSIDERATION, THE NEED OF THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT WE SHOULD ALSO LOOK AT ALL THE ASPECTS OF IT AND WE DO HAVE THE HOUSING CORPORATION AND WE DO HAVE THE BOARD AND A STUDY WAS MADE OF THE HOUSING NEEDS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY. IT'S ON THE BASIS OF THIS STUDY THE HOUSING NEEDS IN THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES IN THE TERRITORY, THAT THE BOARD HAS DETERMINED THE ALLOCATION OF THE, SHOULD WE SAY PUBLIC HOUSING FOR THE YEAR 1974-75, WITH THE DECISION OF A HOUSING CORPORATION BOARD.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: Mr. MILLER DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE ALLOCATION AND I WAS AT SOME OF THE MEETINGS WHEN THIS ALLOCATION WAS DONE, THE ALLOCATION OF THE HOUSING FOR THE 74-75 YEAR WAS DONE ON THE BASIS OF THE NEED AND DEMAND SURVEY THAT WAS COMPLETED APPROXIMATELY TWO YEARS AGO. NOW AS A RESULT OF VARIOUS UNCERTAINTIES PARTICULARLY IN THE MIND OF SOME OF THE WHITEHORSE PEOPLE I THINK, THE HOUSING CORPORATION BOARD DIRECTED THAT THE NEED AND DEMAND SURVEY BE UPDATED SO THAT THEY WOULD HAVE A BETTER BASE TO WORK FROM. THEY WERE APPARENTLY ATTEMPTING TO GET CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING FUND A SURVEY WHICH WOULD GO BEYOND THE PURE PUBLIC HOUSING NEED. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM THAT WE FIND IN WHITEHORSE IS AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS SAID A VERY DRASTIC SHORTAGE OF HOUSING AND IN SPITE OF THE FACT, I MIGHT ADD, THAT WHITEHORSE IS THE ONLY PLACE REALLY UP TO THIS POINT WHERE PRIVATE ENTREPRENEURS HAVE BUILT HOUSES OR BUILT APARTMENTS TOO.

I THINK WE RECOGNIZE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S POINT AND I CAN ONLY SAY, THAT THE BOARD MADE THESE DECISIONS BASED ON THE BEST INFORMATION THAT THEY HAD AVAILABLE. CERTAINLY, WE MAY ALL PROVE TO BE WRONG. I WAS AT THE BOARD MEETING AS I SAY, NOT AS A MEMBER, BUT AS AN OBSERVER. THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THIS AS WELL.

Mr. McKINNON: I WONDER HOW FLEXIBLE THE BOARD CAN BE ONCE THESE ITEMS ARE PASSED THE BUDGET BECAUSE I THINK THE MEMBERS OF THE HOUSING CORPORATION WERE SURPRISED WITH THE DEMAND OF

THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO APPLIED FOR SUITES IN THE JUST COMPLETED APARTMENT BLOCK ON ALEXANDER STREET, I BELIEVE. I WONDER IF THERE IS ENOUGH FLEXIBILITY TO CHANGE WHERE THE DEMAND SHOWS ITSELF DURING THE COURSE OF A FISCAL YEAR OR WHETHER YOU ARE TIED IN COMPLETELY ONCE THIS BUDGET IS PASSED WITH NO FLEXIBILITY TO THE PROGRAM.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN I WOULDN'T PROPOSE TO TALK TO THE BOARD THEMSELVES BUT I THINK THEIR INTENT WOULD BE TO ACQUIRE THESE 165 UNITS DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR. KNOWING FULL WELL THAT THERE MAY BE PRESSURES COME AS A RESULT OF THIS, NEXT YEAR'S PROGRAM WOULD CERTAINLY REFLECT THOSE PREFERRED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN LET'S HAVE IT QUITE CLEAR, THAT THIS BOARD CAN DO WHATEVER THEY WANT. THE IDEA OF THE CORPORATION WAS NOT TO BE CONTROLLED BY THE ADMINISTRATION IN ANY MANNER. IF THEY SEE IN THEIR WISDOM THAT THEY CAN CHANGE IT, THERE IS A NEED TO CHANGE IT, THIS COUNCIL OR THE ADMINISTRATION ITSELF SHOULDN'T INTERFERE IN ANY WAY OR ANY EVENT.

Mr. MILLER: I WOULD AGREE WITH THOSE COMMENTS Mr. CHAIRMAN. WE IMPOSE NO RESTRICTIONS ON THE BOARD OTHER THAN THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE \$2,500,000 TO OPERATE WITH. THAT IS THIS COUNCIL'S PREROGATIVE. THAT'S REALLY THE ONLY RESTRICTION, THE TOTAL NUMBER OF DOLLARS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN I THINK THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST HAS PROBABLY BROUGHT UP A GOOD POINT. ALSO THE REMARKS THAT HAVE JUST BEEN MADE BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST ARE WELL TAKEN AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. I THINK THAT THE BOARD AND THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION SHOULD BE GIVEN A FREE HAND.

I NOTICED TOO, IN LOOKING AT THE BUDGET THAT THE ALLOCATION OF THE LOTS TO THE SERVICE LOTS THAT ARE TO GO INTO THE TERRITORY IS, I WONDER IF PERHAPS THE SAME COMMENT SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN RAISED WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THOSE LOTS. IT DOES APPEAR THAT ONLY 200 SERVICE LOTS ARE BEING MADE AVAILABLE IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA AND CLOSE TO 300 IN THE OUTER AREAS.

I WONDER IF THAT SAME FLEXIBILITY EXISTS IN THAT PORTION OF THE BUDGET SO THAT THE MAKE-UP OF THE LOTS, THAT IT ALL PERHAPS REMAINS CONSTANT BUT THE MAKE-UP OF THE LOTS POSSIBLY MAY BE SHIFTED AROUND ABOUT.

Mr. McKinnon: Over the weekend also, I took advantage of talking to some people in the construction trade and some entrepreneurs and every one of them agreed, without exception, there weren't enough surveyed lots being made available in the Whitehorse area in the next fiscal year. Without exception, there wasn't one and there are people who are trying to get Land Assembly Programs together who are trying to find out where land and lots could be available to put together a project such as trailer courts because of the limited number of lots that were going to be made available in the Whitehorse area.

I'll talk further with the City of Whitehorse fathers on this but I really believe in going through this budget, that the City of Whitehorse is getting shafted again.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, there is one other thing too. That is, that inasmuch as this portion of the budget, the portion that we are now talking about, the making available of lots, inasmuch as that is an in and out affair and its completely recoverable, if, it seems to me, I've requested this morning of the Administrator that we receive a policy paper on the disposal of these lots. This is part of the reason that I've asked for that paper. I feel that perhaps the policy itself is holding back many of the lots that were being made available.

We were always given to understand before, that there should always be lots available, plenty of them, to cut down on speculation. The fact that the lots are being bought up and used just as fast as they are being surveyed and improved, it seems to me that is an indication right there that there should be more serviced lots made available so that there are always lots available to the public from the Government and not from people who turn around and buy up several lots and turn around and make their profit selling them to the individual.

Mr. Chairman: Will you take the chair a moment.

Mr. Chairman: Councillor Taylor

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Chairman, I don't know whether we should do this in O & M or in Capital but I guess we are on the total subject.

Would it not be wiser in face of the pressures that have been indicated by the Honourable Member from Whitehorse West, and they are indeed apparent all through the Territory, would it not be wise, as was suggested when we created the Housing Corporation to consider the making of funds to back bank loans on a low interest long term basis for people who do not wish to buy or rent a home from the Housing Corporation, but wish to design, construct a home of their own choice because they are the people who are going to have to live in it, somewhat akin to the program we used to have on the low interest, low cost housing loan.

It seems to me that is where the big need is and if you can't get funds under any of the CMHC programs for housing construction for medium or low cost homes, this is where I think the Housing Corporation could play a very useful and beneficial role to the people of the Territory and I really think that this should be. Funds should be made available through the Housing Corporation on a low interest, long term basis in order to achieve this objective.

I think that if this were the case, and the Housing Corporation simply guaranteed the bank loan, that we could take a lot of these pressures off at this time. If we are going to develop this Territory, we have to have funds available somewhere and if the rigidity of the CMHC program is such that people on smaller incomes or more modest incomes, cannot build houses of their choice and locations of their choice, they will just have to leave the Territory.

There are a lot of people who need housing but maybe would prefer to go into housing of their own choice. I would like to hear some comment from Mr. Miller on this point.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I think as the Honourable Member knows, we had the low cost housing program a number of years ago. It is now defunct and we've now just finished attempting to get a lot of people to finish the houses, draw down the rest of the money and start making payments.

I won't argue the merits of the program or otherwise. I can say though, that CMHC this last year, came out with an Assisted Home Ownership Program which can in the Yukon Territory work for a person earning between \$8,000 and \$13,000 per annum. This program, I know

THERE ARE A NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS IN THE MAIL RIGHT NOW.

TO MY MIND, WE'LL SATISFY A LOT OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN THAT BRACKET. THESE PEOPLE CAN THEN GO OUT AND BUILD THEIR OWN HOMES AND THEY QUALIFY UNDER CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING FOR CERTAIN FORGIVENESSES EACH YEAR. AGAIN DEPENDING UPON THEIR INCOME LEVEL.

THE OTHER THING THAT THE HOUSING CORPORATION HAS DONE AND IT'S JUST STARTING TO GET ROLLING, THEY HAVE REQUESTED THE SECONDMENT OF THE CENTRAL HOUSING AND MORTGAGE OFFICER. THIS OFFICER IS NOW HERE AND HE IS STARTING TO WORK. HIS MAIN PURPOSE IS TO GO AROUND TO THE COMMUNITIES, TALK TO THE PEOPLE WHO WANT TO BUILD HOUSES AND ASSIST THEM IN PUTTING TOGETHER THE MATERIALS NECESSARY FOR THEM TO APPLY FOR A LOAN, TELLING THEM WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO BEFORE THEY WOULD QUALIFY FOR A LOAN.

THIS SEEMS TO BE THE MAJOR COMPLAINT THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED FROM LAST YEAR. THIS COMES BOTH FROM THE BANK INSTITUTE, CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING AND THE PEOPLE. DEPENDING UPON WHICH SIDE YOU WANT TO LISTEN TO, THE MAJOR PROBLEM SEEMS TO BE THAT THE PEOPLE DO NOT KNOW HOW TO GO ABOUT APPLYING FOR A LOAN, WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO, THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE PLANS AND THESE TYPES OF THINGS AVAILABLE AHEAD OF TIME.

I KNOW, I'VE CERTAINLY LISTENED TO A LOT OF THE PEOPLE, FOR EXAMPLE FROM YOUR OWN CONSTITUENCY. BUT CONVERSELY, I'VE GONE AND TALKED TO THE BANK MANAGERS AND THE BANKING INSTITUTES WHO WOULD NORMALLY RECEIVE THESE APPLICATIONS AND THERE IS JUST NO MESHING OF THE PEOPLE AND THE LENDING INSTITUTES.

THE BANK MANAGER DOESN'T HAVE THE TIME TO SIT DOWN WITH THE PEOPLE AND EXPLAIN TO THE PEOPLE THAT THEY HAVE GOT TO HAVE DRAWINGS AND PLANS AND COSTS AND THIS TYPE OF THING BEFORE THEY CAN EVEN APPLY. THE PEOPLE DON'T KNOW THIS. SO THIS CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING OFFICER THAT WE HAVE IN THE TERRITORY AT THE MOMENT IS HERE FOR THAT PURPOSE AND I BELIEVE THAT HE HAS ALREADY HAD ONE MEETING IN WATSON LAKE AND SOME OF THE REST.

HIS PURPOSE WILL BE TO BE HERE FOR SIX MONTHS AND DO NOTHING BUT SELL HOUSING TO THE PEOPLE. THAT IS REALLY WHERE WE STAND AT THE MOMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN COULD MR. MILLER INDICATE HOW MUCH MONEY IS ON TERM LOAN NOW,

WITH THE BANKS. HOW MUCH OF TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT MONEY IS ON TERM LOANS?

MR. MILLER: THE PRECISE FIGURE, I DON'T HAVE. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT IS PROBABLY SIX MILLION DOLLARS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I TAKE IT THAT \$6,000,000 IS ON LOAN TO THE BANKS AT 8 1/2%? WOULD THIS BE CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: THAT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY RIGHT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THERE ANY REASON WHY THE \$6,000,000 COULDN'T BE GIVEN TO THE PEOPLE AT 8 1/2% SO THAT THEY THEN HAVE A LOW INTEREST RATE ON WHICH TO BUILD HOUSING?

MR. MILLER: YES MR. CHAIRMAN, THE \$6,000,000 ARE PUBLIC FUNDS IN THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND. THEY CAN'T BE GIVEN TO ANYBODY WITHOUT THIS COUNCIL'S APPROVAL. BUT DON'T FORGET, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THESE ARE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS OR FUNDS THAT ARE INTENDED FOR A PURPOSE WITH A WORKING CAPITAL BALANCE TO REMAIN TO ALLOW US TO PAY OUR BILLS ON A NORMAL BASIS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE OVER HOW MANY YEARS HAS THIS \$6,000,000 BEEN RESTING IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND BUILDING UP AT THESE LOW INTEREST RATES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE AMOUNT OF FUNDS THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE AT ANY ONE TIME DEPEND TO A LARGE EXTENT ON THE PROCESSING OF BILLS AND ON THE RECEIPT OF THE FUNDS FROM OTTAWA.

DURING THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR, WE HAVE HAD AVAILABLE IN THE BANK ANYWHERE FROM, I THINK OUR LOW POINT WAS PROBABLY \$3,000,000 AND OUR HIGH POINT WAS \$8,500,000, OF FUNDS IN SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WOULD BE PRETTY SAFE TO SAY THEN THAT THERE COULD BE \$3,000,000 AVAILABLE AT A LOW INTEREST RATE TO THE PUBLIC. IF THIS COUNCIL SO DESIRED IN THAT MANNER TO USE THAT MONEY. IS THIS CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T THINK I COULD AGREE WITH THAT. THE FACT THAT WE HAVE \$3,000,000 AVAILABLE IN THE BANK AT ANY PARTICULAR POINT ONLY REFLECTS THAT BILLS HAVE NOT BEEN PROCESSED TO BE PAID. NOW, AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS KNOW, THAT OUR WORKING CAPITAL LEVEL IS APPROXIMATELY \$4,500,000. FROM THAT

WE MUST RUN THE REVOLVING FUNDS, THE INVENTORY FUNDS THAT WE HAVE WHICH TOTAL SOME, I'M TALKING OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD HERE, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME ITS APPROXIMATELY \$3,000,000 OF REVOLVING FUNDS NOW.

SO WE WOULD HAVE \$1,000,000 AND \$1,500,000 AVAILABLE FOR UNFORSEEN ITEMS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE KNOW LATER ON THIS YEAR THERE WILL BE A TEACHER NEGOTIATION OR A SALARY NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE TEACHERS. WE HAVEN'T FUNDED ANY INCREASE IN SALARY FOR THE TEACHERS. SO THAT MONEY IS GOING TO HAVE TO COME OUT OF THESE FUNDS THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE. THEY ARE CONTINGENCY FUNDS, BUT I THINK THEY MUST BE AVAILABLE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AT ANY GIVEN TIME, THERE IS A SURPLUS OF FUNDS IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND. COULD MR. MILLER INDICATE IF THIS IS CORRECT.

MR. MILLER: UNDER CURRENT CIRCUMSTANCES, YES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH?

MR. MILLER: I THINK I INDICATED MR. CHAIRMAN, IN ACTUAL CASH DOLLARS IT VARIES FROM \$3,000,000 TO \$8,500,000 OVER THE LAST YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND HAS FUNDS THAT COULD BE MADE AVAILABLE ON A LOW INTEREST BASIS AS HAS BEEN INDICATED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING MORE HOUSES. IT CAN BE SEEN, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IT WOULDN'T MATTER FROM WHOM THE INTEREST AND WHERE THE INVESTMENT WAS, WHETHER IT WAS A BANK, OR FROM THE PUBLIC BECAUSE THEY ARE PUBLIC FUNDS, AS LONG AS THAT INTEREST WAS COMING IN.

NOW, I UNDERSTAND MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE MORTGAGE RATES ARE ABHORING RATES FROM BANKS FOR ANY INDIVIDUAL IS ROUND ABOUT 13% TO 14%. NOW, COULDN'T THIS MONEY, A MINIMUM OF \$3,000,000 BE MADE AVAILABLE IN VIEW OF WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN EXPRESSED BY MR. MILLER, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL WHO VOTE ON THE MONIES THAT ARE IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE HE ANSWERS IT, I THINK YOUR QUESTION WAS BASED ON SURPLUS FUNDS. YES YOU DID. I NOTICED VERY CAREFULLY, THAT DOES NOT SAY THAT THOSE FUNDS ARE NOT COMMITTED FUNDS. WE MUST BE VERY, VERY CAREFUL

WHEN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT FUNDS. MOST OF THOSE FUNDS ARE COMMITTED FUNDS. YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS, THIS IS WHAT THE TREASURER IS TALKING ABOUT. THE INVESTMENT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS TALKING ABOUT IS CERTAINLY LONG TERM INVESTMENTS.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN I CAN SEE THE POINT THAT THE MEMBER IS TRYING TO MAKE BUT I CAN'T QUITE AGREE WITH IT, BASICALLY FOR THIS REASON.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHICH MEMBER ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?

MR. MILLER: I'M SORRY, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST. I CAN CERTAINLY SEE THE POINT THAT YOU ARE GETTING AT. THE THING THAT WOULD CONCERN ME, AND I RECALL THOSE DAYS ONLY TOO WELL. IT WAS JUST A LITTLE LESS THAN TWO YEARS AGO IN THE TERRITORY WHEN WE HAD TROUBLE MEETING OUR BILLS BECAUSE WE DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH FUNDS ON THE BOOKS WE ALWAYS HAD A SURPLUS. BUT WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY CASH. RIGHT NOW OUR CASH POSITION IS FAIRLY HEALTHY, I'LL GRANT YOU THAT BUT SIX OR EIGHT MONTHS FROM NOW, IT MAY BE AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT PROPOSITION.

OUR CASH POSITION SO DEPENDS UPON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ADVANCES FOR PROJECTS, IT DEPENDS UPON UNFORESEEN ITEMS COMING ALONG SUCH AS WAGE INCREASES OR PRICE INCREASES THAT DO COME ALONG. I WOULD BE VERY RELUCTANT AT THIS POINT TO RECOMMEND TO THIS COUNCIL THAT ANY OF THESE FUNDS SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE.

I'M NOT DISAGREEING WITH THE CONCEPT THAT THE MEMBER IS TRYING TO PUT FORWARD. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT IF THIS COUNCIL WISHED TO SEE THIS TYPE OF A PROGRAM, THAT WE COULD ENDEAVOR TO TRY AND GET FUNDING FOR IT.

UNDER OUR CURRENT FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS, I WOULD BE VERY, VERY RELUCTANT TO RECOMMEND TO THIS COUNCIL THAT WE USE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE THAT WAS OUTLINED.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD POINT OUT TO ALL HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT IN THE BUDGET SPEECH, THERE IS AN \$800,000 DEFICIT PROJECTED FOR THIS YEAR AND IT HAS GOT TO COME OUT OF THOSE SAME FUNDS. BESIDES ANYTHING ELSE THAT WE'VE GOT TO FIND.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH NO. PERHAPS MR. MILLER CAN EDUCATE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN ABOUT THAT \$800,000 HAVE TO COME OUT OF THOSE FUNDS IF THERE WAS A DEFICIT AMOUNT.

IT'S ALREADY BEEN INDICATED MR. CHAIRMAN BY MR. MILLER, OVER THE PAST NUMBER OF YEARS THAT THERE HAS BEEN FUNDS RANGING BETWEEN \$3,000,000 AND \$8,500,000 WHICH WERE ON TERM LOAN TO THE BANK GETTING ANYWHERE UP TO 8 1/2%. A FEW YEARS BACK IT WAS PROBABLY 6% OR 6 1/2% AS THE BANK INTEREST RATE GOES UP, WE GET THE BENEFITS OF IT. THAT IS A PRETTY STRANGE WAY OF FINANCING, THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH HAS, THAT NO DOUBT IF HE GOES ON IN THIS PARTICULAR WAY, HE WILL NEVER BE SUCCESSFUL IN BUSINESS.

WHAT I WANT TO GET MR. CHAIRMAN...

MR. TANNER: ON A POINT OF ORDER MR. CHAIRMAN

MR. CHAIRMAN: USE YOUR POINT OF ORDER.

MR. TANNER: WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SAYING HAS NOTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH THIS DEBATE. I AM MERELY POINTING OUT TO ALL MEMBERS THAT THE PARAGRAPH SAYS...

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULD I HEAR THE POINT OF ORDER?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK THAT THE MEMBER HAS ANY REASON TO IMPUTE WHAT I MIGHT DO PERSONALLY IN THIS HOUSE. THERE IS NO PLACE FOR THAT BUSINESS HERE. THERE IS NO REASON FOR THE MEMBER TO SAY IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT I'M TRYING TO ASCERTAIN FOR MR. MILLER, MR. CHAIRMAN

IS A CLEARLY SPECIFIED TYPE OF PROGRAM WHICH THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND CAN BRING FORWARD, BASED ON THE MINIMUM, ON HIS OWN FIGURE OF THREE MILLION DOLLARS, THAT IS AVAILABLE AT ANY GIVEN TIME, AND GET SUPPORT BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN CASE THAT AMOUNT SHOULD GO DOWN AND WHERE THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WOULD NEED EXTRA FUNDS TO MEET THIS COMMITMENT. ONE OF THE PRINCIPLES BEING, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT MONEY THAT'S IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND IS PUBLIC MONEY FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC AND HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ENHANCE ONE OF THOSE AREAS BY SUPPLYING FUNDS AND AT A LOW INTEREST RATE. THE SAME INTEREST RATE THAT THEY RECEIVE FROM THE BANKS TO PRODUCE MORE HOUSING.

NOW, WHAT WOULD BE THE DIFFICULTY, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN MR. MILLER SUGGESTING THAT THIS COULD BE DONE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW TO TACKLE THIS THING. BASICALLY WHAT I'VE INDICATED IS THAT

THE TERRITORY HAS FROM THREE TO EIGHT MILLION DOLLARS CASH AVAILABLE, OR HAS HAD OVER THE LAST YEAR. NOW, OUR WORKING CAPITAL LEVEL STANDS AT FOUR AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS AND THESE ARE THEORETICALLY, FUNDS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO RUN THE GOVERNMENT. OF THAT FOUR AND A HALF MILLION, APPROXIMATELY THREE MILLION IS NEEDED TO RUN OUR REVOLVING ACCOUNT. NOW THAT LEAVES US A MILLION AND A HALF DOLLARS.

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH HAS POINTED OUT THAT IN THIS PARTICULAR YEAR WE HAVE AN \$800,000 BUDGET DEFICIT. THOSE FUNDS WILL COME OUT OF THE FOUR AND A HALF MILLION AND IF ALL GOES WELL WE WILL END UP AT THE END OF THIS YEAR WITH 3.7 MILLION DOLLARS IN WORKING CAPITAL. AGAIN, WE STILL NEED THE THREE MILLION DOLLARS TO RUN OUR REVOLVING FUNDS. SO, IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A SURPLUS, AN UNCOMMITTED SURPLUS, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT APPROXIMATELY \$700,000. OUT OF THAT \$700,000 WE HAVE TO, IN THIS PARTICULAR YEAR, COVER AT LEAST PART OF THE TEACHERS' SALARIES NEGOTIATIONS, WHATEVER THEY MIGHT BE BECAUSE WE HAVE NO FUNDING FOR THEM.

I DON'T KNOW WHAT OTHER THINGS MAY CROP UP IN THE COURSE OF THE YEAR BUT IF PRICES CONTINUALLY INCREASE THE WAY THEY HAVE BEEN GOING, WE WILL BE HARD PRESSED BY THE END OF THE YEAR TO HAVE THREE MILLION DOLLARS IN OUR WORKING CAPITAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN MR. MILLER INDICATE NOW HOW MUCH FUNDS ARE IN CASH IN THE GOVERNMENT'S ACCOUNT AT THIS TIME?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS APPROXIMATELY SIX AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER HAS ALREADY INDICATED THAT SOME OF THIS IS USED FOR THE MEETING OF ACCOUNTS. HE HAS ALSO INDICATED THAT THERE ARE AT TIMES NOT LESS THAN THREE MILLION DOLLARS WHICH IS AVAILABLE IN CASH AFTER ALL ACCOUNTS HAVE BEEN MET. IN OTHER WORDS, DOES MR. MILLER AGREE WITH WHAT I SAY? THAT FUNDS HAVE BEEN MAINTAINED OVER THE YEARS IN CASH AND NOTWITHSTANDING THAT CASH BEING AVAILABLE THERE HAVE BEEN BUDGETED REQUIREMENTS MADE BY ASKING COUNCIL TO BRING FORWARD TO APPROVE THE BUDGETED AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAS BROUGHT FORWARD FROM TIME TO TIME AND NOT TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS IN CASH. ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY, I TRIED TO GET THE IMPORT OF THE MEMBER'S QUESTION AND I'M SORRY, I MISSED IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER GETS THE IMPORT OF MY QUESTION BECAUSE I'M JUST TELLING HIM NOW EXACTLY WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN THE PAST NUMBER OF YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE. THIS HAS BEEN THE EXPLANATION THAT'S BEEN GIVEN BY HIM. NOW IT'S CONVENIENT, MR. CHAIRMAN, FOR HIM TO SAY NO HE DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THE IMPORT, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE IMPORT IS CLEAR, THAT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE AT ALL TIMES IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND WHICH ARE CASH FUNDS OVER AND ABOVE WHAT IS REQUIRED BY WAY OF BUDGETED ITEMS.

NOW, COULD HE SAY YES OR NO TO THAT?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE HAVE BEEN FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF IN THIS WAY BUT I CAN RECALL, LESS THAN TWO YEARS AGO WHEN THERE WAS NO CASH AVAILABLE. I CAN RECALL VERY VIVIDLY HAVING TO SCRAMBLE EVERY TWO WEEKS TO BE ABLE TO PAY THE PAYROLL OF THIS TERRITORY BECAUSE WE HAD NO CASH IN THE BANK TO DO SO. NOW WE ARE AT THE POINT, FOR THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF, WHERE WE DO HAVE EXCESS CASH. HOW LONG THAT WILL CONTINUE, I CAN'T PREDICT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER IS, WITH RESPECT I SAY THIS, IS CONVENIENTLY CLOSING HIS EYES TO WHAT IS THE KEY QUESTION THAT HAS BEEN RAISED HERE. THAT IS THE ABILITY OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TO USE FUNDS THAT HAS BY WAY OF CASH RESERVES INSTEAD OF LOANING IT TO THE BANK AT EIGHT AND ONE HALF PERCENT, TO LOAN IT TO THE PUBLIC AT EIGHT AND A HALF PERCENT SO THERE IS LOW INTEREST MONEY AVAILABLE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF HOUSES.

NOW, I WANT TO GET FROM MR. MILLER WHETHER OR NOT THAT THIS COULD BE USED IN THAT PARTICULAR MANNER. THAT IS THE SAME MANNER WHICH IT LOANS TO THE BANK, LOAN IT TO THE PEOPLE,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I COULD NOT GUARANTEE SITTING HERE TODAY, THAT WE WOULD HAVE CASH FUNDS AVAILABLE TO LOAN TO ANYBODY SIX MONTHS FROM NOW. I WOULD HOPE THAT WE WOULD, BUT I CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SURELY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, THE WHOLE PREMISE OF HIS ARGUMENT IS INCORRECT BECAUSE IF YOU ARE GOING TO LEND MORTGAGE MONEY TO FINANCE ANYTHING, AND IN PARTICULAR HOUSES, YOU'VE GOT TO BE ABLE TO GUARANTEE THAT MONEY PROBABLY OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS. IF THE FUNDS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS TALKING ABOUT ARE FUNDS WHICH SOMETIMES ACCRUE

AND SOMETIMES DON'T ACCRUE THEN THERE IS JUST NO WAY YOU CAN COMMIT MONEY LIKE THAT ON A LONG TERM BASIS WHICH MIGHT NOT BE THERE NEXT WEEK LET ALONE SIX MONTHS FROM NOW.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS WOULD BE RIGHT EXCEPT FOR THE FACT THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WOULD SUPPORT THAT TYPE OF LENDING.

MR. MILLER: WITH RESPECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T BELIEVE THE GOVERNMENT WOULD BECAUSE THEY HAVE THEIR OWN HOUSING FACILITIES CALLED CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING. I THINK AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WILL RECALL, WHEN WE HAD THE LOW COST HOUSING PROGRAM, IT CAME TO A DECIDED HALT BECAUSE ITS LEVELS WERE REACHING THE CENTRAL MORTGAGE AND HOUSING LEVELS AT THAT TIME.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M IN SYMPATHY WITH WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS IN MIND BUT I THINK THE APPROACH IS WRONG. I THINK AS HAS BEEN SUGGESTED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THAT DEPARTMENT, THAT WHAT WE SHOULD BE LOOKING AT IS A PROGRAM PROPERLY FUNDED WITH PROPER SOURCES, PROBABLY FEDERAL, AND A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY WHICH CAN BE LET OUT FOR MORTGAGES FOR HOUSES BUT YOU JUST CAN'T DO IT ON AN AD HOC BASIS AND TAKE WHAT SURPLUS FUNDS WE MIGHT HAVE TODAY AND HOPE WE WILL HAVE SOME INTEREST ACCRUED AND MONIES AVAILABLE TOMORROW. IT WOULDN'T BE FAIR TO THE PEOPLE BORROWING THE MONEY IN THE FIRST PLACE BECAUSE THEY WOULDN'T KNOW WHAT'S IN THE FUTURE EITHER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL JUST DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON PUBLIC HOUSING, 2182 - \$2,500,000?

WE WILL THEN GO TO D & M ON PAGE 56. THIS IS YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION ADMINISTRATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$105,378.

FROM THE CHAIR, WHEN MAY WE EXPECT TO GET SOME INFORMATION AS TO FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE HOUSING COMMITTEE REPORT? YOU KNOW POLICIES, RENTAL POLICIES AND THIS TYPE OF THING.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT QUESTION WILL BE ANSWERED HOPEFULLY BY TOMORROW OR WEDNESDAY. I BELIEVE THERE IS AN OUTSTANDING QUESTION TO THE ADMINISTRATOR IN THAT REGARD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE IS AN ITEM HERE THAT SAYS TRAVEL AND RELOCATION COSTS - GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. NOW WHO ARE THE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HOUSING CORPORATION MANAGER, THE TWO PROJECT OFFICERS AND THE CLERK TYPIST II ARE ALL PUBLIC SERVANTS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S THE POINT THAT I WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR AND GET RECORDED. IT'S NOT A CROWN CORPORATION WITHIN THE MEANING OF CROWN CORPORATION. I HAVE RAISED THIS BEFORE, BUT THEY ARE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HOUSING CORPORATION ACT SPELLS OUT THAT THESE PEOPLE WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE RULES AND REGULATIONS. THE HOUSING CORPORATION ACTUALLY THE BOARD, ACTUALLY HAS THE ABILITY AND THEY DO IN FACT DO THE HIRING, THE CHOOSING OF THESE PEOPLE. SO WHILE THEY ARE PUBLIC SERVANTS, THEY DO RESPOND TO THE HOUSING CORPORATION AND BOARD AND THEY ARE CHOSEN BY THEM. NOW WE CERTAINLY ASSIST THEM IN THAT AREA.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE HOUSING CORPORATION WILL THEN REVIEW THE APPLICATIONS FOR THE MANAGERS POSITIONS AND WILL INDICATE WHO THEY FEEL SHOULD BE HIRED?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN AND IN FACT THEY ARE IN THAT PROCESS RIGHT NOW REVIEWING THE APPLICATIONS FOR THE NEW MANAGER AND THE DECISION REALLY WILL BE THEIRS. NOW OUR PERSONNEL PEOPLE ASSIST THEM IN THIS BY RECOMMENDING THOSE THAT THEY WOULD CERTIFY AS QUALIFYING FOR THE POSITION, BUT THE ACTUAL

CHOICE WILL COME DOWN TO THE BOARD OF THE HOUSING CORPORATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS AFTER THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT HAVE TAKEN AWAY NAMES OF PEOPLE FROM THE LIST THAT THEY ARE SUBMITTING, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT WORKS WITH THE HOUSING CORPORATION BOARD MUCH AS THEY DO WITHIN THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS. THEY REVIEW THE APPLICATION AND THEY GIVE AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER THEY FEEL THE PERSON IS CERTIFIABLE. NOW I HAVE NEVER YET SAT ON A HIRING BOARD WHERE THERE WASN'T COMMON FEELINGS BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT, THE APPLICANT DEPARTMENT AND THE PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT AS TO WHO WAS CERTIFIABLE AND WHO WASN'T. SO IT'S AN ADVISORY ROLE THAT PERSONNEL PLAY.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS IF THEY SO WISHED COULD ASK FOR ALL APPLICATIONS FOR THE POSITION, COULD THEY NOT?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT AND THEY DO SEE ALL APPLICATIONS. THEY DON'T INTERVIEW ALL THE PEOPLE, THEY GO TO THE SHORT LIST.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THESE PEOPLE IN THE HOUSING CORPORATION AND ADMINISTRATION THAT ARE VIEWING APPLICATIONS AND VERY PERSONAL INFORMATION FROM APPLICANTS, ARE THEY UNDER ANY OATH OR ANYTHING TO PROVIDE FOR THEIR SECRECY IN RESPECT OF THIS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EVERY PUBLIC SERVANT TAKES AN OATH WHICH PROVIDES FOR THIS TYPE OF THING. AN OATH OF SECRECY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: BUT TO THE PEOPLE INVOLVED AT ANY LEVEL OF THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION WHO ARE DEALING WITH THE PUBLIC AND IN FACT EVEN AT THE COMMUNITY LEVEL THERE ARE MANY VERY VERY PERSONAL AND PRIVATE THINGS DISCUSSED IN RELATION TO THEIR DUTIES IN APPLYING FOR HOUSING. ARE THESE PEOPLE IN THE HOUSING CORPORATION AWARE OF THIS BY VIRTUE OF AN OATH OR ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE?

MR. MILLER: THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND THE MEMBERS OF THE HOUSING ASSOCIATION TO MY KNOWLEDGE DO NOT TAKE AN OATH. THE ADMINISTRATIVE PEOPLE, THE PUBLIC SERVANTS DEFINITELY DO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS A VERY VERY INTERESTING SUBJECT IN THAT YOU HAVE PEOPLE AT THE COMMUNITY LEVEL, THE HOUSING BOARDS OR ASSOCIATIONS IF YOU

MAY SAY WHO ARE RECEIVING A GREAT DEAL OF VERY PERSONAL INFORMATION AND SHOULD THEY NOT BE REQUIRED TO KEEP THAT VERY CONFIDENTIAL?

Mr. MILLER: WELL I CAN'T DISAGREE WITH THE POINT BEING MADE. AS I SAY TO MY KNOWLEDGE THEY DON'T. NOW IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THEY DO AND I WILL CERTAINLY LOOK INTO THAT MATTER.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, CERTAINLY IT WOULD BE PROFITABLE TO LOOK INTO THAT BECAUSE I AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE. THERE IS A LOT OF PERSONAL INFORMATION ON THOSE APPLICATIONS AND THEY GO TO LOCAL PEOPLE AND REALLY I THINK THAT SOME DIRECTION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE SERVING ON THOSE ASSOCIATIONS THAT THIS INFORMATION THAT THEY GET IS VERY CONFIDENTIAL. I THINK THERE SHOULD BE SOME DIRECTION THAT SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE HOUSING CORPORATION.

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'LL TAKE THAT UP WITH THE HOUSING CORPORATION BOARD AND I WILL LOOK IN OUR REGULATIONS AND I'LL TAKE THAT MATTER UP AND SEE WHAT WE CAN DO WITH IT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE SALARY AND WAGES SHOW A NATURAL INCREASE BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THERE IS A AUTOMATIC INCREASE IN PAY, BUT I WONDER WHETHER Mr. MILLER CAN INDICATE WHY THERE IS AN ALMOST 50% INCREASE IN FRINGE BENEFITS?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GIVE YOU THAT ANSWER RIGHT OFF THE TOP, I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK INTO THIS MATTER TO SEE. I HAVE AN IDEA THAT WHAT IT RELATES TO IN THIS PARTICULAR CURRENT FISCAL YEAR IS THE FACT THAT ALL OF THE STAFF IN THAT AREA QUALIFY FOR THE YUKON BONUS IN THE ONE YEAR.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: EVEN IF THAT DID HAPPEN, THERE IS JUST FOUR IF ALL OF THEM DID HAPPEN AND IT'S \$250 A YEAR THAT WOULD BE JUST A \$1,000 IF THAT ALL HAPPENED. BUT I KNOW THERE ARE SOME PEOPLE THERE WHO DO NOT QUALIFY BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T BEEN THE DESIRED MINIMUM OF TWO YEARS. IS IT NOT?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, ALL THE PEOPLE THAT WE ARE REFERRING TO HERE HAVE BEEN THERE FOR TWO YEARS OR WILL HAVE BEEN DURING THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR. AND I THINK THIS IS REALLY WHAT IT BOILS DOWN TO. NOW WHEN YOU SAY \$250, IT'S \$200 FOR AN INDIVIDUAL. IN THE CASE OF A FAMILY MAN, ONE OF THE INDIVIDUALS HAS AT LEAST THREE

CHILDREN SO HE WOULD PROBABLY RECEIVE \$550 OR \$600 AS THE YUKON BONUS. AND I BELIEVE THAT'S THE REASON FOR THIS IN THIS PARTICULAR YEAR.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: CAN WE GET THAT FOR SURE?

Mr. MILLER: YES, I'LL GET THAT FOR SURE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON ESTABLISHMENT 1800? I BELIEVE THERE IS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION COMING IN RELATION TO POLICY AND THE ACTIVITIES OF THE BOARD BUT ANYTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME?

THE NEXT ITEM IS 1801 - OPERATING SUBSIDY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$295,000. THE BREAKDOWN IS FOUND ON PAGE A-39.

IN THE CASE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE NATIVE PEOPLE WHO ARE OCCUPYING ANY OF THE HOUSES CONSTRUCTED OR OPERATED OR LEASED UNDER THIS PROGRAM, ARE THE DEFICITS IN FACT PICKED UP BY INDIAN AFFAIRS?

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IN THE 1974-75 FISCAL YEAR WE HAVE PROVIDED A \$111,000.00 TO COVER THAT ITEM.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE HEARD FROM Mr. MILLER EARLIER THIS MORNING THAT SECTION 40 OF THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT WAS THE MOST BENEFICIAL TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. NOW WE SEE UNDER OPERATING SUBSIDY, RENTAL PURCHASE OF PUBLIC HOUSING, THAT ALL THE HOUSING WILL BE UNDER SECTION 43 OF THE NATIONAL HOUSING ACT WHERE THE DEFICIT OPERATION IS BORNE BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE POINT I WAS TRYING TO MAKE EARLIER, AND I WILL MAKE THE POINT AGAIN, IS THAT UP UNTIL THIS POINT WE HAVE BEEN OPERATING UNDER SECTION 43. IT'S ONLY BEEN IN THE LAST THREE MONTHS ROUGHLY THAT THESE CHANGES HAVE COME ABOUT IN THE NATIONAL HOUSING INTERPRETATION OF THEIR ACT. WE ARE JUST NOW WORKING ON SOME SORT OF REVISED POLICY AS TO WHAT WE SHOULD BE DOING TO GET THE BEST DEAL FOR THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, AND USE THE MOST AMOUNT OF CMHC FUNDS IF YOU LIKE. WE HAVEN'T COME TO A FINAL POLICY ON IT BECAUSE OF THE COMPLICATIONS AND THE CONSTANT CHANGES THAT KEEP COMING FROM DAY TO DAY OR FROM WEEK TO WEEK FROM CMHC. EVEN THEY ARE CHANGING THEIR INTENT AND THEIR INTERPRETATION ALMOST DAILY ON SOME OF THESE. I WOULD HOPE THAT WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF

WEEKS WE WILL HAVE A FORMAL POLICY PREPARED, WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO MOVE ON FROM THAT POINT, AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT I DON'T HAVE IT.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I notice that the taxes are just for three months for various units at various locations in the last item. Why would we just be making provision for taxes for three months only?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, these are the units that will be built this year. Certainly we will have to pay taxes on the land for the full period. What we would normally charge to this appropriation during the course of the year would be the first three months of next year's taxes. We will, in fact, charge the taxes on the land as part of the project cost. Once they are completed then we charge them the operation and maintenance. They would normally accrue at three months which would be January to March 31st of next year.

Mr. Chairman: Anything further on operating subsidy? This gives us a total of \$400,378.00 for the Yukon Housing Corporation. Under project capital what items have not yet been covered?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, we have to my knowledge one vote left and that is the vote that has some capital in it. Page 58A, Establishments 2003 to 2005 in the capital area and then there will be the operation and maintenance for both.

Mr. Chairman: Okay. Do we have the necessary Executive Committee Member?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I think as you realize the Commissioner is away. I am prepared to attempt to answer the questions along with the first, and if we need further advice I guess we will just have to get it.

Mr. Chairman: Alright. First item, Establishment 2003 - Community Development Branch, \$56,000.00.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I want to make a remark about this community development grant. It's a pity that the Commissioner isn't here because I want to show in another area where there has been involvement in what has been taking place in this Council. Sometime ago a child day-care centre which is now operating

in the hostel over at Riverdale had come to me and asked me to make some funds available in there for the child care centre. I then went to the Commissioner and said that I wanted to make some of this money available. As a matter of fact I don't mind mentioning it, it was Councillor Tanner's wife who was doing a lot of work with the child care centre. I was very impressed with the case that she had put forward. I went to the Commissioner and asked to make some money available out of the Community Development Fund. His reply to me was "no, we can't give any money into that centre at all." Although I talked to him about it twice he said "No, we are not going to put any of this community development fund in there for this particular day care centre." It wasn't until a month after that I read in the newspaper that he had allowed another member to provide funds for the day care centre. It just goes to show that what was being done was that he was attempting to use myself as an area of where he can create some dissatisfaction by refusing to allow me to provide community development funds for that particular day care centre. As a matter of fact I was one of the first people to provide funds for day care centres in the Yukon. He then went ahead and allowed another member to provide his funds. So it looked pretty bad that I wasn't doing my job in providing funds to the day care centre. I am just bringing this point up because it shows how even the Commissioner can manipulate these community development grants if he doesn't want to approve them for any particular Council member.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, I don't think this is the place for this discussion. I will make the point too that I have never had an application which I haven't approved, and incidentally I don't even like to have to approve them because I don't think any Councillor should be put in that position. But I have never had any application or any discussion with the Commissioner on any grants that I have given in the past three and one-half years and the ones I have filled out for the next year. He has automatically approved every single one of nine. I can only assume that the Honourable member for some reason or another has disagreements with the Commissioner, but I can say as far as the Commissioner and my grants

ARE CONCERNED, HE HAS NOT EVEN SO MUCH AS DISCUSSED THEM WITH ME. I HAVE RECOMMENDED THEM. THEY HAVE GONE STRAIGHT THROUGH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S THE VERY POINT THAT I AM RAISING. HE WAS NOT INTERESTED IN HURTING THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN. AS A MATTER OF FACT I REMEMBER EVEN TELLING COUNCILLOR WATSON ABOUT THIS AT THE PARTICULAR TIME THAT IT WAS HIS DECISION NOT TO GRANT MONEY FOR THIS INDIAN HOSTEL. THIS IS THE TYPE OF THING THAT HAPPENS. NO WONDER I HAVE BEEN ATTACKING THE COMMISSIONER. THIS IS THE VERY TYPE OF THING WHERE FOR ME HE SAID "NO, DON'T GIVE ANY MONEY OUT OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND, WE CAN'T DO IT THERE BECAUSE IT IS AN INDIAN HOSTEL BUILDING AND THEY HAVE MONEY OF THEIR OWN AND THEY SHOULD GET IT ON THEIR OWN." NOW I'M JUST SIMPLY POINTING IT OUT. I MADE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDS TO BE AVAILABLE AND IT WAS TURNED DOWN BY THE COMMISSIONER. I WAS TURNED DOWN. THIS WAS PRIOR BEFORE - I WAS STILL ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. IT ALL, I THINK, TENDS TO SHOW THAT WHERE THERE WAS A BACKGROUND OF TRYING TO GET ME INVOLVED WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: JUST FOR A LITTLE CLARIFICATION SINCE MY NAME WAS INCLUDED IN THE CONVERSATION. I RECALL THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST STATING THAT HE WASN'T PLANNING ON GIVING ANY OF HIS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUNDS FOR THE CHILD CARE CENTRE. SO IF HE CHANGED HIS MIND IN HIS CONVERSATION WITH THE COMMISSIONER, I DO NOT KNOW. I KNOW AT THAT TIME HE HADN'T PLANNED ON GIVING ANY FUNDS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS SUCH A DIRECT LIE. THIS MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN HAS MADE IT CLEAR THAT SHE IS UNABLE TO MEET EVEN A COMMITMENT OF TRUTH IN A SIMPLE AREA LIKE THIS. SHE WOULD HAVE BEEN FAR BETTER IF SHE WOULD HAVE SHUT UP AND REMEMBERED WHAT HAD TAKEN PLACE QUITE CLEARLY AND NOT SPOKEN ABOUT IT. THAT IS AN UNTRUTH. MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE IS THAT THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GRANTS, I FEEL, SHOULD BE GIVEN IN AREAS WHERE THEY ARE WELL DESERVED. LONG BEFORE, MR. CHAIRMAN, LONG BEFORE COUNCILLOR WATSON EVER REARED HER UGLY HEAD IN THIS COUNCIL CHAMBERS, I MADE THE MONEY AVAILABLE TO THE COMMUNITY, THE VERY FIRST CHILD CARE CENTRE. THE VERY FIRST ONE. I MADE MONEY AVAILABLE SO THEY COULD

HAVE THEIR OWN PREMISES. IT'S A POSITION I HAVE ALWAYS SUPPORTED IN THIS AREA. I AM NOT GOING TO GO ON FURTHER WITH IT. I AM SIMPLY ECHOING THAT IT'S AN AREA WHERE PEOPLE, MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL, WHO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS CAN BE TURNED DOWN BECAUSE OF LIKES AND DISLIKES AND NOT BECAUSE OF THEIR PARTICULAR NEEDS. THIS IS ALL I HAVE GOT TO SAY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DIDN'T THINK I WAS GOING TO HAVE TO SAY THIS BUT I AM GOING TO NOW BECAUSE IT'S THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HERE WHO IS LYING. MY WIFE DID GO TO SEE HIM AND DID ASK HIM FOR SOME FUNDS. HE MADE CERTAIN CONDITIONS WHICH SHE DIDN'T THINK THE ASSOCIATION SHOULD FULFILL. THEN I THINK MY WIFE MADE AN APPLICATION TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST AND I THINK THAT'S WHERE THE FUNDS CAME FROM. THEY DIDN'T COME FROM ME. THEY DIDN'T COME FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. MY WIFE TOLD ME WHEN SHE CAME HOME, AND I WANTED NOTHING TO DO WITH IT BECAUSE SHE WAS APPLYING TO ANOTHER MEMBER AND IT WAS HIS COMMUNITY FUND; THE WAY I UNDERSTOOD IT IS THE CONDITIONS THAT HE WANTED SHE DIDN'T THINK THE DAY CARE CENTRE SHOULD FULFILL. I DON'T THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE IS LYING. I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST IS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I HAVE A RECORD OF TELLING THE TRUTH AND OF BEING HONOURABLE THAT BOTH COUNCILLOR WATSON AND COUNCILLOR TANNER CAN COME UP WITH THAT TYPE OF STATEMENT. NOW I SAY TO YOU THIS AND I SAY IT VERY CLEARLY: WHEN I WAS APPROACHED I WAS APPROACHED ON THE BASIS THAT FIRSTLY IT WOULD BE AN ORGANIZATION WHICH WOULD BE PART OF THE SKOOKUM JIM ORGANIZATION. THE NEXT SUGGESTION I MADE WAS DON'T HAVE IT LIKE THAT - SET UP YOUR OWN CHILD CARE CENTRE. I WENT TO THE COMMISSIONER AND LAID DOWN AND SHOWED THE COMMISSIONER THE ACTUAL CORRESPONDENCE THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD. HE SAID, "NO, WE ARE NOT GOING TO PUT ANY MONEY AT ALL INTO THAT INDIAN CENTRE WHETHER IT WAS FOR CHILD CARE OR FOR ANYTHING ELSE." THIS IS THE POINT I AM MAKING. I WAS REFUSED TO ALLOW SOME OF THE FUNDS OUT OF MY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND FROM BEING PUT IN THERE. I DON'T LIE. THAT WAS THE ACTUAL, CLEAR THING THAT TOOK PLACE. THE FUNDS WERE NOT ALLOWED TO GO IN THERE BECAUSE THEY WERE COMING FROM ME. I AM TELLING YOU IT WAS FOR ONLY ONE PURPOSE. THE COMMISSIONER WAS TRYING AT

THAT TIME TO FIND WAYS AND MEANS OF WHERE HE COULD PUT ME IN A BAD LIGHT WITH THE FELLOW MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. THIS IS ALL.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY POINT I WANT TO MAKE IS FIRST OF ALL I THINK THE ILLUSTRATION OF WHY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUNDS ARE WRONG AS THEY ARE ON AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLOR BASIS AS HAS JUST BEEN ILLUSTRATED. THIS CONVERSATION SHOULDN'T BE IN THIS HOUSE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WHY NOT? IS SOME MONEY HERE?

Mr. TANNER: THAT'S THE FIRST THING. THE SECOND THING IS THAT IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT IT IS MY WIFE THAT IS INVOLVED. SHE IS WORKING FOR AN ASSOCIATION WHICH SHE FELT, AND I HAPPEN TO FEEL TOO BUT IT WAS HER DECISION, WAS DOING A GOOD JOB. SHE WENT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND ASKED FOR SOME FUNDS. I SAY AGAIN HER REPORT BACK TO ME WHEN SHE DID DISCUSS IT AS AN INCIDENTAL - BECAUSE WE HAPPEN TO LIVE IN THE SAME HOUSE - WAS THE FACT THAT SHE COULDN'T SEE THAT TO GET THE MONEY WAS WORTH THE CONDITIONS THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WANTED TO IMPOSE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE THAT THIS CONVERSATION IS HERE. IT'S ILLUSTRATIVE, AND IN MY MIND OF WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS DONE SINCE HE HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, HE'S ABLE TO CALL EVERYONE ELSE A LIAR BUT NOBODY CAN CALL HIM A LIAR. TO MY MIND NOBODY SHOULD BE CALLING ANYBODY LIARS AROUND HERE. IF THEY ARE GOING TO, THEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SHOULD EXPECT THE SAME TREATMENT HIMSELF AND TO STAND UP AND SAY "I DON'T TELL LIES" IS JUST AS EQUALLY FALLACIOUS AS TO CALL SOMEBODY ELSE A LIAR. I THINK THE CONVERSATION SHOULD BE DROPPED RIGHT NOW. PLEASE GOD WE DON'T GO ON LIKE THIS FOR THE REST OF THE TWO OR THREE WEEKS WE ARE GOING TO BE HERE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I AM NOT GOING TO GO ON LIKE THIS IN THE MANNER THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS JUST SPOKEN. I MADE MY POSITION QUITE CLEAR. I AGAIN SAY AND AS I SAID AT THE BEGINNING, THE WHOLE PROGRAMS OF CHILD CARE CENTRES ARE WELL WORTHWHILE PROGRAMS. CERTAINLY EVERYBODY THAT PARTICIPATES IN THEM SOME WAY OR ANOTHER ARE DOING A FANTASTIC JOB FOR THE COMMUNITY. NOBODY SPEAKS AGAINST THAT. I CERTAINLY OBJECT TO IT BEING SUGGESTED THAT I DID ANYTHING OTHER THAN WANTING TO SUPPORT THE PRINCIPLE OF CHILD CARE CENTRES. I SAY FURTHER THAT THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THAT MONEY WAS TO BE MADE AVAILABLE WAS WHETHER OR NOT THE COMMISSIONER HAD A LIKING FOR ME AT

THAT TIME. THIS IS THE POINT THAT I AM MAKING. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER TO SAY ON IT. I WANT TO ASSURE MEMBERS THAT I AM SPEAKING THE TRUTH WHEN I TELL THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF WHAT HAPPENED.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHEN YOU GET YOUR HANDS INTO THE POLITICAL PORK BARREL YOU ALWAYS GET INTO A POLITICAL HARANG. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO TELL THE MEMBERS HOW THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS DEALT WITH IT. WHEN GROUPS, CLUBS OR ORGANIZATIONS APPROACHED THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR MONEY FROM THE WHITEHORSE WEST SLUSH FUND I ASKED THEM TO SEND ME A LETTER IN WRITING WITH THEIR BUDGET, WITH THEIR ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, AND I TURN THE WHOLE THING OVER TO THE SPORTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. WHEN I GET A LETTER FROM Mr. NOBLE, THE DIRECTOR, THAT IT HAS BEEN RECEIVED, AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE SPORTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE THEY LOOK AT THE APPLICATION, THEY RECOMMEND THE FUNDS, SEND ME THEIR DECISIONS BACK AND I AUTOMATICALLY AGREE WITH THEM. I DON'T GET INVOLVED IN ANY NAME-CALLING. I DON'T GET INVOLVED WITH DISCUSSIONS WITH THE COMMISSIONER. I DON'T GET INVOLVED WITH SPECIFIC GROUPS AND ORGANIZATIONS. I NEVER SEE THE MONEY. I NEVER TOUCH THE MONEY. I NEVER RECEIVE A CHEQUE. Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ONLY RECOMMEND THAT OTHER MEMBERS AVAIL THEMSELVES OF THIS WONDERFUL SPORTS GROUP TO GET THE TAINT OF THE POLITICAL PORK BARREL OFF THEIR HANDS AND MINDS. IT WORKS OUT BEAUTIFULLY. THE ORGANIZATION IS THERE. IT'S AROUND THE TOTAL YUKON TERRITORY. A MAJORITY OF MEMBERS FROM OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE, AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, THEY HAVE DONE A 1,000% BETTER JOB HANDLING MY MONEY THAN I COULD EVER DO IT ON A POLITICAL BASIS. Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE GROUP IS THERE. THE ORGANIZATION IS SET UP. EVERYTHING IS READY. THEY WELCOME YOUR MONEY. GET IT OUT OF THE POLITICAL PORK BARREL HANDS. GET IT INTO THE COMMITTEE WHERE THEY CAN DO SOME GOOD FOR ALL THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, AND THE TAINT OF USING PUBLIC FUNDS FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES WILL NEVER AGAIN CROSS YOUR MINDS, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHAT I HAVE TO SAY IS THIS. I WON'T NORMALLY AGREE WITH WHAT THE MEMBER HAS SAID. HE DIDN'T GET THE POINT. I'M SAYING THAT THE COMMISSIONER FINALLY MADE THE DECISION. THE COMMISSIONER MAKES THE DECISIONS WITH EVERY ISSUE ON FUNDS. THIS IS WHAT HE DOES, Mr. CHAIRMAN. THIS IS

WHAT HE DOES, THAT'S THE ONLY AREA THAT I HAVE RAISED. THE COMMISSIONER TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO MAKE A DECISION. I DON'T TALK TO HIM ANYMORE FOR ANY REASON.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT ANY OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE COME DOWN FROM ANY OF THE OTHER COUNCILLORS, I'M SURE, AND FROM THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON HOW THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S FUNDS SHOULD BE SPENT ARE NEVER TURNED DOWN. THEY ARE ALWAYS AUTOMATICALLY HONOURED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEY WERE TURNED DOWN THIS TIME.

MR. MCKINNON: AUTOMATICALLY. BEAUTIFUL WAY TO GET IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ITEM 2004, \$490,000.00 - STAFF HOUSING. THE BREAKDOWN IS ON PAGE A 40. FROM THE CHAIR I THOUGHT THAT WHEN WE CREATED THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION THAT THEY WERE GOING TO TAKE OVER THIS FUNCTION, AND THERE WOULD BE NO MORE OF THIS TYPE OF ITEM IN THE BUDGET. COULD WE HAVE AN EXPLANATION ON THAT?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE JUST RECENTLY, WITHIN THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, TALKED TO THE HOUSING CORPORATION ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR ASPECT AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY WOULD LOOK AT TAKING OVER AT LEAST THAT HOUSING WHICH IS THE GOVERNMENT'S IN NAME, NOT THE LEASED HOUSING FOR EXAMPLE AT TAKHINI. AND AT THAT TIME THEY HAD NOTICE THAT THEIR MANAGER WAS LEAVING AND THEY WANTED SOME THREE OR FOUR MONTHS WITH THE NEW MANAGER TO DETERMINE WHICH WAY THEY WERE GOING TO GO. THE INTENT OVER THE COURSE OF YEARS WOULD BE THAT THE HOUSING CORPORATION WOULD IN FACT TAKE OVER ALL THE GOVERNMENT RENTAL HOUSING PROGRAMS AND OPERATE IT AS A RENTAL HOUSING PROGRAM FOR THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY, NOT JUST THE GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, WAS IT THE IDEA, IT HAS BEEN THE IDEA FOR A FEW YEARS NOW,

THAT THE STAFF HOUSING WOULD BE REDUCED SO THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE LIVING IN STAFF AFTER TWO YEARS WOULD HAVE TO FIND THEIR OWN HOUSING. HAS THIS POLICY CHANGED? I WONDER IF MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE THAT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS POLICY APPLIES IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA ONLY. IT DOES NOT APPLY OUTSIDE THE WHITEHORSE AREA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT IS STILL IN EXISTENCE THEN?

MR. MILLER: YES, THE POLICY IS STILL IN EXISTENCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE IF HE IS STILL LIVING IN STAFF HOUSING.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I AM STILL LIVING IN STAFF HOUSING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HAS THERE BEEN A SPECIAL PRIVILEGE TO YOU IN THIS INSTANCE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I APPLIED AS HAD OTHER PEOPLE TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PERMISSION TO STAY IN THE STAFF HOUSING. I MIGHT ADD THAT THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE IN THE SAME CIRCUMSTANCES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW IS THIS POLICY GOING TO BE EXPANDED - FOR WHAT LENGTH OF TIME. IS THIS FOREVER?

MR. MCKINNON: HE NEEDS A RAISE.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. THERE ARE SOME STANDARD REASONS PUT FORWARD BY INDIVIDUALS FOR AN EXTENSION OF THEIR TWO-YEAR LIMITATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WOULD ONE OF THE REASONS BE, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND PERHAPS MR. MILLER COULD ANSWER, THAT HE DOESN'T EXPECT TO BE AROUND IN ANOTHER YEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL IT'S UP TO HIM TO ANSWER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. NO I WOULD

DISALLOW THAT QUESTION. HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON STAFF HOUSING?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS TERRITORIAL ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,000,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT CONTRACTS HAVE BEEN MET FOR THIS YEAR, MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY CONTRACTS MET AS OF TODAY ARE THE BASEMENT OR THE EXCAVATION. I UNDERSTAND THAT IS TO START THIS WEEK. THERE HAS BEEN A CONTRACT LET FOR THE SUPPLY OF THE STRUCTURAL STEEL. THAT WAS LET LAST FALL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH ARE THE VALUES OF THE CONTRACT THAT HAVE BEEN LET?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FIGURES I DON'T HAVE AVAILABLE AT THE MOMENT. APPROXIMATELY \$1,000,000.00 TO DATE, MR. CHAIRMAN. I MIGHT POINT OUT THAT THE TENDER FOR THE SUPER-STRUCTURE WILL BE OUT IN THE NEXT TWO TO THREE WEEKS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE CAPITAL BUILDING? WELL THIS COMPLETES PROJECT CAPITAL. I THINK AT THIS TIME WE WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2 O'CLOCK.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. WE ARE DEALING WITH VOTE 1 AT THIS TIME O & M. FIRST ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT 100, YUKON COUNCIL \$111,995,00,

THIS TRANSPORTATION AMONG NON-GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES IS THE COUNCILLORS TRANSPORTATION?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT FRINGE BENEFITS DO THE YUKON COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE?

MR. CHAIRMAN: MEDICARE,

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THESE WOULD COVER THE TWO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND IT WOULD COVER THE STATUTORY FRINGE BENEFITS WHICH IS UIC, CPP.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS CANADA PENSION PLAN?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS RIGHT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO THEY DON'T APPLY THEN TO ANY OTHER COUNCILLOR. IS THIS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

MR. MILLER: CERTAINLY UIC WOULD, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CPP I AM NOT SURE IF WE DEDUCT FOR OTHER COUNCILLORS OR NOT. POSSIBLY WE DO.

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: YES, YOU DO.

MR. MILLER: OK, WE DO. SO WE WOULD SHARE IN THOSE COSTS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT CONSIDERATION IS BEING GIVEN TO A PENSION SCHEME FOR SOME MEMBERS OF COUNCIL?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I LOOKED AT A COUPLE OF PENSION SCHEMES BUT AS OF THIS TIME I HAVE NOT HAD ANY DIRECTION TO PROCEED WITH IT. WE DO HAVE A COUPLE AVAILABLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK THERE IS MUCH PROVINCIAL AND OF COURSE PARLIAMENTARY EXPERIENCE HERE.

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE PENSION SCHEMES FOR THERE LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS. THEY ALL VARY SLIGHTLY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SURELY IT IS UP

TO COUNCIL TO BRING IN A PENSION SCHEME, WHEN WE DISCUSSED SALARIES, I THINK ALL MEMBERS WILL REMEMBER, THERE WAS MENTION OF WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD INTRODUCE A PENSION PLAN AND WE DECIDED NOT TO. UNTIL COUNCIL GIVES SOME DIRECTION TO THE ADMINISTRATION THEN I THINK THAT ADMINISTRATION WOULD ASSUME THAT COUNCIL DOESN'T WANT IT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THEY BRING IT FORWARD OR MAKE THE SUGGESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT, FROM THE VARIOUS TIMES THIS HAS BEEN DISCUSSED, THAT THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD TAKE A LOOK AND DO THE LEG WORK AND LOOK AT PROVINCIAL AND HOW IT IS DEALT WITH IN THE PROVINCES AND GET PROVINCIAL EXPERIENCE IN THE QUESTION SO WE WOULD HAVE SOMETHING TO LOOK AT.

I DON'T THINK ANY MEMBER OF COUNCIL HAS THE INDIVIDUAL CAPABILITY OR RESOURCE OF PUTTING IT TOGETHER HIMSELF.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I AM NOT SAYING THAT WOULDN'T BE TRUE, IN FACT I DON'T RECALL THAT HAPPENING PERSONALLY, HOWEVER THE CHAIRMAN MUST BE RIGHT. SURELY IT IS UP TO COUNCIL TO SAY BY FORM OF MOTION THAT THEY WANT TO INTRODUCE A PENSION SCHEME AND INITIATE THE ACTION ON THE PART OF THE ADMINISTRATION. TO MY KNOWLEDGE THAT HASN'T BEEN DONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD IT NOT BE FIRST NECESSARY TO FIND OUT WHAT THE PROVINCES DO IN THIS REGARD AND IN OTHER LEGISLATURES, IN ORDER THAT WE MIGHT DETERMINE WHAT TYPE OF A PENSION SCHEME OR HOW IT MIGHT BE EMBARKED UPON?

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN THAT IS NOT THE WAY I SEE IT. THE WAY I SEE IT IS THAT THE COUNCIL BY MOTION OR RESOLUTION SAYS, "WE WOULD WANT THE ADMINISTRATION TO INITIATE A PENSION SCHEME FOR COUNCILLORS." AND THEN LET THE ADMINISTRATION COME BACK WITH SOME PLANS AND LET US DISCUSS IT. BUT UNTIL THAT MOTION IS MADE I DON'T SEE HOW THE ADMINISTRATION CAN DO ANYTHING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I RECALL THAT THE ADMINISTRATION WAS GOING TO DO THAT. I THINK THIS HAS BEEN RAISED A NUMBER OF TIMES. I WAS WONDERING IF ANYTHING HAD BEEN DONE ON IT AT ALL?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN; I'M NOT AWARE AND

PERSONALLY I'M NOT AWARE OF THE ADMINISTRATION HAVING THAT UNDERTAKING. I WILL, IF THIS COUNCIL WISHES, WE CAN CERTAINLY BRING SOMETHING FORWARD. I THINK WE HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION AVAILABLE. I KNOW I HAVE PERSONALLY SEEN A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT SCHEMES - PROVINCIAL, AND I HAVE SEEN THE NORTHWEST TERRITORY SCHEME AND I WOULD BE HAPPY TO UNDERTAKE ON BEHALF OF THE ADMINISTRATION TO BRING SOMETHING FORWARD TO THIS COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: COULD THAT BE DONE DURING THE LIFE OF THIS COUNCIL? SO THAT WE CAN DEAL WITH IT.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT IT COULD BE DONE AT THIS SITTING BUT CERTAINLY IT COULD BE AT THIS SITTING OR THE NEXT SITTING OF THIS PARTICULAR COUNCIL. WE CAN DO SOMETHING, SURE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU AGREE?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE ON ESTABLISHMENT 100?

MR. RIVETT: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST ONE POINT ON THIS PENSION. IS THERE ANY POSSIBILITY OF LOOKING INTO MAKING IT RETROACTIVE?

MR. MILLER: WELL, THERE IS POSSIBILITY, MR. CHAIRMAN, OBVIOUSLY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ESTABLISHMENT 120 - \$425,990, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES.

THIS WOULD COVER THE WHOLE SECRETARIAT AND EVERYTHING ON THE TOP FLOOR?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS COVERS THE POSITIONS AS OUTLINED ON PAGE 7. IT COVERS THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS (ADMINISTRATIVE) PLUS THE CLERK OF COUNCIL, THE STATISTICAL PLANNING ADVISOR, AND THE INTERNAL AUDITOR. THE REST OF THE STAFF, THE SECRETARIES FOR THE GENERAL ADMINISTRATION, ALL THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS, PLUS THE SECRETARIAL ASSISTANCE IN THE CLERK OF THE COUNCIL'S OFFICE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE IS A QUESTION I WANT TO GO BACK TO MR. CHAIRMAN. THE

TRANSPORTATION OF NON-GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. THIS ITEM, I TAKE IT DEALS WITH THE TRANSPORTATION OF TERRITORIAL COUNCILLORS AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHILE THEY ARE CARRYING OUT THEIR OFFICE WORKS. WHILE THEY ARE CARRYING OUT THE DUTIES OF THEIR OFFICE.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WOULD COVER THE MEMBERS, ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL. IT WOULD ONLY COVER THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHEN THEY ARE ON OFFICIAL COUNCIL BUSINESS. WHEN THEY ARE ON ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS, IT IS PART OF THEIR NORMAL FUNCTION THAT WOULD BE COVERED IN ESTABLISHMENT 120.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: NOW THAT IS A POINT THAT I WANT TO GET. I WANT TO KNOW WHY, AGAIN I AM GOING TO RAISE THIS, IS TO WHY AN ACCOUNT SUBMITTED AND SIGNED FOR AS THE FUNDS THAT HAVE BEEN EXPENDED IN GOVERNMENT BUSINESS IS NOT BEING PAID TO ME WHILE I WAS ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. CAN I GET AN ANSWER FROM THE ADMINSTRATOR?

Mr. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS ASKED THE ADMINISTRATION A NUMBER OF TIMES FOR THIS. I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO THE DEBATE ON THE SUBJECT. AS I RECALL THE SITUATION THE MEMBER HAS AN OUTSTANDING ANSWER TO PROVIDE TO THE ADMINISTRATION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS NOT SO. I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR NOW THAT AN ANSWER HAS BEEN GIVEN IN THE FORM SUBMITTED WITH MY SIGNATURE ON IT. I WAS ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS. I WANT TO KNOW FROM THE ADMINISTRATION THE REASON WHY THEY ARE NOT MEETING THAT COMMITMENT? CAN HE GIVE THAT ANSWER?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I AM AWARE OF THIS SITUATION, THERE WAS A LETTER WRITTEN TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER ASKING HIM TO ANSWER SOME QUESTIONS AND THOSE QUESTIONS HAVE NEVER BEEN ANSWERED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS NOT SO. MR. MILLER IS SAYING THAT HE IS NOT AWARE OF WHAT THE ANSWERS WERE. LET ME INFORM HIM THAT I MET WITH MR. FINGLAND AND I MET WITH MR. SMITH AND I SIGNED THE FORM SHOWING THE DETAILS OF THE EXPENDITURES THAT WERE MADE.

THIS IS WHY I WANT TO KNOW, IN VIEW OF MY SIGNATURE BEING ATTACHED TO THAT FORM, WHY STILL IS THERE RELUCTANCE TO MEET THAT

COMMITMENT. CAN I GET SOMETHING IN WRITING FROM THE ADMINISTRATION?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS THE ANSWER IN WRITING.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I HAVE NOT SO, THAT IS NOT TRUTHFUL AT ALL. IF YOU HAD BEEN TOLD ... I SAY THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. MILLER HAS BEEN TOLD THAT I HAVE AN ANSWER IN WRITING HE IS BEING MISINFORMED. THERE HAS BEEN NO CORRESPONDENCE EXCEPT A LETTER AT THE TIME AFTER I LEFT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ASKING ME TO GIVE A FURTHER EXPLANATION IN WRITING. INSTEAD I WENT AND TOLD MR. FINGLAND THAT MY SIGNATURE ON THAT FORM IS ALL THAT IS REQUIRED. A CERTIFICATION TO SAY THAT I CERTIFY THAT THE EXPENDITURE WAS USED IN THE DUTIES OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE. THAT SIGNATURE IS THERE.

FOLLOWING THAT I SPOKE TO THE COMMISSIONER. HE ALSO SENT ANOTHER LETTER SAYING, "CAN WE HAVE ANOTHER LETTER FROM YOU." IT IS ALL ON THE FORM THAT, THE CERTIFICATION, WITH MY SIGNATURE ON THERE. WHAT EXCUSE IS THERE TO OFFER BEYOND THAT?

Mr. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY WE GET BACK TO THE BUDGET. THIS ISN'T ...

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS A BUDGET ITEM.

Mr. STUTTER: THE POINTS THAT ARE BEING BROUGHT UP BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAVE GOT NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS PARTICULAR ITEM IN THE BUDGET.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: ON THE CONTRARY. HERE IS AN ITEM THAT SAYS HERE, "THAT THE EXPENDITURES USED BY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WOULD COME OUT OF ESTABLISHMENT No. 120." I AM JUST ASKING NOW WHY, WHEN THE FUNDS ARE PROVIDED IN THE BUDGET, WHY THEY ARE NOT BEING MEET. WHY THE PAYMENTS ARE NOT BEING PAID? I CAN'T GET AN ANSWER.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE BASIC REASON WHY THOSE FUNDS HAVE NOT BEEN PAID OUT, AS I BELIEVE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS, IS THERE IS A QUESTION

OF WHO SHOULD PAY FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S ATTENDANCE AT A NATIONAL LIBERAL CONVENTION, WHETHER IT SHOULD BE THE PUBLIC SERVICE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY OR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HIMSELF.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, LET ME MAKE THIS POSITION CLEAR. I ATTENDED THE LIBERAL CONVENTION WHILE I WAS ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS, MEETING WITH SEVEN FEDERAL MINISTERS AND WITH SENIOR SERVANTS, CIVIL SERVANTS OF FEDERAL PUBLIC DEPARTMENTS IN RELATION TO MY WORK. THE ADMINISTRATION KNEW AHEAD OF TIME THAT I WAS GOING THERE. IN FACT, THE FORMS HAVE BEEN SIGNED BY THE COMMISSIONER ALLOCATING AN ADVANCE IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA FOR THAT PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IT CLEARLY DELINEATES THE PURPOSE OF GOING TO QUEBEC ALONE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FORM INDICATES CLEARLY THAT THERE WERE TRIPS TO QUEBEC AND OTTAWA. IT DOES NOT INDICATE THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ATTENDING A NATIONAL LIBERAL CONVENTION AT THE EXPENSE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS IT SUGGESTED THAT I AM NOT PERMITTED TO ATTEND ANYWHERE ELSE EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSES I SAID. WHEN THE TWO MEMBERS WENT TO MEET WITH THE STANDING COMMITTEE IF THEY WENT AND VISITED WITH FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MEMBERS THEY WOULD LOSE THEIR EXPENSES BECAUSE THEY DID THAT. HOW LUDICROUS CAN PEOPLE BE WHEN THEY COME UP WITH SUGGESTIONS LIKE THAT? BECAUSE I ATTENDED A CONVENTION AT THE SAME TIME AT NO ADDITIONAL EXPENSE AN ARGUMENT IS BROUGHT FORWARD IN THAT MATTER. I WONDER IF ONE OF THE MEMBERS THAT HAD BEEN THERE DIDN'T INTEND TO MEET SOMEBODY ELSE WHILE THEY WERE IN OTTAWA OR DID YOU JUST MEET THE STANDING COMMITTEE? I WONDER IF MR. CHAIRMAN COULD SAY THAT. YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO SPEAK TO ANYONE ELSE OR GO ANYWHERE ELSE - HOW RIDICULOUS A SUGGESTION BEING MADE BY THE ADMINISTRATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK THAT'S WHAT THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER IS SAYING AT ALL. HE IS SAYING THAT SOME PORTION OF THE TIME THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS IN OTTAWA ATTENDING THE NATIONAL LIBERAL CONVENTION, HE MUST HAVE TAKEN TIME OUT AND HE MUST HAVE SPENT SOME TWO OR THREE DAYS THERE AND THEY'RE NOT PAYING FOR THAT. THAT'S WHAT I UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM IS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK THIS

IS A QUESTION FOR THE ADMINISTRATION TO HAVE TO ARGUE. THE DECISION WAS MADE. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER ASKED FOR TIME TO GO TO OTTAWA TO QUEBEC CITY. HE EXTENDED HIS STAY BY A COUPLE OF DAYS IN ORDER TO ATTEND THE LIBERAL CONVENTION, THE NATIONAL LIBERAL CONVENTION AND THAT'S FINE BUT THE DAYS HE IS ATTENDING A LIBERAL CONVENTION, I DON'T THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY SHOULD PAY HIS EXPENSES WHILE HE IS ATTENDING IT. IT'S FINE TO USE THE GOVERNMENT EXPENSE ACCOUNT TO TRAVEL. HE WAS GOING EAST ANYWAY AND THAT WAS FINE. HE COULD TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND A CONFERENCE. BUT FOR GOODNESS SALES LET'S NOT BE THAT RIDICULOUS AND TRY AND KID THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY THAT WE SHOULD BE PAYING FOR SOMEBODY TO ATTEND A POLITICAL CONVENTION. AND I WOULD FEEL QUITE UPSET IF I THOUGHT THE COMMISSIONER OR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WAS PAYING THE EXPENSES OF AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER OR ANY MEMBER TO ATTEND A POLITICAL CONVENTION IN OTTAWA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS SO IMPROPER IN THE ATTITUDES ADOPTED FOR THE SIMPLE REASON THAT I COULDN'T GET OUT OF OTTAWA IN ANY EVENT BECAUSE THE PLANES WERE FILLED UP AND WHAT NOT AND I WAS THERE. I HAD TO REMAIN THERE. MY NEXT APPOINTMENT WAS IN VANCOUVER, SO THAN RATHER OF ME COME BACK TO MY APPOINTMENT WHICH WAS ON TUESDAY IN VANCOUVER, THEY WOULD HAVE RATHER ME COME BACK ON THE SUNDAY NIGHT TO WHITEHORSE AND THEN TAKE ANOTHER FLIGHT OUT AGAIN FROM WHITEHORSE TO VANCOUVER. AN EXTRA ADDITIONAL EXPENSE INSTEAD OF ME STAYING OVER AN EXTRA DAY AT VANCOUVER. OBVIOUSLY THE MEMBERS THAT HAVE SPOKEN DON'T KNOW THE CIRCUMSTANCES OR HAVE THEY LOOKED AT THE EXPENSE SHEETS FOR THE SIMPLE REASON IT SHOWS QUITE CLEARLY THAT THE TIME FACTOR INVOLVED AND WHEN I STAYED FOR FIVE EXTRA DAYS AS A BREAK IN VANCOUVER, I PAID THE SHOT MYSELF. IF THEY HAVE A LOOK AT THE EXPENSE SHEETS AND I WOULD ASK THAT THOSE EXPENSE SHEETS BE TABLED IN THE HOUSE SO THAT THEY CAN BE SEEN. AND JUST TO SHOW HOW THIS MR. FINLAND AND MR. SMITH, AND NOW ANOTHER MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IS ATTEMPTING TO HARASS ME MORE BY SAYING THAT I HAVE USED GOVERNMENT FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ATTENDING A NATIONAL FEDERAL LIBERAL CONVENTION. NOW WHAT A LOT OF UTTER NONSENSE YOU PEOPLE MAKE OF IT AS IF TO SAY FOR ONE REASON OR ANOTHER I WOULD BOTHER MY HEAD ABOUT TWO EXTRA DAYS IN A HOTEL ACCOMMODATION. IT'S JUST THE PRINCIPLE OF THE FACT THAT MEMBERS COULD GO ALONG WITH THE ADMINISTRATION WHO FIND A WAY TO HARASS ME AND THEN THEY SAY YES, IT'S WONDERFUL. WE DON'T WANT ANYBODY TO PAY FOR

THOSE PARTICULAR SERVICES THAT I'VE BEEN THERE, NOTWITHSTANDING THAT I WAS THERE AND EVERY DAY AND SATURDAY AS WELL, I MET WITH THREE MEMBERS IN THEIR PRIVATE OFFICES. THREE FEDERAL CABINET MINISTERS, ALL ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE YUKON TERRITORY AND THIS IS WHERE PEOPLE SHOULD REALIZE THE FACT THAT WHEN I GO ANYWHERE, I SPOKE FOR THE YUKON NOT FOR NATIONAL FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY OR ANYTHING ELSE. I HAPPENED TO BE THERE AT THE SAME TIME, SO YOU LOOK FOR AN EXCUSE WHEREBY YOU CAN CHISEL A LITTLE BIT OUT OF ME. BUT I DON'T CARE BECAUSE I'M GOING TO TAKE THE MATTER FURTHER AS I SAID. BUT I'M JUST ANNOYED THAT ELECTED MEMBERS OF COUNCIL SHOULD TAKE THE ATTITUDE THAT IT IS WRONG TO ATTEND ON SOMEBODY ELSE WHILE YOU'VE BEEN PAID TO BE DOWN THERE AT A CERTAIN TIME.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M EVEN SURPRISED THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, A POLITICAL PERSON WOULD EVEN HAVE THE GALL TO REPLY TO THOSE TWO DAYS STAY IN OTTAWA AND ACTUALLY THE GOVERNMENT IS RESPONSIBLE WHEN A PERSON IS ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS. NOW IF YOU ARE ATTENDING A NATIONAL POLITICAL CONVENTION YOU ARE CERTAINLY NOT ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS AS FAR AS Y.T.G. IS CONCERNED. AND I'M SURPRISED THAT YOU WOULD EVEN ASK FOR PAYMENT OF YOUR EXPENSES FOR THOSE TWO DAYS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE TWO DAYS BECAUSE I ATTENDED A FEDERAL LIBERAL CONVENTION AND I WANT TO MAKE THAT QUITE CLEAR, BECAUSE CERTAINLY THE MEMBERS THAT HAVE SPOKEN DON'T FOLLOW LIBERAL PHILOSOPHIES. THAT'S WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT, BUT I WAS THERE SIMPLY BECAUSE I WAS THERE ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS AND ATTENDED THAT BUSINESS AT THE SAME TIME. NOW THIS IS THE POINT THAT I'M MAKING AND THIS IS THE INCREDIBLE SITUATION THAT HAS DEVELOPED WHEN THE ADMINISTRATION TODAY AND HAS BEEN FOR SOME MONTHS NOW, TRYING TO EVADE THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES TO MEET THEIR COMMITMENTS. IT IS A COMMITMENT TO MEET THE EXPENSES OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER WHO WAS ATTENDING IN OTTAWA ON PUBLIC BUSINESS AND IF HE WAS THERE AND HE DID SOMETHING ELSE WHILE HE WAS THERE, THAT'S NO REASON TO DEDUCT IT. I UNDERSTAND THAT THE TWO HONOURABLE MEMBERS THAT WENT TO OTTAWA, THEY SPOKE TO MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, YOU'VE GOT NO RIGHT TO SPEAK TO MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, IT'S RIDICULOUS. THEY SHOULD DEDUCT THEIR TONGUES FROM THEM. WHAT AN ARGUMENT THAT THEY PUT UP WITH THESE THINGS. IT ONLY GOES TO SHOW HOW NAIVE THESE PEOPLE ARE ESPECIALLY COUNCILLOR WATSON WHEN SHE COULD

STAND UP AND SUGGEST THAT I'M TRYING TO GET TWO EXTRA DAYS AS EXPENSES. WHAT DO YOU EXPECT MY DUTIES WERE THERE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT IS HE TRYING TO DO?

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

SOME MEMBERS: INTERRUPTIONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I LEFT ON THE SUNDAY. IN FACT THE ONLY DAY THAT'S INVOLVED IS THE SATURDAY BECAUSE I HAD MEETINGS PRIMARILY WITH CABINET MINISTERS. AND THAT'S THE STUPIDITY OF THESE PEOPLE. THEY TALK ABOUT ME BEING A POLITICIAN, WHAT NAIVITY EXISTS IN THEIR MINDS WHEN THEY SPEAK IN THAT MANNER. AND IT'S NOT THE \$100 OR SO, THERE IS A PRINCIPLE INVOLVED. AND IT MIGHT OCCUR WITH ANY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL THAT THE ADMINISTRATION CAN GO AND DOCK A PERSON SIMPLY BECAUSE THEY WENT AND ATTENDED A PARTY CONVENTION. I DON'T CARE WHAT PARTY IT WAS. IT DOESN'T MATTER. THAT ISN'T THE POINT. BUT TO SUGGEST THAT IF YOU GO TO OTTAWA OR YOU GO SOMEWHERE ON PUBLIC BUSINESS, YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO SPEAK TO ANYBODY ELSE OR GO ANYWHERE, OR DO ANYTHING ELSE, THAT IS THE MOST CHILDISH STUPID ARRANGEMENT THAT I EVER COULD THINK THAT MEMBERS WOULD TRY TO BRING FORWARD TO THIS COUNCIL.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CHILDISH AND STUPID ARRANGEMENTS CONCERNS HOW MUCH MONEY APPROXIMATELY?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL \$100.

MR. TANNER: ABOUT \$100?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES.

MR. TANNER: ALL THIS FUSS FOR \$100?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES!

MR. TANNER: THIS IS THE THIRD TIME IT'S BEEN IN THE HOUSE AND THIS IS THE SECOND TIME IT HAS BEEN QUESTIONED AND WHO IS MAKING ALL THE FUSS FOR A LOUSY \$100? AND HE HAS PAID FOR HIS OWN TIME WHEN HE WAS IN VANCOUVER. NO REASONABLE PERSON WOULD HAVE PUT THE BLASTED EXPENSE SHEET IN THERE IN THE FIRST PLACE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BECAUSE OF THE SIMPLE REASON THAT I WAS THERE ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS. THAT'S WHY. IT WAS BECAUSE I WAS THERE ON GOVERNMENT

BUSINESS AND YOU KNOW, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT ANY-
ONE, EVEN MR. MILLER, MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD INDI-
CATE TO YOU THAT NOBODY THAT GOES OUT ON
GOVERNMENT BUSINESS DOESN'T HAVE TO PUT MONEY
IN HIS OWN POCKET OVER AND ABOVE THE - - -

SOME MEMBERS; LOUD DISCUSSIONS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER! ORDER PLEASE!

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE IS OFTEN THAT I HAVE
NEVER EVEN ASKED FOR MONEY. QUITE OFTEN,
QUITE OFTEN I'VE NEVER EVEN ASKED FOR MONEY
AND MY RECORDS ARE ALWAYS THERE.

Mrs. WATSON: I BLUSH FOR SHAME, FOR THE HON-
OURABLE MEMBER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AND YOU SHOULD TOO. YOU SHOULD
HAVE BLUSHED FOR SHAME THE VERY FIRST DAY THAT
YOU GOT INTO THIS COUNCIL. THAT'S WHAT YOU
SHOULD HAVE DONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS NO
DOUBT IN MY MIND THAT WHEN I RAISED THIS QUES-
TION IT'S NOT BECAUSE OF THE SMALL FUNDS THAT
ARE INVOLVED BUT BECAUSE OF THE PRINCIPLE THAT
YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO DO ANYTHING ELSE IF YOU
ARE ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS GOING TO OTTAWA,
AND THIS SEEMS TO BE THE POLICY AND PHILOSOPHY
OF BEING A - - -

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU'RE CERTAINLY
NOT ALLOWED TO ATTEND AS A DELEGATE ON GOVERNMENT
EXPENSE, TO A NATIONAL POLITICAL CONVENTION,
THAT'S AS A DELEGATE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: EVERY MEMBER OF PARLIAMENT
DOES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M WONDERING IF NOW WE'VE
CONCLUDED DISCUSSION ON THIS.

MR. MCKINNON: OH NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S VERY
INTERESTING. OF COURSE I JUST HATE TO GET INTO
THIS INTER-PARTY HASSLE OF A FEDERAL NATURE
IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM AND I THINK THAT WE
SHOULD CONFESS, MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE IT COMES
UP TO SOME OTHER METHOD THAT BOTH THE HONOURABLE
MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE ARE, OH THE SHAME OF IT,
MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'RE SEEN IN THE OFFICE OF THE
MEMBER OF THE YUKON IN THE OFFICES IN OTTAWA
AND I CERTAINLY HOPE MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WE
WON'T SEE OUR TRAVEL EXPENSES DOCKED AS A
RESULT OF BEING SEEN IN THESE PLACES. BUT TO

GO INTO TRAVEL EXPENSES, THIS IS A HECK OF A
GOOD POINT. HOW MUCH DID YOU PUT IN YOUR
POCKET. WE FOUND OUT WHEN WE CAME BACK THAT
YOU'RE LIMITED TO A MAXIMUM OF \$35.00 PER DAY
FOR TRAVEL. A HOTEL ROOM ANYWHERE IN CANADA
AND NOT GETTING AN INTERNATIONAL SUITE OR
ANYTHING IS IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF \$30.00 AND
ANY MEMBER WHO TRAVELS KNOWS THIS. IT WAS
\$28.00 I THINK IN OTTAWA. \$27.00 IN VANCOUVER.
SO HONOURABLE MEMBERS DON'T DO ME ANY MORE
FAVOURS. DON'T SEND ME ON ANY MORE TRIPS.
PLEASE I CAN'T AFFORD IT. THAT LEAVES US \$7.00
A DAY FOR CABS, FOR GRATUITIES, FOR FOOD, YOU
DON'T HAVE TO GIVE ANY GRATUITIES BECAUSE YOU
CAN'T AFFORD TO EAT ON \$7.00 A DAY FOR TRA-
VELLING ANYWAY. AT ANCHORAGE WHERE I HEAR
HONOURABLE MEMBERS WENT, IT WAS A \$35.00 PER
DAY PER DIEM. THE HOTEL ROOM WAS \$34.00 A DAY
SO THAT GAVE THE MAGNIFICENT SUM OF \$1.00 FOR
MEMBERS TO BE ABLE TO EAT, TO BE ABLE TO TRY TO
GET AROUND THE CITY OF ANCHORAGE AND LET'S BE
SENSIBLE ABOUT THIS. IF YOU'RE GOING TO SEND
A GUY OUT ON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT BUSINESS,
YOU EXPECT TO HAVE TO USE SOME OF YOUR OWN
MONEY, BECAUSE IT'S DAMN EXPENSIVE TO TRAVEL.
BUT CERTAINLY YOU SHOULDN'T BE HITTING MEMBERS
THAT COUNCIL SENT OUT TO DO GOVERNMENT BUSINESS
TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU'RE WORKING TO HAVE THEM
COME UP WITH THEIR OWN MONEY WHEN THEY'RE TRA-
VELLING ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS AND THE ONLY
WAY THAT I CAN SEE THAT WE POSSIBLY CAN COME
UP WITH SOME RATIONALITY FROM THE TRIP THAT WE
TOOK ON THE REQUEST OF COUNCIL BEFORE THE
STANDING COMMITTEE WAS THAT THERE WAS A MOTION
PASSED WHERE THE STANDING COMMITTEE WOULD ACCEPT
NORMAL TRAVELLING EXPENSES, SO THE TERRITORY
DOESN'T LOOK LIKE IT'S GOING TO GET STUCK WITH
ONE CENT OF THE TRIP DOWN THERE AND PERHAPS WE
ARE GOING TO REIMBURSED A MUCH MORE CLOSER SUM
TO WHAT WE ACTUALLY SPEND THAN WE WOULD IF WE
WERE TRAVELLING UNDER THE RULES AND REGULATIONS
MADE FOR MEMBERS DOING COUNCIL BUSINESS. NOW
CERTAINLY MR. TREASURER, NOT EVEN THE LOWLIEST
OF CIVIL SERVANTS GETS TREATED IN THIS RESPECT.
THEY CAN USUALLY RECOVER AT LEAST THEIR OUT-
OF-POCKET EXPENSES WHICH ISN'T EVEN GIVEN THE
RIGHT TO MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL TRAVELLING ON
GOVERNMENT BUSINESS TO DO. AND I THINK THAT
THIS HAS GOT TO BE LOOKED AFTER BECAUSE AS FAR
AS I'M CONCERNED, YOU KNOW, WHO WANTS TO GO TO
OTTAWA IN THE FIRST PLACE. IT'S NOT REALLY
WHAT I CONSIDER FUN SEEING CANADA TO BEGIN WITH.
AND THEN WHEN YOU GO DOWN THERE, YOU CAN'T EVEN
AFFORD TO GO OUT AND HAVE A GOOD MEAL, IF YOU
CAN FIND A GOOD RESTAURANT IN OTTAWA WITHOUT
GOING ACROSS THE HALL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULD WE HAVE QUIET IN THE GALLERY PLEASE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD POINT OUT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THE PER DIEM RATE SET FOR THE COUNCIL MEMBERS WHILE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS WAS SET BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS THEMSELVES.

MR. MCKINNON: WELL IT'S GOT TO BE CHANGED. IT'S RIDICULOUS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON ESTABLISHMENT 120 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES? THE NEXT ITEM IS LAND CLAIMS SECRETARIAT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$95,000. I BELIEVE THERE IS SOME INFORMATION FORTHCOMING ON THIS QUESTION. WHEN IS THIS GOING TO BE AVAILABLE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY INFORMATION STILL TO COME.

MR. TANNER: I THINK WE DISCUSS THAT FURTHER ON IN THE BUDGET. I DON'T RECALL ANY FURTHER INFORMATION THAT WAS REQUIRED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHO ARE THEY? YOU KNOW THE TOTAL PICTURE. IT'S ALWAYS BEEN STATED WHEN THE QUESTION HAS BEEN RAISED THAT LATER ON WE WOULD BE LEARNING THESE THINGS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT THAT CAME. NOW I THINK WE ARE LOOKING FOR THE PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES. HOW WAS THAT BROUGHT ABOUT?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'VE TABLED SO MUCH PAPER IN COUNCIL THAT I'M LOOSING TRACK BUT I'M PRETTY CERTAIN THAT WE DID TABLE SOMETHING FOR HONOURABLE MEMBERS BUT WE'RE SHOWING A BREAKDOWN, DIDN'T WE?

MR. CHAIRMAN: NOT THAT I RECALL.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS. I REMEMBER DEALING WITH THIS AT THE SUPPLEMENTARY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN THAT CASE, COULD WE GET SOME INDICATION AND WHAT IS THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR \$45,000 THAT IS INDICATED THAT IS GOING TO BE SPENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW IF WE'VE GOT AN EXACT BREAKDOWN OF THIS. BUT THIS WAS FUNDS TO COVER THE HIRING OF FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAD MISS CRUIKSHANK ON STAFF WHO DID THAT

PAPER ON "THROUGH THE EYES OF STRANGERS" AND IT WAS TO COVER A PART TIME LEGAL COUNSEL, NAMELY DAVID ELLIOTT WHO WAS BACK AND WORKING WITH THE LAND CLAIMS SECRETARIAT AND IT'S FOR THAT TYPE OF STUDY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: \$45,000?

MR. MILLER: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, NOBODY AT THIS STAGE KNOWS EXACTLY WHAT WE MAY HAVE TO UNDERTAKE AS A RESULT OF NEGOTIATIONS, WHAT TYPE OF STUDY. NOW WE HAVE DONE CERTAIN THINGS AND TO THIS POINT WE ARE CONTINUING TO DO WHATEVER WE FEEL IS NECESSARY OR WHATEVER THE LAND CLAIMS SECRETARIAT FEELS IS NECESSARY TO HAVE BACKGROUND INFORMATION AVAILABLE. BUT IF THERE'S SOME PARTICULAR FUNCTION COMES UP OR PARTICULAR SUBJECT COMES UP AFTER THE LAND CLAIMS NEGOTIATION IS TABLED IT MAY REQUIRE FURTHER STUDIES OF A DETAILED NATURE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, EARLIER ON IN THE SESSION, THE COMMISSIONER HAD INDICATED THAT HE WAS GOING TO PROVIDE SOME INFORMATION ON THE LAND CLAIMS TO COUNCIL AND I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT SOME OF THIS INFORMATION WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THERE, BUT WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED ANYTHING.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I APOLOGIZE TO THE HOUSE AND IT DID MISLEAD THE HOUSE. IT WAS ANOTHER COMMITTEE, WITH SO MANY OF THEM AROUND HERE, SOMETIMES YOU CAN GET MUDDLED UP. THE PAPER THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER REQUESTED IS COMING TO COUNCIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M WONDERING HOW MANY SALARIES AND WAGES FOR INSTANCE ARE \$27,400 AND FROM THE CHAIR, I'M WONDERING WHO IS THIS COMPOSED OF? WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE A RESEARCH ADMINISTRATOR, A DRAFTSMAN ON A CASUAL BASIS, A CLERK TYPIST II CASUAL BASIS PRESENTLY EMPLOYED IN THIS SECRETARIAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AND WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE?

MR. MILLER: THE RESEARCH ADMINISTRATOR IS GEORGE PRIVETT AND I'M AFRAID I DON'T KNOW THE OTHER TWO NAMES MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT DIDN'T MR. PRIVETT TAKE THE PLACE OF DAVID ELLIOTT? HE TOOK THE PLACE OF DAVID ELLIOTT. WHY ARE WE SAYING MR. ELLIOTT'S GOING TO BE IN THE PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES IN THE \$45,000 UNIT?

HERE WE ARE DEALING WITH THE SAME OFFICE IN TWO OF THE ESTABLISHMENTS,

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. ELLIOTT WILL DO PROFESSIONAL RESEARCH AND PRESENT IT TO THE SECRETARIAT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WELL I FOR ONE, WOULD CERTAINLY LIKE TO SEE THIS PAPER AND HAVE SOME VERIFICATION AS TO JUST WHAT THE FUNCTION OF THE LAND CLAIMS SECRETARIAT IS. I THINK ALL THAT WE HAVE LEARNED REALLY IS THAT THESE SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE ALSO TO COUNCILLOR GEORGE SHAW AS WELL AS TO THE COMMISSIONER.

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN, AND EVENTUALLY THE MATERIAL THAT THEY ARE PRESENTING WILL BECOME PUBLIC INFORMATION. BUT I WILL FOLLOW UP ON THAT PAPER, THAT THE COMMISSIONER COMMITTED, . . .

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT RENTAL OF LANDS AND BUILDINGS ARE BEING USED IN THIS PARTICULAR ITEM?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS OFFICE SPACE BEING RENTED IN THE MAIN STEELE BUILDING FOR THE SECRETARIAT. I MIGHT POINT OUT MR. CHAIRMAN THAT THESE FUNDS ARE 100% RECOVERABLE FROM INDIAN AFFAIRS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I THINK MORE INTERESTING IS IS WHAT IT'S DOING AND HOW IT'S DOING,

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WILL ALL BE IN THE PAPER THAT IS TO BE PRESENTED. I WILL FOLLOW UP AFTER COUNCIL.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW ALL MEMBERS THE ONLY REASON THE PAPER ISN'T HERE RIGHT NOW BECAUSE IT'S BEEN SUCH A WEIGHT OF PAPERS AND SUCH A WEIGHT OF QUESTIONS THAT THAT'S JUST ONE THAT WE HAVEN'T GOT. BUT IT'S ON IT'S WAY.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I GOT 19 THAT I'M WAITING FOR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON ESTABLISHMENT 122?

THE NEXT ITEM IS ESTABLISHMENT 124, FEDERAL INTERDEPARTMENTAL CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE - \$34,980. THIS IS 100% RECOVERABLE?

Mr. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. MCKINNON: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M CERTAINLY NOW LOOKING FORWARD WITH INTEREST TO THE WORK OF THE FEDERAL INTERDEPARTMENTAL CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE IN IT'S FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION BECAUSE IT'S MY OPINION THAT THERE IS LESS AND LESS CO-ORDINATION OF FEDERAL AND TERRITORIAL ACTIVITY IN THE NORTH. I THINK WE HAVE SEEN EXAMPLE AFTER EXAMPLE AFTER EXAMPLE IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS OF WHERE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DIDN'T HAVE A CLUE AS TO WHAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WAS DOING AND VICE VERSA. PROBABLY EVEN MORE OFTEN THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT DIDN'T HAVE A CLUE OF WHAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS DOING.

WHEN I SEE THE MASS OF BUREAUCRATS THAT ARE MOVING INTO WHITEHORSE, AND JUST TAKING OVER EVERY ASPECT OF YUKONERS' LIVES, THERE IS SURE GOING TO BE A HECK OF A LOT MORE MONEY THAN \$34,980 TO TRY AND CO-ORDINATE THE ACTIVITIES BETWEEN THE PROLIFERATIONS OF DEPARTMENTS I THINK HOW MANY DID I CATCH IN THIS GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES IN THE NORTH--SOME SEVERAL HUNDREDS OF DEPARTMENTS. ALL STUDYING MOSQUITOS AND DIFFERENT LAND USE AND DIFFERENT MAMMALS. I'M SURE THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT AT THE TIME REALLY DOESN'T REALIZE AND ISN'T INVOLVED ENOUGH OR WORRIED, THE EXTENT OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S INVOLVEMENT MORE AND MORE AND MORE EVERY YEAR IN THE LIVES OF YUKONERS.

WITHOUT A DOUBT THERE IS NO QUESTION IN MY MIND. IN THE 17 YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN HERE, THAT WE ARE FURTHER, MUCH FURTHER AWAY FROM THE DAY OF YUKONERS CONTROLLING THEIR OWN DESTINY THAN WE WERE 17 YEARS AGO BECAUSE EVERY TIME DIGBY BRINGS IN ANOTHER 20 CATS TO RUN AROUND THE YUKON AND NOBODY KNOWING WHERE THEY ARE GOING A LAW COMPLETELY UNTO THEMSELVES, THEN THE PLIGHT OF THE YUKONERS GETS FURTHER AND FURTHER AWAY FROM SOME DAY HAVING SOME CONTROL OVER THEIR AFFAIRS.

AS I SAY, I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY POSSIBLE WAY THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WILL HAVE ONE IOTA MORE OF A CLUE OF WHAT IS ACTUALLY HAPPENING IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT WILL BE AN INTERESTING FACET TO SEE WHETHER THERE CAN BE ANY CONTROL AND INTERESTING TO SEE WHETHER THERE CAN BE ANY CO-OPERATION AND ANY CO-ORDINATION BETWEEN FEDERAL AND TERRITORIAL ACTIVITIES IN THE NORTH. I JUST THINK, AS I SAY, WE ARE JUST COMING FURTHER AND FURTHER AND FURTHER AWAY OF EVER HAVING A SAY IN WHAT SHOULD ACTUALLY BE HAPPENING IN THE LIVES OF THE CONSTITUENTS WE REPRESENT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON THIS ITEM?

Mr. McKinnon: No, I can't understand it.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Public Enquiries, \$1. Just from the Chair, how many requests were made of the Government of the Yukon Territory during the last fiscal year, indeed for a public enquiry?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman to my knowledge, one, I'm not sure that was in the last fiscal year. The expenditures were incurred in the last fiscal year.

Mr. Chairman: These are requests?

Mr. Miller: The only enquiries that we've ever carried out under this particular section is the Hobbs Enquiry. To my knowledge, we have never received, we have not received any requests.

Mr. Chairman: The next item is Establishment 150, Plebiscites, \$1. Establishment 160, Personnel Office, \$478,400. A breakdown of some of the information on A-12.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman I wonder if Mr. Chairman could give some direction on a question that I would ask of him. When asking questions about Executive Committee Members, in relation to this particular expenditure, would that be considered to be confidential or personnel matter or would we have the right to question the basis that we are questioning Members of an Executive Committee that purports to be the Government?

Mr. Chairman: I think that would largely depend on the nature of the question proposed. The Government have embarked on a program of security and in doing so they have asked that some Members, certainly of the Executive Committee and the Finance Committee to take an oath to secrecy in some matters. That would be in matters which come to their knowledge which by virtue of the position they hold. It would be rather difficult from the Chair, to give too much guidance in that direction unless he knew really the nature of the question. I would suggest that no one is on trial here and we will just have to take the questions one by one, and deal with them at that time.

Mr. Chamberlist: The reason why I'll put this question is because the detail that shows under the Personnel Office, the reason follows, 'to provide for the operation of

the centrally co-ordinated personnel program and to perform the statutory requirements of the Public Staff and the Staff Relations Ordinance.' This is my question which I won't put it. I will ask Mr. Chairman to say it's a valid question first. It is in relation to the, what purports to be the termination of Mr. Fleming and its relation to the Public Service and Staff Relations Ordinance which has been breached completely. I'll stop and get an opinion from Mr. Chairman. I don't want to press the point if Mr. Chairman feels that I shouldn't be relating the person and Public Service and Staff Relations Ordinance but I'm simply putting it because it indicates that it deals with the statutory requirements of the Public Service and Staff Relations Ordinance that I raise the query.

Mr. Chairman: I think from the Chair, that the question seems a reasonable one. I want to be abundantly fair to everyone but if there is some problem relating to the operation of the Personnel Department in letting someone go and taking some action certainly all Members have a right to question that. I think that one must be very careful that we don't get on a vendetta in doing so, as some question could be out of order. I don't know that until I hear the questions. I would think that it is entirely that every Member is intitled to question any part of the Personnel Office except where they get into confidential areas.

Mr. Chamberlist: I'll let it go Mr. Chairman because I propose to give a file to every Member of Territorial Council dealing with Mr. Fleming's termination. It will give an opportunity to even the Members of the Executive Committee, who I am sure have not read all the correspondence because it has never been given to them both ways as to what, and what manner, the Public Service and Staff Relations Ordinance has been breached. Also the policies of the Commissioner.

Mr. Chairman: Anything further on Personnel? The next item is Accommodation Services, \$532,509.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Chairman for a Government that once had the policy in mind in attempting to get out of the Accommodations business altogether, we are making some pretty good jumps year by year from \$411,000 in 72-73, to \$532,509 in 74-75, I take it now that the policy intention of the Yukon Territorial

GOVERNMENT IS NOT TO GET OUT OF THE HOUSING BUSINESS. I AM WONDERING JUST HOW FAR THEY ARE PREPARED TO GO. WHETHER IT WILL FINALLY END UP IN THE BUDGET AT SEVERAL MILLIONS OF DOLLARS PER YEAR AS THEY CONTINUE TO PROVIDE ACCOMODATION FOR TERRITORIAL PUBLIC SERVANTS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN OUR POLICY IS NOT CHANGED IN THIS REGARD. WE ARE STILL ATTEMPTING TO GET OUT OF THE ACCOMODATION BUSINESS WHEREVER WE CAN. THE PROBLEM THAT WE ARE CONSTANTLY FACED WITH IS LACK OF HOUSING IN THE YUKON. I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MADE A VERY GOOD COMMENT ON THAT THIS MORNING. I'M TALKING A GENERAL LACK OF HOUSING. WE CAN'T GET HOUSING, WE CAN'T RENT IT FOR OUR TERRITORIAL EMPLOYEES OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE, SO WE HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO BUILD IT.

IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA, WE ARE GRADUALLY AND I SAY GRADUALLY, GETTING OUT OF THE ACCOMODATION BUSINESS. WE HAVE TURNED BACK IN THE LAST TEN MONTHS, SOMETHING LIKE 8 OR 10 OF THE TAKHINI AREA FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. WE WOULD HOPE TO TURN MORE BACK DURING THE COURSE OF THIS YEAR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK SOME OF THE REASON FOR THE INCREASE THIS YEAR, IS THE FACT THAT THE TAKEOVER OF THE HOUSES ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY, WHICH WE ARE CONDITIONED TO THINKING, THAT IS WHY THERE IS AT LEAST \$100,000. I THINK YOU WILL FIND AN INCREASE THERE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: LAST YEAR WE DID THAT.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS CORRECT. WE, IN PREVIOUS YEARS, THE ALASKA HIGHWAY HOUSING WAS OPERATED OUT OF HIGHWAY FUNDS, IN OTHER WORDS IT WASN'T APPROPRIATED IN THIS APPROPRIATION HERE. IT WAS APPROPRIATED UNDER THE ALASKA HIGHWAY.

MR. MCKINNON: IS IT STILL RECOVERABLE UNDER THE ALASKA HIGHWAY AGREEMENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IF THERE IS A LOSS ON THOSE UNITS, YES. IN FACT, LAST YEAR, THERE WAS A PROFIT ON THE UNITS. IF THERE IS A LOSS, IT IS RECOVERABLE UNDER THE ALASKA HIGHWAY AGREEMENT. WHAT WE'VE ATTEMPTED TO DO IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA IS GET ACCOMODATION SERVICES RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL THE HOUSING THAT WE CAN. AS A RESULT, WE DID TRANSFER A LOT OF THE ALASKA HIGHWAY HOUSING. THIS WAS DONE IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY FROM THE ALASKA HIGHWAY APPROPRIATION TO THIS APPROPRIATION.

I MIGHT POINT OUT FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S BENEFIT THAT THE RECOVERIES UNDER THIS PROGRAM ARE \$496,000. SO THE TOTAL DEFICIT THE GOVERNMENT BEARS IS \$36,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT THAT RECOVERY INCLUDES FOR THE, LET ME TRY IT AGAIN. THE RECOVERY IS NOT ONLY RECOVERY FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BUT RECOVERY FROM THE TENANTS OR RENT. THIS WAS THE SAME THING IN ANY EVENT WHEN IT WAS ATTACHED TO THE HIGHWAY APPROPRIATION. SO IT IS NOTHING SPECIAL. ITS BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM ONE TO THE OTHER.

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT DOESN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION AS I UNDERSTAND IT FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST. IT SEEMS THAT THERE IS A CONTINUATION OF THE USAGE OF STAFF HOUSING. I WOULD LIKE TO FIND OUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW MANY MEMBERS ARE IN STAFF HOUSING IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE, WHO ARE THERE OVER THE TWO YEARS THAT THE POLICY REQUIRES THAT THEY BE THERE FOUR, NO LONGER.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY FIVE OF US.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO GET AT BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT MR. MILLER IS IN THERE FOR NEARLY FIVE YEARS. I'M JUST TRYING TO FIND OUT WHY THE SPECIAL DISPENSATION IS BEING GIVEN TO HIM BECAUSE IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT IN THE POLICY MANUAL, THERE IS A REQUIREMENT THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER GIVES THE INSTRUCTION AS TO WHETHER THEY CAN STAY IN THOSE HOUSES OR NOT. I WONDER IF HE HAS INSTRUCTED HIMSELF ACCORDINGLY.

MR. MCKINNON: APPARENTLY SO.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN. I'LL ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I WAS DUE TO MOVE OUT OF THE HOUSE LAST AUGUST. I ASKED TO COMMISSIONER FOR AN EXTENSION OF ONE YEAR AND IT WAS GRANTED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THIS THE SECOND EXTENSION?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS THE FIRST EXTENSION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I UNDERSTAND THAT ITS TWO YEARS.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE POLICY WAS BROUGHT IN, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, 2 1/2 YEARS AGO. IT WAS A PHASED IN POLICY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHEN ARE YOU PHASING OUT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON ESTABLISHMENT 180? THAT GIVES US A TOTAL OF \$1,678,876 FOR VOTE 01. THE NEXT ITEM IS LOAN CAPITAL.

MR. MILLER: PAGE 67, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,350,000. DETAIL ON 67?

MR. MILLER: THE DETAIL OF LOANS TO THE THIRD PARTIES IS ON PAGE 67 MR. CHAIRMAN. THE DETAIL FOR THE LAND DEVELOPMENT, WE PREVIOUSLY COVERED UNDER PROJECT CAPITAL. THIS MAY SEEM A STRANGE WAY OF DOING IT BUT WE BORROW THE MONEY NEEDED TO DEVELOP LAND. WE SHOW IT AS A LOAN CAPITAL EXPENDITURE AND A RECOVERY UNDER LOAN CAPITAL AND THEN WE ACTUALLY SPEND THE MONEY UNDER PROJECT CAPITAL.

MR. TANNER: ITS VERY REASSURING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DO I UNDERSTAND, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE ARE ONLY BORROWING \$125,000 THIS YEAR?

MR. TANNER: \$1,125,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: JUST A MINUTE NOW. \$125,000 OVER AND ABOVE WHAT THEY BORROWED LAST YEAR.

MR. TANNER: THEY DIDN'T BORROW ANYTHING LAST YEAR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY DIDN'T BORROW ANY MONEY AT ALL LAST YEAR. WE HAD AN APPROPRIATION OF \$1,000,000 WHICH WAS AVAILABLE TO THEM. THEY DID NOT BORROW ANY MONEY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE WAS NO FUNDS MADE AVAILABLE BY WAY OF LOANS TO THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE LAST YEAR, NONE AT ALL?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FUNDS WERE AVAILABLE BUT THE CITY DIDN'T REQUEST ANY. THESE FUNDS, I THINK AS ALL MEMBERS KNOW, WE DON'T DRAW THEM DOWN FROM OTTAWA UNTIL THEY ARE ACTUALLY REQUESTED BY THE MUNICIPALITIES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HAVE THEY INDICATED THAT THEY WILL BE BORROWING THIS AMOUNT THIS YEAR?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY SPECIFIC REQUESTS FROM THE CITY, KNOWING THEIR CAPITAL WORKS PROGRAM AND ASSUMING THAT THEY

WILL GET IT UNDER WAY, I WOULD ASSUME THAT THIS MAY NOT BE ENOUGH. UNTIL THEY ACTUALLY REQUEST IT, WE JUST DON'T KNOW.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? LOAN AMORTIZATION ON PAGE 68. THIS IS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,714,400.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH OF THAT MONEY, MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE, IS IN THE BANK IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AT ANY ONE TIME.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN OF THESE FUNDS, NONE BECAUSE THESE ARE FUNDS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GIVE US IN THE CASE OF FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOANS TO PAY THEM BACK, THE AMORTIZATION REQUIREMENTS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT DOESN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION I ASKED. I KNOW THIS, I SAID, HOW MUCH OF IT IS IN THE BANK AT ANY ONE TIME.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOTHING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL SURELY MR. CHAIRMAN IT MUST GO THROUGH THE BANK AT ONE TIME OR ANOTHER. THERE IS SOME INTEREST ON IT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN WE HAVE AN ARRANGEMENT WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON THIS THAT THEY PAY US EACH MONTH, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE ARE REQUIRED TO REPAY TO THEM EACH MONTH. I MIGHT POINT OUT, FOR ALL MEMBERS' BENEFIT THAT OUR FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS WITH OTTAWA ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE FOR 1975-76 WITH REGARD TO PROJECT CAPITAL BORROWING. THE PROPOSAL WHICH APPROVED BY CABINET A MATTER OF A FEW MONTHS AGO, IS THAT THE TERRITORIES WILL NOW BE TREATED, I SHOULDN'T PROBABLY USE THAT WORD. THE FINANCING OF THE TERRITORIES IN TERMS OF PROJECT CAPITAL AND OF CROWN CORPORATIONS WILL NO LONGER BE IN THE WAY OF FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOANS. THE CAPITAL FUNDING WILL NOW COME TO US IN THE WAY OF GRANTS. ALL OF OUR OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOANS FOR PROJECT CAPITAL, THESE WOULD BE THE ITEMS THAT WE ARE PAYING THE \$4,000,000 BACK TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THIS YEAR, WILL BE WRITTEN OFF THE BOOKS OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS OF MARCH 31, 1975.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DOES THIS MEAN THEN THAT THE BALANCE THAT IS LEFT OVER FROM THE ORIGINAL SURE AWARD SYSTEM FOR THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE WILL BE WRITTEN OFF BY WAY OF A GRANT?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE THAT IS A TERRITORIAL AMORTIZED LOAN, NOT A FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOAN.

Mr. Chamberlist:

IT WAS TERRITORIAL AMORTIZED THAT THE MONEY WAS BORROWED FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT AND THEN LOANED TO THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. IS THIS CORRECT?

Mr. Miller: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT THIS WILL MEAN IS THAT ANY LOANS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS GIVEN US THE MONEY FOR WHICH FALL INTO THE CATEGORY OF PROJECT CAPITAL, IN OTHER WORDS, WE ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE, OR THE CITY IS NOT RESPONSIBLE, WILL BE WRITTEN OFF THE BOOKS.

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TALKS ABOUT THE WATER AND SEWER, I DON'T HAVE THE DETAILS HERE, I WOULD HAVE TO SEE WHICH CATEGORY IT IS DETAILED IN BUT I HAVE AN IDEA THAT AT LEAST A PORTION OF THAT IS TERRITORIALLY AMORTIZED. BUT I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK UP THE SPECIFIC ITEM.

Mr. McKinnon: WHAT IS THE TOTAL VALUE?

Mr. Miller: THE TOTAL VALUE OF LOANS THAT WILL BE WRITTEN OFF, AGAIN I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT FIGURE BUT JUST A SECOND, I THINK I DO HAVE IT, THIS WAS AS OF MARCH 31ST, 1973 SO IT WILL BE A LITTLE HIGHER THAN THIS BUT IT'S 27.9 MILLION DOLLARS. SO, IF YOU WANT TO USE ROUND FIGURES IT WILL BE APPROXIMATELY 30 MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE YUKON TERRITORY.

Mr. Tanner: MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER WOULD LIKE TO JUST CONTINUE A LITTLE FURTHER TO TELL THE COUNCIL WHAT HE THINKS THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS NEW FEDERAL POLICY IS?

Mr. Miller: - WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE CAN'T OBVIOUSLY FORSEE ALL THE CONSEQUENCES OR POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCIES OF THIS. BUT OUR MAJOR CONCERN IN THIS AREA IS GRANT FUNDS NORMALLY ARE VERY DIFFICULT TO COME BY IN THE FEDERAL BUDGETARY SCHEME, WHEREAS LOAN FUNDS ARE NORMALLY RELATIVELY EASY. NOW, WE HAVE ALL THE ASSURANCES OF OUR OWN DEPARTMENT BUT THINGS WILL CARRY ON AS THEY HAVE IN THE PAST. HISTORY HAS A HABIT OF REPEATING ITSELF AND WE ALL KNOW OVER THE YEARS HOW MUCH TROUBLE WE'VE HAD GETTING SUCH THINGS AS DEFICIT GRANTS INCREASED FROM ONE YEAR TO THE NEXT. WE MAY HAVE A SIMILAR PROBLEM IN OUR GRANTS IN THE FUTURE. WE DON'T KNOW.

NOW, THIS SUBJECT WAS NOT A SUBJECT THAT WAS RAISED BY OURSELVES. THIS PARTICULAR THING RESULTED FROM A LONG STANDING CRITICISM OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL IN CANADA, AND HIS CRITICISM WAS IMPROPER ACCOUNTING TO PUT ON THE BOOKS LOANS WHICH THE RECIPIENT OF THE LOAN NEVER HAD THE

ABILITY OR WOULD PROBABLY NEVER HAVE THE ABILITY TO PAY OFF. SPECIFICALLY WHEN YOU DEAL WITH SUCH CORPORATIONS AS C.P.C., FINANCIAL CAPITAL COMMISSION. THESE WERE SOME OF THE MAJOR BORROWERS UNDER THIS PROGRAM AND THERE WAS NO HOPE OF THEM EVER PAYING OFF THE EXTENDING LOAN.

WE ARGUED THE POINT AS STRENUOUSLY AS WE COULD AND WE MANAGED TO GET THE POLICY CHANGED FOR OURSELVES FOR AT LEAST ONE MORE YEAR. THESE OTHER CROWN CORPORATIONS PARTICULARLY. THE REVISED POLICY CAME INTO EFFECT AS LABELLED FOR '74, HOPEFULLY, AND WE ARE CONTINUING TO WORK ON THIS, WE DON'T LIKE TO LOSE THE POSITION WE ARE NOW IN AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN COME UP WITH SOME BETTER ARGUMENTS AND MAYBE GET IT PUT OFF FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS MORE. IF WE CAN'T COME UP WITH SOME STRONG ARGUMENTS BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT APRIL, WE'RE STUCK WHETHER WE LIKE IT OR NOT.

Mr. Chamberlist: MR. CHAIRMAN, DO WE UNDERSTAND THEN THAT ONCE THIS \$30,000,000 IS WRITTEN OFF BY WAY OF A GRANT, THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WILL NOT OWE THAT MONEY TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AT ALL?

Mr. Miller: IN THEORY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS CORRECT. THEY WERE PAYING US TO DO THIS; PART OF THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT AND THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS WE ARE SOMEWHAT CONCERNED ABOUT WHICH IS, HAVING WRITTEN IT OFF AND GIVEN US GRANTS, IF THIS TERRITORY IS EVER IN A POSITION TO BE ABLE TO START PAYING ITS OWN WAY, INCLUDING THIS COST ACCOUNTING WILL THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AT THAT TIME COME BACK AND SAY, "WELL, THAT'S NICE HOW ABOUT PAYING US FOR ALL THE PAST THAT HASN'T BEEN PAID OFF BY SOME OTHER APPROPRIATION." BUT THIS ISN'T ONE OF OUR CONCERNS.

Mr. Chamberlist: JUST TO FOLLOW IT UP, SURE. THEN I TAKE IT THAT WE WOULD BE SAVING A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY BECAUSE WE WOULD NOT BE PAYING ANY INTEREST ON THE MONEY THAT WE OWE NOW TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HOW MUCH A YEAR, AVERAGE OF INTEREST WOULD WE BE SAVING BY THAT?

Mr. McKinnon: AND GIVE YOU THE MONEY FOR THE INTEREST TOO.

Mr. Miller: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY ARE GIVING US THE MONEY FOR THE INTEREST. I THINK, IF YOU WILL LOOK ON PAGE 69 UNDER FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOANS, YOU WILL FIND THAT 74-75, THE INTEREST IS 2.4 MILLION, THE INTEREST IS 1.6 MILLION DOLLARS UNDER FEDERALLY AMORTIZED LOANS. NOW THIS IS

THE MONEY THAT THEY WILL GIVE US TO ALLOW US TO PAY THEM BACK.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE WILL ALL BE SQUARE AT THAT TIME.

MR. MILLER: YES, WE WILL ALL BE SQUARE AT THAT TIME EXCEPT THAT

MR. CHAMBERLIST (INTERRUPTS): I'M LOOKING FOR THE TRICK BECAUSE

MR. MCKINNON (INTERRUPTS): WELL THERE IS, THE TRICK IS SO OBVIOUS THAT I'M AFRAID THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HASN'T PICKED IT UP YET BECAUSE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO ATTEMPT TO DO IS SAY, "NOW LOOKIT, YOU ARE ON THE SAME BASIS AS PROVINCIAL JURISDICTIONS FOR YOUR DEFICIT GRANTS", AND WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO IS WAIVE WHAT THEY SAY ARE THE PROVINCIAL TYPE REVENUES THAT ARE ACCRUING TO THE TREASURY WHICH ARE GOING TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INSTEAD OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT BECAUSE WE ARE NOT A PROVINCE AND THEN SAY, "WE'LL GIVE YOU THESE MONIES BACK IN PROVINCIAL TYPE GRANT. NOW YOU CAN DO WHATEVER YOU WANT WITH THEM BUT THIS IS ALL THAT YOU'RE GOING TO GET."

BY NEXT YEAR WHAT YOU WILL SEE IS BOTH AN OPERATING AND DEFICIT GRANT AND A LOAN CAPITAL GRANT LOCKED INTO ONE PROVINCIAL TYPE DEFICIT GRANT TO THE YUKON. AND WILL THERE BE A SEPARATE LOAN FUND OR WILL IT ALL BE ONE SUM COMING BACK AS AN EQUALIZATION PAYMENT, I THINK THAT IS THE TERM THEY ARE USING CONSTITUTIONALLY THESE DAYS.

MR. MILLER: NOW, MR. CHAIRMAN, FROM A DEPARTMENTAL STANDPOINT AND FROM THE INTERDEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE'S STANDPOINT, THERE IS NO INTENTION OF CHANGING OUR FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS AT THIS TIME OTHER THAN THIS ONE WHICH WAS IMPOSED EVEN ON THEM. THIS WAS A CABINET DECISION THAT WAS MADE AS A RESULT OF THESE PROBLEMS THAT THEY WERE HAVING WITH MAJOR CROWN CORPORATIONS.

THEY WEREN'T THE ONLY ONES HAVING A MAJOR PROBLEM. THEY WERE HAVING A PROBLEM WITH RESPECT TO THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES AS WELL BECAUSE THEIR DOLLARS ARE NOT \$30,000,000 NOR \$150,000,000 AND THEY ARE DRAWING INTEREST AT THE RATE OF \$60,000,000 A YEAR. SO THIS IS, FOR THEM AND FOR THE CROWN CORPORATION, GREAT. WE SAY WE DON'T WANT IT BUT THERE IS NO INTENTION AT THIS POINT, AND I MUST EMPHASISE AT THIS POINT, TO ALTER OUR FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS OTHER THAN

TO GIVE US THESE CAPITAL FUNDS IN THE WAY OF A GRANT RATHER THAN IN THE WAY OF LOANS. BUT THE FUTURE COULD HAVE SOME VERY SIGNIFICANT THINGS,

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN WE WOULD HAVE A DEFICIT GRANT FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS BESIDES OUR DEFICIT GRANTS FOR O & M. RIGHT?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT. WE WOULD HAVE TWO SEPARATE GRANTS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN REALLY THEY WOULD NOT BE DEALING WITH US AS A PROVINCIAL TYPE OF OPERATION BUT THEY WOULD THEN BE SAYING QUITE CLEARLY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT IS A CROWN CORPORATION, JUST AN AGENCY OF THE DEPARTMENT. WOULDN'T THIS BE?

MR. MCKINNON: WHICH IT IS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, WHICH IT IS. THIS IS THE WAY THEY WOULD BE HANDLING IT.

MR. MILLER: WITH RESPECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT ISN'T THE INTENT AGAIN. ONE CAN DRAW MANY THINGS OUT OF THIS BUT WE HAVE JUST GOT CAUGHT UP AS A RESULT OF HAVING TO CORRECT THE EFFICIENCY IN THEIR OWN SYSTEM. THAT'S BEEN GOING ON FOR SOME EIGHT OR TEN YEARS TO MY KNOWLEDGE AND WE HAVE JUST GOT CAUGHT UP IN THE WHIRLWIND END OF TRYING TO CORRECT THAT.

NOW THIS HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF A COMMENT IN THE AUDITOR GENERAL'S REPORTS FOR AT LEAST SIX OR SEVEN YEARS. THEY FINALLY GOT AROUND TO DOING SOMETHING ABOUT IT.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAPITAL PROJECTS UNDER THE OLD SYSTEM HAVE ALWAYS BEEN EASIER TO COME BY THAN THE MONIES FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE DEFICIT GRANTS. NOW, WE'VE KNOWN THAT FOR YEARS AT THE YUKON GOVERNMENT LEVEL THAT YOU CAN SELL THEM A BUILDING LIKE NOTHING BUT TRY AND SELL THEM THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR THE UPKEEP OF THAT BUILDING AFTER YOU HAVE THE THING CONSTRUCTED, IT'S A DIFFERENT STORY.

NOW, YOUR DEFICIT GRANT O & M, AND IT'S GOING TO BE AS DIFFICULT TO COME BY, YOU'RE STILL GOING TO ASK FOR A DEFICIT GRANT CAPITAL AND THAT NOW WILL PROBABLY BE IN THE SAME DEGREE OF DIFFICULTY AS TRYING TO OBTAIN AS THE O & M GRANT WAS IN PRIOR YEARS. WOULD MR. MILLER CARE TO COMMENT ON THAT?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I can't help but agree that that possibility exists now. We have all the assurance of the Interdepartmental Committee that this won't happen but this was one of the things I really don't like about it. I think we will find it may be very difficult to get capital funds or at least more difficult than it has been.

Mr. McKinnon: Has there been any indication at all that the deficit grant in capital, will it now be expected that the Territory raises the money to be able to pay the deficit grant back or is it in the grant form and the only difficult thing is in attempting to gain monies for capital purposes when it's in a deficit grant or on a deficit grant basis?

Mr. Miller: That will be the major impact. It's just an attempt to get these types of funds for capital. That will be the difficulty that we will experience.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, one more question. The amount of the deficit grant that will be given for capital projects every year, would they try to follow the criteria they used for equalization payments for some of the 'non-have' provinces in Canada? Has there been any indication on that?

Mr. Miller: Well, I know that they are looking at funding or the financing of both the Yukon and the Northwest Territories. They have been doing comparisons with some of the provinces to see what we would be like if we were on a provincial basis, including equalization grants and those types. It's a pretty precarious system at the moment, in trying to get all the costs out and the revenue out and do any real proper comparison.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Miller, was there an elected member of the Executive Committee on any of these discussions with the Treasury Board?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, there was very little discussion with Treasury Board. Mr. Chairman, with respect, the first we knew of this was a telex from our own Department saying the Treasury Board was going to Cabinet with this proposal. What were our comments?

This was dealt with by both administrative and elected people here and a reply went back and our Minister, in fact, took it up at the

Cabinet level and we got the year postponed.

Mr. McKinnon: But certainly Mr. Miller can see that the difficulty in how the Yukon could get sucked in because just upon every ongoing program that we have discussed in the Budget this year, Mr. Miller has been saying, "lookit, we'll try to sell Treasury Board on a long range project that we are putting forward and we haven't been able to yet but we hope that it will come this summer."

Mr. Chairman, if these projects aren't committed to a long term basis by Treasury Board you can see the writing on the wall and when it comes time for the deficit grant in capital it's just going to be said that we have never agreed in principle to the ongoing programs that the Yukon Territorial Council and the Yukon Government has set up as a priority in legislation and we don't think the money should be made on a long term basis by a deficit grant for these priorities that the Territorial Government has given in the Yukon and boy, there were a lot of problems inherent in this change by Treasury Board in budgeting capital to the Yukon Territorial Government and next year particularly is going to be a year where there are going to be some real changes or whether ongoing programs are going to be allowed or not. And with the Freshmen Council, Mr. Chairman, there is going to be difficulty.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I wonder if Mr. Miller could, correct me if I'm wrong in this particular thought that I have. The Federal Government when making provincial grants to a province, makes it when it is required as a deficit grant to make up the need. Equalization need. Now, they are doing that by way of capital but they don't do it by way of O & M at this time, as I understand, but when the Federal Government deals with crown corporations they do provide a deficit grant for capital and a deficit grant for O & M.

Are we going to be treated in that category? That is, a deficit grant for capital and a deficit grant for O & M because this, surely, must be the differences in my thoughts. That if they are going to make a deficit for both capital and O & M, we would in fact be in the same category as a crown corporation.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, what we will get is a deficit grant, starting next year, covering

OUR O & M DEFICIT.

ON THE CAPITAL SIDE WE WILL GET A STRAIGHT CAPITAL GRANT COVERING, SUPPOSEDLY, OUR NEED. NOW, OUR NEEDS OBVIOUSLY ARE FLEXIBLE WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT CAPITAL. THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS WE DEFINITELY NEED. THERE ARE OTHERS WHICH CAN BE SHIFTED FROM ONE YEAR TO THE NEXT.

I DON'T ANTICIPATE ANY PROBLEM IN THIS NEXT YEAR. WHERE I WOULD SEE THE PROBLEM IS DOWN THE ROAD AND IT MAY NOT BE THE YEAR AFTER, IT MAY BE FOUR YEARS WHEN SUDDENLY WE HAVE A WHOLE NEW GROUP OF PEOPLE, EITHER AT THE INTERDEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE OR IF WE HAVE A NEW GOVERNMENT AND A NEW CABINET WHO DON'T REMEMBER THE ARRANGEMENTS THAT WERE MADE THIS YEAR. NOW THAT'S WHERE THE DANGER IS ENCOUNTERED, IN HAVING TO EDUCATE THESE NEW PEOPLE IN HOW THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WORKS, HOW OUR FUNDING ARRANGEMENT WORKS. THIS TYPE OF THING. THIS IS THE DANGER. MAYBE IT WILL BE NEXT YEAR, IF WE HAVE ANOTHER ELECTION AND THE GOVERNMENT ...

MR. MCKINNON: WELL ISN'T THERE ANY MEMO OF UNDERSTANDING SIGNED BY THE VARIOUS PARTIES PRIOR TO SIGNING OF THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YES THERE ARE. THERE IS DOCUMENTATION ON THIS BUT AS SO OFTEN HAPPENS, A DOCUMENT BETWEEN ONE PERSON AND ANOTHER IS GREAT PROVIDING BOTH SIDES FULLY UNDERSTAND COMPLEXITY OF THE PROBLEM. IT'S WHEN YOU GET SOMEBODY NEW WHO DOESN'T HAVE THE BACKGROUND THAT YOU CAN GET INTO TROUBLE IN THESE AREAS. FOR THE NEXT YEAR OR TWO I'M NOT TOO CONCERNED AND I WOULD POINT OUT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT IF WE GET TREASURY BOARD APPROVAL FOR SOME OF THE PROGRAMS, SOME OF THE PROPOSALS WE HAVE BROUGHT FORWARD, FOR EXAMPLE THE COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, THAT WILL GUARANTEE US, SUBJECT TO, OF COURSE, NATIONAL EMERGENCY, WHETHER THERE ARE NO FUNDS AVAILABLE. MY ONLY COMMENT IS THANK GOD WE HAVE DONE A HECK OF A LOT OF OUR CAPITAL WORKS DONE OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE WE CLEAR ON THIS ITEM?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF, ALRIGHT, AT THIS TIME WE'LL JUST BREAK FOR RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT HIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER.

VOTE 2 - O & M PAGE 10.

WE HAVE SOME ANSWERS FROM MR. MILLER.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WERE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ASKED. ESTABLISHMENT 200 THE QUESTION WAS ASKED AS TO WHAT WAS THE ACTUAL YEAR TO DATE COST. THE TOTAL COST TO THE END OF MARCH, THE PRELIMINARY COST AND THESE ARE NOT ALL FINAL, BUT THESE WERE BILLS THAT WERE PROCESSED UP TO MARCH 31ST IS \$433,479. I HAVE A BREAKDOWN BY PRIMARY IF ANYBODY IS INTERESTED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW, YOU ARE GOING TO SHOW SOMETHING LIKE \$433,000. YOU ARE GOING TO SHOW ABOUT \$40,000 AS NOT BEING USED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I POINTED OUT THESE ARE NOT FINAL FIGURES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ACTUAL FIGURE WOULD BE BUT I WOULD GUESS THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY BE CLOSE TO, WELL LET'S SAY FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT \$440,000, \$445,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AREN'T THE 74-75 ESTIMATES BASED ON A PERCENTAGE INCREASE OF THE 73-74?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT I WOULD ALSO POINT OUT TO COMMITTEE MEMBERS THAT THE TERRITORIAL TREASURER POSITION WAS VACANT FOR THE BEST PART OF 1973/74 FISCAL YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WHAT DIFFERENCE WOULD THAT MAKE, MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO THE ACTUAL EXPENDITURES OR THE ESTIMATED ACTUALS FOR 73-74 LET'S SAY \$445,000, YOU WOULD HAVE TO ADD A FURTHER \$28,000 TO COVER SALARY AND WAGES OF THE TERRITORIAL TREASURER PLUS FRINGE BENEFITS. THAT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY, THAT WOULD PUT THE BUDGET APPROXIMATELY RIGHT ON. THE ACTUAL WOULD BE FAIRLY CLOSE TO THE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR 73-74.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE WHETHER HE KNEW THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE ABOUT \$40,000 DIFFERENCE WHEN THE SUPPLEMENTARY WAS ASKED FOR?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I KNEW THAT THERE WOULD BE A LAPSE IN BALANCE IN THIS PARTICULAR ESTABLISHMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL THEN WHY DIDN'T WE ASK FOR \$40,000 LESS IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN SUPPLEMENTARIES WE ONLY ASK FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS OR WE FIND OFFSET. WE NEVER VOTE A REDUCTION UNLESS WE USE IT FOR AN OFFSET FOR ANOTHER PROGRAM, WITHIN THE SAME VOTE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AND WE DIDN'T USE THAT AT THAT TIME?

MR. MILLER: NO, THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR ON ESTABLISHMENT 200?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN ESTABLISHMENT 201 THERE WAS A REQUEST FOR A BREAKDOWN INTO THE VARIOUS POLICIES THAT MAKE UP THE INSURANCE WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO THE \$46,000 OF PURCHASED INSURANCE. THE BREAKDOWN IS AS FOLLOWS:

BLANKET AUTOMOBILE POLICY	\$28,000
THE BLANKET AUTO FLEET IS	\$28,000,
FOR AIRSTRIP LIABILITY IT'S	\$3,000
THERE IS A COMMERCIAL BLANKET BOND OF	\$1,000,
WE HAVE BOILER AND MACHINERY AT	\$4,200.
WE HAVE NON-OWNED AIRCRAFT LIABILITY AT	\$600.
THERE IS GENERAL COMPREHENSIVE LIABILITY	AT \$6,400. AND OUR GROUP ACCIDENT POLICIES
AT	\$2,800.
TOTALLING	\$46,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THESE INSURANCES ARE WITH PRIVATE INSURANCE COMPANIES?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON ESTABLISHMENT 201?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HAVE WE EVER MADE A CLAIM AGAINST AN INSURANCE COMPANY ON THE AUTO FLEET BLANKET?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE MANY CLAIMS MADE UNDER THIS POLICY, EACH AND EVERY YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DO WE CARRY OUT THE REPAIRS OURSELVES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS COVERS THE THIRD PARTY LIABILITY. THIS IS WHERE A THIRD PARTY IS CLAIMING ON US. OUR OWN VEHICLES WE SELF INSURE THE REPAIRS. ALL WE REALLY CARRY

IS THE THIRD PARTY LIABILITY BOTH PROPERTY AND PERSONAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WHAT WOULD BE THE COMPREHENSIVE LIABILITY AGAIN AT \$6,400, WHAT WOULD THAT BE PURPOSED?

MR. MILLER: THIS COVERS, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE GENERAL LIABILITY OF THE GOVERNMENT IF SOMEBODY FALLS IN ONE OF OUR BUILDINGS AND IS HURT OR THIS TYPE OF THING.

ONE OF OUR PRINCIPLES, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN INSURANCE IS TO REDUCE AS FAR AS POSSIBLE, OUR INSURANCE COSTS WHERE WE HAVE SOME CONTROL OVER THE RISK. WHERE IT'S A POSSIBILITY OF A THIRD PARTY MAKING A MAJOR CLAIM ON US, WE DO COVER THIS BY BUYING INSURANCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DID TRY TO ASCERTAIN, WHY WAS THE DIFFERENCE, MR. CHAIRMAN, SO LARGE THIS YEAR BETWEEN \$67,000 AND \$131,000. I DIDN'T GET THE EXPLANATION AS TO WHY IT WOULD BE INCREASED TO THAT EXTENT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE REASON FOR THAT IS THE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION FOR THE PROVISION OF PAYMENT OF SUBSIDY TO PENSIONERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON ESTABLISHMENT 201?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS IN THE VOTE 2 AREA NOTED ON MY PAPERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. STUTTER WOULD YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CERTAINLY HAVE A FURTHER QUESTION IN RELATION TO ESTABLISHMENT 202. ELECTRICAL RATE EQUALIZATION. AND WE OF COURSE DETERMINED ON OUR FIRST REVIEW THROUGH THIS BUDGET MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THERE IS APPARENTLY IN THE FUND, SOME \$1,250,000 ON THAT RATE EQUALIZATION FUND. NOW, IT WOULD APPEAR FROM THE 1972-73 ACTUAL AND THROUGH THE 1973 ESTIMATES THAT WE SHOULD HAVE SOMEWHERE IN THE VICINITY OF \$900 AND SOME ODD THOUSAND DOLLARS OR IN THE AREA OF A MILLION DOLLARS SITTING AROUND IN THAT FUND NOT DOING WHAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO BE DOING AND THAT IS BEING RETURNED TO THE CONSUMERS OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY IN THE TERRITORY, EITHER RESIDENTIAL OR INDEED POSSIBLY ANTI-COMMERCIAL. I THINK AT THIS POINT

IN TIME, IN THIS BUDGET DISCUSSION, WE MUST HEAR FROM THE ADMINISTRATION WHAT THEIR PLANS ARE, MR. CHAIRMAN, AND SHOULD ANY RESOLUTIONS OF COUNCIL BE NECESSARY IN GETTING THESE FUNDS BACK TO THE PEOPLE WHICH THE PROGRAM IS ALL ABOUT, THAT WE SHOULD BE DOING THAT AT THIS POINT. AND I'M WONDERING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF MR. MILLER HAS ANY INFORMATION HE COULD GIVE US ALONG THIS LINE,

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I RECALL THE DEBATE THAT WENT ON AT THE TIME THAT WE DISCUSSED THIS ORIGINALLY, WE GAVE THE COUNCIL THE ASSURANCE AT THAT POINT THAT WE WERE REVIEWING THE PLAN AND THAT WE WOULD BE COMING FORWARD WITH A SESSIONAL PAPER AND POSSIBLY A SUPPLEMENTARY TO COVER AN EXTENSION OF THIS PLAN. NOW SINCE HAVING DEALT WITH COUNCIL ON THE SUBJECT LAST, I HAVE DONE SOME FURTHER WORK ON IT. I STILL HAVEN'T COMPLETED MY WORK, BUT GIVEN A DAY OR SO, I CAN HAVE THAT DONE, AND I THINK THEN WE CAN COME FORWARD WITH SOME PROPER PROPOSALS TO COUNCIL. THE IMPORTANT THING, AND I THINK I POINTED THIS OUT PREVIOUSLY, WAS THAT IT'S A VERY COMPLEX SUBJECT, WE'RE IN A VERY COMPLICATED PERIOD AT THIS PARTICULAR JUNCTURE WITH THE YUKON ELECTRIC FRANCHISE AREA OR WHITEHORSE AREA FRANCHISE COMING UP FOR THE FIRST PUBLIC UTILITY BOARD HEARING. WE HAVE THE POSSIBLE IMPACT AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE, COUNCILLOR MCKINNON, ASKED IF I COULD BRING FORWARD SOME INFORMATION ON FUEL COSTS WHICH I'M STILL WORKING ON. BUT IT'S A VERY VERY COMPLEX SUBJECT AND I FOR ONE AM QUITE PREPARED TO BRING FORWARD TO THIS COUNCIL A PROPOSAL WHICH WOULD ALLOW US TO SATISFY, I THINK COUNCIL, AT THIS POINT AND YET NOT TRAP US INTO A LONG TERM COMMITMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. MILLER CAN INDICATE HOW MUCH IN INTEREST HAS ACCRUED AS A RESULT OF THIS MONEY BEING IN THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND, MONEY THAT HAS TO BE RETURNED TO THE ENERGY CONSUMERS OF THE YUKON AND WHETHER THIS INTEREST IS ADDED TO THE AMOUNT SO THAT THE MONEY THAT IS CONSUMER'S MONEY IS GOING TO BE DISTRIBUTED INCLUDING THE INTEREST THAT HAS ACCRUED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE THE FIGURE WITH ME AS TO HOW MUCH HAS ACCRUED BUT I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THE \$1,250,000 INCLUDES THE INTEREST THAT HAS ACCRUED TO THE FUND ON A MONTH BY MONTH CALCULATED BASIS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOW THIS FOLLOWS THEN THAT WHY THEN IS THIS PARTICULAR ACCOUNT BEING TREATED IN THIS WAY, WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING BACK TO THE CONSUMER AND WHERE MONEY ON A DIFFERENT ACCOUNT IS NOT BEING TREATED THAT WAY.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN INDICATE THAT AT THE TIME THAT THIS EQUALIZATION FUND WAS PUT INTO EFFECT, THIS COUNCIL SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED THAT THESE FUNDS ACCRUE INTEREST, SECONDLY, THESE ARE FUNDS THAT ARE HANDED TO US IN TRUST BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO RUN AN ELECTRIC RATE EQUALIZATION PLAN AND THEY ARE FUNDS THAT COME FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY WE EXPECT THE INFORMATION THAT IS BEING COMPILED BY THE ADMINISTRATION VERY SHORTLY? THAT IS PRIOR TO ANY FINAL CONSENT OR OTHERWISE TO THE MAIN ESTIMATES?

MRS. VATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PROPOSAL THAT WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD TO COUNCIL REGARDING THE ELECTRIC RATE EQUALIZATION WILL BE SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE MAIN ESTIMATES, AND WE CAN ALWAYS VOTE A SUPPLEMENTARY IF NECESSARY. IT WILL BE A SEPARATE PROPOSAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY FROM THE CHAIR THAT I WILL HAVE PLENTY TO SAY TOO AT THE TIME THAT PROPOSAL COMES IN, BUT I MUST AGREE THAT IT DOES APPEAR TO BE OUTSIDE OF THE BUDGET. THAT IS A SEPARATE FUND AND THAT WE ARE HAVING A PAPER OR A PROPOSAL PUT FORWARD BY THE ADMINISTRATION. AS I SAY, I HAVE A WHOLE PILE HERE OF PAPERS THAT I WANT TO BRING IN AT THAT TIME THAT'S OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENT BUDGET.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PROBLEM IS THAT BOTH MYSELF AND CERTAINLY MOST PEOPLE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY ARE A LITTLE SICK AND TIRED OF HAVING THIS DELAYED ACTION. IT ALWAYS SEEMS THREE YEARS FROM THE TIME YOU EMBARK ON SOMETHING UNTIL YOU GET SOME RESULTS. I THINK EFFECTIVE THIS FISCAL YEAR, RETROACTIVE TO THE FIRST OF APRIL, THAT WE MUST FIRST OF ALL COME IN WITH A PROGRAM OF DISPENSING SOME OF THESE MONIES BACK TO THE CONSUMERS OF ELECTRICAL ENERGY AND IT MAY BE POSSIBLE THAT SOME COMMERCIAL USERS OF POWER COULD GET A BREAK OUT OF THIS AS WELL. I DON'T KNOW UNTIL I'VE SEEN THE PROPOSAL, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM RATHER QUICKLY BROUGHT BEFORE COUNCIL SO THAT WE CAN CONSIDER THEM.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, the proposals will be brought forward during this session within a matter of a couple of days.

Mr. Taylor: Good, that's all I want to know.

Mr. Chamberlist: I would, Mr. Chairman, like to indicate that we can't say that it's outside the budgetary items for the simple reason that this item is a budgetary item. The provision for payment of equalization to Yukon Electric Company Ltd. Northern Canada, the power commission residential customers, it's dealing, it's a budget item. So to say that we have to deal with it outside the budget items, I think would be incorrect. Certainly the amount of \$1,250,000 is outside the budget items, but the subject matter is within the budget items.

Mr. Chairman: Maybe I misunderstood, but I understood that the \$1,250,000 is the amount of money that we are talking about.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, that's correct and I think that the proposal is that we will bring a supplementary in with the proposal and that's the way we can deal with it, other than what's presently in the budget and the amount that we are going to deal with this time in this vote.

Mr. Miller: Yes, Mr. Chairman, the amount we're dealing with here is the plan as it now stands. To change that plan, we would propose to bring forward a supplementary estimate.

Mr. Chamberlist: Where is that extra money shown in our government accounts at this time?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, these are shown as trust funds.

Mr. Chamberlist: Have we got an accounting sheet here of trust funds in this budget item?

Mr. Miller: No, Mr. Chairman, we do not.

Mr. Chamberlist: Well I wonder if we can see what other trust funds are in the government's hands at this time? Perhaps the administration would bring forward so that council can be made aware of all the funds that are in trust and being held by the government.

Mr. Tanner: I think the executive member can give us that right from where he is sitting.

Mr. Miller: Well I can't give you the precise amounts Mr. Chairman, but I can give you some indication of what we carry in our trust account. Basically, there are not that many. We handle under our trust accounting the public administrator's estates. We handle funds that are held by the courts, the sheriff or any of the courts go into the trust accounts. The electric rate equalization of course. The workmen's compensation would be in the trust accounts. If you will just give me a moment, I think I can practically give them to you verbatim off the records.

Yes, Mr. Chairman, we have, and I'll start at the top of this list. We have a general trust account which includes such things as contractor's deposits and this type of thing. There's the public administrator, there's legal affairs. We have some welfare trust funds, funds held for welfare recipients. We have the workmen's compensation. We have some child welfare trust funds. There is a tax sale trust fund. We have some trust funds, monies that were provided by the Canadian Chapter of Pi Beta, what is it? There was a library trust fund of monies provided by the Canadian Chapter of one of the national organizations.

Mrs. Watson: I.O.D.E.?

Mr. Miller: No, we have an I.O.D.E. trust fund for incubators. We have some library trust deposits. We have an I.O.D.E. trust fund for radio-stereos. Scholarship fund for the Northwest Highways system. Yukon Labour Standards Trust, Yukon Voyageurs Trust, Public Housing Trust Funds, that's about it, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, why can't the administration provide two members of council with a list of these trust funds so that we have complete information of what the financial position of the territorial government is. If we were to raise any questions specifically on them then we have the opportunity to do so. Would it be difficult, Mr. Chairman, for Mr. Miller to give us this information?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, we can table a list of these funds.

Mr. Chamberlist: Thank you.

Mr. TAYLOR: JUST FOR CONFIRMATION AGAIN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE SAID THAT YOU WILL BE BRINGING IN PROPOSALS IN A COUPLE OF DAYS. IS THIS FOR SURE?

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, AS SOON AS I CAN GET SOME TIME AT MY DESK I WILL PROCEED TO PREPARE THE PAPER NECESSARY TO BRING FORWARD.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT WILL BE DURING THIS SESSION.

Mr. MILLER: YES DEFINITELY.

Mr. TAYLOR: I SHOULD HOPE SO, THERE IS A \$1,000,000.00 IN THERE Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT BELONGS TO THE PEOPLE AND SHOULD BE GOTTEN BACK TO THE PEOPLE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT OUTSTANDING QUESTION Mr. MILLER, UNLESS YOU COUNCILLOR TAYLOR WISH TO RESUME THE CHAIR.

Mr. TAYLOR: YES I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THOSE ARE THE FINAL ITEMS IN VOTE 2 THAT I HAD NOTICED UNLESS OTHER MEMBERS HAVE QUESTIONS THAT THEY HAVE. THE NEXT AREA WAS IN VOTE 3. WE ARE JUST CLEARING UP OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WE ARE USING A DIFFERENT FORMULA THEN. I THOUGHT Mr. CHAIRMAN WAS GOING THROUGH EACH VOTE AGAIN AND THEN ASK IF WE WERE CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS JUST AN UPDATE ON QUESTIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ASKED. THIS IS THE FORMAT WE HAVE ALWAYS USED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: YOU DIDN'T START THAT WAY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WE HADN'T DONE VOTE 1 BEFORE SO WE COMPLETED VOTE 1 AND ARE NOW STARTING BACK AND GETTING SOME ANSWERS TO WHAT QUESTIONS WE CAN. WE'RE GOING BACK THROUGH VOTE BY VOTE. NOW VOTE 3.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: LET'S CLEAR THE VOTE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ARE YOU CLEAR ON VOTE 2?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. MILLER: ON VOTE 3 A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS ON EDUCATION?

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT. THE FIRST ONES WERE IN THE CAPITAL AREA, PAGE 58 A. Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A QUESTION RELATING TO ESTABLISHMENT 2301 - MISCELLANEOUS SCHOOL EQUIPMENT. THE INFORMATION THAT WE HAD BACK WAS \$30,000.00 WAS BROKEN DOWN AS FOLLOWS: SCHOOL FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT FOR STUDENTS' AND TEACHERS' DESKS, STUDENT LOCKERS, STUDY TABLES, AUDIO VISUAL EQUIPMENT, \$15,000.00. FOR SPORTS EQUIPMENT, PHYSICAL EDUCATION EQUIPMENT, PING PONG TABLES, GYM MATS, PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT, \$10,000.00. THERE WAS A REPLACEMENT OF BLACKOUT DRAPES FOR VARIOUS AUDIO VISUAL CLASSROOMS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000.00.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M LOOKING FOR MONEY IN THIS VOTE TO FIND THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM. HAVE WE BEEN SUPPLIED WITH A SESSIONAL PAPER WHILE I WAS AWAY ON THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM?

Mrs. WATSON: NO, Mr. CHAIRMAN, YOU HAVE NOT BEEN SUPPLIED WITH A SESSIONAL PAPER BECAUSE THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM THAT'S DETAILED IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES IN THE EXPENDITURE FOR THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IS AT THE EXISTING LEVEL. IT'S AT THE EXISTING LEVEL, THE FUNDING THAT IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN THE KINDERGARTENS THE WAY WE HAVE THEM TODAY. THE PROPOSAL WHICH WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD WILL BE A NEW PROPOSAL FOR A NEW KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM, AND ALSO AT THE SAME TIME WE WILL BRING FORWARD OUR MEANS WHEREBY WE HOPE TO RAISE SOME OF THE FUNDING TO PROVIDE FOR THE NEW PROGRAMS. IF THE NEW PROGRAM IS ADOPTED, WE HAVE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION THE FUNDS THAT ARE BEING PRESENTLY VOTED IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES FOR THE EXISTING KINDERGARTEN PROGRAMS. REALLY, THE PROPOSAL HAS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO DO WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I BEG TO DIFFER, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER ARE YOU SPEAKING NOW ON THE O & M? I WONDER IF WE COULD CLEAR CAPITAL FIRST AND THEN WE WILL BE GOING TO O & M.

Mr. Chamberlist: Oh yes, I thought that was clear. Of course.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, there was one further item and that was Establishment 2316. Again it was a request for a breakdown. The information that I have is of the \$34,000.00, \$7,735.00 was for the replacement of worn or outdated equipment; \$22,995.00 was for purchase of new equipment to expand or update existing programs; \$3,270.00 was for the purchase of equipment for new programs. That's all the questions I had on the capital end, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman: Did we have an answer to the question from the Chair as to where that portable pool was to go this year?

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, this has not been determined. We will accept applications from communities who desire to have the pool.

Mr. Chairman: Clear on capital?

Some Honourable Members: Clear.

Mr. Chairman: We will go to O & M, page 14.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, the first question that I have is Establishment 321 on page 17 in connection with the Vocational School. Mr. Chairman, there was a request that we review the salaries, wages and fringe benefits and the relationship thereof. We have done that and we have found that the figures that we have are the correct figures. The reason that they don't tie in exactly to the 7% is because we have people on staff on a contractual arrangement. Those people do not qualify for certain fringe benefits such as superannuation, some of the more costly fringe benefits I might add. We do deduct the normal statutory requirements such as U.I.C., C.P.P. and this type of thing.

Mr. Chamberlist: It's most difficult to believe a difference of \$240,000.00 in salaries and wages and only \$2,000.00 difference in fringe benefits.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, this is correct. I think if you look at the reason for the increase of \$240,000.00, a major portion of that are casual, contractual employees who are running courses in the neighbourhood of eight

to ten weeks or whatever the length of the course is. They are not public servants in the sense of having qualified to pay superannuation and this type of thing. When you talk about U.I.C. and C.P.P. you are talking of very, very low fringe benefit costs.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, we are being asked to approve salaries and wages, and now we are being told that there are contracts involved. What do the contracts for these people involve? What type of work are they performing?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, when I refer to contract I should say I'm referring to a contractual arrangement. It is not a true contract. We have an employer - employee relationship so we pay them out of payroll, but they are term employees. They are there to run a specific course for a specific period of time.

Mr. Chamberlist: What - this is what I am trying - perhaps if I can get a straight answer to a straight question, I wouldn't have to repeat myself so often. I want to know, Mr. Chairman, where this \$240,000.00 being shown difference for salaries and wages in accepting, Mr. Chairman, what Mr. Miller says that they are short-term employees who have a contractual relationship for a period of weeks. What is the nature of that work so at least I can get - do a little figuring to ascertain how that \$240,000.00 is arrived at.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I would gladly answer that question. You are looking at page 21 when you look at the Vocational School Manpower. You are looking at community instructors for the Blake program which I explained when we went through the supplementary budget. We are asking for 17 man-years there; that is really 24 people who will be providing instruction for a specific term during the next year. We are also - that 17 man-years - we are asking for an increase of 4.5 for the Housing Education Program which is part of the Public Housing Scheme. That makes a total of an increase in 22.5 man-years. You are looking at really 24 people for those 17 man-years. They will be in the community centres.

Mr. Chamberlist: How much does each one of these people earn during one period of time? I'm trying to tie in \$240,000.00, and I don't seem to be able to get that.

Mr. STUTTER: THEY ARE MAN-YEARS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I KNOW. I WANT TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH MONEY THESE PEOPLE ARE EARNING.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT ALSO INCLUDES THE REST OF THE PEOPLE ON THE STAFF. YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE NORMAL INCREASE THAT THE REST OF THE PEOPLE WOULD RECEIVE. IF YOU WANT THE INFORMATION ON THE RATE OF SALARIES, I MAY JUST HAVE IT WITH ME. I WILL CHECK. WHAT THE COMMUNITY INSTRUCTORS ARE RECEIVING - I WILL BE ABLE TO SUPPLY THAT. THEY RECEIVED THIS YEAR 185 INSTRUCTIONAL DAYS, AND THEY RECEIVED \$3.88 AN HOUR AND THEY WORK A 7 1/2 DAY WEEK - A 6 1/2 DAY WEEK. 6 1/2 DAYS RIGHT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH IS ESTIMATED THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE EARNING. I WANT TO TRY AND RELATE IT TO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS SUGGESTED IS REQUIRED.

Mrs. WATSON: YOU MAY GO FIGURE IT OUT YOURSELF.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S NOT FOR ME TO FIGURE OUT MYSELF. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SO AWARE OF HER SITUATION AND FIGURES, SURELY SHE HAS GOT THE FIGURES AVAILABLE. THE POINT THAT I AM MAKING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THIS. SURMISING THAT THERE IS A NORMAL INCREASE IN WAGES AND SALARIES WITHOUT THESE ADDITIONAL PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO BE EMPLOYED, I CAN SEE THAT THERE MIGHT BE SOME JUSTIFICATION FOR THE DIFFERENCE IN FRINGE BENEFITS BETWEEN \$35,700.00, AND \$37,800.00. IT SEEMS A LITTLE BIT SHORT. IF THERE ARE NORMAL FRINGE BENEFITS OF SOME DESCRIPTION THAT ARE GOING TO BE ADDED TO THE OTHER \$240,000.00 OR SO, THERE MUST BE SOME AMOUNT OF MONEY. I'M JUST TRYING TO FIND OUT WHAT ARE THE AMOUNTS OF MONEY THAT ARE INVOLVED. I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO FIND THIS OUT FOR TEN MINUTES. SOMEBODY SHOULD HAVE A FIGURE OF SOME DESCRIPTION.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT INFORMATION WASN'T ASKED FOR WHEN WE WENT THROUGH THE BUDGET THE LAST TIME.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: OH YES I DID.

Mrs. WATSON: NO, THAT WAS NOT. YOU ASKED TO REVIEW THE FRINGE BENEFITS. DO YOU WANT IT BROKEN DOWN WHAT WE ESTIMATE IT TO BE? WELL I SUPPOSE WE CAN DO THAT TOO. THEY ARE PAID \$3.82 AN HOUR AND THEY ARE WORKING FOR 185

INSTRUCTIONAL DAYS. THEY WORK 3 HOURS A DAY. THERE ARE 24 PEOPLE DOING THIS.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE QUESTION THAT WAS ORIGINALLY ASKED AND, YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU FIRST LOOK AT IT, WAS A VERY LEGITIMATE ONE. THE QUESTION WAS WHY WERE SALARIES GOING UP AND FRINGE BENEFITS NOT GOING UP. I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST ASKED THE TREASURER TO REVIEW IT. HE HAS COME BACK AND SAID HE HAS REVIEWED IT AND AS FAR AS HE CAN DETERMINE, THERE IS NOTHING INCORRECT ABOUT IT. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARNACKS-KLUANE HAS GONE ONE STEP FURTHER AND SAID THIS IS THE ACTUAL DETAILS OF IT. THESE ARE THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE. SURE THAT SATISFIES THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THE INFORMATION SHOWN IN THE BUDGET IS CORRECT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE MY - THE HONOURABLE MEMBER CAN LOOK AT IT IF HE LIKES - I HAVE MY SHEET MARKED; BOTH THOSE ITEMS, SALARIES AND WAGES AND THE FRINGE BENEFITS BECAUSE I ASK THAT THEY BOTH BE RELATED TO EACH OTHER. THIS IS WHAT I AM TRYING TO ASCERTAIN. I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT THE MONEY IS NOT BEING SPENT. I JUST WANT TO REALLY FIND OUT HOW THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FIGURE OF \$506,000.00 AND \$745,000.00 COMES ABOUT IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE FRINGE BENEFITS ARE \$2,000.00 DIFFERENCE. THERE MUST BE FRINGE BENEFITS INCLUDING U.I.C. AND C.P.P. FOR PERSON WHO ARE ON A CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP AS EMPLOYEES FOR NINE MONTHS. THERE MUST BE SOME FRINGE BENEFITS INVOLVED.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE 22.5 MAN-YEARS INCREASE ARE ALL TERM EMPLOYEES; NONE OF THEM ARE PERMANENT EMPLOYEES. NONE OF THEM WOULD BE RECEIVING THE EXCESS OF FRINGE BENEFITS WHICH NORMALLY ACCRUE TO AN EMPLOYEE. THIS IS WHY THERE IS A DIFFERENCE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T DOUBT THIS. I'M SIMPLY TRYING TO POINT OUT THAT BETWEEN \$35,000.00 AND \$37,000.00 THAT THERE IS INSUFFICIENT IN THERE FOR FRINGE BENEFITS IF THE OTHER 24 PEOPLE WHO WERE INVOLVED WERE ALSO BEING CONSIDERATION FOR U.I.C. AND C.P.P. WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS WHAT IS THE FIGURE FOR THE AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURE FOR THESE EXTRA PEOPLE?

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE TREASURER HAS GOT THE FIGURES FOR THIS.

Mr. MILLER: No, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY I DON'T.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: PERHAPS WE CAN BRING THAT BACK TOMORROW THEN.

Mr. TANNER: LET'S COME BACK TO THAT LATER ON.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: OKAY. WE WILL COME BACK TO THAT TOMORROW.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, ARE YOU CLEAR?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: YELL WAIT. WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ON THAT?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THERE IS A QUESTION ON 316.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A FURTHER QUESTION ON 321 ON MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES - GENERAL. THERE WERE QUESTIONS RAISED THERE. WHY THE INCREASE OF \$25,000.00? THE INFORMATION THAT I HAVE IS THAT MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES FOR THE COMMUNITY COURSES, THE BLAKE PROGRAM AND MANAGEMENT FOR ARTS AND CRAFTS IN THE COMMUNITY AND THE BLAKE PROGRAM, WERE, OF COURSE, A SUPPLEMENTARY ITEM IN 1973-74.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: NOW I DID ASK TWO OTHER QUESTIONS RE THE HOSPITALIZATION AND INSURANCE SERVICES, AND ALSO THE TRAVEL AND RELOCATION COSTS FOR THE GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES. ONE OF THEM - WHY ALL OF A SUDDEN WE HAVE HOSPITALIZATION AND INSURANCE SERVICES FOR \$6,000.00 WHEN WE DIDN'T HAVE IT IN ANY PREVIOUS YEARS, AND THESE THINGS ARE USUALLY TAKEN CARE OF NOW, UNDER BOTH OUR HOSPITALIZATION PLANS. THE OTHER ONE WAS WHY THE INCREASE? I THINK THERE WAS AN ANSWER GIVEN. IT WAS FOR THESE EXTRA PEOPLE, I THINK. I'M SATISFIED WITH THAT ANSWER. - BUT THE HOSPITALIZATION AND INSURANCE SERVICES ONE - - -

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE ANSWER WAS GIVEN FOR THE HOSPITALIZATION INSURANCE SERVICES - NOT HOSPITALIZATION, IT'S INSURANCE THAT WE HAVE TO PAY, THAT WE HAVE TO COVER UNDER OUR AGREEMENT WITH MANPOWER. THERE WAS A FURTHER QUESTION, Mr. CHAIRMAN, UNDER MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES DIETARY WHY IT WENT UP \$4,000.00. THE UNDERSTANDING THAT WE HAD WAS THAT FOOD PRICES ARE INCREASING. THAT'S ALL THE OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS I HAVE ON THAT MATTER, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: DID WE SETTLE THE PROBLEM OF SUBSISTANCE AND MAINTENANCE - THAT \$309,000.00 INCREASE?

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE WE DID. SUBSISTANCE AND MAINTENANCE TO MY KNOWLEDGE THAT WAS CLEARED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: OKAY, APPARENTLY IN 319 WE HAD A BREAKDOWN PROPOSEDLY COMING FROM RECREATION AND AMATEUR SPORTS. WHEN WILL THIS BE FORTHCOMING?

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ASKED FOR THAT TO BE PREPARED. THAT IS FOR THE 1973-74 YEAR. I'VE ASKED THAT TO BE PREPARED AND I WILL ALSO TABLE TOMORROW THE GRANTS THAT WERE EXTENDED FOR THIS YEAR OR THE COMMITMENTS THAT WERE MADE FOR THIS YEAR. THAT WILL BE FORTHCOMING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST HAD A QUESTION RELATING TO ESTABLISHMENT 316, KINDERGARTENS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I TAKE THE POSITION THAT WE CANNOT DEAL WITH KINDERGARTENS UNLESS WE DEAL WITH KINDERGARTEN COMPLETELY. THIS IS WHY I ASKED, AND I THOUGHT I GOT THE ASSURANCE, THAT WE WOULD GET THE PAPER SO THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO DEAL WITH IT AT THE SAME TIME. AS I RECALL THAT WAS THE ASSURANCE THAT WAS GIVEN, THAT WE WOULD GET THIS PAPER. MY POSITION IS CLEAR THAT THERE IS NO REASON TO HAVE TO TAX ANYMORE THAN WHAT WE TAX NOW. THE COMMITMENT THAT WAS MADE BY BOTH COUNCILLOR WATSON AND MYSELF THAT THERE WOULD BE NO FURTHER TAXES OF ANY DESCRIPTION FOR THIS CURRENT YEAR ON NEW PROGRAMS. I SUBMIT THAT THIS IS NOT A NEW PROGRAM. IT'S A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM THAT IS ALREADY, AND ALREADY HAS BEEN, IN THE BUDGET. WE HAVE TO FIND A WAY WITHIN THE MEANS OF THIS BUDGET TO REQUIRE - TO FIND THE FUNDS TO REQUIRE A COMPLETE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM. Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD EVEN CONSIDER THIS BUDGET AND THIS ITEM, AND PASS IT UNTIL WE HAVE HAD THE KINDERGARTEN - EITHER LEGISLATIVE RETURN OR SESSIONAL PAPER THAT HAS BEEN PROMISED TO US SO THAT WE CAN DEAL WITH BOTH ITEMS AT THE SAME TIME. THIS IS THE FEELING THAT I HAVE.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE ESTABLISHMENT 316 IN THE EDUCATION VOTE PROVIDES FOR FUNDS TO PAY KINDERGARTEN INSTRUCTORS' SALARIES, AND IT ALSO PROVIDES FUNDS TO BUY SUPPLIES AND MINIMAL EQUIPMENT FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAMS. THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IS NOT A PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. THEREFORE, WHEN THE MAIN ESTIMATES WERE PREPARED, THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AS

Mrs. WATSON: THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IS NOT A PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. WHEN THE MAIN ESTIMATE WERE PREPARED THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AS IT EXISTS NOW WAS BUDGETED FOR IN THE MAIN ESTIMATE. I WILL BRING FORTH A PAPER PROPOSING A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM BEING PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM AS WAS REQUESTED AND AS WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION WHEN THEY DID THEIR SURVEY.

ALMOST 100% OF THE BRIEFS THAT WERE PRESENTED TO COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION WERE THAT KINDERGARTEN SHOULD BECOME PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. AND THAT THEY SHOULD HAVE FULLY QUALIFIED KINDERGARTEN TEACHERS OPERATING THESE KINDERGARTENS WITHIN THE SCHOOL SYSTEM.

THEREFORE I WASN'T PRESUMPTUOUS ENOUGH TO THINK THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WOULD ACCEPT THIS EXTRA EXPENDITURE THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED, ADDING THE KINDERGARTEN INTO THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. IF WE WANT TO CHANGE IT AND PUT IT INTO THE SCHOOL SYSTEM WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO IT AT CONSIDERABLE EXTRA EXPENSE. THAT IS WHY PART OF THE PROPOSAL WILL BE A TAXATION BILL ON TOBACCO TO COVER SOME OF THE COST OF THE EXTENDED PROGRAM. IT WILL BE THE DECISION OF THIS COUNCIL WHETHER THEY LEAVE THE KINDERGARTEN AS IT IS NOW IN THE MAIN ESTIMATE OR WHETHER THEY EXPAND IT TO THE EXTENT THAT WILL BE OUTLINED IN THE PAPER.

IF THEY DECIDE TO GO WITH MAKING THE KINDERGARTEN PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM THEN THE FUNDS THAT ARE VOTED TODAY IN THE MAIN ESTIMATE WILL BE CREDITED TO THE EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM. THAT IS WHY ESTABLISHMENT 316 REALLY CAN BE APPROVED AT THE EXISTING LEVEL AT THIS TIME. THEN THE NEW PROGRAM CAN BE DEALT WITH AS A NEW PROGRAM COMPLETELY.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK THAT WE CAN ACCEPT THAT BECAUSE THERE ARE THREE THINGS THAT ARE INVOLVED NOW WHEN WE ARE DEALING WITH KINDERGARTEN. WE HAVE A SCHOOL ORDINANCE THAT SHOULD BE DEALT WITH. WE HAVE THE KINDERGARTEN ITEM THAT IS IN THE MAIN ESTIMATE NOW AND WE HAVE A SESSIONAL PAPER THAT WE ARE WALKING IN THE DARK. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IS IN THERE.

IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WOULD PRODUCE THE SESSIONAL PAPER THEN

MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL CAN WEIGH THE SITUATION AND SAY WHETHER OR NOT IT SHOULD BE PART OF THE MAIN ESTIMATE. WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD FIND THE FUNDS WITHIN THE EXISTING EDUCATIONAL VOTE.

IF WE DON'T DO THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE FORCED TO SAY WE ARE AGAINST KINDERGARTEN UNLESS WE TAX ON TOBACCO. THIS IS WHAT IS BEING ASKED OF US. AN ATTEMPT IS BEING MADE TO FORCE US UP AGAINST THE WALL. TO AGREE TO TAXATION JUST BECAUSE IT WOULD BE IMPROPER FOR US TO DISAGREE THAT THERE IS A NECESSITY FOR FULL KINDERGARTEN ARRANGEMENTS TO BE INCORPORATED WITHIN THE EDUCATION SYSTEM.

IT IS NOT THE PROPER WAY TO DO THIS. IT IS SIMPLY UNFAIR TO SAY, "WELL," AND I KNOW THIS IS PROBABLY THE THINKING I'M AM ONLY QUESTIMATING AT THIS TIME, "THEY HAVE TO GO WITH US BECAUSE HOW CAN THEY BE AGAINST KINDERGARTEN." THIS IS THE SITUATION THAT EVERY MEMBER OF THIS COUNCIL FINDS HIMSELF IN. HE HAS TO SUPPORT KINDERGARTEN AND BY THAT WAY SUPPORTING TAXATION. OR HE HAS TO BE OPPOSED TO TAXATION AND BY THAT WAY OPPOSING THE EXPANSION OF THE KINDERGARTEN SERVICES. IT IS TOTALLY IMPROPER FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER TO COME FORTH AND PLACE THIS COUNCIL IN THAT POSITION. THE ONLY WAY THAT COUNCIL MEMBERS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, CAN PROPERLY ASSESS THE SITUATION IS TO HAVE ALL THE FACTS BEFORE THEM.

WE ARE BEING ASKED NOW TO PASS WHAT IS IN THIS MAIN ESTIMATE FOR KINDERGARTEN AND THEN WAIT AT THE PLEASURE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AS TO WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO TABLE THE SESSIONAL PAPER ON KINDERGARTENS. THAT, Mr. CHAIRMAN, IS QUITE IMPROPER. I WOULD ASK MEMBERS TO SUPPORT THE PRINCIPAL THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE EVERYTHING AT OUR FINGERTIPS SO THAT WE KNOW WHETHER TO AGREE WITH IT OR NOT.

I AM NOT GOING TO SAY AT THIS TIME THAT IT IS NOT A SOUND PROPOSITION THAT IS BEING PUT FORWARD. I CAN'T SAY WHETHER IT IS A BAD PROPOSITION THAT IS BEING PUT FORWARD. I CAN'T SAY WHETHER IT IS SOUND TO TAX TOBACCO FOR THIS PARTICULAR PURPOSE. I CAN'T SAY WHETHER IT IS NOT SOUND TO TAX TOBACCO FOR THIS PARTICULAR PURPOSE. I HAVEN'T GOT ANYTHING HERE TO MAKE MY MIND UP ON. I THINK THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL SHOULD BE GIVEN THE RIGHT TO SEE WHAT IS THE SESSIONAL PAPERS.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, YOU ARE NOT BEING ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION AT THIS TIME. YOU ARE ASKED TO FUND THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AT THE ONGOING LEVEL. SURELY THERE WOULDN'T BE A MEMBER HERE WHO DOESN'T AGREE THAT AT LEAST A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM SHOULDN'T BE FUNDED AT THE EXISTING LEVEL,

THAT IS ALL WE ARE ASKING. MANY PEOPLE FIND OUR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AS IT EXISTS TODAY QUITE ADEQUATE. THEY DON'T WANT IT TO BECOME PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. THIS WILL BE A DECISION THAT THE COUNCIL WILL HAVE TO MAKE WHEN THE PROPOSAL IS BROUGHT FORWARD. RIGHT NOW, SINCE 1968 YOU HAVE BEEN FUNDING, THE COUNCIL HAS BEEN FUNDING, THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IN THE MANNER WHICH WE ARE PROPOSING IN OUR MAIN ESTIMATE. YOU MAY DECIDE TO CONTINUE WITH THE KINDERGARTEN AS IT EXISTS IN THE MAIN ESTIMATE. BUT LETS MAKE PROVISION FOR THAT FUNDING THERE.

ALSO, THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION, WHEN THEY MADE THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO HAVE THE KINDERGARTEN PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM, WERE VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE COST OF THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AS IT EXISTS TODAY. IT WAS AT THAT TIME THAT THEY RECOMMENDED THAT WHEN THE PROPOSAL WAS BROUGHT FORWARD A PROPOSAL BY WHICH THE FUNDS COULD BE PROVIDED TO PAY FOR THIS PROGRAM SHOULD ALSO BE BROUGHT FORWARD AT THE SAME TIME.

THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION WERE QUITE AWARE OF THE EXISTING EDUCATION BUDGET. THERE WERE NOT ADEQUATE FUNDS IN THE EXISTING BUDGET TO PAY FOR THE TYPE OF KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM THAT WILL BE PROPOSED IN THE SESSIONAL PAPER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT IS A LEGISLATIVE TRAP. WE HAVE GOT TO FACE UP TO IT. IT IS ALRIGHT TO SAY THAT YOU APPROVE WHAT YOU'VE GOT NOW BECAUSE IT IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS LAST YEAR. WE HAVE BEEN FORWARDED IN THE OPENING ADDRESS BY THE COMMISSIONER THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE A PROPOSED ATTEMPT TO TAX ON TOBACCO FOR THE PURPOSE OF HAVING MORE FUNDS FOR KINDERGARTEN. MY POSITION THAT I AM TAKING NOW IS THAT WHEN, BECAUSE KINDERGARTEN IS TO BE PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM THAT FUNDS SHOULD BE FOUND IN THE EDUCATION VOTE.

IT SEEMS TO ME MOST IMPROPER TO SUGGEST THAT NEVER MIND ABOUT WHAT MIGHT COME LATER, ACCEPT WHAT YOU'VE GOT NOW. AS I'VE ALREADY SAID THAT WE COULD EASILY DO THAT IF WE WEREN'T FORWARDED. BUT WE HAVE BEEN WARNED AND I THINK THAT IT WOULD BE VERY BAD INDEED FOR COUNCIL, KNOWING NOW THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE AN ATTEMPT TO TAX FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANDING THE KINDERGARTEN SYSTEM, FOR US TO SAY, "ALRIGHT WE WILL GO ALONG WITH WHAT WE'VE GOT NOW WITHOUT LOOKING INTO EVERYTHING THAT IS CONCERNED."

I WOULD LIKE TO ASCERTAIN, Mr. CHAIRMAN, FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WHAT IS THE SPECIFIC OBJECTION TO SUPPLYING THE SESSIONAL PAPER AT THIS TIME. SO THAT WE CAN AT LEAST ASCERTAIN THE WHY'S AND WHEREFORE'S OF THE PROGRAM. AND HOW MUCH IT IS GOING TO BE NEEDED FOR THE EXPANDING PROGRAM, ESPECIALLY IF SHE SAYS WHATEVER WILL BE NEEDED. THE \$101,527,000 WOULD BE DEDUCTED FROM IT AND THAT THE BALANCE WOULD BE SIMPLY WHAT IS REQUIRED. WE HAVEN'T GOT THOSE FIGURES UNTIL WE GET THE SESSIONAL PAPER WHICH I TAKE IT WOULD GIVE US ALL THIS INFORMATION.

ONCE WE'VE GOT THAT IT MIGHT BE THAT WE ONLY NEED TO FIND ANOTHER \$100,000. AS A RESULT WE COULD LOOK AT THE EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM AND SEE WHETHER OR NOT IT CAN BE GOT OUT OF THE EDUCATION VOTE WITHOUT HAVING ONCE MORE TO BURDEN THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WITH ANOTHER TAX. THAT IS NOT UNREASONABLE TO ASK AND I CAN'T SEE THE OBJECTION TO IT COMING FORWARD.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT SAYING THAT WE SHOULD ACCEPT A PROPOSAL TO MAKE THE KINDERGARTEN A PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. THAT IS GOING TO BE THE DECISION THAT THIS COUNCIL WILL HAVE TO MAKE. I'M ALSO SAYING THAT THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM THAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW QUITE ADEQUATE. A LOT OF YOU MAY FEEL THAT IT IS QUITE ADEQUATE. I DO FEEL, QUITE STRONGLY, THAT WE SHOULD NOT LOWER THE STANDARDS THAT WE HAVE ESTABLISHED FOR OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM WITHIN THE TERRITORY IN ORDER TO ACCOMODATE A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AS PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM.

I THINK THAT IT IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT TO SAY THAT WE WILL LOOK AT THE REST OF THE EDUCATION VOTE TO SEE IF WE CAN FIND MONEY FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM. IF THE EDUCATION VOTE REFLECTS THE STANDARD OF EDUCATION IN

OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM, WHICH I DO NOT THINK SHOULD BE LOWERED, AND I THINK THAT IT WOULD BE IT WOULD BE A RETROGRATE STEP TO TRY TO TAKE MONEY, POSSIBLY FROM STAFFING, FROM OUR SCHOOLS TO MAKE THE KINDERGARTEN PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. I THINK THIS WOULD BE VERY WRONG. THEREFORE YOU HAVE TO TREAT YOUR KINDERGARTEN AS IT EXISTS TODAY. THE PROPOSAL WILL BE COMING FORWARD, IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS VOTE AT THIS TIME.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I CAN'T REALLY RECOGNIZE WHAT THE HONORABLE MEMBER IS SAYING AT THIS TIME. THE OTHER DAY, WEDNESDAY, SHE MADE REFERENCE THAT I WAS NICELY PADDING THE BUDGET OF THE WELFARE DEPARTMENT. I'M NOT GOING TO SUGGEST THAT SHE HAS BEEN PADDING THE BUDGET OF THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE PROPER OF ANYBODY TO SAY. WE ALL TRY AND GET THE BEST POSSIBLE FOR THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THE GOVERNMENT HAS TO SPEND. THE QUESTION IS ARE WE GETTING THE BEST POSSIBLE IF WE DON'T KNOW WHAT IS REQUIRED. AND WE DON'T KNOW THE BACKGROUND, WE DON'T KNOW WHY THE SUGGESTION THAT THE KINDERGARTEN SHOULD BE EXPANDED. IN WHAT AREAS IT SHOULD BE EXPANDED AND HOW MUCH MONEY IS REQUIRED? WE ARE PLACED WITH TRYING TO GET AN AGREEMENT TO PASS WHAT IS EXISTING BY WAY OF \$101,000 ODD DOLLARS IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES. NOW ON THE OFF CHANCE THAT THE COUNCIL MAY OR MAY NOT GO ALONG WITH A FULL KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM.

ONCE WE PASS THIS ESTIMATE AND ONCE WE'VE SAID THAT THE EDUCATION VOTE IS SATISFACTORY WE HAVE NO WAY OF GOING BACK AND THEN SAYING THAT THIS MONEY COULD HAVE BEEN FOUND IN THAT PARTICULAR VOTE. THIS IS WHY, MR. CHAIRMAN, I FEEL THAT OTHER MEMBERS SHOULD INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE IN AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH THE SUGGESTION THAT I HAVE MADE THAT AT LEAST THE SESSIONAL PAPER COME FORWARD SO THAT WE KNOW WHAT WE ARE GOING TO BE FACED WITH AND FOR WHAT REASON WE ARE GOING TO BE ASKED TO SEEK EXTRA TAXES.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I'D LIKE TO HAVE THE FUNDS FOR THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM, FOR THE KINDERGARTENS TO BECOME PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM CANNOT BE FOUND IN THE

EDUCATION VOTE. IN THE REST OF THE EDUCATION VOTE. WE REQUIRE THE FUNDING. WE WOULDN'T BE ASKING FOR MONEY FOR THE EDUCATION FOR OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM IF WE DIDN'T NEED IT.

NOW, I COULD BE ACCUSED OF TRYING TO SORT OF BACK THE COUNCILLORS AGAINST THE WALL IF WE DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR THE KINDERGARTENS IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES AND I CAME FORWARD WITH A PROPOSAL AND SAID O.K. IF YOU WANT KINDERGARTENS YOU'VE GOT TO RAISE SO MUCH MONEY THROUGH THE TOBACCO TAX. BUT INSTEAD, WE'VE PUT THE KINDERGARTEN IN AS AN EXISTING LEVEL SO IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS TURN DOWN THE PROPOSAL, WE STILL HAVE KINDERGARTENS AT THE SAME LEVEL. ALL THE FUNDS THAT ARE ASKED FOR IN THE EDUCATION VOTE FOR THE OTHER DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM ARE CERTAINLY REQUIRED. THERE IS JUST NO WAY YOU CAN FIND FUNDS FOR MAKING KINDERGARTENS PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM WITHIN THE EDUCATION VOTE AS IT IS NOW.

I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT IS TRUE.

Mr. Tanner: A PROPOSITION BROUGHT FORWARD BY THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF EDUCATION IS, TO MY MIND, A VERY REASONABLE ONE. IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A NEW PROGRAM, IF YOU'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING QUITE ENTIRELY DIFFERENT FROM WHAT HAS BEEN ONGOING FOR THE PAST SIX OR EIGHT YEARS, THEN IT SEEMS REASONABLE TO KEEP WHAT YOU'VE GOT AND THEN GO ON IF YOU WANT TO. THAT'S THE DECISION OF COUNCIL AND I CAN SEE NO PROBLEM AT ALL ANDY ANY COUNCILLOR GOING ALONG WITH THE \$101,000 THAT IS BEING ASKED FOR THE PRESENT KINDERGARTEN SYSTEM.

Mr. Chamberlist: WHAT COUNCILLOR WATSON HAS INDICATED IS THAT SHE WOULDN'T ASK FOR ANY MONEY IN THE EDUCATION SYSTEM UNLESS IT WAS REQUIRED. THIS IS JUST EXACTLY THE SAME AS THE GOVERNMENT SAYING "DON'T BOTHER ABOUT LOOKING AT THE BUDGET AT ALL BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, EVERYTHING THAT WAS ASKED FOR IS REQUIRED. OTHERWISE WE WOULDN'T ASK FOR IT." IT BECOMES A RIDICULOUS SITUATION WHERE IT CAN BE SAID THAT EVERYTHING THAT WAS ASKED WAS REQUIRED. THIS MAY BE BUT WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO LEAVE OURSELVES IN A POSITION OF HAVING TO TAX THE PEOPLE AGAIN FOR SOMETHING THAT WE CAN'T FIND IN THE EXISTING VOTE SO I'M JUST SUGGESTING THAT IF SHE BRINGS THE SESSIONAL PAPER FORWARD, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT IT. IT MIGHT BE THAT SHE'S RIGHT, SURELY YOU CAN'T DEAL WITH IT UNLESS YOU HAVE THE SESSIONAL

PAPER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I SUGGEST THAT WE DEAL WITH ANY OTHER OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS THAT ARE IN THE EDUCATION BUDGET FIRST SO THAT WE LEAVE THIS TO THE LAST ITEM?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I THINK WE HAVE,

MR. MCKINNON: HAS IT BEEN INDICATED WHEN THE SESSIONAL PAPER WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE ON THE KINDERGARTENS?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'RE LEAVING MANY OF THESE PAPERS UNTIL WE FINISH DEALING WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES, THEY ARE IN THE BUDGET, WE MIGHT AS WELL BRING THEM FORWARD,

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK IF THE PAPER IS READY, AS IT SEEMS TO BE INDICATED BY COMMITTEE THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT READY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE SO THEY MIGHT CONSIDER IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT HASN'T BEEN INDICATED BY COMMITTEE. FORGIVE ME, IT'S ONLY BEEN INDICATED BY ONE MEMBER. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR THE OPINIONS OF THE OTHER MEMBERS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU HEARD COUNCILLOR STUTTER,

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE NO QUALMS AT ALL IN AGREEING WITH THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST IN THIS REGARD BECAUSE I AGREE IT WOULD ALMOST BE IRRESPONSIBLE FOR THE HONOURABLE WHO IS PURPORTED HAD A HAND IN PRESENTING HER OPINIONS AND HER PRIORITIES TO BUDGET PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE HAVING THEM ACCEPTED TO NOW COME ALONG AND SAY "OH, THERE'S PARTS OF THIS BUDGET THAT WE REALLY DON'T NEED AND WE CAN FUND OUR KINDERGARTENS OUT OF THAT."

CERTAINLY SHE BELIEVES IN HER OWN MIND AS BEING HEAD OF THAT DEPARTMENT EVERYTHING THAT IS IN EDUCATION BUDGET IS NEEDED AND SHE WOULD BE IRRESPONSIBLE IF THAT WASN'T THE TYPE OF BUDGET SHE THOUGHT SHE WAS PRESENTING. IT COULD BE, BUT WITH THE PRESENTATION OF THE KINDERGARTEN PAPER THAT A MAJORITY OF THIS COUNCIL COULD SAY, "LOOKIT, WE SET OUT AS A FURTHER PRIORITY AND THE MAJORITY OF US, THAT THIS MONEY COULD COME FROM THIS PART OF THE BUDGET, AND WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE ADDITIONAL TAXATION AND WE CAN FIND THE MONEY IN THE CURRENT EDUCATION TO BRING ABOUT KINDERGARTENS AS ARE PRESENTED IN THE PAPER.

NOW, THIS JUST ISN'T AN IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION TO

HAPPEN. SO IF IT DOES HAPPEN THAT THE MAJORITY OF COUNCIL AGREED THAT IT SHOULD HAPPEN, AND CERTAINLY THAT IS THE WISH OF THE MAJORITY OF THIS HOUSE AND I SEE NO PROBLEM AT ALL IN BRINGING FORWARD THE POSITION PAPER ON KINDERGARTENS IMMEDIATELY SO THAT MEMBERS CAN EXAMINE IT BEFORE THE FINAL EDUCATION ESTIMATES ARE CLEARED IN THE BUDGET.

I'VE ALWAYS FOUND IT EASY AND I THINK ALL MEMBERS HAVE, WHEN PROGRAMS, NEW PROGRAMS COMING IN AND SESSION PAPERS ARE TALKED ABOUT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES. THAT JUST SEEMS TO BE AN EASIER METHOD OF DOING THINGS. THAT WAY ONE GETS A MORE COMPREHENSIVE VIEW OF THE TOTAL IMPACT ON THE YUKON IN THE COURSE OF A FISCAL YEAR. IF THE PAPER IS READY I CAN'T SEE WHY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WOULDN'T BRING IT BEFORE COUNCIL AT THIS MOMENT, PRIOR TO THE CLEARING OF THE EDUCATION ESTIMATE IN THE BUDGET WHICH WOULD BE THE NATURAL, NORMAL THING TO DO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER,

COUNCILLOR STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M IN A REAL DILEMMA ON THIS ONE BECAUSE I HAVE TO AGREE WITH THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT I, AS A MEMBER OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, AM SATISFIED IN MY MIND THAT THE EXTRA FUNDS FOR THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM ARE NOT IN THE EDUCATION BUDGET BUT AT THE SAME TIME I DO SEE A REAL DANGER IN HAVING THE TOBACCO TAX IDENTIFIED TOO SPECIFICALLY WITH KINDERGARTENS. IF WE DO END UP ACCEPTING KINDERGARTENS THEN ALWAYS FROM NOW ON THE TOBACCO TAX IS GOING TO BE PEOPLE SAYING "THAT'S WHAT IS FUNDING THE KINDERGARTEN." TO MY WAY OF THINKING, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MUCH BETTER HAD WE HAD PLENTY OF INFORMATION AND LOTS OF TIME, WHICH WAS NOT POSSIBLE PERHAPS, THAT KINDERGARTEN, THE SESSIONAL PAPER FOR KINDERGARTEN MAYBE COULD HAVE BEEN PUT IN WITH THE BUDGET WITH THE ADDED AMOUNT AT WHICH TIME IT WOULD HAVE BEEN UP TO COUNCIL WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE GOING TO ACCEPT IT AND ALSO THE TAXATION SHOULD HAVE BEEN IN.

Mr. STUTTER: SESSIONAL PAPER FOR KINDERGARTEN, IF WE DO ACCEPT KINDERGARTEN THEN ALWAYS FROM NOW ON THE TOBACCO TAX IS GOING TO BE SAID, OK THAT'S WHAT'S FUNDING THE KINDERGARTEN. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN, TO MY WAY OF THINKING, MUCH BETTER HAD WE HAD HAVE PLENTY OF INFORMATION AND LOTS OF TIME, WHICH WAS NOT POSSIBLE PERHAPS, THAT KINDERGARTEN, THAT THE SESSIONAL PAPER FOR KINDERGARTEN MAYBE COULD HAVE BEEN PUT IN WITH THE BUDGET, WITH THE ADDED AMOUNT. AT WHICH TIME IT WOULD HAVE BEEN UP TO COUNCIL WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE GOING TO ACCEPT IT, ALSO THE TAXATION SHOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE BUDGET. BUT NOW WE DEFINITELY HAVE A DANGER WHERE THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AND THE TOBACCO TAX ARE ALWAYS GOING TO BE INTERRELATED.

SESSIONAL PAPER, WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT INTRODUCING SESSIONAL PAPERS WITH THE BUDGET, IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE THE SUBJECT MATTER AND A HAIR ON GUESSING. THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS SESSIONAL PAPER REALLY DOESN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH WHAT IS PRESENTLY IN THE BUDGET. THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THAT SESSIONAL PAPER IS OUTSIDE OF THE BUDGET AGAIN. ITS FOR A NEW PROGRAM OR AN EXPANSION OF AN EXISTING PROGRAM. IT DOESN'T EFFECT ANY MAKE-UP OF DOLLARS THAT ARE PRESENTLY IN THIS BUDGET.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS VERY TRUE, I WAS TRYING TO BE KIND TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS TO LEAVE THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES AT THE EXISTING LEVEL, SO THAT WHETHER WE HAVE KINDERGARTEN OR NO KINDERGARTEN WOULDN'T BE A DECISION THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD HAVE TO MAKE, IT WOULD BE AN ENRICHED TYPE OF KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM WHICH IS PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM.

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT WHEN THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION TRAVELLED THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY, AND HAD BRIEFS PRESENTED AND HAD PUBLIC HEARINGS, THAT THEY ALSO ASKED WHAT SOURCE OF FUNDING WOULD PEOPLE INDICATE THAT THEY WOULD BE PREPARED TO MAKE, IF NEW PROGRAMS WERE BROUGHT INTO THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM. BECAUSE IT WAS THEIR RECOMMENDATION, QUITE STRONGLY, THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION, THAT IF THE EXISTING SCHOOL SYSTEM IS ENRICHED AT ALL, THEN WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THE EXTRA SOURCE OF FUNDING.

IN ALMOST 100% OF THE CASES WERE BRIEFS AND PUBLIC HEARINGS WHERE THE PUBLIC INDICATED WHAT SOURCE OF TAXATION THEY WOULD BE PREPARED TO

ACCEPT TO FUND EXTRA PROGRAMS, THEY ALWAYS REVERTED TO A TOBACCO TAX OR A TAX ON LIQUOR. THIS IS WHY WE'VE BROUGHT FORWARD THE TOBACCO TAX. IT WAS THE PUBLIC THEMSELVES WHO SUGGESTED THIS AT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. IT WAS ALSO A RECOMMENDATION BY THE COMMITTEE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IN LIGHT, JUST FROM THE CHAIR IN LIGHT OF THE DISCUSSION IN THIS MATTER, WOULD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BE PREPARED TO TABLE IT TOMORROW MORNING?

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WITH FULL RESPECT I DON'T THINK IT HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES. I WOULD BE VERY HAPPY WHEN THE MAIN ESTIMATES HAVE BEEN CLEARED THROUGH THIS HOUSE, THAT WE WILL TABLE THE SESSIONAL PAPERS, WITH THE PROPOSALS AND THE TAX BILL AND A SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET, IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WANT TO GO ALONG WITH IT.

AS I SAID BEFORE, WE HAVE QUITE A GOOD KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AT THE PRESENT TIME. ITS JUST THAT ITS THE GENERAL FEELING OF THE PUBLIC. IT WAS THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION THAT KINDERGARTENS BE MADE PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. I HAD TO BRING IT BEFORE THE COUNCIL TO MAKE THAT DECISION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COUNCILLOR STUTTER WAS RIGHT AND WRONG IN USING Mr. LEGAL ADVISOR'S WORDS. HE WAS RIGHT TO SAY IT'S A PROGRAM THAT IS OUTSIDE THE BUDGET. BUT HE WAS WRONG TO SAY THAT IT'S A NEW PROGRAM OUTSIDE THIS BUDGET BECAUSE WHAT IT IS, IS AN EXPANSION OF AN EXISTING PROGRAM.

THE FACT THAT IT IS IN THIS BUDGET, SHOWS THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE ARE DEALING WITH. THIS IS WHY I AM BEING SOMEWHAT INSISTANT THAT ONE CANNOT DEAL WITH THE PROGRAM AND ANY EXPANSION THAT MIGHT TAKE PLACE, UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT ARE THE FINANCIAL REASONS FOR THE PROGRAM TO TAKE PLACE. THE ONLY MANNER IN WHICH THIS CAN BE DONE, IS BY THE SESSIONAL PAPER BEING BROUGHT FORWARD.

IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, Mr. CHAIRMAN, FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE, IS SO CONCERNED THAT THE SESSIONAL PAPER HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT IS IN THE PRESENT MAIN ESTIMATES THAT WE ARE DEALING WITH, WHY SHOULD SHE OBJECT TO HAVING US HAVE THE INFORMATION AHEAD OF TIME, IF THERE'S NOT CONCERN. THIS SHOULD BE THE KEY THING.

WHY NOT LET US HAVE
TO DO WITH THAT TOMORROW.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO FIGURE OUT THE
QUESTION IS A COMMUNITY KINDER-
GARTEN SUBSIDIZE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE KINDERGARTEN
QUESTION ON SCHOOL SYSTEM. TWO
DIFFERENT THINGS. THIS IS
WHERE WE SUBSIDIZE THE
COST OF THE MATERIALS AND

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE
MEMBER THAT IF THE SESSIONAL
PAPER FROM COUNCIL, THE AMOUNT
TABLED, WOULD BE HALF OF
WHAT IS REQUIRED TO PUT THE
QUESTION ON THE AGENDA, SO IT IS FAIRLY
DIFFICULT TO GET IT ALONE THAT THERE IS
A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE AND THE OTHER.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON THE BASIS OF WHAT SHE HAS
SAID, WE HAVE TO GIVE
THE QUESTION TO THE HONOURABLE
MEMBER TO OVERNIGHT. THINK
IT IS RIGHT AND PROPER TO
TABLE THE PAPER FROM MEMBERS OF
COUNCIL THAT SHE MUST
TABLE TO WITHHOLD THAT
QUESTION FROM THE AGENDA, BUT WHETHER ITS
TABLED SPECIALLY WHEN SOME
MEMBERS SHOWN AN INTEREST IN
IT, WE CAN PUT THE WHOLE
QUESTION FROM THE REMARKS THAT
THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM
CARMACKS-KLUANE GOING TO BE LEFT IS
TO DO WITH KINDERGARTENS.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MEMBER OF COUNCIL WANTS TO
TABLE THE QUESTION, I REPEAT THIS BECAUSE
I'M AGAINST TABLED BECAUSE I'M AGAINST TAX-
ATION FOR ANY MEMBER TO BE
TABLED. I WOULD SAY, MR. CHAIRMAN
WHAT WILL HAVE TO HAPPEN.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE
WANTS TO BRING FORWARD THE
QUESTION, WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY
TO DO IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I STILL MAINTAIN
IT IS NECESSARY FOR THE
QUESTION TO BE BRING FORWARD THE

SESSIONAL PAPER PROPOSING TO MAKE KINDERGARTENS
PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. WE WILL LOOK AT THE
FUNDING REQUIRED AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS
WILL THEN BE ABLE TO MAKE UP THEIR MINDS.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'VE BEEN GOING
AROUND ON THIS ONE FOR ALMOST AN HOUR NOW AND
OBVIOUSLY THERE IS A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION AND
OBVIOUSLY ITS NOT GOING TO BE SOLD BECAUSE THE
TWO OPINIONS ARE SO FAR APART. I SUGGEST WE
HAVE A SHOW OF HANDS AS WHETHER OR NOT TO
PROCEED, TO DECIDE TO PASS THIS OVER OR NOT
TO PASS THIS OVER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I THINK THE CHAIR IS TRYING TO
UNDERSTAND WHAT THE WISHES OF COMMITTEE ARE IN
RESPECT OF FURTHERING THIS KINDERGARTEN QUESTION
AND THE TABLING OF THIS DOCUMENT. IF A MEMBER
REFUSES TO TABLE A DOCUMENT, THAT'S IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T THINK THAT'S
A SUMMATION OF THE ARGUMENT, I THINK THE
SUMMATION OF THE ARGUMENT IS THAT THERE IS
TWO DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW RELATED TO THAT
ARGUMENT. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WANTS
TO PROCEED AND TABLE THE DOCUMENT ON KINDER-
GARTENS OR NOT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHETHER
OR NOT WE PASS THIS VOTE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES IT IS.

MR. TANNER: THERE ARE TWO VERY DIVERGENT
OPINIONS HERE AND I HAPPEND TO DISAGREE WITH
THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
OTHER MEMBERS INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT WE PASS
THIS ESTABLISHMENT 316 AND PROCEED WITH THE
BUDGET OR NOT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I REGRET THAT
THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH
SHOULD TAKE THE ATTITUDE THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A
SHOW OF HANDS TO PASS THIS PARTICULAR ITEM.
HE'S PUTTING BLINKERS OVER HIS EYES TO THE EFFECT
THAT THIS WOULD HAVE A RELATION TO THE PROPOSI-
TION THAT WOULD COME FORWARD AFTERWARDS BY WAY
OF A SESSIONAL PAPER. THE POINT THAT I MAKE
AND I MAKE IT STRONGLY, IS NOTWITHSTANDING
WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE
HAS SAID. THAT THE MONEY THAT IS IN THE VOTE
RIGHT NOW IS MONEY THAT IS NEEDED IN THE
EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM. I WANT TO KNOW HOW MUCH
MONEY IS GOING TO BE NEEDED IN THE OVER ALL
KINDERGARTEN SYSTEM SO WE CAN KNOW HOW MUCH
MONEY WE WOULD NEED TO FIND IN THAT OVER ALL
EDUCATION BUDGET.

THIS, ONE IS UNABLE TO GET FROM HER. GIVE IT SOME FIGURES FIRSTLY. AT LEAST WE WILL HAVE SOMETHING THAT IS COMING FORWARD WHILE WE HAVEN'T GOT A SESSIONAL PAPER.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW HOW MUCH MONEY YOU CAN FIND IN THE EDUCATION VOTE FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAMS. \$101,527. THAT IS HOW MUCH MONEY YOU CAN FIND IN THE EDUCATION VOTE FOR KINDERGARTEN PROGRAMS.

AS I SAID BEFORE, THE EDUCATION VOTE IS FUNDS THAT ARE PROVIDED FOR OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. IF YOU THINK THAT YOU CAN FIND AREAS WHERE YOU CAN REDUCE THE FUNDING THAT HAS BEEN ASKED FOR AND STILL MAINTAIN THE STANDARDS THAT WE HAVE, YOU ARE INTO A VERY DANGEROUS AREA.

IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE AWAY, THREE OR FOUR TEACHERS OR FOUR OR FIVE CUSTODIAL WORKERS OR SOMETHING LIKE THIS SO YOU CAN FUND THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM, THAT WOULDN'T BE A VERY WISE MOVE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE AWAY SOME FUNDING FOR THE POST SECONDARY GRANTS, YOU'D LIKE TO TAKE AWAY SOME OF THE FUNDING FOR STUDENT ACCOMODATION FOR HANDICAPPED AND RETARDED CHILDREN SO THAT THESE PROGRAMS HAVE TO BE CUT BACK IN ORDER THAT YOU CAN EXTEND ANOTHER PROGRAM?

THIS IS WHY YOU ARE EXTENDING A PROGRAM THAT EVERY OTHER PROGRAM THAT WE'VE REQUESTED FUNDS FOR ARE NEEDED. THE PROGRAMS ARE NEEDED AND THE FUNDS ARE NEEDED. I THINK THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I WONDER IF MR. MILLER COULD GIVE US RIGHT NOW WHAT HAS BEEN THE EXPENDITURE TO DATE ON THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION FOR THE YEAR 73-74.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK ALL MEMBERS WILL RECALL THAT WE'VE HAD A SUPPLEMENTARY IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COPE WITH THE AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURES.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE FIGURES I HAVE AND THESE ARE NOT FINAL FIGURES, I WARN YOU THAT THESE ARE NOT FINAL FIGURES FOR THE YEAR. THESE ARE ONLY THE BILLS THAT WERE PROCESSED UP TO MARCH 31ST. IT COMES TO \$7,957,465.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN THE YEAR 72-73, THERE WAS AN UNDEREXPENDITURE OF SOME \$225,000.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN THAT WAS THE YEAR 71-72.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I SEE. I SAID THERE WAS AN OVEREXPENDITURE IN 72-73.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN THAT WAS IN 1971-72 AND IN 1972-73 I THINK WE WERE OVER EXPENDED BY \$70,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: COULD WE GET THOSE FIGURES FROM MR. MILLER, PLEASE?

MR. MILLER: FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING MARCH 31ST, 1973 THE UNEXPENDED BALANCE WAS \$200,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS RIGHT. THAT WAS THE UNEXPENDED BALANCE OF \$200,000.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN THAT WAS AT THE END OF MARCH?

MR. MILLER: YES.

MRS. WATSON: OR WAS THAT AFTER ALL THE ACCOUNTS ON TRANSPORTATION WERE RECEIVED BY THE DEPARTMENT? WE THOUGHT WE HAD AN OVER, WE HAD A SURPLUS BUT I THINK THAT WE FOUND WE HAD \$70,000 OVEREXPENDED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T KNOW WHAT BILLS HADN'T BEEN PROCESSED. THESE WERE THE ACTUAL BILLS PROCESSED AGAINST THE 72-73 FISCAL YEAR' BUDGET.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS WHAT I SAY. I HAPPEN TO HAVE THE FIGURE HERE SO THAT IS WHY I NEW I WAS ON PRETTY SAFE GROUND. WOULD MR. MILLER INDICATE, MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD THE VARIOUS CAUSES OF THE INCREASE FOR THE YEAR 73-74 MADE IT AN OVEREXPENDITURE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT INFORMATION WAS TABLED WITH THE SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES. IT WAS PRIMARILY THE COMMUNITY COURSES, THE BLADE COURSES AND A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR FITNESS AND AMATEUR SPORTS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE OTHER PART WAS THE MATERIAL FOR THE TUTOR PROGRAM.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S RIGHT. IT WASN'T THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM AS SUCH BECAUSE OF THE SPECIAL PROGRAMS THAT WERE PUT IN DURING THE YEAR AND WE HAD TO HAVE SUPPLEMENTARIES FOR.

THAT IS THE POSITION I TAKE NOW, I SAY THAT WE CAN FIND THE MONEY, THE ADDITIONAL MONEY THAT IS REQUIRED BETWEEN WHAT IS GOING TO BE ASKED FOR IN THE SESSIONAL PAPER, IF AGAIN I HAVEN'T GOT THAT AMOUNT YET.

YOU SEE, I AM TRYING TO ASCERTAIN MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT IS THE OVERALL PROGRAM OF THE COST OF KINDERGARTENS. WE ARE NOT GOING TO KNOW THAT UNLESS WE HAVE THE SESSIONAL PAPER.

MRS. WATSON: IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS IMPLYING THAT THE YOUTH PROGRAMS THAT WERE BROUGHT IN AND PAID FOR IN THE SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET, WILL NOT BE CONTINUED WITH IN THE YEAR 74-75, THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS TRYING TO IMPLY. NOW IF HE WOULD INDICATE WHERE HE WOULD FEEL THAT HE COULD TAKE THE MONEY OUT OF, I WOULD BE VERY HAPPY TO LOOK AT IT. I'M QUITE SURE THAT HE WOULD HAVE TROUBLE BECAUSE WE'VE CUT OUR SUPPLIES, TEXTBOOKS AND CURRICULUM SUPPLIES THIS YEAR AND STILL HOPE TO PROVIDE FOR THE TUTOR TRAINING PROGRAM. WE'VE CUT THE PER PUPIL ALLOTMENT, IN THE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL WE'VE BEGUN THE COMMUNITY PROGRAMS.

I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY PERSON IN THIS CHAMBER THAT WILL DENY THAT IT HAS BEEN NECESSARY TO GET VOCATIONAL TRAINING OUT INTO THE COMMUNITIES. THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO GIVE ADULTS THE OPPORTUNITY, ADULTS WHO CAN'T EVEN READ OR WRITE, THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN THE VERY BASIC EDUCATION. WELL THEN, THEY ARE JUST ONGOING COSTS FOR OUR SCHOOL SYSTEM.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVEN'T MADE ANY IMPLICATIONS AT ALL WITH REFERENCE TO THESE NEW PROGRAMS. THE VERY FACT THAT WE HAVE GONE PAST THEM AND THEY HAVE BEEN APPROVED SHOWS THAT I HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THEM. THERE ARE OTHER AREAS ONCE WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT IS REQUIRED.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I SEE THE TIME IS SEVEN MINUTES TO FIVE. I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER WOULD NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN JUST ONE MORE COMMENT. I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS THAT THERE ISN'T ANYTHING IN VOTE 3 THAT CAN BE USED TO MAKE THE KINDERGARTEN PART OF THE SCHOOL SYSTEM. HAD I BEEN ABLE TO DO THIS, HAD I BEEN ABLE TO FIND THE FUNDS IN VOTE 3, WE WOULD HAVE CERTAINLY ENDEAVORED TO PAY FOR THE COSTS OF MAKING THE KINDERGARTENS PART OF THE

SCHOOL SYSTEM. IT HASN'T BEEN A DELIBERATE MOVE AT ALL. THEREFORE, I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT WE PROCEED PAST VOTE 03 AND PROCEED WITH ANSWERING THE REST OF THE QUESTIONS TOMORROW. I WILL TABLE THE SESSIONAL PAPER, THE TAXATION BILL, THE SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ONCE THE MAIN ESTIMATES ARE COMPLETED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. COULD WE HAVE A SECONDER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECONDER.

MR. MCKINNON: I'LL SECOND THAT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE WITNESSES MAY NOW BE EXCUSED. IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

NOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:15 A.M. TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. MR. MILLER AND MR. HUBERDEAU ATTENDED COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS BILL NO. 3 OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES. COMMITTEE RECESSED AT 12 NOON AND RECONVENED AT 2 P.M. I CAN REPORT PROGRESS ON BILL NO. 3. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR AND THIS MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: YOU HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. ARE WE AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER I BELIEVE THAT IT IS THE INTENTION OF YOUR COMMITTEE TO PROCEED TOMORROW WITH DISCUSSIONS SURROUNDING BILL NO. 3.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. STUTTER: I MOVE THAT WE CALL IT 5 O'CLOCK
MR. SPEAKER.

Mr. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. SPEAKER, I WILL SECOND IT.

Mr. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT WE NOW CALL IT FIVE O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

Mr. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED AND THIS HOUSE STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 AM TOMORROW MORNING.

ADJOURNED

TUESDAY, APRIL 23, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER.

MR. MCKINNON; MR. SPEAKER I WONDER IF I COULD RISE ON A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE THIS MORNING TO CORRECT SOME OF THE MORE GLARING ERRORS IN VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS. IF THEY CONTINUE AS THEY ARE WITHOUT CORRECTION THEY BECOME COMPLETELY INCOMPREHENSIBLE.

ON PAGE 204, MR. SPEAKER, I SAID, "I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING WITH INTEREST THE CBC'S SURVEY THAT THEY HAVE BEEN CONDUCTING EVERY MONTH ON THE COST OF TEN STAPLE ITEMS INCLUDING BREAD, EGGS, HAMBURGER, PORK CHOPS, POTATOES, IN SUPER MARKETS ACROSS THE COUNTRY." MR. SPEAKER IN VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS IT READS, "I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING WITH INTEREST THE CBC'S SURVEY THAT I HAVE BEEN CONDUCTING EVERY MONTH ON THE COST OF TEN STABLE ITEMS INCLUDING BREAD, EGGS, HAMBURGER, PORK CHOPS, POTATOES IN SUPER MARKETS ACROSS THE COUNTRY."

FURTHER, MR. SPEAKER, ON PAGE 414 OF THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS I SAID, "WHETHER IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL THAT THAT EMPLOYEE BE REPLACED OR WHETHER THROUGH EFFICIENCY OR THROUGH ECONOMY OF SCALE THAT THE OTHER PEOPLE CAN TAKE UP THE WORK LOAD OF THAT OTHER PERSON WHO IS LEAVING." THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS HAVE IT RECORDED THAT I SAID, "WHETHER IT IS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL THAT THAT EMPLOYEE BE REPLACED OR WHETHER THROUGH EFFICIENCY OR THROUGH ECONOMY AND SCALES THAT THE OTHER PEOPLE CAN TAKE UP THE WORK LOAD OF THAT OTHER PERSON WHO IS LEAVING."

FURTHER, MR. SPEAKER, ON PAGE 474 OF THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, I SAID, "WE ARE GOING TO BRING IT TO THE ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE." I AM RECORDED IN THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS AS HAVING SAID, "WE ARE GOING TO SPRING IT TO THE ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE."

ON PAGE 501, MR. SPEAKER, OF THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS I SAID, "MR. CHAIRMAN, ONCE AGAIN

I'VE GOT A LIST OF ABOUT 30 QUESTIONS I WANT TO ASK THAT GO INTO DETAIL ON PREDITOR CONTROL" I'M QUOTED AS SAYING, "MR. CHAIRMAN, ONCE AGAIN I'VE GOT A LIST OF ABOUT 30 QUESTIONS I WANT TO ASK THAT GO INTO DETAIL ON CREDITOR CONTROL."

MR. SPEAKER, FURTHER ON PAGE 503, OF THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, I SAID, "I THINK IT IS PRETTY OBVIOUS WHY THE INTEREST OF COMMITTEE HAS ALWAYS BEEN SO KEEN ON THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME BECAUSE ALL MEMBERS MUST KNOW, AND IF THEY DON'T KNOW BY NOW THEY REALLY DON'T HAVE MUCH REASON FOR BEING HERE, THAT GAME IS THE ONLY RESOURCE, THE ONLY ONE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS CONTROL OVER." I AM QUOTED IN THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS AS SAYING, "IF THEY DON'T KNOW BY NOW THEY REALLY DON'T HAVE MUCH REASON FOR BEING HERE, THAT GAME IS THE ONLY RESORT, THE ONLY ONE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS CONTROL OVER."

I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF THOSE RATHER GLARING ERRORS COULD BE CORRECTED IN THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MRS. WATSON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE FOR TABLING THIS MORNING LEGISLATIVE RETURNS NO. 36 TO 44. I ALSO HAVE FOR TABLING, MR. SPEAKER, THE YUKON PARTICIPATION IN THE 1974 ARCTIC WINTER GAMES REPORT AS PREPARED BY THE YUKON'S CO-ORDINATOR MR. REBER. IT IS AN EXCELLENT REPORT AND I HOPE THAT EVERY MEMBER TAKES THE OPPORTUNITY TO READ IT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEE? ARE THERE ANY PILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? AS THERE ARE NO NOTICES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS OR MOTIONS WE COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD. MADAM CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR IS AVAILABLE. WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE AMOUNT OF FUNDS OBTAINED FROM
PROPOSED TOBACCO TAX

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, WOULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE HOW MUCH THE ADMINISTRATION EXPECTS TO OBTAIN AS A RESULT OF ANY PROPOSED TOBACCO TAX.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: SORRY, MR. SPEAKER, I CAN'T GIVE THAT ANSWER AT THE PRESENT TIME. I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION IMMEDIATELY AVAILABLE. WE WILL HAVE THAT INFORMATION WHEN THE TIME COMES TO CONSIDER THE BILL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HAS ALREADY INDICATED THAT THERE IS A SESSIONAL PAPER PREPARED FOR A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM SHOWING THE MEANS OF COLLECTION OF TAXES. SURELY THAT INFORMATION MUST THEREFORE BE AVAILABLE. ISN'T THIS CORRECT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THAT INFORMATION WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE WHEN THE TIME COMES TO INTRODUCE THE INFORMATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, THE QUESTION THAT I HAVE ASKED IS WHAT IS THE AMOUNT THAT THE ADMINISTRATION CONSIDERS IT WILL BE ABLE TO OBTAIN THROUGH A TAX ON TOBACCO?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I'M SORRY, MR. SPEAKER, I DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION AT THE MOMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER THEN, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD GO AND GET IT BECAUSE IT IS IN HIS OFFICE.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, A POINT OF ORDER. THAT IS AN IMPROPER QUESTION. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS FULL WELL THAT IT IS.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE COST TO TERRITORY OF A KINDERGARTEN
PROGRAM

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, WOULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE HOW MUCH IS A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM GOING TO COST THE TERRITORY?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: AGAIN, MR. SPEAKER, ALL THIS INFORMATION WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE AT THE TIME THESE PAPERS ARE CONSIDERED BY COUNCIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE QUESTION THAT I AM PUTTING TO HIM IS NOW DOES HE KNOW OR IS HE USELESS AS HE IS TRYING TO SHOW THAT HE IS?

MR. SPEAKER: ORDER.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, A POINT OF ORDER. THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED; THE ADMINISTRATOR HAS ANSWERED THE QUESTION. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER CANNOT REPEAT THE SAME QUESTION TIME AFTER TIME.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, IS IT MR. SPEAKER'S INTENTION TO TAKE DIRECTION FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE OR IS MR. SPEAKER SITTING AS CHAIRMAN OF THIS HOUSE?

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE: PROVIDING RELIEF FOR GASOLINE
PRICES

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, IT IS INDICATED ACROSS THE WESTERN PROVINCES THAT THE PRICE OF GAS IS GOING TO RISE APPROXIMATELY 10 CENTS PER GALLON BECAUSE OF THE INCREASED PRICE IN CRUDE OIL. THE PROVINCES OF ALBERTA, SASKATCHEWAN AND MANITOBA HAVE ALREADY INITIATED RELIEF TO THE CONSUMER BY LOWERING THE GAS TAX. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. SPEAKER, TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR WHETHER THERE ARE ANY PLANS ON THE BOOKS OF THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TO HELP RELIEVE THE EXTRA COST TO THE CONSUMER OF THE PRICE OF GASOLINE IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AS ALL OF THE WESTERN PROVINCES AND IN FACT INCLUDING B.C. HAVE DONE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, THIS IS A MATTER OF VERY REAL CONCERN TO THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT AT THE PRESENT TIME. WE HAVE NO SPECIFIC PLANS AT THIS PARTICULAR MOMENT BUT I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT WE ARE TAKING A VERY CAREFUL LOOK AT THIS WHOLE QUESTION, NOT ONLY IN RELATION TO WHAT IS BEING DONE IN THE WESTERN PROVINCES, BUT ALSO WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IN NEWFOUNDLAND AND OTHER PROVINCES. AND WE ARE IN THE PROCESS JUST AT THE PRESENT MOMENT OF HOLDING CONVERSATIONS WITH THE OIL COMPANIES ON THIS MATTER.

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Speaker, I think that it is of such priority I wonder if I could request from the Government that some type of policy in the field of the price of gas, the price of heating fuel and the price of electricity come before Council before the end of this session because it's already estimated roughly that about \$5,000,000 are going to be withdrawn from consumers in the Yukon Territory the next year if the proposed increases in these three areas go. And I can't see how this isn't probably the number one immediate priority of the people or to the elected representatives the people of the Yukon. And I think it would be irresponsible for us to leave this Chamber and not resolve or not at least discuss policy concerning what is going to be a great hardship to the people of the Yukon Territory this winter if we don't act now.

Mr. Administrator: Well I couldn't agree more with the Honorable Member, Mr. Speaker. It is a matter of very real urgency to us and we're doing everything we can to determine what is the appropriate position for the Government to take. There is of course going to be a paper on the question of electric rate equalization which will deal with the matter of electricity. I'm not sure if we're going to be in a position to deal with the fuel oil and gasoline question before the end of this session, but I'll certainly endeavour to do what I can, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. McKinnon: Supplementary, Mr. Speaker, if, when Council is called together to discuss the Electoral Boundaries Commission report, would Mr. Administrator guarantee that at that time because of the priority of the issue, that the paper will be available and the policy will be available to Councillors at that time and it can be discussed at what will be the last session of this Council.

Mr. Administrator: Well, Mr. Speaker, obviously I don't know the time frame in which it is going to be possible for us to develop a policy on this, but I can certainly assure the Honorable Member and other Honorable Members that just at the very moment we can develop a policy and have a paper prepared, we will make it available to Council.

Mr. Chamberlist: Supplementary, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Mr. Administrator could indicate what has been done to this time on this particular point the Honorable Member has raised.

Mr. Administrator: What we have done, as I've already indicated, Mr. Speaker, is to initiate discussions with the oil companies and in fact we have been doing this since last fall. We haven't been doing this just as a result of the recent prices. We are also engaged in sessions with the provinces and the Federal Government to determine just what would be the appropriate course of action for us to take.

QUESTION RE: POLICY FOR THE PROVISION OF AIR TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES IN THE YUKON AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, I have a question to direct to Mr. Administrator this morning relating to the policy for the provision of air transportation facilities and services in the Yukon and Northwest Territories that was tabled in the House this morning.

It is indicated Mr. Speaker that the long awaited run-way extension from 5500 feet to 6100 feet for Watson Lake and the proposed terminal facilities are indeed embodied in this M.O.T. schedule of improvement of air services. I'm wondering if I could have the assurance of the Administration that in no way will the Administration recommend the deletion of either of these projects from this program when they meet with M.O.T. on this matter in June.

Mr. Administrator: Mr. Speaker, I can most definitely assure the Honorable Member that we don't intend to recommend the deletion of any part of the M.O.T. program. But I think I should in all fairness warn that this program which is a Federal program, is subject to the usual kind of priority in making available the funds required, but we will definitely press for the implementation of their present plan as far as we possibly can.

Mr. Taylor: This is fine. This is what I want on record.

QUESTION RE: COMPLAINTS REGARDING TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF BOTH THE LANDLORDS AND TENANTS ORDINANCE AND THE CONSUMERS PROTECTION ORDINANCE

Mr. McKinnon: Mr. Speaker, I have received complaints constantly from people that the terms and conditions of both the Landlords and Tenants Ordinance and the Consumers Protection Ordinance are not being adhered to and that it is the policy of the Yukon

GOVERNMENT AND IT HAS BEEN A STATED POLICY THAT THEY WILL NOT ENFORCE THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THESE TWO ORDINANCES. I WOULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR WHETHER IT IS THE INTENTION OF THE GOVERNMENT TO FOLLOW UP THE COMPLAINTS AND ENFORCE THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ORDINANCES OR WITHDRAW THE LEGISLATION FROM THE BOOK OF THE COUNCIL OR OF THE ORDINANCE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY BECAUSE THEY ARE COMPLETELY UNENFORCEABLE AND ARE JUST AN EXERCISE IN HYPOCRACY THAT THEY ARE SOME PROTECTION TO THE CONSUMERS AND THE TENANTS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, WE'RE PREPARED TO DO WHATEVER WE CAN TO THE EXTENT THAT THE LEGISLATION IMPOSES AN OBLIGATION ON THE GOVERNMENT. BUT MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONSUMER LEGISLATION WAS THAT IT DID NOT IMPOSE ON THE GOVERNMENT AN OBLIGATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN ENFORCEMENT RESPONSIBILITIES. MY UNDERSTANDING OF THAT LEGISLATION, MR. SPEAKER, WAS THAT IT WAS SIMPLY TO BE LEGISLATION WHICH WOULD ENABLE SOMEONE WITH A GRIEVANCE TO TAKE THE MATTER BEFORE THE COURTS OR TO DEAL WITH ANY PARTICULAR PROBLEMS, BUT IT DID NOT LAY ON THE GOVERNMENT A RESPONSIBILITY IN THIS REGARD.

MR. MCKINNON: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR, HOW IS IT POSSIBLE FOR A LAYMAN TO BRING ACTION AGAINST A LANDLORD OR AGAINST AN OLIGOPOLY CHAIN OF SUPERMARKETS WHEN EVEN THE GOVERNMENT HAS PROBLEMS WINNING IN COURT AGAINST A LOWLY MEMBER OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL. HOW IS IT EVEN COMPREHENSIBLE TO THINK THAT THE LAYMAN HAS THE ABILITY TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST THESE TYPES OF CORPORATIONS AND CERTAINLY, MR. SPEAKER, IF THE ADMINISTRATION ISN'T PREPARED TO ACT ON CONSUMER COMPLAINTS THEN THEY SHOULD AT LEAST BE HONEST ENOUGH TO REMOVE THE LEGISLATION THAT MAKES IT APPEAR THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS ACTUALLY MAKING SOME SORT OF PROTECTIVE LEGISLATION TOWARDS CONSUMERS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

A DIRECT QUESTION. WITHOUT LEGAL AID IN THE YUKON TERRITORY, WHICH THERE IS NONE ON CIVIL MATTERS, HOW IN GOODNESS NAME IS A CONSUMER SUPPOSED TO HAVE THE CAPABILITIES OF BRINGING ACTION AGAINST PEOPLE WHO VIOLATE THE CONSUMERS PROTECTION ORDINANCE AND THE LANDLORDS AND TENANTS ACT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, WE'RE PREPARED TO DISCHARGE WHATEVER OBLIGATIONS THE LEGISLATION PUTS ON US, BUT WE CANNOT GO BEYOND THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, SUPPLEMENTARY TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. ISN'T IT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF GOVERNMENT TO ENFORCE LEGISLATION THAT HAS BEEN PASSED BY THIS HOUSE?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: CERTAINLY IT IS IF IT IMPOSES ON US AN OBLIGATION TO DO SO, BUT IN THE CASE OF THE CONSUMERS LEGISLATION AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS IS SIMPLY AN ENABLING PIECE OF LEGISLATION FOR THE CONSUMER HIMSELF TO TAKE ACTION.

QUESTION RE: JOB CLASSIFICATIONS OF GAME WARDENS

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION TO DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR AND THAT IS THE MATTER OF JOB CLASSIFICATIONS OF GAME WARDENS AND I'M WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION ARE NOW TAKING STEPS TO REMOVE FROM THE JOB CLASSIFICATION FOR GAME GUARDIANS, THE PROVISION FOR EXPERIENCE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AS BEING NO LONGER NECESSARY FOR THIS JOB.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, WE ARE NOT PREPARED TO REMOVE THAT FROM THE JOB QUALIFICATIONS. WE CONSIDER THIS TO BE A DESIRABLE PART OF THE JOB QUALIFICATIONS OF THIS PARTICULAR JOB.

MR. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY. WOULD MR. ADMINISTRATOR OR THE GOVERNMENT OR THE ADMINISTRATION OR WHOEVER, FEEL THAT IT IS MORE IMPORTANT THAT YOU HAVE A POLICEMAN THAN YOU SHOULD HAVE A GAME WARDEN IN THIS PARTICULAR FUNCTION?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL NO, OBVIOUSLY MR. SPEAKER, WE DON'T. WE WANT THIS PARTICULAR PART OF THE DUTIES OF A GAME WARDEN TO BE ACCOMPANIED WITH OTHER FEATURES OF THE JOB WHICH ARE ALSO IMPORTANT. I THINK PERHAPS THE PROBLEM MAY SIMPLY ARISE BY VIRTUE OF THE FACT THAT THAT APPEARS AT THE TOP OF THE LIST OF QUALIFICATIONS AND PERHAPS IT IS IN THE WRONG ORDER. BUT I DON'T THINK WE WOULD WANT TO REMOVE IT FROM THE LIST OF JOB REQUIREMENTS.

QUESTION RE: FIRST OPPORTUNITY FOR JOBS OFFERED IN THE KLUANE NATIONAL PARK TO RESIDENTS OF THE HAINES JUNCTION AREA

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD ANSWER ME AS TO WHAT ACTION THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAS TAKEN TO GUARANTEE THAT THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY FOR JOBS IN THE KLUANE NATIONAL PARK WILL BE OFFERED TO RESIDENTS OF THE HAINES JUNCTION AREA.

Mr. Administrator: Well this is in fact a Federal matter, Mr. Speaker and I would have to take that question as notice.

Mr. McKinnon: Could Mr. Administrator assure Council that it is the intention of the Government of the Yukon Territory to pressure the Federal Government to accepting the fact the first opportunities for jobs as wardens and support staff in the Klucane National Park should be given to residents of the park area?

Mr. Administrator: Well I think, Mr. Speaker, before answering that question, I would like to know what the Federal policy is in this regard.

QUESTION RE: NUMBER OF BEDS FOR THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL

Mr. Taylor: Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question to the Executive Committee Member in charge of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation. I would like to ask in light of the fact that the plans for the Watson Lake Hospital have been viewed and indicate a cottage hospital similar to what we have rather than a general hospital as is required, and is as much as the facility provides for only four general patient beds, I'm wondering if the Executive Committee Member in charge of that Department will be making recommendations to the Department of National Health and Welfare for at least four more general patient beds for this much needed facility?

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Speaker, this hospital has been under consideration and plans have been drawn up for the last six years. It will be my recommendation to the Department of Northern Health that they get on with the job and as the Honourable Member knows, there is room for expansion when the medical requirements are there. And consequently I would suggest, I hope with the Members' support that the hospital would proceed to be built this year without any further delays. And I say one thing further that the Honourable Member knows that we were in a position to build the hospital last year and because of representations of this Government to expand the facilities, it was the reason it was delayed one year and I would think it would be in the interest of all the people living in the Watson Lake area to get something built right away and then look at the situation as it arises and expand if we can because there are areas within the new proposed hospital for expansion.

Mr. Taylor: Well, Mr. Speaker, I still haven't got an answer to my question. I'm asking if the Executive Committee Member and the Administration will make representation for four more patient beds in that building because they are definitely required, otherwise we haven't got much more than what we already have at the present. We have got about four general patient beds left.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Speaker, I think I answered the Honourable Member's question. Those representations have already been made a year ago and what you see is the result of the Government's representations.

Mr. Taylor: A supplementary question. Had the Committee Member in charge of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation seen those plans at any time prior to yesterday? Or until they were tabled here in the House?

Mr. Tanner: No, Mr. Chairman, I hadn't seen the plans prior to yesterday. The Member who occupied this position before had some input into it. The Commissioner had some input into it and the Department is prepared to go ahead with those plans and as I say the Government has already had input into that hospital.

Mr. Taylor: Just one final question, supplementary on the question. For the record, may I ask the Executive Committee Member in charge of Health, Welfare and Rehabilitation if he is satisfied that these facilities as programed for this new hospital in Watson Lake is quite satisfactory and sufficient for the community of Watson Lake.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Speaker, I am satisfied insofar as the input that this Government has been able to have for the past two and one-half years. I would suggest to the Honourable Member asking the question that he would perhaps be interested in having a meeting with the people in charge of Northern Health and Welfare here and we can further discuss them. And just as a further side, I would also ask the Honourable Member if he has no particular objection, or any Honourable Member, now that the plans have been tabled and Members have had a chance to look at them, if within the next day or two, we could withdraw the plans because they are the only plans available in the Yukon.

Mr. Chamberlist: On a point of privilege. I would like to indicate, Mr. Speaker, that the provision of four beds is totally inadequate, but it was as a result of the Department of

NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE, NOT WANTING TO PROVIDE ANY FURTHER MONIES OVER AND ABOVE WHAT THEY HAVE PROVIDED, AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY WE'RE ONLY HAVING A FOUR BED HOSPITAL. FOR NO OTHER REASON. MY INDICATION WAS THAT IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN EIGHT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE: GOVERNMENT POSITION PAPER PRESENTED ON THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ACROSS THE ARCTIC

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WAS WONDERING IF THERE IS GOING TO BE A GOVERNMENT POSITION PAPER PRESENTED TO THE HEARINGS THIS WEEK ON THE PROPOSED PIPELINE ACROSS THE ARCTIC?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, MR. SPEAKER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER, MR. SPEAKER, IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR CAN INDICATE WHO IS THE PERSON REPRESENTING THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT ON THE PIPELINE COMMITTEE IN OTTAWA?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, WE DO NOT HAVE A MEMBER ON THAT COMMISSION AT THE PRESENT TIME.

MR. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY TO THE QUESTION JUST ASKED, MR. SPEAKER. DOES THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY NOT FEEL THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE ON THE PIPELINE COMMITTEE?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, WE SHOULD AND WE WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE TO HAVE ONE.

MR. TAYLOR: A FURTHER SUPPLEMENTARY MR. SPEAKER, HAS THE GOVERNMENT MADE REPRESENTATIONS IN THIS DIRECTION AND BEEN REFUSED?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MEANS BY REPRESENTATION. WE HAVE BEEN ENDEAVOURING TO OBTAIN SOMEONE TO REPRESENT US AND I MIGHT ADD THAT THE COMMITTEE I'M TALKING ABOUT IS THE PRESENT ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE. WE DO HAVE AN INTERNAL COMMITTEE OF OUR OWN, CHAIRED BY MR. BAKER AND THEY HAVE CONTINUING LIASON WITH THIS ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE BUT WE DO NOT AT THE PRESENT MOMENT HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE ON THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE IN OTTAWA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR, MR. SPEAKER. ISN'T IT SO THAT YOU HAVE BEEN

ATTEMPTING BY TELEPHONE AND OTHER MEANS TO GET MR. FLEMING TO ACCEPT THE POSITION AT 50% OF HIS BASIC SALARY BY WAY OF A CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP TO ENTER THAT COMMITTEE?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, ONE OF THE PEOPLE THAT WE HAD BEEN DISCUSSING WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF REPRESENTING US ON THAT COMMITTEE WAS MR. FLEMING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AT 50% MR. SPEAKER, I SAID OF HIS SALARY. ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: NO, MR. SPEAKER, IT'S NOT CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, I WILL TABLE THE DOCUMENT SHOWING THAT IT IS CORRECT.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS? WE WISH TO THANK MR. ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS AND ORDERS, PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS, MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED?

CARRIED

I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

NOTION CARRIED

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE WILL PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

CARRIED

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER, MADAM CLERK I WONDER IF YOU COULD NOW SEE IF THE WITNESSES COULD NOW BE AVAILABLE. I WILL DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL NO. 3. WE HAVE WITH US MR. HUBERDEAU AND MR. MILLER TO ASSIST US IN THESE DISCUSSIONS. WE ARE AT VOTE 03 AND WE ARE DEALING WITH ESTABLISHMENT 316.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I WONDER IF THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE CAN INDICATE ANY CHANGE IN MIND RE BRINGING FORWARD OF THE INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN ASKED FOR ON THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM SO THAT WE MAY ASCERTAIN HOW MUCH MONEY THE OVERALL PROGRAM IS GOING TO BE INVOLVED IN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I THINK I MADE MYSELF VERY CLEAR YESTERDAY AND MY POSITION IS THE SAME, THAT WE PROVIDED FOR THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IN THE EXISTING MAIN ESTIMATES AT THE EXISTING LEVEL AND THAT WHEN THEY HAVE THE SESSIONAL PAPER ON THE PROPOSAL FOR EXPANSION OF THE PROGRAM HAS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO DO WITH THE EXISTING PROGRAM. IT WILL BE UP TO THE COUNCIL TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY WANT THE EXPANSION, WHEN THEY WANT IT OR WHETHER THEY WANT IT AT ALL AT THAT TIME. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY PRESUMPTUOUS TO ASSUME THAT THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM AFFECTS THE MAIN ESTIMATES THIS YEAR, THAT NEW PROPOSAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T INTEND TO GO OVER ALL THAT HAS BEEN SAID LAST NIGHT. I WOULD ASK THAT WE PUT IT TO COUNCIL, TO COMMITTEE IN THIS WAY. I WOULD MOVE THAT THE SESSIONAL PAPER RE THE OVERALL KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM THAT IS GOING TO BE PROPOSED, BE BROUGHT FORWARD TO THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME. I WOULD ASK THAT PEOPLE SUPPORT THIS PRINCIPLE SO THAT WE KNOW WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. IT DOESN'T INTERFERE WITH WHAT IS IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES AT THIS TIME. IT WOULD INDICATE TO US QUITE CLEARLY WHAT THE OVERALL COST OF AN EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM WOULD COST. I HOPE I WILL GET A SECONDER FOR THAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MR. MCKINNON: I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULD I HAVE A COPY OF THAT MOTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WILL HAVE TO WRITE IT OUT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME, WE WILL CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT THE PREPARED SESSIONAL PAPER DETAILING THE EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM BE TABLED IN COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES. ANY DISCUSSION OF THE MOTION?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, SPEAKING FOR THE MOTION, I THINK ITS BEEN THE HABIT AND CERTAINLY IT IS THE PREROGATIVE OF ANY EXECUTIVE MEMBER OR ANY MEMBER OF THE GOVERNMENT TO BRING IN SESSIONAL PAPERS AS AND WHEN THEY THINK FIT.

I WOULD THINK IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, IF THIS WENT SIX TO ONE AGAINST IT, THEY ARE STILL NOT OBLIGATED TO BRING IT IN. HOWEVER, IF YOU WANT TO GO THROUGH WITH THE MOTION, THAT'S FINE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: (LAUGHTER) OH COME ON NOW.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MY ONLY COMMENTS ARE THAT IT IS PARTLY THE REASON, AS NEAR AS I CAN SEE IT, AND AS A MEMBER OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE THAT PART OF THE REASON THAT IT WASN'T ROLLED INTO THE MAIN BUDGET IS BECAUSE OF A COMMITMENT THAT WAS MADE BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER WHO WAS AT THAT TIME, COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST AND COUNCILLOR WATSON, THAT IF AT ALL POSSIBLE THIS BUDGET WOULD BE INTRODUCED WITHOUT INCREASE IN TAXATION. IF THIS KINDERGARTEN HAD BEEN PART OF THE MAIN BUDGET, THERE IS NO WAY THAT COULD HAVE BEEN ACCOMPLISHED. THE MAKE-UP OF THE BUDGET AS IT IS NOW, HAS ABSOLUTELY OR IS NOT AFFECTED IN ANY WAY WITH THIS SESSIONAL PAPER, WHICH WILL PROVIDE A SERVICE BEYOND WHAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED IN THE PAST. FOR THAT REASON, I AM NOT GOING TO SUPPORT THE MOTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANY FURTHER DEBATE? ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY JUST A COUPLE OF WORDS. FIRSTLY, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE

RELUCTANCE ON THE PART OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO GIVE INFORMATION AS TO HOW MUCH WOULD THE OVERALL KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM BE, THAT IS TO BE PROPOSED, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS DISTURBING ME. THE RELUCTANCE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR TO ANSWER TWO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS. ONE, HOW MUCH WAS EXPECTED TO BE RAISED BY TAXATION ON TOBACCO WHICH HAS ALREADY BEEN INDICATED IN THE COMMISSIONER'S OPENING ADDRESS, WOULD BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPANDING THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM. THE RELUCTANCE OF HIM TO ANSWER THAT. THE RELUCTANCE OF SAYING HOW MUCH THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM WOULD BE ALSO TENDS TO LEAD ONE TO A VERY, VERY SUSPICIOUS STATE OF MIND.

I HAVE GOT A SUSPICIOUS STATE OF MIND IN THIS PARTICULAR POINT BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN INDICATED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON THAT THE REASON WHY THIS IS BEING PUT IN THIS MANNER IS BECAUSE THERE HAD BEEN PROMISES MADE THAT THERE WOULD BE NO EXTRA TAXES IN THIS BUDGET. I SAY THAT ONCE WE KNOW HOW MUCH THE KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM IS GOING TO COST, WE WOULD THEN BE ABLE TO SAY WHETHER OR NOT IT CAN OR CANNOT BE FOUND IN THIS BUDGET. BUT TO TAKE THE ATTITUDE THAT BECAUSE THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE SAY, THIS IS THE BUDGET, THAT IT SHOULD BE ACCEPTED WITHOUT ANY ATTEMPT TO FIND WAYS AND MEANS OF REDUCING THE BUDGET OR AMENDING IT TO FIT, AN EXPANDING PROGRAM OF KINDERGARTEN, ITS MOST IMPROPER.

YOU MIGHT JUST AS WELL SAY, THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE HAS DECIDED THIS IS THE BUDGET, THIS IS THE AMOUNT OF MONEY AND WE DON'T WANT TO HEAR ANYTHING FROM ANYBODY ELSE.

THIS IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO AND THIS IS WHAT WE ARE GOING TO SUPPORT, AND NOTHING ELSE. IT EXPECTS MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO BE ABSOLUTELY SILENT JUST SIMPLY BECAUSE THE ADMINISTRATION WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE HAVE BROUGHT THIS BUDGET FORWARD.

THERE IS A REAL INHERENT DANGER IN WHAT HAS BEEN SAID. THERE IS A GREATER DANGER FOR FUTURE COUNCILS IF THE SAME PHILOSOPHY IS GOING TO BE ADOPTED. IF THIS WAS GOING TO BE AN ENTIRELY NEW PROGRAM, IT WOULD BE AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT MATTER BUT THIS IS AN EXISTING PROGRAM WHICH IS GOING TO BE EXPANDED. THIS IS THE REASON WHY EVERYTHING PERTAINING TO THIS PROGRAM SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO COUNCIL TO MAKE UP ITS MIND ON THIS PARTICULAR AREA.

ITS BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO LENGTHEN OUT THE DEBATE AND IF I EVENTUALLY COME UP WITH THIS MOTION TO SEE WHETHER MEMBERS OF THIS COUNCIL ARE QUITE PREPARED TO SAY, NOTWITHSTANDING WHAT IS IN THIS MAIN ESTIMATES, THAT IF COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE INTERESTED IN SEEING THE WHOLE PROGRAM, THAT THEY WILL SUPPORT THE POLICY THAT COUNCIL SHOULD BE ABLE TO KNOW WHAT PROGRAM, AN EXPANDED PROGRAM THAT IS ALREADY BEEN INDICATED WITHIN THE MAIN ESTIMATES, IS GOING TO BE PUT FORWARD TO COUNCIL.

THIS IS WHY I PUT THIS MOTION, I WANT PEOPLE TO THINK ABOUT THAT. THIS IS THE ONLY REASON AT THIS TIME, SO THAT MEMBERS OF COUNCIL BECOME AWARE OF WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO. LATER ON IF YOU DON'T SUPPORT IT THERE IS GOING TO BE AN ARGUMENT PUT UP VERY STRONGLY BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO ARE GOING TO SAY, IT WAS A RESULT OF WE DO NOT WANT TO TAX THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON BY WAY OF A TOBACCO TAX. THEY ARE GOING TO SAY, SEE THESE IRRESPONSIBLE COUNCILLORS. THEY TURN DOWN KINDERGARTENS INSTEAD OF SAYING, THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE COUNCILLORS WHO DON'T WANT ANY MORE TAXATION OVER THE AREA OF TAXATIONS THAT ALREADY EXIST. THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON THIS QUESTION AT THIS TIME? I WILL READ THE MOTION AGAIN. "IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT THE PREPARED SESSIONAL PAPER DETAILING EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM BE TABLED TO COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES." ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED, DISAGREE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THOSE WHO ARE AGREED KINDLY INDICATE? THOSE DISAGREED? I SHALL DECLARE THE MOTION AS BEING DEFEATED.

MOTION DEFEATED

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER IN VOTE 3?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS ONE OUTSTANDING QUESTION FROM YESTERDAY RELATING TO ESTABLISHMENT 321. THE QUESTION WAS RESPECTING BREAKDOWN OF THE SALARIES AND

WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS. THE BREAK-DOWN IS AS FOLLOWS: PERMANENT EMPLOYEES UNDER ESTABLISHMENT 321 - THEIR ANNUAL COST IS \$516,185. FRINGE BENEFITS OF 7% ON THAT AMOUNT IS \$36,130. THE CASUAL AND CONTRACTUAL TYPE OF EMPLOYEES ANNUAL COST IS \$229,573. THEIR FRINGE BENEFITS ARE \$1,678, AND THAT WORKS OUT TO POINT 7%.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I UNDERSTOOD YESTERDAY THAT THIS \$229,000 WOULD REPRESENT SOME 24 AND A HALF PEOPLE. HOW MANY PEOPLE, LET ME ASK THE QUESTION, HOW MANY PEOPLE WAS THAT \$229,000?

Mrs. Watson: IT'S SHOWN ON PAGE 21, IS REFERRED TO ON PAGE 21. 5.5 MAN YEARS, 4.5 MAN YEARS AND 17 MAN YEARS.

Mr. Chamberlist: IT IS \$90.00 A PERSON PER YEAR CPP. AM I CORRECT Mr. CHAIRMAN? RIGHT. SO THAT YOU HAVE 27 TIMES 90. THAT SHOWS THAT IN THAT ITEM ALONE THERE IS \$2,600 AND THAT IS WITHOUT UIC, WITHOUT HOLIDAY PAY WHICH IS A FRINGE BENEFIT.

Mr. Miller: Mr. CHAIRMAN, HOLIDAY PAY IS CHARGED AS SALARIES AND WAGES. THE CPP IS \$90.00 PER ANNUM FOR ANYONE EARNING INDIVIDUALLY SOMETHING LIKE \$9,600, OR \$9,000. THESE PEOPLE OR MOST OF THEM WOULDN'T PAY THE \$90. PER ANNUM BECAUSE THEY WOULDN'T EARN THAT MUCH.

WE ARE TALKING 27 MAN YEARS, I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE THAT WOULD COVER BUT PROBABLY IT WOULD BE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 40 WHO WOULD BE WORKING PART YEARS.

Mr. Chamberlist: IN THE BREAKDOWN IN 21, BRACKETED YOU'VE GOT VOCATIONAL SCHOOL AS CONTRACT. ARE THEY EMPLOYEES OF A CASUAL NATURE OR ARE THEY UNDER A CONTRACT WITH A FIXED AMOUNT OF MONIES. NOW, THE EXPLANATION WE HAVE HERE SAID THAT THEY ARE CONTRACTUAL EMPLOYEES. NOW, CAN WE GET AN EXPLANATION OF THAT?

Mr. Miller: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN. NORMALLY WHEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THESE 5 AND A HALF MAN YEARS, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT INSTRUCTORS WHO ARE HIRED FOR A SPECIFIC COURSE FOR A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME AND THEY ARE ON A CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT. THEY ARE NOT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE BECAUSE THEY ARE A FIXED TYPE OF TERM EMPLOYEE FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE.

Mr. Chamberlist: IN THE, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF Mr. MILLER CAN INDICATE BECAUSE I HAVEN'T LOOKED IT UP. IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE ORDINANCE ARE THERE ANY PROVISIONS FOR EMPLOYEES WORKING UNDER CONTRACT PERIODS.

Mr. Miller: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, I DON'T KNOW THE PUBLIC SERVICE ORDINANCE THAT WELL, BUT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THIS ARRANGEMENT HAS BEEN CLEARED WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE STAFF ASSOCIATION AND THEY ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH OUR METHOD OF HANDLING THESE TYPES OF EMPLOYEES.

Mr. Chamberlist: I'M GETTING CONFUSED, WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT, Mr. CHAIRMAN, ARE THEY CASUAL EMPLOYEES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. LET'S DROP THE WORD "CONTRACT" OUT SO THAT WE CAN GET A CLEAR IDEA OF WHAT REALLY EXISTS. ARE THEY CASUAL EMPLOYEES OF THE YUKON TERRITORY?

Mr. Miller: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THEY ARE NOT CASUAL, THEY ARE TERM EMPLOYEES. SPECIFIED TERMS, SPECIFIED PURPOSE, SPECIFIED FUNCTION THAT THEY FULFILL. ONE COULD SAY THAT THEY ARE A FORM OF CASUAL EMPLOYEES BUT THEY ARE A TERM EMPLOYEE.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK A QUESTION? IS THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER IN CHARGE, HAS HE GOT A COPY OF LAST YEAR'S BUDGET HERE? I DON'T HAVE MINE HANDY, COULD YOU POSSIBLY SEE WHAT THE DESCRIPTION OF THESE EMPLOYEES UNDER 321 WAS LAST YEAR?

Mr. Miller: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE DIDN'T DESIGNATE THEM IN THIS FASHION LAST YEAR.

Mr. Chamberlist: THIS IS WHY IT'S SOMETHING DIFFERENT AND THIS IS WHY I AM ASKING WHAT IS THE MEANING OF IT. WE CERTAINLY HAD CASUAL EMPLOYEES LAST YEAR BUT THIS CONTRACTUAL EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP IS SOMETHING THAT I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH AT ALL.

Mr. Miller: WELL, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER I CAN GIVE YOU A SPECIFIC COURSE BUT LET'S TAKE A HYPOTHETICAL SITUATION. O.K. THE LOG HOUSE BUILDING COURSE. WE KNOW THAT THAT PROGRAM IS GOING ON FOR, LET'S SAY TEN WEEKS. WE DON'T GO OUT AND HIRE A PERMANENT EMPLOYEE OR CASUAL EMPLOYEE TO UNDERTAKE THAT. WE LOOK FOR SOMEONE FROM THE COMMUNITY WHO HAS SOME EXPERISE IN THAT AREA AND WE HIRE HIM AS A TERM EMPLOYEE FOR THE PERIOD OF THAT TEN WEEK COURSE AT SO MUCH PER HOUR OR SO MUCH FOR THE COURSE

AND THIS IS WHY WE SAY HE IS A CONTRACTUAL TYPE EMPLOYEE. HE ACTUALLY SIGNS A CONTRACT THAT SPELLS OUT HIS TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT, WHAT HE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR, WHAT HIS RATE OF PAY IS GOING TO BE AND THIS TYPE OF THING.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I BELIEVE IT IS VERY SIMILAR TO THE TYPE OF CONTRACT THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR ARRANGING BETWEEN THE GROUP HOME OPERATORS AND THE GOVERNMENT. IT'S VERY SIMILAR TO THAT TYPE OF CONTRACT AND I BELIEVE YOU WERE THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER RESPONSIBLE FOR INITIATING THAT METHOD OF EMPLOYING GROUP HOME SUPERVISORS.

INTO THE CONTRACT YOU'VE BUILT THE VARIOUS REIMBURSEMENTS FOR VARIOUS SERVICE THAT THEY PERFORM.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: EXCEPT THAT THE DIFFERENCE WAS THEY WERE ACTUALLY UNDER CONTRACT.

Mrs. WATSON: THESE PEOPLE ARE UNDER CONTRACT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: JUST HEAR ME OUT. THEY WERE UNDER CONTRACT BY WAY OF BEING PAID A PER DIEM RATE FOR THE WORK THAT WAS CARRIED ON. THEY HAD NO UIC DEDUCTED OR THEY HAD NO CANADA PENSION DEDUCTED. THAT IS AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT TYPE OF OPERATION. THESE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS IS WHERE I'M A LITTLE BIT MIXED UP. THESE PEOPLE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE ACTUALLY ON A PAYROLL WHEREAS THE PEOPLE WHO ARE EMPLOYED IN THE GROUP HOMES, UNDER CONTRACT, WERE NOT ON THE PAYROLL. AM I CORRECT IN THIS?

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT, Mr. CHAIRMAN. THEY ARE SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT TYPES OF CONTRACTS BUT THE BASIC PRINCIPLE THAT WE FOUND WITH THESE PEOPLE AT THE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL AND AT ONE TIME WE DID HIRE THEM AS CONTRACTORS BUT THE DIFFERENCE THAT WE FOUND WAS THAT WE DO HAVE UNDER THE TERMS OF THE INCOME TAX ACT AND THE CPP ACT, WE DO HAVE AN EMPLOYER/EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP. AS SUCH, WE MUST PAY THEM AND DEDUCT INCOME TAX AND CPP. SO WE HAVE ARRANGED TO HANDLE THEM IN THIS FASHION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING ELSE IN VOTE 3?

SOME MEMBERS: CLEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NEXT VOTE IS VOTE 4.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE THERE ARE NO OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS IN VOTE 4, OR IN VOTE 5.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: JUST FOR THE RECORD'S SAKE, IN VOTE 4, I JUST WANTED TO GET ON RECORD, DO I TAKE IT THAT THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD BE CONSIDERING THE DIVISION BETWEEN THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF TERRITORIAL AGENT AND LIQUOR VENDOR IN WATSON LAKE FOR NEXT YEAR'S ESTIMATES?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WE CONTINUE TO LOOK AT THE PROBLEM, APPARENT PROBLEM, AND WE WILL DO SO AGAIN NEXT YEAR. IT IS OUR INTENTION AT SOME POINT THAT THESE FUNCTIONS BE SPLIT NOT ONLY IN WATSON LAKE BUT IN OTHER AREAS AS WELL WHEN THE DEMAND ON THE AGENTS, COMBINED AGENTS, GETS TO THE POINT WHERE IT NEEDS TO BE A SPLIT FUNCTION.

NOW, I CAN'T GIVE THIS COUNCIL A COMMITMENT THAT WE WILL DO ANYTHING NEXT YEAR. I WILL SAY THAT WE WILL HAVE ANOTHER LOOK AT IT WHEN PREPARING NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. IT'S ONE OF THESE THINGS, WE ARE GETTING NO PRESSURE FROM OUR INTERNAL DEPARTMENTS IN THIS RESPECT AND THEY ARE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TO APPLY FOR THIS, YOU KNOW.

I WILL GIVE THE COMMITMENT THAT WE WILL LOOK AT IT AGAIN NEXT YEAR.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T HEAR WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ASKING. WAS HE ASKING WHETHER WE SPLIT THE FUNCTION OF THE LIQUOR AGENT AND THE TERRITORIAL AGENT? IS THAT THE QUESTION HE WAS ASKING?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: YES, IN WATSON LAKE. JUST A FURTHER QUESTION, IF I MAY BE PERMITTED, FROM THE CHAIR. ALSO, I INTENDED, WHEN WE DEALT WITH THESE ESTIMATES, TO RAISE THE QUESTION AGAIN OF THE NEED FOR A TERRITORIAL AGENT IN THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN AND POSSIBLY CARMACKS. IS THIS BEING GIVEN CONSIDERATION AND WILL IT BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION NEXT YEAR?

Mr. MILLER: YES, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THESE ARE TWO ITEMS THAT WILL BE GIVEN CONSIDERATION. I THINK WE WILL HAVE TO REVIEW AGAIN NEXT YEAR WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE IN THOSE TWO COMMUNITIES, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO AVAILABILITY OF SPACE AND THE REAL NEED IN THE COMMUNITY FOR THE SERVICES. YES, THIS IS AN ONGOING REVIEW THAT WE DO EVERY YEAR.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE ITEM. UNDER ESTABLISHMENT No. 400, IT SHOWS THE EMPLOYMENT LIAISON OFFICER, ONE MAN YEAR. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS TRANSFER TO THE TERRI-

TORIAL GOVERNMENT DOES NOT TAKE PLACE UNTIL THE FIRST OF NOVEMBER. WHY ARE WE ASKING FOR THE WHOLE YEAR'S SALARY IN THIS VOTE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS QUITE CORRECT. THE TRANSFER TAKES PLACE AS OF NOVEMBER AND WE MADE PROVISIONS IN THE ESTIMATES FOR ONE-HALF OF THE ANNUAL SALARY FOR THIS EMPLOYEE.

MR. TANNER: AS A MATTER OF INTEREST, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WILL BE INTERESTED TO HEAR WE USED THE SAME METHOD RIGHT ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE BUDGET. WHERE WE WEREN'T SURE WE WEREN'T GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET A NEW EMPLOYEE UNTIL JUNE OR JULY WE'VE ADJUSTED THE BUDGET, THE FINANCIAL SIDE OF THE BUDGET, TO REFLECT THIS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS IS AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT ITEM. HERE IS A FEDERAL EMPLOYEE WHO IS BEING TRANSFERRED TO YTG EFFECTIVE THE FIRST OF NOVEMBER. NOW, WE ARE ASKING FOR ONE MAN YEAR WHICH IS A FULL MAN YEAR OF THAT MAN'S SALARY IN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR.

PERHAPS MR. MILLER CAN INDICATE THE FULL YEAR OF THAT MAN'S SALARY, FRINGE BENEFITS, EVERYTHING TOO. MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M ASKING FOR SOME ANSWERS FROM MR. MILLER. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SHOULD NOT BE JUMPING UP LIKE A JACK-IN-THE-BOX UNTIL I GET THESE ANSWERS.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER IS JUMPING UP LIKE A JACK-IN-THE-BOX BECAUSE THE JACK-IN-THE-BOX IS JUMPING UP ALL THE TIME ASKING FRIVOLOUS AND STUPID AND RIDICULOUS AND WASTING TIME ON QUESTIONS. WHAT I EXPLAINED TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, IT MIGHT REFLECT THE MAN YEARS BUT IT DOESN'T REFLECT ON THE DOLLARS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS QUITE RIGHT. HE THOUGHT HE EXPLAINED IT AND HIS THINKING WAS BAD IN THIS AREA. NOW I WONDER IF I CAN GET FROM MR. MILLER THE AMOUNT OF THAT TOTAL MAN'S PAY AND FRINGE BENEFITS.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ANNUAL COST FOR THIS EMPLOYEE WOULD BE \$16,500 FOR SALARY AND FRINGE BENEFITS. WE HAVE INCLUDED IN THESE ESTIMATES \$8,244.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, HOW DO WE SHOW THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE CAN ONLY ACCEPT NOW WHAT MR. MILLER SAYS IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT HE HAD ASKED FOR ONE FULL PERSON. NOW, WE HAVEN'T GOT A BREAKDOWN HERE SHOWING ALL THESE PAYS AND HOW

THEY HAVE COME ABOUT. PERHAPS WE CAN BE SUPPLIED WITH THAT INFORMATION TO SHOW THIS THING.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING IN A THURSDAY OR FRIDAY OF LAST WEEK, WE CLEARED VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5. WE AGREED TO VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5. NOW THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ABSENT THOSE TWO DAYS. NOW I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD GO BACK TO REVIEW IN ANY MORE DETAIL THAN WAS DONE ON THE LAST TWO DAYS. THESE TWO VOTES. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAD ANY QUESTIONS HE COULD HAVE LEFT THEM WITH ONE OF THE OTHER COUNCILLORS TO BE ASKED AND HAVE THE INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE TO HIM. BUT HE CHOSE TO BE ABSENT. THE OPPORTUNITY WAS GIVEN AT THAT TIME TO THOROUGHLY REVIEW THESE TWO VOTES AND AT THE PRESENT TIME IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING WE ARE GOING THROUGH THE VOTES AND ANSWERING ANY OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS THAT AROSE AS A RESULT OF OUR FIRST REVIEW.

THEREFORE, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE CONTINUE ON TO VOTE 4, 5 AND ON TO VOTE 6, BECAUSE VOTES 4 AND 5 WERE ALREADY CLEARED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST FROM THE CHAIR, IT IS CERTAINLY NOT THE PRACTICE AND IT HAS NEVER BEEN DONE THAT WE REFUSE, AS LONG AS THE BUDGET IS OPEN, FOR ANY MEMBER TO ASK ANY QUESTION IN RELATION TO ANY PART OF IT BUT WE ATTEMPTED TO MAINTAIN ORDER BY TAKING A PRELIMINARY REVIEW AND CLEARING THE ITEMS. WE DO GO BACK AND IN COMING BACK FOR THE SECOND REVIEW, YOU ARE WELCOME AND ENTITLED TO RAISE QUESTIONS IN ANY PART OF THAT VOTE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, YOU'RE SETTING YOUR OWN RULES AT THIS TIME FOR THIS SESSION. NOW, I THINK YOU ARE, YOU CARRY OUT THE WISHES OF THIS HOUSE, I THINK THIS IS THE ROLE OF THE CHAIRMAN AND I RECALL A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON CITY WAS ABSENT. HE WAS REPRESENTING THE GOVERNMENT WHILE WE WERE DISCUSSING THE BUDGET AND HE WAS TOLD HE COULD ASK ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE HE LEFT. IT WOULD ALL BE CLEARED AND WE WOULD NOT (HE AGREED), WE WOULD NOT GO BACK AND REVIEW THE BUDGET AGAIN. I SUBMIT AT THIS TIME THAT IF ANY OF THESE VOTES WERE CLEARED ON THE PRELIMINARY REVIEW, WE SHOULD NOT ENTER INTO A DETAILED DISCUSSION AT THIS TIME IN GOING OVER IT A SECOND TIME. THIS TIME IS TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE OUTSTANDING FROM THE FIRST REVIEW.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF TREASURY,

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST ONE MOMENT, I THINK I SHOULD SPEAK TO THAT AS CHAIRMAN. I DON'T KNOW WHERE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS THE IDEA THAT THIS IS OUT OF ORDER. THIS IS QUITE IN ORDER AND ITS ALWAYS BEEN THE POLICY OF COMMITTEE, CERTAINLY AS LONG AS THE 13 YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN IN THIS HOUSE THAT WHILE THE BILL IS OPEN AND MORE PARTICULARLY IN THE MAIN ESTIMATES, THE BIG PROBLEM IS MAINTAINING SOME SEMBLANCE OF ORDER TO IT AS WE GO THROUGH. THIS IS WHY WE TAKE THE FIRST REVIEW AS ALL MEMBERS AGREED WE WOULD, THEN WE COME BACK AND USUALLY A PERIOD OF TIME EVOLVES IN COMING BACK TO THE ESTIMATES AND INFORMATION CAN BE GOT.

IN THIS CASE, WE HAVEN'T GIVEN THIS PERIOD OF TIME AND WE ARE COMING BACK OVER IT. IT IS COMPETANT FOR ANY MEMBER IN COMMITTEE TO QUESTION ANY PART OF BILL NO. 3 AND WHAT IT CONTAINS. IT ONLY REMAINS THE ORDER THAT WE FOLLOW. THE ORDER THAT WE ARE FOLLOWING, IS THE ORDER WHICH HAS BEEN FOLLOWED IN THIS HOUSE FOR YEARS AND I WOULD IMAGINE IN OTHER HOUSES AS WELL. I COULDN'T SPEAK FOR THEM. I WOULDN'T, PLEASE, BE TOO DISTURBED. PERHAPS MEMBERS ARE A LITTLE UPTIGHT TODAY BUT I THINK AT ANY TIME A MEMBER HAS A QUESTION TO ASK IN RELATION TO THE VOTE, WE ARE NOW CLEARING VOTES IN FACT. IT IS QUITE COMPETANT TO DISCUSS ANYTHING RELATING TO THAT DEPARTMENT.

Mrs. WATSON: AS I SAID, WE DISCUSS IT THE WAY THE COMMITTEE WANTS TO DISCUSS IT. I WOULD LIKE TO REFRESH YOUR MEMORY. FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS, THERE WAS NEVER, BUT NEVER, A SECOND REVIEW DONE OF THE BUDGET. WE REVIEWED IT COMPLETELY THE FIRST TIME AND QUESTIONS WERE ANSWERED AND MANY TIMES, QUESTIONS WERE NOT BROUGHT FORTH UNTIL AFTER THE BUDGET HAD BEEN CLEARED FROM THE COMMITTEE.

IN FACT, I RECALL I HAD QUESTIONS REGARDING VOTE 03. THE ANSWERS WERE NOT MADE AVAILABLE UNTIL AFTER COUNCIL HAD DISSOLVED AND I SENT THE QUESTIONS OUT TO THE COUNCILLORS AT THEIR HOMES. WE ARE NOT LOOKING AT PRECEDENTS THAT HAVE BEEN SET BEFORE. YOU SORT OF SOUPED YOUR OWN RULES AS WE GO ALONG. I SAY, THAT VOTE 04 AND VOTE 05 WERE CLEARED BY THIS HOUSE ON THURSDAY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I HAVE RULED IN THIS MATTER AND

I HAVE RULED THAT THIS IS THE PROCEDURE THAT WE FOLLOW. PERHAPS, IT WASN'T INTENDED BY THE MEMBER TO INDICATE THAT THERE IS SUPPOSED TO BE ANY STIFLING OF INFORMATION BY VIRTUE AND DUE PROCESS THAT SHE SUGGESTS. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN AND IT IS PARLIAMENTARY PRACTICE TO GIVE EVERY MEMBER EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK INTO ANY QUESTION RESPECTING THE BUDGET OR ANY BILL OR ANY MATTER BEFORE THE HOUSE. IT IS THE DUTY OF THE SPEAKER AND THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE TO ENSURE THAT EVERY MEMBER DOES HAVE THAT RIGHT. TO THIS TIME, WE HAVE NOT DETRACTED FROM NORMAL PROCEDURE AND WE ONLY FOLLOW THE PROCEDURES THAT WERE LAID DOWN IN THIS HOUSE YEARS AGO THAT HAVE BEEN FOLLOWED FOR THESE YEARS.

I WOULD RULE THAT IF ANY MEMBER HAS ANYTHING IN VOTE 04 TO DISCUSS OR ANY VOTE THAT WE ARE GOING THROUGH HERE, NOW IS THE TIME. WE ARE ON OUR SECOND REVIEW.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I AM GOING TO CHALLENGE THE SPEAKER'S RULING ON THIS BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THE CHAIRMAN IS CORRECT. I WISH THE CHAIRMAN WOULDN'T CONTINUALLY SAY THAT HE IS MAKING A RULE WITHOUT AT LEAST GETTING THE OPINIONS OF MORE THAN ONE OR TWO MEMBERS IN COUNCIL BECAUSE HE IS PUTTING HIMSELF IN THE POSITION WHERE WE'VE GOT TO CHALLENGE HIM BECAUSE I DON'T THINK HE IS CORRECT.

TO MY MIND, WHAT WE'VE DONE IN THE PAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN HERE IS THAT WE HAVE HAD A DETAILED REVIEW. WE'VE HAD THE QUESTIONS BROUGHT FORWARD, THE QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN ANSWERED, THEN WE'VE CLEARED THE ITEM, THEN WE'VE GONE FORWARD WITH AN OVERALL REVIEW OF THE TOTAL BUDGET. ALL MEMBERS HAVE SPOKEN ON ANY PARTICULAR AREA THAT HAS TOUCHED THEM. BUT, MY GOD MR. CHAIRMAN, WE COULD BE HERE FOR A YEAR AND A HALF DISCUSSING THIS BUDGET IF YOU ARE GOING TO GO OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN TALKING TO IT IN DETAIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WISH YOU WOULD RETRACT THAT RULING BECAUSE OTHERWISE I AM GOING TO CHALLENGE IT BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU ARE CORRECT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. JUST FOR THE EDIFICATION OF COMMITTEE, I DON'T KNOW WHERE EVERYBODY HAS GONE ASTRAY OR WHERE EVERYBODY HAS GONE TO SLEEP HERE FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS BUT THE PROCESS HAS NEVER CHANGED IN COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES FROM THE PROCESS WE ARE NOW FOLLOWING. THERE ARE AT LEAST TWO REVIEWS

OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES. THE FIRST HAS BEEN COMPLETED YESTERDAY. NOW, A SECOND REVIEW IS TAKING PLACE. THERE IS NO CHANGE IN POLICY AND I JUST CAUTION THE MEMBER THAT THIS IS THE SITUATION. IF THERE IS TO BE A CHANGE FROM THIS, IT WOULD BE AT THE DIRECTION OF COMMITTEE. I AM CONDUCTING THIS COMMITTEE IN THE SAME MANNER AND I AM AT THE DIRECTION OF COMMITTEE IN THIS REGARD. I HAVE NOT CHANGED ONE iota FROM THE POLICIES IN THE LAST 13 YEARS IN THIS HOUSE. A SECOND REVIEW OF THE BUDGET HAS ALWAYS BEEN CONDUCTED IN THIS HOUSE. CERTAINLY AS LONG AS I HAVE BEEN IN THE CHAIR, COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE ONLY THING I WAS GOING TO POINT OUT IS THAT IN PART, I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU 100%. WE DO HAVE A SECOND REVIEW BUT IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, THERE IS NO NEED FOR A SECOND REVIEW IN THESE TWO VOTES. AT FIRST REVIEW, FIRST TIME THROUGH THE HOUSE, THEY WERE CLEARED. WE HAVE JUST HEARD FROM MR. ADMINISTRATOR OR FROM THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER THAT THERE ARE NO OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS IN VOTE 04 & 05. IF IT WERE CLEARED THE FIRST TIME, IN MY OPINION THEY WERE CLEARED THE OTHER DAY, THEN WHAT IS THE POINT OF GOING THROUGH THEM A SECOND TIME.

NATURALLY WE GO THROUGH SOMETHING A SECOND TIME IF THERE ARE OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. THE ONLY POINT BEHIND DOING IT THIS WAY, IT IS THE DEMOCRATIC WAY, IT IS THE WAY THAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN FOLLOWED. IF SOMEONE MISSED THE QUESTION IN THE FIRST REVIEW OF THE BUDGET AND RECALLS IT AND WANTS TO PICK IT UP IN THE FINAL REVIEW OR IN THE SECOND REVIEW, THIS IS WHERE THE OPPORTUNITY IS GIVEN TO THE MEMBER TO RAISE THE QUESTION AND GET THE ANSWER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE, MR. CHAIRMAN. MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK ONE CAN LOOK BACK ON ALL MY YEARS IN COUNCIL AND IT IS VERY, VERY RARE THAT I'M AWAY FROM MY DUTIES IN COUNCIL. VERY RARE. I DOUBT WHETHER I CAN RECALL ANOTHER OCCASION WHERE I'VE BEEN AWAY LONGER THAN A HALF DAY FOR ANY PARTICULAR REASON.

I NOTICE THAT A VERY IMPORTANT VOTE THAT I WAS VERY, VERY CONCERNED WITH AND HAVE LOTS OF

QUESTIONS TO ASK, HAS BEEN DEALT WITH. THAT'S THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION VOTE HAS BEEN DEALT WITH, WITHOUT ME BEING HERE.

NOW BECAUSE I KNEW THAT IT WOULD COME BACK FOR ITS SECOND REVIEW AND AS HAD BEEN THE PROCEDURE, I WAS AWAY, AND IF I COULD HAVE NOT BEEN AWAY, I WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE BEEN HERE. THIS QUESTION MR. CHAIRMAN, ON THIS PARTICULAR VOTE IS ONE QUESTION THAT I ASK AT THIS TIME, I HAVEN'T GONE INTO DETAILS OR ASKED ANYTHING ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE. I KNOW NOW BECAUSE OF THE RELUCTANCE AGAIN, THAT I HAVE PINPOINTED WHERE \$8,250 CAN BE TAKEN OFF OF THIS \$427,200.

MR. TANNER: WHAT IS THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE, MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. CHAIRMAN: YOU'VE GOT ME. I'VE LOST TRACK OF THIS THING. IS THIS A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE? MAY I KNOW YOUR QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S QUITE SIMPLE, YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE IS THIS. BECAUSE IT WAS AGREED AND HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE CASE THAT SECOND REVIEWS ARE GIVEN OF ALL ITEMS BEFORE THEY ARE PASSED, THAT IS THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE ON WHICH I STOOD UP TO ASK MY SPECIFIC QUESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST FOR THE EDIFICATION OF THE MEMBER YOU ARE PARTIALLY CORRECT, FIRST REVIEW IS GIVEN ON ALL ITEMS, SECOND REVIEW IS A GENERAL VOTE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S RIGHT. IT'S A GENERAL VOTE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE THAT VOTES 4 AND 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT FURTHER QUESTIONS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH WHAT - - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: THAT'S ALRIGHT, IF SHE WANTS TO EFFECT CLOSURE SHE DOES IT AT HER OWN PERIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S CLOSURE. YOU ALLOW THIS?

MR. STUTTER: I GO RIGHT ALONG WITH IT. THESE TWO VOTES HAVE BEEN CLEARED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S NOT, IT'S STILL IN COMMITTEE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: MAY I HAVE THE MOTION PLEASE.

Mrs. WATSON: I MOVE THAT VOTES 4 AND 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT FURTHER QUESTIONS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: NOW RON LET'S SEE HOW MUCH A MAN YOU ARE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT FURTHER QUESTION. IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER, THAT VOTES 4 AND 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT FURTHER QUESTION. HAVE YOU ANY DEBATE ON THE MOTION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I HAVE GOT TO SAY SOMETHING ON THIS ONE. Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT'S A BLACK DAY FOR THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL WHEN TWO ELECTED PEOPLE, AND I'VE INDICATED AT LEAST ANOTHER ONE, ARE GOING TO USE A METHOD OF CLOSURE PROCEEDINGS WHEN DEALING WITH A BUDGET. EVEN IF IT WAS FOR \$1.00 TO CLOSE ANY DEBATE ON IT IT SHOWS HOW IRRESPONSIBLE ELECTED PEOPLE ARE.

Mr. STUTTER: TWO YEARS AGO - REMEMBER?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: SHOUTING.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: CLOSURE NEVER TOOK PLACE BEFORE, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER.

Mr. STUTTER: ON THE WHOLE BUDGET TWO YEARS AGO.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: NO. IT WAS ALWAYS DEALT WITH IN COUNCIL. NOBODY WAS EVER STOPPED FROM ASKING QUESTIONS ON IT. NOW, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I SEE THE ACTIONS OF COUNCILLOR WATSON WHO IS SAYING "SIT DOWN. I FEEL EMBARRASSED FOR YOU", AND STUFF OF THIS PARTICULAR DESCRIPTION. IT SHOWS WHAT WEAKNESS THAT THIS COUNCIL NOW EXISTS AND THE SOONER WE PACK IT UP, EVERYBODY PACK IT UP, THE BETTER. IF THEY DO ATTEMPT TO RUN FOR OFFICE AGAIN, IF THEY ATTEMPT IT, THE PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO SPEAK AND SHOW WHAT TYPE OF PEOPLE THEY REALLY ARE. Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M DISGUSTED WITH THESE PEOPLE THAT CALL THEMSELVES REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PEOPLE.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'VE BEEN TRYING TO FIND IN THE VOTES & PROCEEDINGS EXACTLY WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE

EAST HAS SAID TRANSPIRED ACTUALLY DID TRANSPIRE BECAUSE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE ASKED DURING THE DEBATE WHETHER VOTE 4 COULD NOT BE QUESTIONED OR NOT BE TAKEN AT THIS TIME BECAUSE HE KNEW THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST HAD MANY QUESTIONS CONCERNING THAT VOTE THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO ASK COUNCIL. OF COURSE, IT WAS GONE ALONG WITH AND GONE ALONG WITH ME ON THE KNOWLEDGE THAT IN ALL THE YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN AT THIS TABLE THERE HAS ALWAYS BEEN A SECOND REVIEW OF THE LEGISLATION. IT'S PRETTY IRONIC NOW BECAUSE I'VE BEEN CLOSED ON MY DEBATE ON BUDGET FROM EVERY MEMBER AND EVERY SIDE OF THIS HOUSE.

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST DID IT TO ME SEVERAL YEARS AGO WHEN HE HAD A NIGHT SITTING WHICH EFFECTIVELY CLOSED OFF DEBATE ON THE BUDGET. NOW THE CHICKENS HAVE COME HOME TO ROOST AND THE OTHER RATS ARE GOING AROUND AND CLOSING HIM OFF. I CAN ONLY SAY I HAVE BEEN CLOSED OFF BY ALL THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS. I AGREE WITH HIM ON THIS POINT. I DISAGREED WITH HIM TWO YEARS AGO ON HIS METHODS AND I HAVE ALWAYS STOOD AT THIS TABLE AND BEEN CONSISTENT OVER A LOT OF YEARS HERE THAT DEBATE SHOULD BE ALLOWED ON SECOND READING IN THE BUDGET. WE HAVE GIVEN A FIRST REVIEW. MEMBERS SHOULD BE ALLOWED QUESTIONS ON THE SECOND REVIEW OF THE BUDGET. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN MY STAND AND I WON'T SWAY FROM IT. THE MEMBERS ARE MAKING A MISTAKE. YOU ARE MAKING A MISTAKE. A MISTAKE WAS MADE WHEN PREVIOUS ATTEMPTS WERE MADE AT CLOSURE. I'M SORRY TO SAY YOU HAVE JUST FALLEN INTO THE SAME TRAP THAT WE WERE LET INTO SEVERAL YEARS AGO TOO.

Mr. TANNER: QUESTION, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED. ARE YOU AGREED? WOULD THOSE AGREED WITH THE MOTION KINDLY INDICATE. I SHALL DECLARE THAT THE MOTION IS CARRIED. YES IT'S ABOUT TIME FOR AN ELECTION.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT A QUESTION TO THE CHAIR. HERE WE HAVE HAD A WAY THAT HAS GONE ALONG FOR SOME THIRTEEN YEARS IN YOUR EXPERIENCE IN COUNCIL. NOW THE WAY THE CHAIR IS TRYING TO CONDUCT THE COMMITTEE HAS BEEN OVERRULED OVER A LONG PRECEDENCE OF TRADITION. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK, Mr. CHAIRMAN, WHAT HIS STATUS OF CHAIRMAN IN THIS COMMITTEE IS. OBVIOUSLY HE NO LONGER HAS

THE CONFIDENCE OF THIS HOUSE. HE KNOWS THE PRECEDENT. HE KNOWS THE TRADITION. HE'S BEEN FOLLOWING IT. HE'S BEEN FOLLOWING IT FAITHFULLY AS TO WHAT I KNOW HAS BEEN THE PRECEDENT AND THE TRADITION IN IT, AND HE HAS BEEN OVERRULED BY THIS COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL. TO ME I CAN'T THINK OF A MORE BLATANT VOTE OF NON-CONFIDENCE IN THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE CHAIRMAN'S FUTURE STATUS AS CHAIRMAN OF THIS COMMITTEE IS.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN IS FORCED TO ANSWER THIS THE TWO HONOURABLE MEMBERS FROM WHITEHORSE EAST AND WEST ARE SAYING IT IS A PRECEDENT THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. I'M SAYING IT IS NOT A PRECEDENT THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST WILL RECALL, WHEN HE WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THAT BUDGET WAS PUT THROUGH IN A MATTER OF SHOULD WE SAY HOURS. FIRST REVIEW PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENTS. FIRST REVIEW - NO CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO THE SECOND REVIEW. IS THIS PRECEDENT FOR THE PAST THIRTEEN YEARS? YOU CAN STAND UP AND TALK ABOUT PRECEDENT ALL YOU WANT TO. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, THE CHAIRMAN, HAS SAID TIME AFTER TIME WE CAN SET THE RULES THAT WE WANT FOR THE PROCEDURE. WHEN I WAS ACCUSED IN THIS HOUSE HE STOOD UP AND EVERY MEMBER SAID YES, WE CAN SET OUR OWN RULES. WE ARE SAYING NOW WE GO THROUGH FOR THE FIRST REVIEW - ANY QUESTIONS WE WILL REVIEW THOSE QUESTIONS AGAIN IN THE SECOND REVIEW. I DON'T THINK WE ARE BREAKING ANY PRECEDENT THAT ANY OTHER CHAIRMAN OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE HAS NOT BROKEN IN THE PAST.

Mr. McKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PRESENTATION OF THE BUDGET BY THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS SO COMPLETELY KNOWLEDGEABLE IN COMPARISON TO THE PRESENTATION BY THE PRESENT EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE THAT THERE WEREN'T QUESTIONS AFTER THE FIRST READING. IF THERE HAD OF BEEN, FULL LEEWAY WOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN BY ALL MEMBERS TO ASK FURTHER QUESTIONS.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THAT.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: SHOUTING.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. I WILL CALL

ORDER AT THIS TIME.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN - - -

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. THE QUESTION HAS ARISEN - - -

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN - - -

Mr. McKINNON: YOU BUNCH OF POLITICAL BABIES.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: MAY I HAVE ORDER IN THE HOUSE PLEASE. I HAVE HAD A PRETTY DIFFICULT TIME OF IT TRYING TO MAKE SENSE AND ORDER OUT OF THIS COMMITTEE FOR THE LAST THREE YEARS. UP TO THAT TIME IT WAS A LOT OF HARD WORK BUT IT WAS A JOY DURING THE TIME I HAVE SPENT IN THIS COMMITTEE I DON'T MIND THE HARD WORK. IT IS A LOT OF WORK. IT ENTAILS YOU COME TO THIS CHAMBER FROM 6 AND 7 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING AND YOU DON'T LEAVE UNTIL 5 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT. I HAVE TAKEN ABUSE FROM JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY IN ONE POINT IN TIME OR ANOTHER. I HAVE HAD THREATS, ACCUSATIONS AND EVERYTHING ELSE AND PERHAPS IN MY OWN WAY I HAVE BEEN SOMEHOW ABLE TO SMOOTH SOME OF THE TROUBLED WATERS IN COMMITTEE AND CONDUCT THE COMMITTEE IN THE MANNER IT SHOULD BE CONDUCTED. WHILE SITTING IN THE CHAIR, THE HOUSE, IN THE LAST SESSION ON TWO OR THREE OCCASIONS IN AN EFFORT TO GET MEMBERS TO SERIOUSLY CONSIDER THE DECOR OF THE HOUSE, PROCEDURES OF THE HOUSE, I READ AND CITED FROM BEAUCHESNE. I HAVE CITED THEM ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS OBVIOUSLY TO NO AVAIL. I PERSONALLY IN THE CHAIR CAN'T DECIDE THE ISSUE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. I DON'T LIKE TO GET LOCKED INTO THESE PERSONALITY CONFLICTS. I MUST BE AS FAIR TO ONE AS FAIR TO THE OTHER, AND HAVE ATTEMPTED TO BE SO. AS I STATED EARLIER IN THIS MATTER, THIS HAS BEEN A PROCEDURE OF THE HOUSE FOR THIRTEEN YEARS.

IF SOMEONE HAS MISSED IT THEY HAVE CERTAINLY BEEN SLEEPING FOR AT LEAST THE LAST THREE YEARS. THE PROCEDURE HAS NOT CHANGED. THE MOTION THAT HAS JUST BEEN PROPOSED IS IN EFFECT A MOTION OF CLOSURE WHICH IS VERY UNFORTUNATE. WE HAVE HAD THIS TYPE OF THING BEFORE IN OTHER BILLS IN THE LAST TWO OR THREE YEARS - NEVER BEFORE. TO EFFECT CLOSURE ON THE BUDGET IS PRETTY TOUGH. FROM MY OWN PERSONAL PART I AM KIND OF STUCK IN THE CHAIR AND THROUGH THE GOOD GRACES OF COMMITTEE I HAVE BEEN PERMITTED FROM TIME TO TIME TO ASK QUESTIONS. I HAVE A QUESTION IN RELATION TO VOTE 5 WHICH ARISES OUT OF

DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE TABLED ONLY YESTERDAY MORNING IN THE HOUSE. I HAVE NOT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS ON BEHALF OF MY CONSTITUENTS THE WISHES AND DESIRES IN RELATION TO PROVISIONS - BUDGET - FOR MATTERS MORE PARTICULARLY IN THE TERMS OF THE WATSON LAKE HOSPITAL. I AM NOW DENIED THAT BY YOUR COMMITTEE.

I HAVE BEEN INSULTED THIS MORNING IN RECESS AND MANY RECESSES ABOUT THE QUESTION. WHAT I HAVE REALLY GOT TO DECIDE WITHIN MY OWN MIND IS AS TO WHETHER THIS CONSTITUTES A VOTE OF CONFIDENCE OR NON-CONFIDENCE IN THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE AND DEPUTY-SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE. IT OCCURS TO ME THAT THE BALANCE COULD SHIFT IN THIS HOUSE ONE WAY OR ANOTHER I WOULD SUPPOSE. I MUST GIVE SOME THOUGHT TO THIS QUESTION. POSSIBLY AT A LATER TIME I MAY GIVE YOU A DECISION IN THIS MATTER - WHETHER IN THE INTEREST OF THE CONSTITUENTS I REPRESENT I SHOULD TAKE A PART AWAY FROM THE CHAIR AND LET SOMEONE ELSE DO THE WORK OF THE HOUSE IN ORDER THAT MY VOTE MAY COUNT MORE EFFICIENTLY FOR THE PEOPLE THAT I SERVE OR I MUST DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT IT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE HOUSE TO HANG ON TO THIS COMMITTEE AS CHAIRMAN AND TRY AND HANG IT TOGETHER IN THE INTERESTS OF THE CONDUCT OF THE LEGISLATURE. IT IS IN THIS MATTER THAT I AM VERY PERPLEXED. BOTH ARE EQUALLY IMPORTANT TO THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY. CONSEQUENTLY I WILL NOT GIVE A DECISION IN THIS MATTER AT THIS TIME. I WILL RESERVE IT AND I SHALL ADVISE YOU IN A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME AS TO WHETHER YOU WILL BE LOOKING FOR A NEW DEPUTY-SPEAKER AND CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE OR WHETHER I SHALL CONTINUE IN THIS POSITION. IN ANY EVENT, IF I AM TO CONTINUE IN THIS POSITION I AM GOING TO NEED A MOTION OF SUPPORT. MAY WE NOW PROCEED TO VOTE NO. 6.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, MIGHT I SUGGEST THAT WE ADJOURN UNTIL 2 O'CLOCK TO GIVE YOU TIME TO MAKE THAT DECISION. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT AT THIS STAGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M GUIDED BY WHATEVER THE HOUSE WISHES TO DO.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN WAS QUITE WILLING TO PROCEED. HE SAID HE WOULD BRING DOWN HIS DECISION. I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE HIM, IF HE WANTS, UNTIL 2 O'CLOCK. IF HE WANTS

ANOTHER DAY THIS IS THE DECISION MR. CHAIRMAN WILL HAVE TO MAKE. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD TRY TO FORCE HIM INTO MAKING - INTO TAKING A POSITION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THEN PROCEED TO VOTE 6.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN VOTE 6 THE FIRST QUESTION RELATED TO THE PROTECTIVE SERVICES BRANCH. THE QUESTION WAS WAS THERE PROVISION MADE FOR A VEHICLE OF SOME DESCRIPTION FOR THE FIRE CHIEF TO BE HIRED FOR WATSON LAKE. THE ANSWER IS YES THERE WILL BE A VEHICLE AVAILABLE TO HIM. THE NEXT QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, RELATED TO ESTABLISHMENT 605.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL JUST AS I STATE HERE, ANY ITEM IN THE ESTIMATE HERE, THE TOTAL ESTIMATE WHETHER THERE IS A QUESTION ON IT OR NOT, HAS ALWAYS BEEN DECIDED. WE'VE NOW CUT OFF VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5 BUT 6 IS WIDE OPEN AGAIN.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE NEXT QUESTION IS - - -

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, POINT OF ORDER. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW BEFORE PASSING THIS BILL, AND BEFORE PASSING THE ESTIMATE, WHETHER OR NOT WE ARE PERMITTED TO MAKE ANY REFERENCE TO ANY PART OF THIS WHOLE ESTIMATE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION ASKED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS YES, EXCEPT WITH THE DELETION OF VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5. ANY MEMBER IS PERMITTED TO ASK ANY QUESTION IN RELATION TO ANY OTHER PART OF THE BUDGET EXCEPT THOSE TWO VOTES.

MRS. WATSON: IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WERE GOING TO REVIEW EACH VOTE AS FAR AS THE QUESTIONS THAT WERE ASKED ON THE FIRST REVIEW. THIS WAS MY UNDERSTANDING OF PROCEDURE. NOW MAYBE SOME OF THE OTHER MEMBERS WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK UP ON THE PROCEDURE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I HAVE SO RULED ON THIS MATTER IN CONCURRENCE WITH THE PRACTICE OF THE HOUSE IN THE LAST THIRTEEN YEARS OF MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE. I'M QUITE SURE IT WAS NEVER OTHERWISE PRIOR TO THIS. WE ARE NOW MAKING A SECOND REVIEW OF THE BUDGET. IT IS QUITE IN ORDER, AND ANY PART OF THE VOTE WHERE MEMBERS HAVE MISSED QUESTIONS MAYBE IN THE FIRST RUN THIS IS WHY YOU HAVE A SECOND REVIEW. ALSO,

AT THE SAME TIME WE GET THE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ASKED IN THE FIRST REVIEW. I HAVE SO RULED; EXCEPT THAT NO MEMBER MAY NOW DISCUSS ANY PART OF VOTE 4 OR VOTE 5. ANY OTHER PART OF THE BUDGET IS STILL OPEN TO MEMBERS TO QUESTION. WOULD YOU PROCEED. WE ARE DISCUSSING VOTE 6.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I JUST ASK YOU A QUESTION PLEASE. IF WE CHECK BACK IN THE VOTES & PROCEEDINGS, WHEN WE HAVE GONE THROUGH THE BUDGET IN THE PRELIMINARY LOOK AT IT, ANY ITEM THAT THE CHAIRMAN SAYS ARE YOU CLEAR ON IT SURELY MR. CHAIRMAN DOES NOT REQUIRE A FORMAL MOTION ON THIS FLOOR SAYING THAT PARTICULAR ITEM IN THAT PARTICULAR VOTE IS CLEAR. THE AGREEMENT TO BEING CLEAR, TO MY WAY OF THINKING AND MAYBE I'VE BEEN WRONG THE LAST THREE YEARS, BUT WHEN COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE SAYS THEY ARE CLEAR ON AN ITEM THAT MEANS THAT THEY ARE SATISFIED WITH THAT ITEM. YOU COULD GO ON AD INFINITUM TO KEEP COMING BACK TO AN ITEM UNTIL THE TOTAL BUDGET IS PASSED. CLEAR TO ME MEANS THAT THAT ITEM IS FINISHED WITH.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR FROM MY OWN EXPERIENCE I'VE NEVER HAD ANY PROBLEM. WE'VE WASTED A GOOD HOUR ALREADY TALKING ABOUT PROCEDURES. FOR WHAT REASON THESE PROCEDURES HAVE BECOME SUDDENLY SO GREATLY IMPORTANT IS BEYOND ME. I HAVE YET TO FATHOM THAT. THIS VERY RARELY HAPPENS EXCEPT IN THE ISSUE WHERE SOMEONE TO EFFECT CLOSURE. CLOSURE HAS BEEN EFFECTED IN TWO PARTS OF THE BUDGET. THE BALANCE OF IT, THE SECOND REVIEW - THE FIRST REVIEW, I'LL SAY THIS AGAIN FOR THE LAST TIME, THE FIRST REVIEW WE GO THROUGH ITEM BY ITEM. IN THE SECOND REVIEW YOU CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTION IN RELATION TO THE VOTE IN TOTAL. WE ARE NOT REVIEWING ITEM BY ITEM AGAIN. IF YOU HAVE ANY FINAL QUESTIONS IN RELATION TO THE BUDGET, THE OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL MEMBERS TO ASK THEM AND GET THEIR ANSWERS IS AT THIS SECOND TIME. I'VE SAID THIS ABOUT FIVE TIMES TODAY. IT HAS BEEN A PRACTICE OF THE HOUSE. GENERALLY THESE THINGS JUST FLIP BY. TODAY THERE IS A BIG FIGHT. I DON'T KNOW WHY.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I AGREE WITH WHAT YOU JUST SAID. THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I SAID. WHEN WE CLEAR THE ITEMS THEY ARE CLEAR. IF YOU WANT TO TALK IN EACH VOTE AS WE ARE GOING THROUGH WITH THE QUESTIONS ON THE TOTAL THING, THAT'S FINE. WE WERE TOTALLY CLEAR ON THE PREVIOUS TWO VOTES. AS THE HONOURABLE

MEMBER FROM DAWSON SAID, THAT HE'S GONE THROUGH THIS BUDGET, AS YOU CLEAR AN ITEM THAT'S IT. WHAT ELSE IS THERE TO TALK ABOUT? WHAT THE CHAIRMAN IS SAYING IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS WHAT WE ARE SAYING.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THIS IS THE THIRD REVIEW WE ARE MAKING OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES. WHEN WE WENT INTO INTERIM SUPPLY, WE WENT THROUGH EVERY VOTE. IF YOU REMEMBER, WE WENT THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATION VOTE REALLY QUITE IN DETAIL AT THAT TIME. IN FACT THE NOTES I HAVE ON THAT ONE VOTE FROM INTERIM SUPPLY WERE MUCH MORE - MANY MORE QUESTIONS WHEN WE WENT THROUGH THE FIRST TIME. SO HERE WE ARE. WE ARE DOING THE THIRD REVIEW OF THE MAIN ESTIMATES. MAYBE WE ARE BREAKING PRECEDENCE WHEN WE ARE DOING IT FOR THE THIRD TIME.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M GOING TO PUT AN END TO THIS. I HAVE TO BECAUSE THIS IS BECOMING SO RIDICULOUS. UNLESS SOMEBODY HAS SOMETHING VERY VERY DOWN TO EARTH TO SAY ON THIS SUBJECT, LET US CALL IT TO AN END AND GET ON WITH THE WORK.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE HAS BEEN SOME REFERENCE MADE TO THE CALLING OF THE WORD "CLEAR". WHEN WE SAY CLEAR AFTER THE FIRST READING IT MEANS IT IS CLEARED FOR FIRST READING. THAT'S ALL IT MEANS. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THE WHOLE VOTE IS CLEARED COMPLETELY.

MR. STUTTER: AND IF EACH ONE WAS CLEARED, YOU CLEAR THE WHOLE BUDGET AS - - -

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. ONE AT A TIME.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IF YOU CLEAR FOR THE FIRST READING THIS IS ALL THAT IS INVOLVED. ANYWAYS THERE IS NOT MUCH USE IN TALKING ABOUT IT.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL GET DOWN TO THE NITTY GRITTY OF IT. THE NITTY GRITTY IS WHAT THE HONOURABLE CHAIRMAN HAS SAID. HE HAS BEEN DENIED THE RIGHT, ON BEHALF OF HIS CONSTITUENTS, TO SPEAK TO ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ITEMS IN THE BUDGET CONCERNING HIS CONSTITUENTS. THE HOSPITAL AT WATSON LAKE. MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS WE HAVE ALLOWED THE ADMINISTRATION TO BRING IN THE

PLANS YESTERDAY. YESTERDAY WAS IT? THE PLANS WERE BROUGHT IN. AND TODAY HE IS DENIED THE RIGHT TO BE ABLE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF HIS CONSTITUENTS FOR THE PLANS OF THE HOSPITAL FOR WATSON LAKE BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN CLOSED OFF FROM DEBATE IN VOTE 5 ON HEALTH & WELFARE.

THAT IS THE DANGER OF WHAT YOU HAVE DONE, THAT IS WHAT THE DANGER OF CLOSURE IS. THAT IS WHAT THE DANGER IS OF NOT ALLOWING PEOPLE TO COME UP A SECOND TIME ON MATTERS IN THE BUDGET WHICH EFFECT THEIR CONSTITUENCY. MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE HAS BEEN DENIED DEBATE ON THE MOST IMPORTANT SUBJECT CONCERNING HIS CONSTITUENTS IN THE 1974-75 BUDGET. IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS ARE STILL PROUD OF WHAT THEY HAVE DONE AFTER THAT ONE EXAMPLE ONLY, THEN I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY ARE THINKING.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE MEMBER HAS NOT BEEN DENIED DEBATE ON THOSE PLANS. THEY WERE TABLED YESTERDAY. IF HE WISHES TO PUT THOSE PLANS INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR DEBATE, HE KNOWS FULL WELL THAT HE CAN HAVE A FULL DEBATE ON THOSE PLANS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU HAVE CLOSED THE VOTE. (LAUGHTER). YOU HAVE CLOSED THE VOTE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: LET ME PUT THIS POINT CLEAR. I AM VERY VERY HIGHLY DISTURBED, AS A MEMBER, ABOUT THE LACK OF OPPORTUNITY. I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT AS CHAIRMAN. AS CHAIRMAN I AM DISTURBED THAT SOME MEMBERS, OR THE MAJORITY OF MEMBERS, WOULD EFFECT CLOSURE ON AN ISSUE SO IMPORTANT AS THE BUDGET OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THERE IS A DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE TWO. I'M APPALLED AS AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER THAT I DO NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY ON BEHALF OF MY CONSTITUENTS AS A MEMBER. I AM MORE GREATLY APPALLED THAT THE CLOSURE BEING EFFECTED ON VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5 OF THE BUDGET. MAY WE NOW PROCEED WITH VOTE 6. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS IN RELATION TO VOTE 6?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WERE A COUPLE OF OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS I HAVEN'T DEALT WITH AS YET. THERE IS A QUESTION RELATING TO ESTABLISHMENT 605. THE QUESTION WAS HOW MUCH MONEY WAS INCLUDED IN 605 FOR WORK TO BE DONE IN ROSS RIVER. THE ANSWER IS AS FOLLOWS: WE HAVE PROVISION IN THE BUDGET FOR ROAD WORK IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,560.00.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF THESE COULD BE TABLED OR IF YOU COULD TABLE ME A COPY OF THESE. THIS WOULD BE BETTER RATHER THAN TAKE THEM DOWN.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THAT BE AGREEABLE TO COMMITTEE IF I GOT A COPY OF THIS THING RATHER THAN WRITING IT DOWN.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS ANOTHER QUESTION RELATED TO ESTABLISHMENT 605. THE QUESTION WAS ASKED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON. THE QUESTION WAS HAS THE WHITEHORSE CITY COUNCIL EVER ASKED FOR AN UPWARD REVISION OF THE POPULATION FOR THE GRANT IN LIEU OF TAXES. OUR RECORDS DO NOT INDICATE ANY FORMAL REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE IN THAT REGARD.

MR. MCKINNON: NO. THE QUESTION WASN'T POPULATION, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EXCUSE ME. EVEN THOUGH THE OTHER MEMBER WANTS IT TABLED COULD THE WITNESS READ THEM OUT BECAUSE I WANT TO HEAR THEM ANYWAY. IF THERE ARE NOT VERY MANY ITEMS, I PERSONALLY DON'T NEED THEM TABLED. IF THAT MEMBER DOES - - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR THEM BUT I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO GET A COPY OF THEM BECAUSE I CAN'T WRITE THEM DOWN AS QUICKLY.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. EXPENDITURES WE'RE ANTICIPATING FOR ROSS RIVER ARE: ROADS \$6,560.00; UTILITIES WILL BE \$7,110.00; DOG CONTROL \$600.00; GARBAGE \$600.00; CEMETARY \$300.00; WATER AND SEWER \$3,000.00, TOTALLING \$18,560.00.

MR. CHAIRMAN: UTILITIES COVER STREET LIGHTING I PRESUME?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN. AS A MATTER OF INTEREST THE EXPENDITURES FOR 1973-74, AND THEY ARE NOT ALL IN, THEY WERE APPROXIMATELY \$10,000.00 FOR ALL THOSE ITEMS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS, OF COURSE, FROM THE CHAIR IS WHAT GAVE RISE TO THE QUESTION BECAUSE THE MONEYS - ANY MONEYS THAT WERE BUDGETED, IF INDEED THEY WERE LAST YEAR, WEREN'T SPENT IN ROSS RIVER. THEY HAVE ASKED ME THIS YEAR IF

I WOULD GET SOME DELINEATION AS TO WHAT IS INTENDED TO BE SPENT SO THEY KNOW WHETHER THEY ARE GETTING A FAIR DEAL. ANYTHING FURTHER?

Mr. McKINNON: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SURE IF MR. MILLER WILL CHECK THE VOTES & PROCEEDINGS, I DIDN'T ASK WHETHER THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE HAD ASKED FOR A REASSESSMENT OF THE POPULATION TO GET MORE MONEY. I WAS ASKING WHETHER THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE HAD ASKED FOR THERE TO BE AN UPWARD REVISION OF THE PER CAPITAL GRANT PERIOD.

Mr. MILLER: I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN. I OBVIOUSLY MISUNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION. WE WILL HAVE TO DO FURTHER RESEARCH TO SEE WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN ANYTHING REQUESTED IN THAT MATTER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN ESTABLISHMENT 605 IT SHOWS AN INCREASE OF \$31,000.00 IN SALARIES AND WAGES WITH ONLY ONE ADDITIONAL MAN-YEAR. COULD WE GET SOME EXPLANATION?

Mr. MILLER: I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN. I DON'T HAVE THE ACTUAL BREAKDOWN OF THIS AMOUNT. I WILL BRING IT FORWARD TO COMMITTEE,

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: BY THE SAME TOKEN COULD WE HAVE THE REASON FOR THE FRINGE BENEFITS WHICH HAVE NOW BEEN MULTIPLIED THREE TIMES.

Mr. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: CAN WE GET A BREAKDOWN AS WELL IN THAT VOTE OF THE GRANTS, LOANS AND CONTRIBUTIONS WHICH HAVE - - -

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE ON PAGE A 28.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: PAGE WHICH?

Mr. MILLER: A 28.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: OH YES. I BEG YOUR PARDON.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL THIS WILL BE LEFT OPEN I TAKE IT UNTIL I GET THE INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN ASKED FOR.

Mrs. WATSON: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. I FEEL THAT WE SHOULD CLEAR THIS WITH THE INFORMATION TO BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: LAUGHTER. MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS A RIDICULOUS REQUEST. SHE WANTS IT CLEARED WITHOUT EVEN BEING ABLE TO BACK UP THE INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN ASKED FOR, NO WAY.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE POINT I AM TRYING TO MAKE IS THIS IS SPECIFIC INFORMATION THAT IS STILL OUTSTANDING. THE REST OF IT HAS CLEARED SO THAT WHEN YOU GO BACK AGAIN YOU DON'T START OPENING UP THE WHOLE THING AGAIN.

Mr. McKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS SO UNFAIR. IF YOU WILL CHECK THE VOTES & PROCEEDINGS YOU WILL FIND THAT THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF THIS DEPARTMENT DID MISUNDERSTAND MY QUESTION. THE MOST IMPORTANT PART TO ME IS THE MUNICIPAL - THE PER CAPITAL GRANTS TO THE MUNICIPALITIES. YOU KNOW, I'M NOT GOING TO JUST CLOSE THE - YOU KNOW, CLEAR THE - - -

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'VE SAID THAT ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS THAT WERE OUTSTANDING YOU COULD COME BACK TO THEM. THE REST OF IT WOULD BE CLEARED. YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC QUESTION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IT IS INTERESTING TO NOTE THAT PEOPLE GET UP AND SAY WELL I SAID, I SAID, I SAID. TO ME IT IS A DECISION OF COMMITTEE IN MANY OF THESE CASES, AND IF IT IS POSSIBLY BETTER YOU REFER TO WE SAID BECAUSE I THINK NO SINGLE MEMBER IN COMMITTEE SETS THE POLICY UNLESS COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE SETS THE POLICY. WILL THERE BE ANYTHING FURTHER IN VOTE 6? THEN WE SHALL PROCEED TO VOTE 7.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WERE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS IN THE PRELIMINARY OF VOTE 7 WHICH WE STILL DON'T HAVE THE ANSWERS TO. WE WILL BRING THOSE FORWARD HOPEFULLY THIS AFTERNOON.

Mr. McKINNON: ISN'T THERE GOING TO BE A MOTION TO CLEAR THIS VOTE TOO, MR. CHAIRMAN?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON VOTE 7? OKAY VOTE 8.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I JUST - ON ESTABLISHMENT 701, SALARIES AND WAGES, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN \$53,869.00 AND \$80,000.00. THAT'S APPROXIMATELY \$26,000.00.

THIS SHOWS JUST ONE AND A HALF ADDITIONAL PEOPLE AND THAT ONE AND A HALF JUST HAPPENS TO BE CASUAL. COULD WE GET A BREAKDOWN AS TO WHY THERE IS THIS AMOUNT OF INCREASE IN SALARY AND BY THE SAME TOKEN THE FRINGE BENEFITS AS WELL.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING ON VOTE 8?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ACCORDING TO MY NOTES THERE WAS ONE OUTSTANDING QUESTION. THE QUESTION, I THINK, WAS ASKED BY THE CHAIRMAN HIMSELF. HE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT CASE LOADS THERE WERE FOR THE WATSON LAKE AREA. I HAVE A LISTING HERE OF CASES BY YEAR SINCE APRIL 1, 1971. I CAN HANDLE THIS IN ONE OF TWO WAYS. I CAN EITHER TABLE IT OR I CAN READ IT OUT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULD WE TABLE IT SO WE COULD GET A COPY OF IT.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE WILL TABLE IT AS SOON AS WE GET COPIES RUN OFF.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. ALSO, I THINK THERE WAS ONE OUTSTANDING MATTER. WHEN IT'S AVAILABLE IF WE COULD HAVE THE INFORMATION RELATING TO THE SETTING UP OF "M" DIVISION, R.C.M.P. UNDER POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. AS THAT INFORMATION COMES AVAILABLE IT WILL CERTAINLY BE TABLED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A GENERAL QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN. MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I UNDERSTAND IT THE SUPREME COURT MAINTAINS ITS OWN FUNDS. THESE FUNDS ARE PAID OUT BY THE JUDGE AS RIGHTLY IT SHOULD BE. I UNDERSTAND FURTHER THAT THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT NOW HAS HAD ALL THE FUNDS REMOVED FROM THEM WHICH ARE FUNDS WHICH ARE PLACED IN TRUST NOT WITH THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT, BUT WITH THE COURT. AS A RESULT PEOPLE AT TIMES HAVE DIFFICULTY IN GETTING THEIR PAYMENTS OUT OF COURT. THEY HAVE TO SOMETIMES WAIT FOR A NUMBER OF DAYS. HAS THERE BEEN ANY IMPROVEMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN, ON THIS PARTICULAR PROCEDURE? PERHAPS MR. MILLER CAN ANSWER.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE HAS BEEN. WE HAVE WORKED OUT A PROCEDURE WITH

THE DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS THAT RELEASE OF BAILS OR RELEASE OF TRUST FUNDS HELD IN THE NAME OF THE LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT CAN BE CLEARED OUT OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT WITHIN AN HOUR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. OKAY. THANK YOU.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I TAKE IT BEFORE CLEARING VOTE 8 THAT THERE WILL BE AN INTENSIVE STUDY INTO THE DEFINITE NEED FOR A MAGISTRATE IN WATSON LAKE FULL-TIME; A DEPUTY-MAGISTRATE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I RECALL THE ANSWER THAT WAS GIVEN TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THE OTHER DAY I THINK THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER FOR THE LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT INDICATED THAT AGAIN WE CONTINUE TO REVIEW THE NEED FOR DEPUTY-MAGISTRATES AND WE HAVE NO HARD, CONCRETE EVIDENCE THAT WE NEED EVEN A DEPUTY-MAGISTRATE IN WHITEHORSE AT THIS POINT. AS I RECALL HE DID GIVE THE COMMITMENT THAT THIS WOULD CONTINUE TO BE REVIEWED ON AN ON-GOING BASIS. WHEN THE FACTS OF THE MATTER INDICATED A NEED IN WATSON LAKE THAT THIS WOULD BE DONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I MIGHT JUST SAY THAT I WAS DISSATISFIED WITH THE ANSWER AND I ALSO DEPLORED, AS A MEMBER AT THAT TIME, THE FACT THAT I COULDN'T GET THE WITNESS WHO HAS THE INFORMATION TO BACK UP THE FACT THAT THE ADMINISTRATION IS WRONG IN THIS PARTICULAR ONE. THERE IS A DEFINITE NEED IN THE ESTABLISHMENT FOR A DEPUTY MAGISTRATE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH RESPECT, I THINK THIS IS WHY THIS BREAKDOWN OF INCIDENTS IN WATSON LAKE HAS BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE COMMUNITY OF CARCROSS HAS BEEN FOR SOME CONSIDERABLE TIME MAKING REQUESTS FOR A FULL TIME DETACHMENT TO BE PLACED IN THAT AREA. THERE HAVE BEEN VARIOUS POSSIBLE TYPE OF PROMISES THAT HAS BEEN EMANATING FROM THE ADMINISTRATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THIS COULD BE DONE. THE CARCROSS AREA AND NOW WITH THE INCREASE IN PEOPLE AS A RESULT OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ROAD TO SKAGWAY, AND AS A RESULT OF MANY REQUESTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE TO HAVE SOME LAW AND ORDER IN THE AREA DURING THE WINTER MONTHS, HAVE REQUESTED THAT A DETACHMENT FOR THE R.C.M.P. BE CONSTRUCTED. I UNDERSTAND THIS IS CONSTRUCTED BY THE FUNDS OF THE R.C.M.P. THEMSELVES.

WE HAVE PROVISIONS IN THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR PROVIDING ADDITIONAL DETACHMENTS AS AND WHEN WE WANT. WHAT CONSIDERATION HAS BEEN GIVEN TO MAINTAIN A PERMANENT DETACHMENT IN THE CARCROSS AREA EFFECTIVE FROM, LETS SAY, MAY 1ST WHEN I UNDERSTAND THE DETACHMENT WILL BE OPENING UP?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE WE HAVE NOT AS YET, WHEN I SAY WE, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, HAS NOT YET REQUESTED THE R.C.M.P. TO OPEN ANY PERMANENT DETACHMENTS IN THE TERRITORY. WE CONTINUE TO REVIEW THESE MATTERS WITH THE LOCAL INSPECTOR AND WHEN THE R.C.M.P. FEEL THERE IS THE NEED THEN WE HAVE NEVER OBJECTED TO THIS. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE CURRENT STATUS IS IN CARCROSS, I REALIZE THE DETACHMENT IS NOW OPEN FOR THIS YEAR. WHETHER OR NOT THERE HAS BEEN ANY REVIEW AS TO A PERMANENT DETACHMENT IN THE LAST LITTLE WHILE I DON'T KNOW. I CAN FIND OUT AND BRING THAT FORWARD OR ALTERNATELY WE CAN ASK THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE RESPONSIBLE TO COME DOWN TO COUNCIL AND ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: EITHER WAY, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF WE COULD HAVE SOME INFORMATION BROUGHT FORWARD, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF THE MANY REQUESTS AND INDEED PETITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO THE COMMISSIONER IN RESPECT TO THIS PARTICULAR MATTER.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WILL HAVE SOMETHING BROUGHT FORWARD AFTER LUNCH.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I THINK IN VIEW OF THE TIME, I MIGHT SAY BEFORE I CALL A RECESS THAT I WILL HAVE A DECISION FOR YOU THIS AFTERNOON IN RESPECT TO MY FUTURE AS YOUR DEPUTY SPEAKER. I WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2:00 O'CLOCK.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. PRIOR TO OUR RISING FOR LUNCH WE HAD A QUESTION OF CONFIDENCE IN THE CHAIRMAN UNDER REVIEW. I MIGHT SAY I'VE HAD A DIFFICULT TIME IN THE VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME TO CONSIDER THIS MATTER.

I THINK THAT DURING THE DEBATE THIS MORNING THERE WAS VERY STRONG SUGGESTION THAT THE CHAIRMAN WAS NOT FUNCTIONING AS IS HE SHOULD, IMPARTIALLY, AND NOT DOING THE DUTIES OF THE HOUSE TO THE EXTENT THAT SOME MEMBERS WOULD PREFER THAT I DO.

THE QUESTION OF PROCEDURES CAME UNDER ATTACK. IT WAS IMPUTED THAT I WAS DEPARTING FROM PROCEDURES IN THIS COMMITTEE AND AS I STATED I HAVE NOT DEPARTED FROM THE POSITION OF THE HOUSE IN RELATION TO CONSIDERING MAIN ESTIMATES, MORE PARTICULARLY IN THE MATTER OF VIEWING ESTIMATES WITH A FINAL OR SECONDARY VIEW.

I HAVE HAD TO CONSIDER WHETHER I SHOULD CONTINUE TO ACCEPT THE INSULTS THAT ARE HURLED AT THE CHAIR DURING RECESSES OR OTHERWISE OF A VERY UNPARLIAMENTARY AND UNCOMPLIMENTARY NATURE. I HAVE TAKEN THIS FOR ABOUT THREE YEARS NOW AND EVEN IN THE FACE OF THAT I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO MORE OR LESS KEEP MY COOL AND PERHAPS TO OFFER TO OTHER MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THE SUGGESTION THAT THEY TOO KEEP THEIR COOL AND THAT WE COULD GET ON WITH THE BUSINESS OF THE PUBLIC.

MY DECISION TO RESIGN, OR THE DECISION WHETHER I SHOULD RESIGN AS DEPUTY SPEAKER AND CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE IS A TOUGH ONE BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ABANDONING THE DUTY IMPOSED BY THE HOUSE UPON ME. IT IS YOUR WISH TO CONDUCT THE BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE IN AN ORDERLY AND IMPARTIAL MANNER CONCURRENT WITH THE RULES AND STANDING ORDERS OF THE HOUSE.

I WOULD LIKE TO DRAW TO YOUR ATTENTION ANNOTATION No. 4, BEAUCHESNE, AND I QUOTE THE PRINCIPALS THAT LIE AT THE BASIS OF ENGLISH PARLIAMENTARY LAW, AS BOURINOT SO APTLY SAYS, ARE: "TO PROTECT A MINORITY AND RESTRAIN THE IMPROVIDENCE OR TYRANNY OF A MAJORITY; TO SECURE THE TRANSACTION OF PUBLIC BUSINESS IN AN ORDERLY MANNER; TO ENABLE EVERY MEMBER TO EXPRESS AN OPINION WITHIN LIMITS NECESSARY TO PRESERVE DECORUM AND PREVENT AN UNNECESSARY WASTE OF TIME; TO GIVE ABUNDANT OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF EVERY MEASURE, AND TO PREVENT ANY LEGISLATIVE ACTION

BEING TAKEN UPON SUDDEN IMPULSE." UNQUOTE,

THE WHOLE MATTER AROSE THIS MORNING OVER DISCUSSIONS OF THE BUDGET WHERE IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT AN ITEM IN THE BUDGET, OR A VOTE, NAMELY VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION, NOT WITHSTANDING AT LEAST ONE MEMBER OF THE HOUSE WAS ABSENT DURING THE TIME THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THOSE VOTES WAS DISCUSSED.

THE DECISION WAS MADE, EVENTUALLY, BY MOTION THAT CLOSURE BE EFFECTED ON BOTH THESE PARTICULAR ITEMS.

I HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO CONDONE AS DEPUTY SPEAKER, CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE OR INDEED IN THE ABSENCE OF MR. SPEAKER, IN THE SPEAKER'S CHAIR; CLOSURE OF ANY SORT ON MATTERS PERTAINING TO THE PUBLIC'S BUSINESS, THE PUBLIC OF THE YUKON. IT IS AGAINST PARLIAMENTARY JUSTICE AND SERVES NO USEFUL PURPOSE IN THIS HOUSE. I THINK I HAVE RULED ON THAT BEFORE.

I WOULD AGAIN LIKE TO QUOTE IN THIS RESPECT FROM BEAUCHESNE WHO REFERS TO THE HOUSE OF COMMONS WHICH YOU MAY CONSIDER TO BE THIS HOUSE FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS ANNOTATION. " WE USE THE WORDS HOUSE OF COMMONS VERY OFTEN WITHOUT PAUSING TO REFLECT UPON WHAT THOSE WORDS MEAN. THE WORD COMMONS MEANS THE PEOPLE. THIS IS THE HOUSE OF THE PEOPLE SITTING ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS HOUSE AND ON BOTH SIDES OF THE SPEAKER ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF EVERY CONSTITUENCY. COLLECTIVELY, THOSE OF US WHO MEET IN THIS CHAMBER REPRESENT ALL CANADIANS. THAT IS OUR RESPONSIBILITY. THAT IS OUR DUTY. OUR RIGHTS ARE IMPORTANT ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT THOSE RIGHTS REPRESENT THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES. IF THE TRADITIONAL RIGHTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE ARE RELEASED, LIMITED OR ARBITRARILY CURTAILED IN ANY WAY IT IS NOT OUR RIGHTS THAT ARE CONCERNED. WHAT IS VITALLY IMPORTANT IS THAT IN THAT CURTAILMENT OF RIGHTS THERE IS A LIMITATION OF THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES. THE FREEDOM THAT WE HAVE HERE TO SHAPE AND GUIDE LEGISLATION, NO MATTER ON WHICH SIDE OF THE HOUSE WE MAY SIT, IS PART OF THE VERY FREEDOM WE CHERISH HERE IN THIS COUNTRY OF OURS. IT IS HERE IN THE HOUSE TO WHICH ALL OF THE PEOPLE MUST LOOK FOR JUST LAWS; PROPERLY CONSIDERED, PROPERLY MADE, THAT CANADIANS IN EVERY PART OF CANADA MUST LOOK FOR THE GREATER PART OF THEIR FREEDOM, FOR THE ASSURANCE OF THAT TYPE OF GOVERNMENT WHICH WILL BE IN ITSELF AN EXPRESSION OF THE FREEDOM THAT HAS COME DOWN TO US THROUGH SO MANY GENERATIONS OF SACRIFICE AND

TREMENDOUS EFFORTS." I CITE THIS FROM BEAUCHESNE IN ORDER THAT MEMBERS MAY DWELL ON THOSE WORDS. I THINK THAT IT CLEARLY STATES THAT WE HAVE A GREATER RESPONSIBILITY THAN WE ARE CONSIDERING WHILE DELIBERATING IN THIS CHAMBERS.

FINALLY I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY THIS. THAT I AM TORN BETWEEN THE DECISION TO RESIGN BECAUSE I'M REALLY NOT A QUITTER, I NEVER WOULD BE AND I DON'T THINK THE PEOPLE WHO SENT ME HERE WOULD WISH ME TO RESIGN ON SUCH AN ISSUE. I ALSO HAD TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION IF I DID RESIGN WHAT POSITION THIS WOULD PUT ME IN IN RELATION TO THE GOVERNMENT SIDE OF THE HOUSE AND THE MAJORITY THAT THEY NOW ENJOY THEY MAY NO LONGER ENJOY. ON THE OTHER HAND, AS I SAY, I CANNOT LET DOWN MY PEOPLE IN ATTEMPTING WITH THE CONCURRENCE OF COMMITTEE TO CONDUCT THE AFFAIRS OF THIS HOUSE IN AN ORDERLY AND UNBIASED MANNER.

I'VE GIVEN THE MATTER A GREAT DEAL OF CONSIDERATION AND MY DECISION WOULD BE THAT FOLLOWING A REPORT OF COMMITTEE THIS EVENING TO THE HOUSE I SHALL ASK FOR A VOTE OF CONFIDENCE OF THE HOUSE IN RESPECT OF MY POSITION AND MY DUTIES AS DEPUTY SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE. I THINK THAT IN FAIRNESS THIS IS THE ONLY WAY THAT ONE COULD CONTINUE. I WOULD ASK MEMBERS TO GIVE THIS CONSIDERATION PRIOR TO THE SPEAKER RESUMING THE CHAIR TO CLOSE THESE COMMITTEE HEARINGS THIS EVENING.

MAY WE NOW PROCEED TO DISCUSSIONS IN RELATION TO BILL NO. 3. WE ARE, I BELIEVE AT VOTE NO. 8. ANYTHING FURTHER ON VOTE NO. 8?

CLEAR?

SEVEFAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT VOTE IS VOTE NO. 9- DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS. I BELIEVE WE HAVE SOME ANSWERS COMING.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A FEW QUESTIONS RAISED THE OTHER DAY. THERE WAS A QUESTION RAISED, MR. CHAIRMAN, REGARDING THE BOAT LAUNCHING PROGRAM. WHERE WERE THEY INSTALLED IN 1973 AND WHERE ARE THEY TO BE INSTALLED IN 1974?

THE LIST IS FAIRLY LENGTHY. I CAN HANDLE THIS BY READING THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN, INTO THE RECORD OR I CAN DISTRIBUTE TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL A

LIST OF NAMES. THERE IS SOME 18 INSTALLED IN 1973, AND THE PROPOSALS WILL INSTALL A FURTHER 17 IN 1974.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD COMMITTEE AGREE THAT THESE BE DISTRIBUTED LATER?

MR. TANNER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I AGREE THAT THEY BE DISTRIBUTED LATER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FINE, PROCEED.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A QUESTION RAISED,...

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN WE GET THOSE CIRCULATED NOW THEN SO THAT WE CAN BE DEALING WITH THEM?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE COPIES, I WILL ARRANGE TO GET COPIES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MADAM CLERK WOULD YOU GET THE COPIES?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS AT THE SAME TIME THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER COULD GET COPIES OF THE OTHER INFORMATION THAT HE HAS FOR US.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE ITEM FOR TABLING. THE CLERK NOW HAS IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE NEXT QUESTION WAS RAISED BY THE CHAIRMAN REGARDING PROVISION FOR A ROOM IN THE WATSON LAKE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING FOR THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT. I AM ADVISED BY THE DIRECTOR OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE NEED FOR SUCH A FACILITY AT THIS TIME AND THEY DON'T FORESEE A NEED IN THE FUTURE. HE TELLS ME THAT EVEN WHILE THEY HAD INSPECTORS DOWN THERE LAST YEAR THEY DIDN'T NEED ANY SPACE FOR THE INSPECTORS. IF THERE IS A NEED THERE IS ROOM IN THE BASEMENT OF THE WATSON LAKE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING FOR THIS FACILITY.

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE LAST QUESTION THAT I HAVE RELATES TO THE AMOUNT OF REVENUE RAISED FROM AVIATION FUEL TAX. THE REVENUE RAISED IN 1972/73 WAS \$51,000. THE REVENUE TO BE RAISED IN 1973/74 WILL BE APPROXIMATELY \$51,000, AND WE DON'T SEE ANY MAJOR INCREASE FOR 1974/ THERE MAY BE A SMALL INCREASE BUT IT WILL BE VERY SMALL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS ON VOTE 9?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT IS THE PROCEDURE, MR. CHAIRMAN, BEING USED FOR ROADS THAT ARE NOW BEING TERMED RECREATIONAL ROADS BEING UPGRADED TO THAT OF TERRITORIAL HIGHWAYS, SPECIFICALLY THE ANNIE LAKE ROAD WHICH NOW HAS SOME TWENTY FOUR FAMILIES LIVING ON THAT ROAD. IT ONLY GETS GRADED ABOUT ONCE IN EVERY YEAR. PEOPLE ARE HAVING TROUBLE GETTING IN AND OUT OF THAT PARTICULAR AREA AS A RESULT OF THERE BEING NO FUNDS AVAILABLE TO GRADE THE ROAD.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS QUESTION WAS RECENTLY RAISED THROUGH COMPLAINTS RECEIVED BY ALL MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, PARTICULARLY IN REGARD TO WINTER MAINTENANCE OF RECREATIONAL ROADS. I'VE ASKED OUR HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TO PUT FORWARD A PROPOSED POLICY PAPER OUTLINING THE QUESTION OF WINTER MAINTENANCE ON RECREATIONAL ROADS, UPGRADEING OF RECREATIONAL ROADS AND TERRITORIAL ROADS THESE TYPES OF THINGS. THIS PAPER IS NOT AS YET COMPILED. THERE WILL BE A COST FACTOR INVOLVED WITH IT WHICH WE HAVE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS BUDGET. FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE POLICY AND I WOULD ASSUME THE POLICY APPROVAL BY COUNCIL, THIS SORT OF A PROGRAM WILL BE IMPLEMENTED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT WAS THE AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURE FOR THIS DEPARTMENT UP TO AND INCLUDING THE 31ST OF MARCH?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHWAY AND PUBLIC WORKS AS PROCESSED OF MARCH 31ST - \$9,666,480.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN VIEW OF THERE BEING APPROXIMATELY \$500,000 BUDGETED FOR MORE THAN WAS NEEDED LAST YEAR, WHAT ASSURANCE HAVE WE THAT THE AMOUNT BUDGETED FOR THIS YEAR AGAIN WILL NOT BE \$500,000 MORE THAN HAS BEEN ASKED FOR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FIGURE THAT I JUST QUOTED IS NOT THE TOTAL YEARS FIGURE. IT IS THE PROCESSING BILLS UP TO MARCH 31ST. THERE IS FOR EXAMPLE TWO WEEKS OF PAYROLL TO BE ADDED TO THAT AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF VERY LARGE OUTSTANDING BILLS THAT WILL BE PAID IN APRIL RESPECTING THE 1973/74 FISCAL YEAR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I BELIEVE THERE WAS EVEN A SUPPLEMENTARY THIS YEAR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY SUPPLEMENTARY . . .

MR. TANNER: RIGHT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD WE HAVE SOME INDICATION OF HOW MUCH THOSE OUTSTANDING AMOUNTS ARE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE THOSE FIGURES AVAILABLE. MAYBE THE TREASURER CAN TELL US WHEN THE NEXT TREASURY RUN OF EXPENDITURES WILL BE.

MR. TREASURER: IT'S DUE TO COME OFF SHORTLY.

MR. MILLER: WITHIN A MATTER OF A FEW DAYS I WOULD ASSUME BUT THAT AGAIN WILL NOT BE COMPLETE BECAUSE WE PROCESS BILLS UP TO THE END OF APRIL FOR WORK OR SERVICES THAT WERE PROVIDED UP TO THE END OF MARCH.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I JUST NOTICED ON THIS BOAT LAUNCHING RAMP PROGRAM WE'VE GOT KATHLEEN LAKE DOWN FOR A 1974 PROGRAM AND I WAS JUST THERE A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO AND THEY HAVE A BOAT LOADING RAMP.

MR. MCKINNON: GETTING TO WORK QUICK IN THE YEAR.

MR. MILLER: I THINK IT'S A PRIVATE ONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I DON'T KNOW. IT'S A RAMP SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE IN OTHER PLACES IN THE TERRITORY. I DON'T KNOW WHO IT BELONGS TO.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS IT IN THE PUBLIC CAMPGROUND?

MR. CHAIRMAN: YES. IT'S IN THE PUBLIC CAMPGROUND. RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF IT AND IT'S THE SAME GOVERNMENT RAMP, THE SAME THING THAT WE HAVE AT SIMPSON LAKE AND AT OTHER POINTS. I JUST WONDERED WHY IT WAS GETTING ANOTHER ONE. WELL IT'S IN THE GOVERNMENT CAMPGROUNDS SO IT CAN'T BE VERY PRIVATE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WAS THERE BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT CAMPGROUNDS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER? THE NEXT ITEM THEN, IS YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION. IS THAT VOTE 18?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: VOTE 18.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE QUESTION WHICH WAS OUTSTANDING ON THAT MATTER AND IT RELATED TO THE FRINGE BENEFITS UNDER YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION ADMINISTRATION. THE FRINGE BENEFITS DIRECTLY RELATED TO SALARIES AT \$4,558. THE YUKON BONUS FOR THE STAFF OF THE YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION FOR THIS YEAR IS \$1,500.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT A SEVERAL PAGE REPORT IS NOW BEING UP FOR TYPING FROM THE HOUSING CORPORATION. WHEN THAT ARRIVES WILL WE HAVE COPIES OF IT ALMOST IMMEDIATELY?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, AS SOON AS THAT IS AVAILABLE IT WILL BE TABLED FOR COUNCIL'S BENEFIT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON HOUSING CORPORATION? THE NEXT ITEM IS PROJECT CAPITAL. I BELIEVE THAT WAS PRETTY WELL CLEARED.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE NO OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS LOAN CAPITAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE DURING THE LUNCH TIME TAKEN THE TIME TO ASCERTAIN WHAT MONIES, WHAT INTERESTS ARE BEING RECEIVED BY THE GOVERNMENT ON VARIOUS AMOUNTS OF MONEY THAT HAVE BEEN DEPOSITED IN THE BANK. I FIND THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS NOW RECEIVING 9 3/4% ON AMOUNTS OF MONEY THAT ARE DEPOSITED ON SHORT TERMS UP TO 89 DAYS.

MR. TANNER: ANY AMOUNTS?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ANY AMOUNTS, I HAVE JUST ASCERTAINED THIS FROM TWO BANKS WHO ARE GIVING EXACTLY THE SAME RATE STRUCTURE. AND IT'S BECAUSE OF THIS POINT THAT I MAKE HERE AND I HAVE TO REVERT. I WANT TO ASK MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT AMOUNT OF INTEREST OVER ALL IS THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY RECEIVING ON FUNDS FROM TIME TO TIME? IN DOLLARS.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE RATES THAT ARE BEING PAID, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS CORRECT. WE COULD GET 9 3/4% ON 89 AND 90 DAY MONEY. WE DON'T HAVE ANY OF OUR SHORT TERMS IN THAT PERIOD. MOST OF OUR SHORT TERM MONEY IS IN 30 DAY MONEY BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN ANTICIPATING THE INCREASE AND USING OUR POSITION IN THAT REGARD. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE MONTHLY

INTEREST IS AT THE MOMENT, ALTHOUGH WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO FIND OUT FAIRLY QUICKLY JUST EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE GETTING PER MONTH IN THE WAY OF SHORT TERM INVESTMENT.

IF YOU WILL JUST BEAR WITH ME ONE MOMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE APPROXIMATE AMOUNT PER MONTH THAT WE ARE RECEIVING RIGHT NOW WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$55,500. EXCUSE ME FOR A MINUTE, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY \$45,200 PER MONTH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO WE ARE TALKING ABOUT \$550,000 IN INTEREST. NOW OUT OF THIS MONEY, WHY CAN'T WE GET THE T.V. THAT WE ASKED FOR FOR THE VARIOUS COMMUNITIES? IT'S INTEREST MONEY ON MONEY. AND WHY CAN'T WE GET THE OTHER AREAS OF THINGS THAT WE'VE BEEN ASKING FOR? WHY CAN'T WE GET KINDERGARTEN OUT OF THESE THINGS? THIS IS THE REASON WHY I WAS ASKING FOR THE PARTICULAR DOCUMENTATION TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD BECAUSE HERE YOU SEE IN CLEAR LANGUAGE FUNDS THAT ARE COMING IN BY WAY OF INTEREST ON PUBLIC FUNDS AND IT'S NOT BEING DIRECTED IN THE MANNER THAT THIS COUNCIL WISHES TO HAVE IT DIRECTED.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS A MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE MONEY IS BACK IN THE BUDGET AND IT IS BEING USED. IT'S BEING SPREAD RIGHT THROUGH AS AN INCOME THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE BUDGET. NOW IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SAYING THAT WE SHOULD TAKE SOME OF THE INTEREST MONIES ACCRUING ON SOME OF THE FUNDS AND USE THEM FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES THAT'S A DIFFERENT ARGUMENT THAN HE USED JUST NOW. BUT IS HE IS SAYING THAT THE PUBLIC IS NOT GETTING THE USE OF THE INTEREST ON THEIR OWN MONEY, THEY ARE. THEY ARE GETTING IT SPREAD OUT THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE BUDGET.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS NOT SO. BECAUSE THESE INTEREST RATES HAVE CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME. WHEN THE BUDGET WAS SET UP, IT WAS SET UP ON THE BASIS OF THE INTEREST IT WOULD BE RECEIVING AT THAT TIME ON THE MONIES THAT WERE COMING IN FROM TIME TO TIME, IF THAT AT ALL. BECAUSE NOW, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF WHAT MR. MILLER HAS ALREADY SAID MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE OCCASION MIGHT BE WHEN THERE IS NO MONEY ON WHICH TO GET INTEREST. THIS IS A CASE OF WHERE WE'VE GOT TO TAKE A LOOK TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT THE FUNDS THAT SHOULD BE PROPERLY APPROPRIATED IN VARIOUS AREAS ARE BEING APPROPRIATED ACCORDING TO THE NEEDS OF THIS COUNCIL. NOT

THE NEEDS OF THE ADMINISTRATION. THIS IS WHAT I'M SO ANNOYED ABOUT. IT'S JUST A DELIBERATE ATTITUDE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO DEPRIVE THIS COUNCIL OF ALL THE INFORMATION THAT IT SHOULD BE RECEIVING SO THAT THEY COULD ASSIST IN FINDING THE PROPER PRIORITIES FOR THE VARIOUS MONIES THAT ARE COMING IN ESPECIALLY THOSE INTEREST MONIES WHICH ARE INTEREST ON PUBLIC FUNDS.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE THE MEMBER ANSWERS THAT, I AGAIN DO ARGUE WITH THE MEMBERS PHILOSOPHY. I DON'T THINK IT'S TRUE. THESE ARE ESTIMATES AND IN THE ESTIMATES OF THE PREVIOUS YEAR WE ESTIMATED SOME PARTICULAR SUM. I THINK OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD IT WAS 6 OR 6 1/2%. OBVIOUSLY WHEN YOU ARE ESTIMATING WHERE YOUR FUNDS ARE COMING FROM, YOU TAKE IN THE INTEREST THAT MIGHT ACCRUE. AND OBVIOUSLY YOU CAN'T BE CORRECT, BECAUSE YOU CAN'T PREDICT WHAT INTEREST YOU'RE GOING TO GET. AND TO SUGGEST FOR EXAMPLE, AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER DID THE OTHER DAY THAT WE SHOULD BE LENDING THAT MONEY TO THE PUBLIC, WE WOULD BE MERELY MISLEADING THE PUBLIC BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW FROM MONTH TO MONTH WHETHER IT'S GOING TO BE THERE. WE DON'T KNOW FROM MONTH TO MONTH WHAT THE INTEREST RATE IS GOING TO BE. AND AS I'VE SAID, IT'S ALREADY TIED INTO THE BUDGET AND THE OVERALL PICTURE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS JUST SAID THAT IT'S BEEN BASED ON ABOUT 6 AND 6 1/2% AND HERE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 9 3/4%. SO THAT'S 50% MORE OF THE 6 1/2%. AND IF YOU TAKE \$45,000 AS THE APPROXIMATION ON WHAT IT IS RECEIVING NOW BY WAY OF INTEREST, AND IF THAT WAS BASED ON 6 1/2%, IT MEANS AT 9 3/4% WE ARE GOING TO BE GETTING A LOT MORE. AND SUPPOSING MY ARGUMENT IS INCORRECT AS FAR AS LOANING THE MONEY OUT FOR HOUSING, CERTAINLY IT'S NOT INCORRECT THAT THE MONEY THAT IS COMING IN ON HEALTH CARE PREMIUMS, THAT MONEY THAT'S COMING IN ON OTHER AREAS, COULDN'T BE USED FOR PROPOSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT FORWARD BY HONOURABLE MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE IN DEALING WITH T.V. FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITIES. BECAUSE HERE IS AN AREA OF WHERE MONEY CAN BE FOUND.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY. I STILL DISAGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BECAUSE OF THE MATHEMATICAL PROBABILITY OF WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO GET A MONTH FROM NOW. YOU DON'T KNOW. AS ALL HONOURABLE MEMBERS KNOW, IT'S JUST THE BANK RATE WAS PUT UP BY 1% BY THE BANK OF

CANADA JUST THIS LAST TWO OR THREE WEEKS AND THAT INCLUDES THE INTEREST WE'RE GETTING ON OUR PRESENT MONEY. AT THIS TIME RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING WHAT PERCENTAGE OF INTEREST WE ARE GOING TO GET ON THE GOVERNMENT FUNDS THREE MONTHS FROM NOW, LET ALONE A YEAR FROM NOW. IF, AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS, AND HE'S TAKEN THE MAXIMUM FIGURE AND THE MINIMUM FIGURE, IF YOU'RE SAYING THAT WE CAN SAY NOW WE'RE GOING TO GET 9 1/2% ON OUR MONEY AND CONSEQUENTLY WE ARE GOING TO HAVE THAT MUCH MORE MONEY ACCRUED TO THE YUKON GENERAL REVENUE FUND, IT'S NOT CORRECT. BECAUSE NOBODY AT ALL CAN PREDICT THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY, AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS FULL WELL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE BEEN ADVISED BY THE BANKERS THAT THERE HAS BEEN AN INCREASE OF 4 1/2% IN THE LAST FOURTEEN MONTHS. NOW MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN MR. MILLER SAY WHETHER I'M CORRECT OR INCORRECT ON THIS POINT?

MR. MILLER: THAT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO THIS IS WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. AN ADDITIONAL 4 1/2% ON MONIES AND HAS ALREADY BEEN SAID THAT FROM TIME TO TIME WE HAVE ANYWHERE FROM THREE MILLION AND EIGHT AND ONE-HALF MILLION DOLLARS AS A CASH FLOAT IN THE BANK. NOW ON SHORT AT 4 1/2% THIS IS A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT CAN BE USED FOR THE PURPOSES THAT HAVE BEEN REQUESTED. I DON'T THINK THAT ANY HONOURABLE MEMBER SHOULD ARGUE AGAINST THE POINT THAT THESE FUNDS CAN BE MADE AVAILABLE BECAUSE WE ARE GETTING THE BENEFIT OF THOSE INTEREST RATES. AND WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH THAT ADDITIONAL MONEY?

AND THIS HASN'T BEEN EXPLAINED AT ALL. I HAVE ALREADY ACCEPTED AS A BASIS, THAT THE BUDGET HAS BEEN BASED ON 6 TO 6 1/2% INTEREST. LET'S SAY THAT IS CORRECT. WE ARE STILL LOOKING FOR ANOTHER 3 1/4% INTEREST. WHY AREN'T WE UTILIZING THAT MONEY? AND I CAN ASSURE HONOURABLE MEMBERS THAT THE WAY THE BANKING SITUATION IS, THERE IS GOING TO BE VERY LITTLE REDUCTION IN PRIME RATES.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MEMBER THAT HAS JUST SPOKEN AND AFTER BEING IN BUSINESS FOR THE NUMBER OF YEARS THAT HE HAS BEEN IN BUSINESS, KNOWS FULL WELL THAT ANY TIME THAT THE RATE THAT A BANK WILL GIVE FOR MONIES ON DEPOSIT GOES UP, SO ALSO GOES UP THE RATE ON MONEY TO BE BORROWED. AND IT WOULD BE GREAT IF WE COULD SAY IN THE BUDGET - O.K. THE REVENUES ARE GOING

UP BUT THE EXPENDITURES ARE STAYING THE SAME AS AT THE TIME THIS BUDGET WAS PREPARED. THIS IS NOT TRUE. WHEN THE RATES FOR A TERM LOAN OR FOR DEPOSITS ARE GOING UP, SO IS THE RATE OF BORROWING AND I'M AFRAID THAT EFFECTS THE BUDGET ALL THE WAY THROUGH ALSO, PARTICULARLY IN CONSTRUCTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL TO THE CONTRARY, THE POINT IS THIS. THAT THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T BORROW MONEY AT 11 1/2% OR 12%. THAT ISN'T THE POINT WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE DOES. I'M DEALING WITH GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES AND THE GOVERNMENT BORROWS WHEN IT DOES BORROW, FROM CANADA, IT GET'S A MUCH BETTER RATE OF INTEREST THAN THE NORMAL BORROWER THAT'S GOING THROUGH A BANK. THE POINT IS THAT THE MONEY THAT IS ON DEPOSIT AT THE BANK FROM TIME TO TIME, GOVERNMENT MONEY IS SHOWING A PROFIT BY WAY OF INTEREST AND THAT MONEY SHOULD BE USED IN THE PRIORITIES THAT ARE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WITH THE NEEDS WHERE WE CAN'T FIND MONEY ELSEWHERE. BUT IF WE ARE LETTING THAT MONEY FALL INTO AREAS WHERE THE ADMINISTRATION, AT THEIR LEISURE, CAN USE THAT MONEY FOR WHATEVER PURPOSE THEY WANT, THEN I SAY IT'S WRONG.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T INTEND TO ENTER INTO THE DEBATE, BUT I WOULD POINT OUT FOR ALL MEMBERS THAT ON PAGE 70 OF THE ESTIMATES UNDER REVENUE, THERE IS AN ITEM CALLED INTEREST ON INVESTMENTS WHICH IS \$330,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AT WHAT RATE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WOULD WORK OUT AT \$4,000,000. APPROXIMATELY \$4,000,000 AVAILABLE IN THE BANK AT 8 1/2% INTEREST. I CAN'T PREDICT THE INTEREST RATE FROM DAY TO DAY. NEITHER CAN ANYBODY ELSE. BUT THAT'S ROUGHLY WHAT IT WOULD WORK OUT AT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD THE TREASURER TELL US WHAT RATE HE ESTIMATED IT AT LAST YEAR?

MR. MILLER: I'M SORRY, FOR WHICH YEAR MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. TANNER: FOR THE PAST FINANCIAL YEAR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAD BEEN USING 6 TO 6 1/2% BECAUSE THAT WAS THE RATE IN EFFECT. NOW THIS LAST FEW MONTHS IT HAS GONE UP CONSIDERABLY HIGHER. WHETHER IT WILL STAY THAT WAY OR NOT, I CAN'T PREDICT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: TAKING \$300,000 AS AN ESTIMATED INTEREST AND YOU DIVIDE IT BY TWELVE, YOU HAVE SOMETHING LIKE \$25,000 A MONTH IN INTEREST. ALRIGHT NOW, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT WE HAVE ALREADY BEEN ADVISED BY MR. MILLER THAT THE AVERAGE INTEREST WE'RE GETTING NOW IS \$46,000 A MONTH. NOW PERHAPS HE CAN SAY HOW HE RELATES THE AMOUNT OF \$300,000 OR \$25,000 A MONTH WITH WHAT HE HAS SAID NOW THAT WE ARE RECEIVING BY WAY OF \$46,000 A MONTH.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, BASICALLY WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS THAT AT THE MOMENT WE HAVE SIX AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS IN SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS. THAT WILL GENERATE \$46,000 PER MONTH INTEREST AT CURRENT INTEREST RATES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE WILL HAVE IN THE BANK NEXT MONTH OR THE MONTH AFTER OR THE MONTH AFTER OR FOR THE NEXT ELEVEN MONTHS AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE INTEREST RATE WILL BE. I CAN ONLY GO ON PAST HISTORY AND ASSUME THAT THERE WILL BE THE NORMAL CASH FLOWS AND IN FACT THEY ARE NO LONGER NORMAL BECAUSE WE'RE BEING PRESSED A LOT HARDER TODAY THEN WE USED TO BE TO PAY OUR BILLS. SO, I CAN'T PREDICT. WE HAVE USED AN ESTIMATE OF FOUR MILLION DOLLARS OR FOUR AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS AT 8 TO 8 1/2% INTEREST OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT WILL BE. A YEAR FROM NOW I CAN TELL YOU.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IF HONOURABLE MEMBERS HAD ANY CRITICISM OR ANY COMMENT TO MAKE ON THAT PARTICULAR CASE, I WOULD SAY WITH RESPECT THAT THE TREASURER IS PUSHING HIS LUCK A BIT, BECAUSE AS IT'S BEEN POINTED OUT FROM THE MEMBER FROM DAWSON, OUR COSTS ARE GOING TO GO UP, PEOPLE WHO OWE MONEY TO OUR CREDITORS ARE GOING TO BE PRESSING FOR THEIR MONEY MORE QUICKLY. THE LIKELIHOOD OF HAVING THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY IN THE BANK CONSISTENTLY AS WE'VE GOT AT THIS VERY MOMENT IS VERY DOUBTFUL. AND ON TOP OF ALL OF THAT, HE'S TAKING IT AT QUITE A HIGH RATE OF INTEREST OF 8 1/2% SO I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THE CRITICISM WOULD HAVE BEEN, YOU'RE PUSHING YOUR LUCK BABY, BECAUSE IT DOESN'T LOOK TO ME ON MY EXPERIENCE OF WHAT YOU'VE PREDICTED IS GOING TO BE YOUR EXPERIENCE. AS IT'S TURNED OUT LAST YEAR AT 6 1/2% WE CAME OUT AHEAD, BUT IT WAS AN EXCEPTIONAL YEAR AND A VERY FAST BANK RATE GROWTH. BUT FIRST OF ALL, IS THAT GROWTH GOING TO STAY ON? SECONDLY, ARE WE GOING TO HAVE THE MONEY IN THE BANK? AND THIRDLY, ARE WE GOING TO GET THAT SAME RATE? I REALLY DON'T UNDERSTAND THE CRITICISM OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE CRITICISM IS QUITE CLEAR, THAT WE ARE NOT ALLOWING THIS COUNCIL TO MAKE THE DECISION AS TO WHERE THE INTEREST MONEY, THE EXCESS INTEREST MONEY IS GOING TO BE USED AND FOR WHAT PURPOSES. AND THAT IS INTEREST ON PUBLIC FUNDS AND ESPECIALLY DEALING WITH THE HEALTH CARE FUND, IT'S INTEREST ON MONEY BEING HELD IN TRUST BY THE GOVERNMENT.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HEALTH CARE FUND MONEY IS NOT BEING HELD IN TRUST. THE LEGISLATION DOES NOT PROVIDE THAT THE PREMIUM PAYMENTS OR THE HEALTH CARE FUNDS FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA BE HELD IN A TRUST FUND. THEY BECOME PART OF THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND THAT IS DEFINED CLEARLY AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS FULL WELL, IN THE LEGISLATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OBVIOUSLY AGAIN, I MUST SAY THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HASN'T THE FAINTEST IDEA OF WHAT IS MEANT BY TRUST FUNDS WHEN - - .

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN ON A POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MAY I HEAR THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T FEEL THAT A PERSON SHOULD BE CRITICIZED AND RIDICULED CONSTANTLY BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO SEEMS TO BE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN ALL ASPECTS OF EVERYTHING.

I AM POINTING OUT TO HIM THAT THERE IS NO PROVISION FOR SPECIAL INTEREST TO ACCRUE TO A SPECIAL FUND UNDER THE MEDICARE LEGISLATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ALL FUNDS THAT ARE PAID INTO THE GOVERNMENT COFFERS FROM THE TAXPAYER ARE TRUST FUNDS THAT ARE BEING HELD BY THE GOVERNMENT AND TO BE DISTRIBUTED AND USED IN THE MANNER IN WHICH THIS COUNCIL DECIDES IN ITS WISDOM THAT IT SHOULD BE USED. THAT IS WHAT A TRUST FUND IS.

ALL GOVERNMENT FUNDS ARE TRUST FUNDS. MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE CAN BE NO DOUBT AT ALL THAT PREMIUMS PRIMARILY ARE MONIES THAT ARE BEING HELD BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR PAYMENT OUT, FOR SERVICES USED IN THAT AREA. I DON'T SUPPOSE THAT I AM GOING TO GET ONE POINT AHEAD ON WHAT I AM SAYING. IT IS A CLEAR INDICTMENT OF THE MANNER IN WHICH THE ADMINISTRATION IS OPERATING THE ACCOUNTS OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT, BY NOT RECOGNIZING THAT INTEREST MONEY ON GOVERNMENT FUNDS SHOULD BE USED FOR

THE BENEFIT OF THE PEOPLE IN THE MANNER THAT THIS COUNCIL DECIDES IT SHOULD BE USED.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, WITH DUE RESPECT, ON PAGE 7 HERE TO 70, INTEREST ON INVESTMENTS IS \$330,000, IS LISTED AS A REVENUE. THE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES ARE BASED ON PART OF THAT, ON THE REVENUE SECTION. THERE IS NO, AND AS THE TREASURER TOLD YOU, HE IS TRYING TO ESTIMATE IT AS CLOSE AS HE COULD BECAUSE OF THE MANY UNKNOWN SECTORS INVOLVED. THE INTEREST IS CLEARLY DEFINED AS A REVENUE TO OFFSET THE ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN WITH RESPECT, THAT Mr. MILLER IS WELL AWARE THAT THERE ARE GREATER FUNDS COMING IN BY WAY OF INTEREST THAN WHAT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED HERE. HE KNOWS TOO, ALL HE HAS TO DO IS SPEAK TO THE BANKERS, THEY WILL TELL HIM WHAT THE POSITION IS IN RELATION TO INTEREST MONEY.

I SAY THIS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT IT IS JUST SIMPLY ANOTHER PLOY THAT IS BEING MADE BY THE ADMINISTRATION, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT I'VE FOUGHT FOR A LONG TIME. THEY HAVE MADE UP THEIR MINDS, THAT THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE THE SAY SO ON HOW THE FUNDS ARE TO BE EXPENDED. THEY DON'T GIVE ONE DAMN ABOUT THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL OR ANY OF IT'S MEMBERS.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I JUST DON'T BUY ANYTHING THAT THE LAST MEMBER WHO SPOKE SAYS. FIRST OF ALL THREE MEMBERS OF THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE SIT ON THE DECISIONS TO SPEND THAT MONEY, TWO MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SIT ON THE DECISIVE MAKING BODY THAT SETS THE POLICY. THE FUNDS THAT Mr. MILLER HAS PREDICATED ARE ON HIS BEST KNOWLEDGE OF THE SITUATION AS HE KNOWS IT, OVER THE NEXT YEAR.

IF HE ISN'T TALKING TO THE BANKERS EVERY DAY OR TWO OR THREE TIMES A WEEK, THEN YOU'VE GOT A QUESTION. I SUSPECT THAT Mr. MILLER IS TALKING TO VARIOUS BANKS ALL THE TIME, TO GET THE BEST DEAL HE CAN IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES HE CAN WITH THE FUNDS THAT HE HAS AVAILABLE.

JUST ONE OTHER POINT, Mr. SPEAKER. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MADE REFERENCE TO TRUST FUNDS. IN THE SAME WAY THAT HE IS TAKING A SERIES OF FIGURES OVER A PERIOD OF A YEAR, BOTH PAST AND COMING, AND IN THE SAME WAY HE IS TAKING A SERIES OF INTERESTS IN THE PAST AND IS COMING AND THEN MIXING THEM ALL IN TOGETHER AND COMING UP WITH A CONCLUSION IN THE SAME WAY HE IS USING THE WORDS TRUST FUNDS, IN TWO DIFFERENT WAYS.

IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A CASE OF THE FUNDS THAT THE PUBLIC GIVES THE GOVERNMENT ARE IN TRUST, BUT WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WAS SAYING IS, TRUST FUNDS, IN THE TECHNICAL SENSE OF THE WORDS AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE EAST WELL KNOWS. HE IS TAKING A SERIES OF FIGURES, A SERIES OF PERCENTAGES AND A SERIES OF TIME AND MIXING THEM ALTOGETHER TO COME TO HIS OWN CONCLUSIONS. NOW HE IS TAKING VARIOUS PHRASEOLOGIES AND USING THEM IN DIFFERENT WAYS TO MAKE HIS POINT. I THINK, QUITE FRANKLY, THAT HE ISN'T MAKING HIS POINT AT ALL.

THE FUNDS THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET IN INTEREST ARE ILLUSTRATED HERE AS AN ESTIMATE, JUST LIKE THE TOTAL BUDGET THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU IS AN ESTIMATE OF THE SITUATION AS BEST SEEN BY THE EXPERTS WE HIRE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE WHAT HE PROPOSES TO DO WITH THE INTEREST FUNDS OVER AND ABOVE THE AMOUNT THAT HE HAS ESTIMATED FOR.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF WE ARE LUCKY ENOUGH TO HAVE ANY, THEY WILL GO TO THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND INTO THE WORKING CAPITAL BALANCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE YOU HAVE YOUR ANSWER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE WE ANYTHING FURTHER ON LOAN CAPITAL? NEXT ITEM IS LOAN AMORTIZATION. HAVE YOU ANYTHING ON LOAN AMORTIZATION? ALRIGHT, WE HAVE ONE FINAL ITEM WHICH I NEGLECTED TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF YESTERDAY OR THE FIRST REVIEW OF THE BUDGET, AND THAT IS THE REVENUE SECTION, REVENUE AND RECOVERIES. PROCEED TO PAGE 70. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE BREAKDOWN IS ON 71.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'VE GOT A QUESTION MARK THAT I'VE PUT AT 69 ON SELF-AMORTIZED LOANS. WITH REFERENCE TO THE INTEREST ON SELF-AMORTIZED LOANS, THIS IS A FIXED INTEREST THAT IS BEING PAID BACK. IS THIS CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS INTEREST THAT WE RECEIVE FROM MUNICIPALITIES PRIMARILY ON DEBENTURES THAT THEY HAVE SIGNED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I JUST WANT TO KNOW, IS IT A FIXED INTEREST THAT WE HAVE AGREED TO AND IT REMAINS CONSTANT?

MR. MILLER: YES, IT IS A FIXED INTEREST AT THE TIME OF SIGNING THE DEBENTURE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU CLEAR ON LOAN AMORTIZATION? THE BREAKDOWN ON REVENUE FIRST. THE FIRST ITEM IS 1974-75 ESTIMATES, PROPERTY TAX, \$359,300. PAGE 71. COUNCILLOR STUTTER, WILL YOU TAKE THE CHAIR A MOMENT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR TAYLOR.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN I HAVE A QUESTION RESPECTING PROPERTY TAX. ITS A QUESTION THAT HAS BUZZED AROUND THE COMMUNITY CLUBS THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY FOR SOME TIME NOW, MORE PARTICULARLY THIS WINTER. I WOULD LIKE TO READ INTO THE RECORD, A LETTER WHICH PRETTY WELL STATES IT BETTER THAN I COULD. THIS IS FROM THE TESLIN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, DATED APRIL THE 18TH. IT SAYS, 'DEAR COUNCILLOR TAYLOR: SOME TIME AGO, I DISCUSSED WITH YOU THE ASSESSMENT NOTICE RECEIVED IN THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE LAND AND BUILDINGS OWNED BY THE TESLIN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION. AT THAT TIME, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU WOULD LOOK INTO THE MATTER AND THAT YOU FELT THAT NO TAX SHOULD BE CHARGED, AS IT HAS NOT BEEN IN THE PAST. I AM NOW IN RECEIPT OF TWO TAX NOTICES, ONE FOR \$420 FOR THE HALL AND CURLING RINK AND ANOTHER FOR \$913.92 FOR THE SKATING RINK AND SWIMMING POOL. AS YOU CAN SEE, THESE AMOUNTS ARE QUITE HIGH AND OUR CLUB WOULD FIND SOME DIFFICULTY IN MEETING THEIR PAYMENT. AGAIN, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THERE HAS BEEN SOME MISTAKE IN ASSESSING THESE TAXES TO THE LAND AND BUILDINGS OF OUR COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM YOU AT YOUR EARLIEST OPPORTUNITY. SIGNED, 'KEN NASH, PRESIDENT.'

THIS IS A POLICY CHANGE THAT CERTAINLY HAS NEVER COME BEFORE COUNCIL. AS YOU KNOW WE DO HAVE A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUND AND THE IDEA IS TO TRY AND OFFSET SOME OF THE COSTS TO THE COMMUNITY CLUBS. OF COURSE, THROUGH THAT FUND, WE PRIMARILY APPLY THOSE THINGS TO CAPITAL. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT SOME POLICY DECISION HAS BEEN MADE BY THE ADMINISTRATION IN RESPECT OF THIS, THAT IT SHOULD BE CHANGED. I DON'T REALLY FEEL THAT THE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIONS SHOULD LABOR UNDER TAX DEMANDS.

I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM MR. TREASURER ON THIS. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW JUST WHEN THIS POLICY WAS CHANGED AND WHY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. MILLER.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER ASKED ME THIS QUESTION SOME TIME AGO ON THE TELEPHONE. AT THAT TIME, I INVESTIGATED IT AND I THOUGHT I HAD REPORTED BACK TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. MAYBE I ERRED IN NOT REPORTING BACK.

MY INVESTIGATIONS REVEALED THAT THIS IS NOT A NEW POLICY. THIS HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE CASE AND THAT THESE COMMUNITY CENTRES HAVE ALWAYS BEEN PRESENTED WITH BILLS. I DON'T KNOW WHEN THEY WEREN'T BECAUSE WE HAVE GONE BACK IN ALL OUR CURRENT RECORDS THAT WE HAVE IN THE OFFICE, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY THREE YEARS. IT HAS BEEN THERE SINCE THAT TIME.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN ISN'T THE PROCEDURE AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS SET UP AND I FRANKLY DON'T KNOW THE RESULTS OF THE PROCEDURE, BUT THE PROCEDURE AS I UNDERSTAND IT IS THAT EVERYBODY GETS A TAX BILL. IRRESPECT OF WHETHER IT IS A COMMUNITY CENTRE OR WHAT HAVE YOU. ANY PARTICULAR COMMUNAL FUNCTION SUCH AS A COMMUNITY CENTRE CAN APPEAL TO ASK TO BE RELIEVED OF THE TAXES. THAT IS CERTAINLY THE WAY WE DID IT IN PORTER CREEK BEFORE WE BECAME PART OF THE CITY. IT IS CERTAINLY THE WAY THEY STILL DO IT IN PORTER CREEK, INsofar AS THE CITY HAS TAKEN OVER.

AS I UNDERSTAND IT, EVERYBODY GETS A TAX BILL. THOSE ORGANIZATIONS THAT FEEL THAT THEY HAVE A CASE TO BE MADE SO THEY SHOULDN'T PAY TAXES, THAT'S THE WAY THEY DO IT. WHETHER OR NOT, THEY ARE GRANTED THAT RELIEF, THAT'S A DIFFERENT QUESTION. CERTAINLY, THAT'S THE WAY I'VE ALWAYS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE METHODS WORK.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY ONE POINT. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT THE TESLIN COMMUNITY CLUB EVER GOT A TAX NOTICE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS DOING. I ASKED THE WATSON LAKE COMMUNITY CLUB IF THEY HAD YET GOT ONE. THE LAST TIME OF ASKING IS NOW SOME WEEKS AGO, AND THEY HADN'T HAD ONE UP TO THAT POINT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEY WILL BILL THEM.

MR. TAYLOR: NONE OF THESE COMMUNITY CLUBS HAVE EVER HAD THEM AND I DON'T THINK THAT IT IS INTENDED THAT THEY EVER SHOULD. I KNOW OF NO COMMUNITY CLUB IN MY ELECTORAL DISTRICT AT ALL THAT EVER, EVER, EVER UP UNTIL NOW, UNTIL TESLIN, RECEIVED A TAX NOTICE. IF, INDEED IT IS THE POLICY OF THE GOVERNMENT IN THE PAST,

TO DO THIS, THEN THEY MUST HAVE RECEIVED A TAX REMISSION BECAUSE NO TAX NOTICES WERE ISSUED TO MY ... I WONDER WHAT IS THE POINT OF ORDER. I AM WONDERING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF WE SHOULDN'T AT THIS TIME DEAL WITH THIS QUESTION BECAUSE THIS IS ABSOLUTELY BRAND NEW TO THE COMMUNITY CLUBS IN MY DISTRICT.

OBVIOUSLY, THESE PEOPLE CAN'T MAINTAIN THE TAXES ON THESE PARTICULAR ITEMS AS THEY STATE. IT IS TOO HIGH FOR THEM TO HANDLE. EVEN WERE THEY TO GET ANY PART OF THE \$8,000 COMMUNITY CLUB GRANT THAT IS BASICALLY FOR CAPITAL PURPOSES AND YOU KNOW. WE ARE SUPPOSED TO BE HELPING THESE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATIONS INASMUCH AS THEY ARE THE FOCAL, RECREATIONAL AND SOCIAL CENTRE OF ANY COMMUNITY.

I THINK A DECISION SHOULD BE MADE HERE THAT THIS DOESN'T CONTINUE AND THAT THESE TAXES BE REMITTED BUT NOT FROM THE, WELL REMISSION, YES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: WELL GO AHEAD.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS THAT FROM HIS INFORMATION THAT TESLIN HASN'T GOT ONE IN THE PAST. I CAN CATEGORICALLY SAY BECAUSE I HAD A LOT TO DO WITH IT. IN PORTER CREEK, WHEN WITH A COMMUNITY CENTRE, WHEN IT WAS OUTSIDE OF THE CITY, IT DEFINITELY GOT ONE. TO THE VERY BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, THAT THE SYSTEM IS THAT YOU BUILD A COMMUNITY CLUB AND THE COMMUNITY CLUB APPEALS TO THE TAXING AUTHORITY AGAINST THE BILLING. THAT IS THE GENERAL WAY THEY ARE PROCEEDED.

THERE IS ONE OTHER POINT THAT I WOULD MENTION AS FAR AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS CONCERNED, I'M SURE THE SAME THING HAPPENS IN THE COMMUNITY CLUBS IN HIS CONSTITUENCY, HAPPENS IN MINE. THERE IS AN ONGOING AND CHANGING EXECUTIVE ALL THE TIME. IT IS VERY HARD, QUITE FRANKLY, TO GET CONSISTENT INFORMATION AND EVEN KEEP FILES THAT ARE CONSISTENT. TO PUT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S MIND AT REST, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, THAT WE HAVE A COFFEE BREAK NOW AND LET THE TREASURER CHECK UP AND VERIFY THE FACTS AS I AM GIVING THEM TO THE HOUSE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. TREASURER WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO,

MR. MILLER: YES, IT WILL ONLY TAKE US A MINUTES.

MR. MCKINNON: I WONDER IF WE COULD GET A FEW, GET A COUPLE MORE THINGS. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF

REVENUE IF COMMUNITY CLUBS ARE ASSESSED AND THEY ARE PAYING TO THE TERRITORIAL TREASURER, WHAT IS THE AMOUNT OF REVENUE THAT WAS RECEIVED BY PROPERTY TAXES ON COMMUNITY CLUBS IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR.

Mr. MILLER: THAT QUESTION WOULD TAKE CONSIDERABLY LONGER TO DIG OUT BECAUSE WE WOULD HAVE TO GET NUMBER ONE, THE NAMES OF ALL THE COMMUNITY CLUBS. WE DON'T KEEP THEM IN THAT ORDER. WE CAN GET THE INFORMATION. I'M JUST SAYING, WE CAN'T DO IT OVER A COFFEE BREAK.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD WARN ALL HONOURABLE MEMBERS AGAINST CHANGING THE SYSTEM BECAUSE IF YOU SAY WE ARE GOING TO EXEMPT ALL COMMUNITY CLUBS YOU GET INTO A TERRIBLE HASSLE OF DEFINING COMMUNITY CLUBS, SENDING COMMUNITY CLUBS, MAYBE COMMUNITY CLUBS, MAYBE HALF OF THE COMMUNITY CLUB THINKS IT IS A COMMUNITY CLUB, OR A SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION THINKS IT IS A COMMUNITY CLUB. I THINK THAT THE BEST WAY OF DOING IT IS THE ONGOING METHOD NOW. THOSE PEOPLE WHO BELIEVE THEY SHOULD BE EXEMPT FOR COMMUNITY PURPOSES, SHOULD APPLY TO GET THE EXEMPTION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WE'VE GOT NO PROVISION FOR IT.

Mr. TANNER: WELL IF THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GET AN EXEMPTION THEN YOU CAN'T IN THE FIRST PLACE NOT SEND OUT THE NOTICES.

Mr. McKINNON: THAT IS THE OTHER QUESTION I WANTED ANSWERED BY MR. MILLER. IS THERE A POLICY NOW, IF COMMUNITY CLUBS ASK THAT THE ASSESSMENT BE WAIVED AND THAT THE TAXES NOT BE PAID. IS THIS THE POLICY FOR THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TO DO THIS. ITS THE POLICY OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT TO DO IT BECAUSE THEY ASSESSED AREAS AS VARIED AS THE PORTER CREEK COMMUNITY CLUB NOW, SKOOKUM JIM HALL AND THESE DIFFERENT AREAS, THEY ASSESS THEM THEN THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION APPEALS TO THE CITY TO WAIVE THE TAX NOTICE AND IT IS WAIVED. IS THIS THE SAME POLICY THAT IS FOLLOWED BY THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE NO POLICY REGARDING REMISSION OF PROPERTY TAXES. IN FACT THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE DOESN'T HAVE A POLICY. WHAT HAPPENS, IS THAT AN ORGANIZATION APPLIES TO THE CITY FOR A GRANT TO PAY THEIR TAXES. THEY CAN'T REMIT THE TAXES EITHER. THEY HAVE GOT TO DO IT BY GIVING THE ORGANIZATION A GRANT EQUAL TO THE AMOUNT.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, DID WE NOT AMEND THE TAXATION ORDINANCE ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO AND WE TOOK THIS SECTION OUT WHERE TAXES COULD NOT BE REMITTED. I THINK SO.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE IS PROVISION UNDER THE FINANCE ADMIN. ORDINANCE BUT,...

Mrs. WATSON: BUT NOT UNDER THE TAXATION ORDINANCE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE.

Mr. MILLER: NO, BUT THE FINANCE ADMIN. ORDINANCE IS THE SENIOR ORDINANCE AND IT COULD BE DONE UNDER THERE EXCEPT THAT IT IS THE COMMISSIONER THAT MAY REMIT. THE COMMISSIONER HAS NEVER REMITTED ANY TAXES TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I WANT TO MAKE MY OWN POINT CLEAR. I AM MERELY SETTING OUT THE METHOD AS I UNDERSTOOD IT. I DIDN'T SAY AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REMIT TAXES BECAUSE I'M SURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST HAS SAT DOWN IN THE CITY COUNCIL WITH ME AND I'VE SEEN HIM DOWN THERE MANY TIMES, LABORING OVER THE TERRIBLE DILEMMAS THEY GET THEMSELVES INTO TIME AFTER TIME.

VIRTUALLY WHAT THEY DO IS THAT THEY HAVE \$15,000 OR SOME AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT THEY SET ASIDE FOR GRANTS, IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE AND INEVITABLY THEY NEVER HAVE ENOUGH MONEY AND INEVITABLY THEY GET DOZENS AND DOZENS OF APPLICATIONS. I WOULD HATE TO SEE OURSELVES GETTING INTO THAT SAME MESS.

I THINK THE WAY TO APPROACH THE PROGRAM, IS THAT THE LOCAL COMMUNITIES FIND SOME OTHER WAY OF RAISING THE MONEY TO PAY THEIR TAXES BUT I THINK THEY SHOULD PAY THEM.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN POSSIBLY WE COULD GIVE MR. TREASURER AN OPPORTUNITY TO DELVE INTO THIS MATTER AND COME UP WITH SOME INFORMATION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COMMITTEE AGREED? I DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AT THIS TIME I WILL CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER. I THINK THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER HAS SOME INFORMATION FOR US.

Mr. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I STAND CORRECTED ON PART OF WHAT I SAID EARLIER. THERE HAD BEEN CERTAIN COMMUNITY CLUBS WHICH HAD BEEN USED FOR COURT FACILITIES WHICH WERE NOT BILLED IN THE PAST. CONVERSELY THEY WERE CHARGED, THE COURTS, A VERY NOMINAL OR LOW RENTAL FOR THE USE OF THE FACILITY WHEN THE COURTS WERE IN THE AREA.

OTHER COMMUNITY CLUBS HAVE BEEN BILLED ON A REGULAR BASIS AND DID PAY ON A REGULAR BASIS. NOW, I DON'T HAVE AN ENTIRE LIST OF WHICH ONES HAVE AND WHICH ONES HAVEN'T BUT EFFECTIVELY, A YEAR AGO THE USE OF COMMUNITY CENTRES AS COURT FACILITIES WAS CHANGED TO GO ON A PROPER BASIS OF PAYING RENT WHEN THE COURTS ARE USING THE FACILITIES AND THE LONG STANDING VERBAL AGREEMENT WITH LEGAL AFFAIRS AND COMMUNITY CENTRES WAS DISCONTINUED. SUBSEQUENTLY THEY HAVE BEEN BILLED THIS YEAR. SOME OF THEM FOR THE FIRST TIME AND FOR TAXES.

Mr. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE THANK MR. MILLER FOR THE INFORMATION HE HAS PROVIDED. THIS INDEED IS THE FIRST TIME THAT OUR COMMUNITY HALL CENTRES HAVE EVER BEEN GIVEN A TAX NOTICE AND AS I STATE, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT, ESPECIALLY FOR THE SMALL COMMUNITIES TO RAISE THE REVENUE BECAUSE MOST OF THE COMMUNITY CLUBS ARE ALWAYS IN DEBT IN ANY EVENT AND TRYING TO PAY OFF THE INTEREST AND THE CAPITAL THEY BORROWED AT THE SAME TIME AND WHITTLE DOWN THE CAPITAL.

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW FROM THE ADMINISTRATION IF THEY HAVE ANY IDEAS ON HOW WE CAN GET AROUND THIS PROBLEM SO THAT THE COMMUNITY CLUBS WOULD NOT BE TAXED.

Mr. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, WITHOUT HAVING THE BENEFIT OF HAVING SOME TIME TO LOOK AT THIS AND TO LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE, THE ORDINANCE DOES NOT ALLOW US TO EXCLUDE THEM AT THE PRESENT TIME. TAXATION ORDINANCE I AM REFERRING TO. I WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A LITTLE TIME TO CONSIDER THIS MATTER AND TRY AND COME UP WITH A SOLUTION TO IT. I WOULD LIKE TO DO SOME FURTHER RESEARCH AS WELL AS TO WHO IS BILLED AND WHO ISN'T OR WHO WASN'T AND THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF DOLLARS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

Mr. TAYLOR: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS JUST THINKING A FEW MOMENTS AGO THAT IT IS A CONDITION. I BELIEVE, CERTAINLY, IN THE CASE OF WATSON LAKE

AND I BELIEVE TESLIN. NO DOUBT IN OTHER COMMUNITIES AS WELL THAT THE LANDS THAT HAVE BEEN SET ASIDE, THE PROPERTY ITSELF, VESTED IN THE COMMUNITY CLUB REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY CLUB ONLY AS LONG AS THE COMMUNITY CLUB EXISTS. IT WAS THE INTENT AND THIS GOES BACK QUITE A FEW YEARS, IT WAS THE INTENT OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND THE COUNCIL AT THAT TIME THAT IF A COMMUNITY CLUB WENT BELLY UP FOR INSTANCE, YOU KNOW, JUST FOLDED-THEN THE LAND REVERTED TO THE COMMISSIONER TO BE HELD FOR THE BENEFICIAL USE OF THE COMMUNITY. OR, IF IT WAS THEN A MUNICIPALITY AND THE THING WENT BELLY UP THE LAND REVERTED TO THE MUNICIPALITY AND SO FORTH. POSSIBLY IN RESEARCHING THIS QUESTION WE MAY FIND AN ANSWER SURROUNDING THAT INVOLVEMENT.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IT REALLY NEEDS SOME PROPER RESEARCH BECAUSE THIS ISN'T THE CASE OF ALL LANDS OR COMMUNITY CLUBS. SOME COMMUNITY CLUBS HAVE THE ACTUAL TITLE TO THEIR LAND SO I THINK IT SORT OF CONFLICTS WITH THE PROBLEM AND IT WILL TAKE A LITTLE TIME TO DO SOMETHING WITH IT.

Mr. TAYLOR: YES, BUT WE HAVE TITLE-BUT WITH THAT CONDITION.

Mr. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN WITH RESPECT, THERE ARE DIFFERENT TITLES THAT HAVE BEEN PASSED. SOME HAVE BEEN OUTRIGHT TITLES, SOME HAVE BEEN TITLES WITH CONDITIONS. SOME HAVE TITLE WITH REMISSION SO, IF YOU COULD LEAVE THIS WITH ME, I WILL ATTEMPT TO COME FORWARD AS SOON AS I CAN WITH SOMETHING TO COUNCIL.

Mr. TAYLOR: THIS WOULD BE APPRECIATED, MR. MILLER:

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, UNDER PROPERTY TAX, TERRITORIAL, WE HAVE A FIGURE OF \$359,300 IN THE OPERATING REVENUE SUMMARY. FOR THE 73/74 IT'S \$152,525. NOW, COULD WE GET AN EXPLANATION OF HOW THIS IS ESTIMATED FOR 1974/75 TO BE APPROXIMATELY 2 1/2 TIMES THE AMOUNT OF 1973/74 WHEN THE SCHOOL TAX, TERRITORIAL, DOESN'T SHOW THAT TYPE OF PERCENTAGE INCREASE.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS ONE MAJOR FACTOR IN 73/74. THERE WAS AN ERROR IN THE ESTIMATES. THE ASSESSMENT FOR 73/74 WAS 25 MILLION DOLLARS AND AT 12 MILLS. THAT WOULD INDICATE A REVENUE OF \$300,000 MR. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: A MISTAKE?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A MISTAKE. A REVENUE OF \$300,000 MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: A MISTAKE?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS A MISTAKE IN OUR ESTIMATING FOR 1973-74 FISCAL YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN FACT WE RECEIVED MORE THAN THE \$152,525. HOW MUCH DID WE RECEIVE?

MR. MILLER: APPROXIMATELY \$300,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT OTHER \$150,000? WHERE DID WE USE IT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WOULD GO INTO THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND INTO OUR WORKING CAPITAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS UNDER PROPERTY TAX - TERRITORIAL?
SCHOOL TAX - TERRITORIAL - \$474,900.
ANY QUESTIONS?

SCHOOL TAX - MUNICIPALITIES - \$1,030,800.
QUESTIONS?

FUEL OIL TAX - \$2,738,000.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A PROBLEM HERE. IT'S ONE THAT I HAD RAISED WITH THE ADMINISTRATION INVOLVING PROPANE AND I THINK MR. MILLER WILL RECALL HAVING DEALT WITH IT, THAT EARLY LAST FALL, A LOT OF PEOPLE IN AND AROUND THE TERRITORY WERE BURNING PROPANE AND WONDERED WHY THEY COULDN'T GET IN ON THE FUEL EQUALIZATION PROGRAM AND I PHONED THE ADMINISTRATION AND WAS ADVISED THAT THE SIMPLE REASON FOR THAT WAS THAT THERE IS NO TAX ON PROPANE,

IT WAS THEN DURING THE COURSE OF THE WINTER, I GUESS SOMEONE IN THE ADMINISTRATION INADVERTENTLY I'M TOLD, SENT A TAX NOTICE TO CANADIAN PROPANE FOR ALL THE PROPANE THAT THEY HAD BROUGHT INTO THE TERRITORY AND DISPENSED. AND SO WHEN I PHONED I WAS TOLD IT WAS A MISTAKE AND THAT THE MATTER WAS CORRECTED. I JUST MORE RECENTLY HERE IN THE LAST NUMBER OF DAYS, THAT I'VE HAD ANOTHER PHONE CALL ON THIS QUESTION, AND THEY SAY WELL THIS IS ALL VERY WELL AND FINE FOR ME TO SAY, BUT THE ADMINISTRATION HAS NOT YET WRITTEN THEM A LETTER TELLING THEM THAT TO DISREGARD IT. AND I'M WONDERING IF THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD DO THAT,

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE POSITION AS YOU'VE STATED IS QUITE CORRECT. THE REASON THE LETTER HAS NOT GONE OUT IS THERE'S BEEN AT LEAST TWO APPOINTMENTS NOT KEPT BY CANADIAN PROPANE TO DISCUSS THIS MATTER, BUT THE LETTER WILL GO OUT.

MR. TAYLOR: GOOD. THANK YOU MR. CHAIRMAN, AND I WILL RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON FUEL OIL TAX?

THE NEXT ITEM IS HEATING OIL TAX - \$71,000,

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE QUESTION ON EQUALIZATION. DOES THE GOVERNMENT FEEL THAT AT THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR AT THE TIME WHEN PEOPLE SHOULD HAVE PUT IN THEIR EQUALIZATION FORMS THAT THE GOVERNMENT DID A GOOD JOB IN PUBLICISING AND THERE WAS TIME TO FILL IN YOUR EQUALIZATION FORMS? AND DID THE GOVERNMENT RECEIVE THE MAJORITY OF THESE FORMS FROM THE RESIDENTS WHO COULD MAKE APPLICATION UNDER THE FUEL EQUALIZATION FUND?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE DID WHAT WE CONSIDERED TO BE AN ADEQUATE ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN. WE HAD IT ON C.B.C. WE HAD IT ON C.K.R.N. WE HAD IT IN THE NEWSPAPERS. WE DISTRIBUTED THE FORMS TO ALL THE FUEL DISTRIBUTORS. WE DISTRIBUTED THE FORMS TO THE TERRITORIAL AGENTS IN ALL THE COMMUNITIES. AND NO, WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED THAT MANY APPLICATIONS AS YET. IN FACT, VERY FEW. I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT MOST PEOPLE ARE WAITING UNTIL THE END OF THE HEATING SEASON, TO GET THEIR APPLICATIONS IN, WHICH WILL BE IN THE NEXT MONTH OR TWO.

MR. MCKINNON: THAT'S INTERESTING AND ASK THE QUESTION IN A COUPLE MONTHS THEN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOW THESE FORMS ARE FOR, REALLY THIS EQUALIZATION IS FOR PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF THE WHITEHORSE AREA,

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PERHAPS THEY LIKE SPENDING MONEY ON HEATING OIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS THE DEADLINE, FROM THE CHAIR, IN RELATION TO THIS. IS THERE A DEADLINE BY WHICH YOU MUST HAVE YOUR FORMS IN?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE NOT

IMPOSED ANY DEADLINE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON HEATING OIL TAX?

FUR EXPORT TAX - \$4,000.
CLEAR?

INSURANCE PREMIUMS TAX.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO ASK YOU A QUESTION ON THE NEXT ITEM, SEWER AND WATER FRONTAGE TAX. COULD WE HAVE INDICATED WHY THERE IS NO SEWER AND WATER FRONTAGE TAX THIS TIME AROUND?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS ITEM IS PURELY AND SIMPLY A TRANSFER. WE TRANSFERRED IT FROM TAX REVENUE TO TERRITORIAL AMORTIZED LOAN RECOVERIES, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THIS IS FOR. IT'S TO PAY OFF LOANS, MONIES THAT WE HAVE BORROWED FROM OTTAWA TO INSTALL WATER AND SEWER SYSTEMS. SO THE RECOVERY OF THE MONEY IS USED TO PAY OFF THAT SELF AMORTIZING LOAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NOW IN WHAT AREAS WOULD THIS MONEY BE COMING FROM? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THE LOT 19 OPERATION FOR INSTANCE?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT LOT 19. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT PORTER CREEK, MAYO, HAINES JUNCTION, WATSON LAKE, ANY PLACE THAT WE HAVE INSTALLED A WATER SEWER SYSTEM. NOW IN THE CASE OF LOT 19 AND PORTER CREEK, THIS IS COLLECTED BY THE CITY ON OUR BEHALF AND REMITTED TO US.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WHERE WOULD WE FIND THE MONEY COLLECTED BY THE CITY AND REMITTED TO US? WOULD THAT BE IN AMORTIZATION AS WELL?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WOULD BE UNDER LOAN AMORTIZATION RECOVERIES. IT IS INCLUDED ON PAGE 68 UNDER THE RECOVERIES OF LOAN AMORTIZATION AND IT'S PART OF THE \$763,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I'M SORRY TO GO BACK TO THAT, BUT WE HAVE NO BREAKDOWN THEN ON WHAT THESE RECOVERIES REPRESENT. THIS IS WHY I RAISED THIS QUESTION BECAUSE AT LEAST HERE WE KNOW HOW MUCH IS FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE. WITH THIS BULK AMOUNT THERE IS NO WAY OF KNOWING FROM WHAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US, IN WHAT AREAS THESE RECOVERIES ARE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE \$763,000 IS BROKEN DOWN AS FOLLOWS:

RECOVERIES FROM SECOND MORTGAGE LOANS, THIS IS THE C.M.H.C. PROGRAM - \$35,900.

RECOVERIES FROM THE LOW COST HOUSING PROGRAM - \$182,000.

RECOVERIES FROM THE MUNICIPALITIES - \$255,100.

RECOVERIES FROM FRONTAGE TAX AND SALE OF LOTS - \$290,000.

FRONTAGE TAXES, MR. CHAIRMAN, WILL CONTINUE AT APPROXIMATELY \$40,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THANK YOU.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

NEXT ITEM IS INSURANCE PREMIUMS TAX - \$22,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: EXPLANATION.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS THE FIRE INSURANCE PREMIUM TAX THAT WAS INTRODUCED TWO YEARS AGO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ARE THE INSURANCE COMPANIES PAYING THIS WITHOUT ANY ARGUMENT OR ARE THEY PUTTING A BEEF UP?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY ARE PAYING IT WITHOUT ANY ARGUMENT I MIGHT ADD. IT'S A COMMON TAX IN THE PROVINCES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS LIQUOR PROFIT LICENCES AND SURCHARGES - \$2,000,829.

I'M JUST WONDERING FROM THE CHAIR AGAIN, WILL WE BE RECEIVING, I CAN'T RECALL NOW WHETHER THE ADMINISTRATION CONSENTED TO IT, BUT WOULD WE BE RECEIVING A REPORT ON THE OPERATIONS OF THE LIQUOR DEPARTMENT?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A BUDGET HERE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO DEAL WITH THAT NOW, I'M PREPARED TO TABLE THE BUDGET.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO WE HAVE COPIES FOR ALL THESE? PROCEED.
MADAM CLERK?

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE SMALL POINT, I WONDER WHY THE LIQUOR DEPARTMENT USES AN 8%

FRINGE BENEFIT INSTEAD OF 7% AS IN ALL OTHER DEPARTMENTS?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: Mr. MILLER,

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE NOT REVIEWED THIS BUDGET WITH THEM. I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY USE THE 8%. AS A MATTER OF FACT I THINK OVER THE COURSE OF THE NEXT YEAR, WE'LL HAVE TO CHANGE OTHER DEPARTMENTS AT 8% AS WELL BECAUSE IT'S BECOMING SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN 7% NOW AND AT THE RATE IT'S GROWING, I THINK WE'LL ALL BE LOOKING AT 8 WITHIN THE NEXT YEAR.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THESE EMPLOYEES ARE EMPLOYEES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THOUGH?

Mr. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT.

Mr. STUTTER: I WONDER Mr. CHAIRMAN, IF WE COULD GET Mr. THIBAUT TO GIVE US A BLUEPRINT FOR HIS METHOD OF CARRYING ON BUSINESS ANY BUSINESS LIKE HE'S IN CHARGE OF THAT GIVES REVENUE TO THE TERRITORY THE WAY IT DOES AND HE MANAGES TO KEEP HIS EXPENSES IN LINE. I THINK NEEDS TO BE CONGRATULATED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: JUST FROM THE CHAIR, THE LIQUOR BOARD, THE WAY IT SITS, IT SEEMS TO BE REDUCED NOW TO SIMPLY A LICENCING WARD AND IT'S FUNCTION IN PROVIDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW LEGISLATION ISN'T APPARENTLY BEING ADHERED TO BECAUSE THE ADMINISTRATION DO NOT WISH TO OPEN THE LIQUOR ACT. HOW LONG WILL THIS CONTINUE?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T WISH TO GET INTO A LENGTHY DEBATE ON THE MATTER, BUT THE LIQUOR BOARD DOES BRING FORWARD RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING CHANGES AND I ASSUME THEY WILL CONTINUE TO DO THIS AND AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME THE CHANGES, I THINK THE ADMINISTRATOR INDICATED THE OTHER DAY THAT HE HAD ASKED THE BOARD TO REVIEW THE WHOLE ORDINANCE, IF I RECALL HIS POSITION AT THAT TIME, RATHER THAN JUST AD HOC CHANGES. SO I WOULD HOPE THAT, YOU KNOW THE BOARD WILL BE ABLE TO DO THIS AND BE ABLE TO BRING FORWARD SOME CONCRETE LEGISLATION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: AGAIN FROM THE CHAIR, I JUST RECALL THE ITEM THAT I BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF COMMITTEE THE OTHER DAY ON THE 19TH OF SEPTEMBER, 1972 WHERE THEY STATE - THE LIQUOR BOARD IS PUTTING FORTH RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO THIS CLAUSE IN THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE FOR A PROBLEM WHICH HAS

EXISTED SINCE 1972 AND TO WHICH THERE HAS BEEN NO RESOLUTION. AND I'M INFORMED THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAVE NO INTENTION WHATSOEVER TO ALLOWING THE OPENING OF THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. SO THIS IS A PROBLEM NOW TWO YEARS OLD AND I'M JUST WONDERING HOW MUCH LONGER WE HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL THE COUNCIL CAN BE GIVEN THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE LIQUOR BOARD SO THAT WE CAN AMEND THE ORDINANCE?

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M SORRY COULD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER EITHER LET ME SEE THE LETTER OR READ THE FIRST SENTENCE. I DIDN'T HEAR THE FIRST THING HE SAID.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I READ IT THE OTHER DAY IN THE HOUSE.

THIS IS A LETTER ADDRESSED TO IRON CREEK LODGE WHO HAD APPLIED FOR A COCKTAIL LOUNGE LIQUOR LICENCE AND THEY'VE BEEN WAITING NOW TWO YEARS FOR THIS BY THE SERVICE OF THE PUBLIC AND CANNOT DO SO BECAUSE THE LIQUOR ACT NEEDS CHANGING. AND THEY STATE AND THIS LETTER IS FROM H. VEIGAND, CHAIRMAN, OF THE YUKON LIQUOR BOARD, STATES THE NUMBER OF ROOMS REQUIRED FOR A COCKTAIL LOUNGE OR TAVERN LICENCE IN POINTS OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE HAS BEEN A MATTER OF CONCERN TO THE LIQUOR BOARD FOR SOME TIME. THIS MEANS THAT IT HAS BEEN A PROBLEM EVEN LONGER THAN TWO YEARS AGO. HOWEVER, THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE, AS IT NOW STANDS IS VERY EXPLICIT IN THIS AREA AND THE BOARD IS UNABLE TO DEVIATE FROM THE ORDINANCE. THE LIQUOR BOARD IS PUTTING FORTH RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO THIS CLAUSE IN THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. THANKS FOR YOUR LETTER AND YOUR INTEREST, SIGNED,

AND THIS IS JUST ANOTHER CASE WHERE THE BOARDS ARE BEING IGNORED.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, RATHER THAN MAKE THE STATEMENT THAT THE BOARDS ARE BEING IGNORED ADMITTEDLY IT'S BEEN TWO YEARS, BUT AS I UNDERSTOOD IT, THE ADMINISTRATION HAS ASKED THE LIQUOR CONTROL AND IN PARTICULAR THE LIQUOR BOARD TO LOOK OVER THE TOTAL ORDINANCE AND MAKE THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS OVER THE WHOLE AREA SO THAT WE CAN BRING TO COUNCIL A FULL PACKAGE AND COUNCIL CAN HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THEIR, IF YOU LIKE, INSITE INTO THE SUBJECT. NOW THAT'S ONE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION. AND MAYBE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS GOT A POINT. IT HAS BEEN SOME TIME AND MAYBE IT'S ABOUT TIME SOMETHING WAS DONE ABOUT IT AND IN FACT I AGREE WITH HIM. BUT THIS COUNCIL HAS SPOKEN. THIS IS MY PERSONAL OPINION NOW, Mr. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT

SPEAKING FOR THE COMMITTEE, BUT THIS COUNCIL HAS SPOKEN VERY FORTHRIGHTLY I THINK, ON THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE AND I THINK THE SUGGESTIONS SHOULD BE LEFT FOR THE NEXT COUNCIL. AND AS I SAY, I'M EXPRESSING A PERSONAL OPINION, I'M NOT EXPRESSING THE OPINION OF THE GOVERNMENT OR OF ANY OTHER MEMBER OF THE GOVERNMENT. BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE SOME MAJOR CHANGES AND THAT WOULD BE A FAIRLY MAJOR CHANGE, ALTHOUGH THERE WOULD BE EVEN FURTHER MAJOR CHANGES, YOU SHOULD BRING IN THE TOTAL PACKAGE AND DISCUSS IT THEN, AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A GREAT DEAL OF WORK TO DO THE PROPER JOB AND DO THE RESEARCH AND SEE WHAT THE SITUATION IS. AND I THINK THAT'S THE NEXT COUNCIL'S DECISION, NOT THIS ONE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL THIS IS SIMPLY A PROBLEM THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DEALT WITH TWO YEARS AGO AND THE ADMINISTRATION HAVEN'T ACTED UPON IT AND COUNCIL CANNOT ACT UPON IT AND HERE'S THE PROBLEM, IT'S GONE TWO YEARS NOW AND IT TWO YEARS OLD AND THESE PEOPLE MADE A REQUEST AND THEY CAN FIND NO RELIEF, AND I DON'T THINK IT'S FAIR TO GO DOWN AND TELL THEM THEY HAVE TO WAIT ANOTHER YEAR, BUT IT APPEARS THAT'S WHAT WE'LL HAVE TO DO.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF I COULD SPEAK TO THAT. YOU'RE SAYING THE ADMINISTRATION HAS NOT MADE ANY EFFORTS TO BRING FORTH AMENDMENTS TO THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE SOME BLAME FOR THAT BECAUSE I PERSONALLY FEEL AS AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER, I WOULDN'T LIKE TO BRING FORTH AD HOC AMENDMENTS TO THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. I WOULD SOONER THAT PROPER WORK BE DONE TO REVIEWING THE WHOLE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. THERE ARE SOME VERY OBVIOUS LOOP HOLES IN THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE AND IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE YOUR POLICY ON LICENCING, YOU JUST CAN'T LOOK AT LICENCING, ONE ASPECT OF THE LICENCE, YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE WHOLE THING. AND I THINK I WOULD AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH THAT THE NEXT COUNCIL WOULD ATTACK THE WHOLE LEGISLATION AND BRING IT FORTH. AS A MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND AN ELECTED PERSON, I JUST DIDN'T FEEL THAT WE HAD THE TIME TO BRING FORTH A FULL AND DO A FULL REVIEW OF THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE. BESIDES THE LIQUOR ORDINANCE IS NOT THAT OLD AN ORDINANCE AND I THINK IT'S BEEN IN EFFECT NOW SINCE 1909. AND YOU USUALLY LEAVE AN ORDINANCE RUNNING FOR A FEW YEARS UNTIL YOU SEE WHERE THE MAJOR AREAS OF CONCERN ARE BEFORE YOU START ANY THOROUGH REVIEW OF IT. AND I THINK WHEN THE NEW COUNCIL GETS IN, THIS

WOULD BE ONE TASK THAT THEY COULD UNDERTAKE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL I WOULD CERTAINLY HOPE SO. THAT THIS COULD BE RESOLVED BECAUSE TWO YEARS IS A LONG TIME TO WAIT TO PROVIDE A SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC. THEY STILL CAN'T DO IT BECAUSE WE SAY THEY CAN'T DO IT AND IT WOULD BE NICE TO CHANGE IT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I BEG THE INDULGENCE OF THE HOUSE A LITTLE FURTHER. WHAT I WOULD SEE HAPPENING AND AGAIN I MUST EMPHASIZE IS A PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW. MY PERSONAL POINT OF VIEW IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD GET OUT OF THE BUSINESS OF SELLING LIQUOR. I'VE ALWAYS SAID THAT CONSISTENTLY IN THIS HOUSE. AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE NEXT CANDIDATES FOR TERRITORIAL ELECTION MAKE THAT A PLATFORM AND I'LL DO IT. AND LET'S SEE WHAT THE REACTION OF THE PUBLIC IS? THAT'S HOW EXTENSIVE I CAN SEE THE CHANGES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'D JUST LIKE TO SEE THESE PEOPLE'S GRIEVANCES REMEDIED. THIS IS WHAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO BE HERE FOR.

ANYTHING FURTHER ON LIQUOR CONTROL?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS TO MR. MILLER. WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT DAWSON STORE FREIGHT AND CARTAGE, IT MEANS FREIGHT AND CARTAGE FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO DAWSON AT \$7,500. AND THIS WOULD APPLY FOR MAYO, THAT WOULD BE FREIGHT AND CARTAGE \$7,500 ALSO FROM THE CENTRAL STORE TO MAYO AND SO, WATSON LAKE \$10,000 FOR FREIGHT AND CARTAGE FROM THE CENTRAL STORE TO MAYO. NOW WOULD YOU PLEASE TELL ME WHAT IT IS WHEN WE SAY THE WHITEHORSE STORE FREIGHT AND CARTAGE \$10,000. IS THAT FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO THE LIQUOR STORE DOWNTOWN?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE ARE TWO THINGS INCLUDED IN FREIGHT AND CARTAGE. ONE IS THE COST OF TRANSPORTING FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO THE OUTLYING STORES. THE SECOND ONE IS THE COST OF TRANSPORTING FROM THE STORE OR THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO THE LICENCED VENDOR. IN SOME CASES OUTSIDE OF CERTAIN AREAS, THAT IS PAID FOR BY LIQUOR CONTROL. I DON'T KNOW ALL THE DETAILS OF THIS BUT THAT IS PRECISELY WHAT THAT COVERS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO FROM THE CENTRAL STORE OR RATHER FROM THE WHITEHORSE STORE, WE SERVE THE,

MR. MILLER: I'M SORRY MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THE WHITEHORSE STORE, EVERYTHING COMES OUT OF THE

CENTRAL WAREHOUSE. THIS WOULD BE INCOMING FREIGHT FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO THE STORE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: \$10,000 FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO THE WHITEHORSE STORE WHEN IT'S ONLY \$7,500 FROM THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE TO DAWSON?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THE VOLUMES ARE QUITE DIFFERENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE VOLUME? WOULD YOU LIKE TO COUNT HERE. IT COSTS \$10,000 TO SHIP, I HEAR FROM THE CENTRAL STORE TO WHITEHORSE?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: GEE WHIZ, IT'S A HELL OF A NICE CONTRACT FOR SOMEBODY.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DO HAVE ONE MORE GENERAL QUESTION IF I COULD ASK MR. MILLER. I NOTICE THAT THE RENTAL OF LAND AND BUILDINGS VARIES CONSIDERABLY FROM AREA TO AREA BUT I ALSO NOTICE THAT IN THREE PARTICULAR AREAS, DAWSON, MAYO AND HAINES JUNCTION, THERE IS A CONSIDERABLE REDUCTION THIS YEAR IN THE RENTAL OF BUILDINGS. CAN YOU JUST GIVE A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THIS, WHY IS IT DIFFERENT ALL ACROSS THE TERRITORY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN IN THE OPERATION OF LIQUOR CONTROL, WE DO NOT CHARGE BACK AT A SET RATE. OUR POLICY WITH REGARD TO OPERATING THESE FACILITIES OUTSIDE OF THE OFFICE SPACE IN WHITEHORSE, IS THE ACTUAL COST OF OPERATING THE FACILITY.

MR. STUTTER: NO, BUT RENTAL.

MR. MILLER: I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN LOOKING AT SOME OF THESE, WHAT THE LIQUOR CONTROL DEPARTMENT HAS DONE, THEY HAVE PUT IN THERE EITHER THE ACTUAL RENTAL COST OR THE COST OF OPERATING THE BUILDING. IF ITS PURELY AND SIMPLY THEMSELVES IN THAT BUILDING. FOR EXAMPLE, AT MAYO, THE BUILDING THERE IS PURELY AND SIMPLY A LIQUOR STORE AND NOT QUITE COLD STORAGE OF THIS AT THE MOMENT. BUT THAT IS THE COST OF RUNNING THE BUILDING. ITS NOT RENTAL OF THE BUILDING BECAUSE THE BUILDING IS OWNED BY THE GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT IS THE STORY ON THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE THEN, WHICH HAS RENTAL AND BUILDINGS, NOTHING.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THERE IS NO RENTAL OF THE BUILDING IN THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE. THERE IS COSTS OF UPKEEP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I UNDERSTOOD THAT WE HAVE A POLICY IN THE GOVERNMENT THAT ALL SPACE IS RENTED AND THERE IS A PORTION PAID BACK. ARE YOU NOT ASSESSING THE RENTAL OF BUILDINGS AT ALL FOR THE CENTRAL WAREHOUSE, WHEN THE STORES ARE BEING ASSESSED?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA, WE HAVE A POLICY OF CHARGING TO ALL DEPARTMENTS, OFFICE SPACE ONLY. NOT SPACE USED IN OTHER GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS. ALL WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS OFFICE SPACE WHEN WE REFER TO OUR CENTRAL STORE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, HAVE WE ANY OFFICE SPACE, THEN THAT WE ARE USING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD? I NOTICE THAT IN THE ADMINISTRATION SHEET, IT SHOWS OF BUILDINGS AS NOTHING AND YOU HAVE OFFICES THERE. ARGUE THAT ONE. YOU ARE DOING PRETTY GOOD MERV.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN IF YOU WILL NOTE UNDER UTILITY SERVICES AND HEATING FOR THE WHITEHORSE AREA, THEY HAVE A CHARGE UNDER UTILITY SERVICES AND HEATING RATHER THAN IN THE RENTALS. BOTH FOR THE ADMINISTRATION AND FOR THE WAREHOUSE AND IN THE OUTLYING ONES, THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY COST UNDER THE UTILITY SERVICES AND HEATING. THEY PUT IT UNDER RENTAL OF BUILDINGS. YES, YOU WILL NOTICE THE DIFFERENCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE OFFICES IN THE ADMINISTRATION.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THAT WHOLE BUILDING THAT IS USED BY CENTRAL PURCHASING AND LIQUOR CONTROL UP IN THE COMPOUND, WE HAVE NOT CONSIDERED ANY OF IT TO BE PART OF OUR OFFICE SPACE POOL. WHAT HAPPENED IS, THE DEPARTMENT IS CHARGED UNDER UTILITY SERVICES AND HEATING. YOU WILL FIND ON CENTRAL WAREHOUSE, \$7,500, UNDER ADMINISTRATION, \$3,500 AND THE SAME THING APPLIES IN THE CENTRAL PURCHASING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT A LOT OF BOO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON LIQUOR PROFIT, LICENCES AND SURCHARGES? NEXT ITEM IS MOTOR VEHICLE ORDINANCE, \$980,000.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE SOME REMARKS TO MAKE ON THIS. IN FACT I CONSIDERED IT TO

BE OF SUCH AN IMPORTANT NATURE THAT I THOUGHT OF RAISING IT AS A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE, WHERE I FEEL THAT THE RIGHTS OF THIS HOUSE ARE CERTAINLY BEING ABUSED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO DRAW ATTENTION TO ANNOTATION 233 ON PAGE 198 OF BEAUSCHESNE TO PREFACE MY REMARKS. IT STATES THAT IT IS ONE OF THE OLD STANDING PRINCIPLES OF OUR CONSTITUTION THAT THE HOUSE OF COMMONS SHOULD CONTROL THE FINANCES OF THE COUNTRY. THAT IS THE RIGHT, PRIVILEGE AND DUTY OF THE HOUSE AND ACHIEVED BY MEANS OF STRUGGLE LASTING THROUGH CENTURIES BEGINNING FROM THE 14TH CENTURY DOWN TO THE 17TH CENTURY WHEN IT WAS FULLY CONFIRMED. SINCE THEN IT HAS NEVER BEEN DISPUTED. THE CARDINAL PRINCIPLE ON WHICH THE WHOLE OF OUR FINANCIAL SYSTEM IS BASED, IS THAT OF PARLIAMENTARY CONTROL AND BY THIS, IS UNDERSTOOD, NOT THE CONTROL OF PARLIAMENT IN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL SENSE, BUT CONTROL BY THE COMMONS ALONE. UPON THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE LAID DOWN ON THE VERY OUTSET OF ENGLISH PARLIAMENTARY HISTORY AND SECURED BY 300 YEARS OF MINGLED CONFLICT WITH THE CROWN AND PEACEFUL GROWTH HAS GROUNDED THE WHOLE LAW OF FINANCE. CONSEQUENTLY THE WHOLE OF THE BRITISH CONSTITUTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE NEXT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE COMMISSIONER'S BUDGET ADDRESS. FIRST ON PAGE THREE, 'MR. SPEAKER, THE BUDGET FOR 1974-75 HAS BEEN DRAFTED WITH THE OBJECTIVE OF CONSOLIDATING THE GAINS ALREADY MADE BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT, AND WITH THEREFORE CONTAIN, NO MAJOR NEW PROGRAMS. IT IS BASICALLY A BUDGET OF A HOUSEKEEPING NATURE.'

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO AGAIN DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO PAGE 15 OF THE COMMISSIONER'S BUDGET ADDRESS. 'IT IS A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE BUDGET, DESPITE RECORD-BREAKING EXPENDITURES, OUR PROGRAMS AND PROPOSALS ARE FULLY WITHIN THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES OF THIS TERRITORY. CONSEQUENTLY, NO MAJOR INCREASE IN THE TERRITORIAL TAX STRUCTURE HAS BEEN PROPOSED FOR 1974-75. THE BUDGETED DEFICIT AND HERE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE WILL BE FINANCED OUT OF FUNDS FROM THE WORKING CAPITAL.'

MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT AN ABSOLUTE BUNCH OF BALDERDASH. MY FIRST INDICATION, MR. CHAIRMAN OF MAJOR NEW PROGRAMS THAT HAD BEEN UNDERTAKEN BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY UNDER THE PRETEXT THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE NO FURTHER TAXATION UPON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON

TERRITORY WAS OUR COMMERCIAL VENTURE WHICH I MANAGED THAT WE WERE, IN YEARS PAST, WENT OVER AS GOOD CORPORATE CITIZENS FOR APRIL THE 1ST TO APPLY FOR OUR TRUCK LICENCES FOR THE TWO TRUCKS THAT WE USE IN THE OPERATION OF OUR BUDGET.

WE WERE GIVEN THE LICENCES AT THE SAME PRICE WE WERE PRIOR, \$20 TRUCK PLATES. WE WERE SUBSEQUENTLY PHONED MR. CHAIRMAN, BY A GIRL IN THE LICENCING DEPARTMENT, THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO BRING OUR TRUCK LICENCE PLATES IN, TURN THEM IN AND WOULD BE ASSESSED \$10 ADDITIONAL FOR EACH TRUCK FOR THE LICENCE FEES IN 74-75 AND WOULD BE FACING AN ADDITIONAL \$12 FOR VEHICLE IN THE LICENCE YEAR 75-76.

MR. CHAIRMAN, THE IMPORT OF THE TAXATION ON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 74-75 IN THE MATTER OF LICENCING IS AN ADDITIONAL \$230,000, ALMOST A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS FROM \$750,000 TO \$980,000 UNDER THE PRETEXT BY THIS GOVERNMENT THAT THERE ARE NO INCREASES IN TAXATION OTHER THAN A PROPOSED TOBACCO TAX FOR THE RUNNING OF KINDERGARTENS IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE TALKED ALL THROUGH THIS BUDGET OF PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN PUT IN WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL. AT LEAST THEY ARE PRESENTED IN THE BUDGET EVEN IF THEY ARE A FAIT ACCOMPLI FOR BUDGETS FOR COUNCILS AT LEAST CONSENT AT THE TIME OR REFUSAL. WHAT HAVE WE HAD IN THE AREA OF LICENCING, ANOTHER QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS ADDED TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AND ONE SECTION UNDER LICENCE REVENUE THAT THIS HAS BEEN PUT IN TAXATION UPON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. WHAT AN ABSOLUTE DENIAL OF WHAT THIS HOUSE IS SUPPOSED TO BE DOING UNDER THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND PREROGATIVES OF LEGISLATIVE DEMOCRATIC LEGISLATURES EVERYWHERE.

THE RIGHT OF A HOUSE. THE RIGHT OF THE ELECTED PEOPLE ONLY TO SET TAXATION UPON THE PEOPLE THAT THEY REPRESENT HAS BEEN ABSOLUTELY RENEGED BY THIS PRESENT YUKON LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

I CAN'T UNDERSTAND THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. I CAN'T UNDERSTAND THEM AT ALL, ALLOWING THIS VERY PROVISION OF THE PRIME PRINCIPLE OF THE RIGHTS OF HOUSE TO BE SNUCK IN THIS FASHION. I AM APPALLED THAT THEY ALLOWED THEMSELVES TO BE USED AS THE DUPES WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN BY THIS ADMINISTRATION TO BRING TAXATION IN THE AREA OF ONE QUARTER OF A

MILLION DOLLARS AND THEN BLITHELY STAND BY LIKE FLEECEED LAMBS AND LETS THE COMMISSIONER TELL THE PEOPLE THAT THERE WERE NO TAX INCREASES IN 1974-75.

WHAT A BLATANT UNTRUTH AND I SAY IT AS LOUD AND AS CLEAR AS POSSIBLE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO FURTHER TAXATION UPON THEM. THEY HAVE BEEN STUNG TO THE TUNE OF A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS AND THEY ARE GOING TO GET STUNG AGAIN IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR ON TOP OF ALL THE OTHER PROBLEMS THAT THEY ARE TRYING TO FACE. IT'S EXACTLY THE PEOPLE YOU ARE HITTING AGAINST WHO CAN ILL AFFORD TO TAKE THESE ADDED NEW MEASURES OF TAXATION UPON THEMSELVES.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ASK THAT ALL MEMBERS REFUSE THIS BUDGET UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE ADMINISTRATION HAS THE COMMON DECENCY OF BRINGING IN A POLICY PAPER ON THE FURTHER TAXATION UPON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, WHY THEY THOUGHT IT WAS NECESSARY, WHAT THEY USED AS A GUIDELINE AND A YARDSTICK TO BRING ABOUT THIS EXTRA TAXATION AND WHETHER OR NOT, WHICH I FEEL THAT AN APOLOGY IS OWED TO THE ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY FOR THIS BLAITANT OFFENCE TRYING TO SNEAK TAXATION IN THROUGH THE BACK DOOR ON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. TANNER: VERY GOOD

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN...

MR. TANNER: ONE OF YOUR BEST.

MR. MCKINNON: AND YOU DON'T AGREE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I AM VERY SAD TO HEAR A REMARK FROM MY NEXT DOOR NEIGHBOUR, THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH, BEING SOMEWHAT SARCASTIC IN HIS ATTITUDE TOWARD THE SPEAKER THAT HAS JUST SPOKEN. I AM WONDERING MR. CHAIRMAN, HOW IT IS GOING TO BE POSSIBLE FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF FINANCE TO JUSTIFY THE PASSAGE OF THIS PARTICULAR ITEM FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. TO ALLOW THE ADMINISTRATION AND AGAIN I USE THE WORDS, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE CONVENIENCE, TO ALLOW THE LICENCING DEPARTMENT TO COMMENCE THE TAXATION OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WITHOUT FIRST BRINGING THE MATTER FORWARD TO THE TERRITORIAL COUNCIL.

I THINK THAT EVERYTHING THAT COULD BE SAID, HAS BEEN SAID BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE

WEST. I WILL JUST SAY AGAIN, THAT HERE IS A CASE IN POINT OF WHERE THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TODAY HAVE BEEN COVERED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND HIS OTHER APPOINTED PEOPLE ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO MAKE THEM FORGET WHAT THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES ARE TO THIS HOUSE.

IT IS QUITE TRUE. IT IS A FORM OF TAXATION WHICH THIS COUNCIL HAS NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO AGREE OR DENY WITH. THIS AGAIN, IS THE DAMAGE THAT HAS BEEN DONE TO THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I AM DISGUSTED WITH THE TWO PREVIOUSPIOUS SAINTS TO STAND UP AND SAY THAT THIS EXTRA TAXATION THAT WASH'T BROUGHT BEFORE THE COUNCIL. WHEN BOTH THESE MEMBERS AND PARTICULARLY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO SPOKE LAST, BROUGHT IN THE LEGISLATION THAT GAVE THE POWER TO FIX THESE BY REGULATIONS AND THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ALSO A MEMBER OF THE HOUSE WHEN THE MOTOR VEHICLE ORDINANCE WAS AMENDED. WHEN THE FEES FOR LICENCING WAS TAKEN OUT OF THE LEGISLATION ITSELF AND PUT INTO REGULATIONS. NOW THEY ARE STANDING UP AND SAYING, HOW YOU SHOULD COME BACK TO THE HOUSE WITHIN THE BUDGET TO GET APPROVAL TO INCREASE THE LICENCING THAT THIS IS A FORM OF TAXATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FIXING OF FEES BY REGULATION IS ONE THING, BUT ONCE THOSE FEES HAVE BEEN FIXED, BEFORE THEY ARE PUT INTO THE BUDGET, THEY MUST COME BEFORE COUNCIL. THAT IS THE PROCEDURE THAT IS CONDUCTED IN EVERY LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY. THERE IS NO CHANGE THAT HAS EVER BEEN TAKEN ON THAT.

WHAT HAS HAPPENED HERE IS THAT THE FEES HAVE BEEN FIXED BUT THE POWER TO TAX IN THIS WAY HAS NOT BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD TO THIS COUNCIL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HIMSELF, INTRODUCED THE BILL THAT GAVE THE POWER TO THE ADMINISTRATION TO DO THIS EXACT THING WITHOUT HAVING TO BRING IT BACK TO THE HOUSE. THAT IS A BUNCH OF HOGWASH TO SAY THAT YOU HAVE TO BRING IT TO THE HOUSE TO GET APPROVAL AND HE KNOWS FULL WELL IT IS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I MUST SAY THIS. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE IS LACKING COMPLETELY IN HER KNOWLEDGE OF LEGISLATIVE ...

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN A POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. I WILL HEAR THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MRS. WATSON: I RESENT VERY MUCH, BEING CRITICIZED ON MY LACK OF INFORMATION WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER STANDS UP AND LIES THROUGH HIS TEETH AND PRETENDS HE HAS THE INFORMATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. I THINK THAT ON A POINT OF PRIVILEGE OR ANYTHING ELSE, THIS BUSINESS OF CALLING EACH OTHER LIARS AND THIS TYPE OF THING IS QUITE UNPARLIAMENTARY AND QUITE UNCALLED FOR. COULD WE GET BACK TO THE ISSUE AT HAND.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I REPEAT THAT IT OBVIOUSLY IS A LACK OF LEGISLATIVE KNOWLEDGE WHEN THE MEMBER DOES NOT RECOGNIZE THAT IN ANY AREA WHERE PERMISSION IS GIVEN TO THE COMMISSIONER TO SET FEES, THAT THIS IS ENTIRELY SEPARATE FROM WHERE THOSE FEES CAN BE PUT INTO EFFECT OTHER THAN IN A BUDGET REQUIREMENT. SHE DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THAT.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HASN'T UNDERSTOOD IT UNTIL AS OF THIS TIME BECAUSE HE HAS APPROVED IT TIME AFTER TIME.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THIS MEMBER HAS PROBABLY BEEN DUPED AGAIN. I HAVE BEEN DUPED BY THIS ADMINISTRATION ...

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I RESENT THIS VERY MUCH.

ON A POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. MAY I HEAR THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MAKE THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE. I HAVE NOT BEEN DUPED AND I CHALLENGE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER TO PROVE THAT I ...

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. I FIND NO POINT OF PRIVILEGE IN THAT YOU CONSIDER A PRIVILEGE BEFORE MEMBERS RISE...

MR. MCKINNON: I SAID THAT I HAD BEEN DUPED BY THIS ADMINISTRATION. I WILL SAY THAT I HAD PROBABLY BEEN...

MR. CHAIRMAN: THERE IS NO POINT OF PRIVILEGE. TAKE YOUR SEAT PLEASE.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I REMEMBER SPECIF-

ICALLY IN THE AREA WHERE WE ALLOWED THE ADMINISTRATION WHERE WE THOUGHT WERE GOING TO SET THE TAXATION ON PROPERTY FOR ONE YEAR BECAUSE OF THE CMHC TAKING OVER THE HILLCREST HOUSING AND MAKING IT AVAILABLE TO THE YUKON TO BUY. WE SAID IN THIS AREA BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COST OF SERVICE WILL BE, NOBODY DOES, WE WANT TO MAKE THE HOUSING AVAILABLE TO THE PEOPLE, YOU CAN SET THE PROPERTY TAX ON IT.

THE WHOLE THING WAS HANDLED BEAUTIFULLY. CMHC SOLD THE HOUSES, THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON GOT HOUSING, THE ADMINISTRATION SET A PROPER RATE OF TAXATION ON IT. THE NEXT YEAR, WE FOUND THROUGH THE CHANGING OF THE ORDINANCE OF THE TAXATION ORDINANCE, WHICH WE THOUGHT WERE FOR THIS ONE SHOT DEAL, THAT THE COMMISSIONER HAD GONE A LIVELY RAISED THE PROPERTY TAX ACROSS THE WHOLE OF THE YUKON AND TAXED THE PEOPLE WITHOUT FIRST COMING TO COUNCIL.

I STOOD UP IN THE HOUSE AND SAID EXACTLY WHAT I SAY NOW, THAT WE TRIED TO DO SOMETHING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EASE AND WE ARE DUPED BY THE COMMISSIONER WHO USED IT FOR FURTHER TAXATION WITHOUT GETTING THE PRIOR CONSENT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. I HAD NO QUALMS, I SHOULD KNOW BETTER FOR THE NUMBER OF YEARS THAT I'VE SPENT AROUND THIS TABLE, BUT I STILL TRY TO BELIEVE THAT WHEN YOU DO THINGS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EASE, LIKE CHANGING A LICENCE FEE FROM \$2 TO \$3 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, YOU SHOULDN'T HAVE TO COME TO COUNCIL ON EVERY OCCASSION.

AND RAISED THE PROPERTY TAX ACROSS THE WHOLE OF THE YUKON AND TAXED THE PEOPLE WITHOUT FIRST COMING TO COUNCIL. I STOOD UP IN THE HOUSE AND SAID EXACTLY WHAT I SAY NOW THAT WE TRIED TO DO SOMETHING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EASE AND WE ARE DUPED BY THE COMMISSIONER WHO USED IT FOR FURTHER TAXATION WITHOUT GETTING THE PRIOR CONSENT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON.

I HAVE NO QUALMS, I SHOULD KNOW BETTER FOR THE NUMBER OF YEARS I HAVE SPENT AROUND THIS TABLE BUT I STILL TRY TO BELIEVE THAT WHEN YOU DO THINGS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EASE, LIKE CHANGING A LICENCE FEE FROM TWO TO THREE DOLLARS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE TO COME TO COUNCIL ON EVERY OCCASION. BUT WHEN I SEE THAT KIND OF ADMINISTRATIVE CONSIDERATION GIVEN AND THEN THE ADMINISTRATION USING IT ONCE AGAIN TO KNOCK THE POOR TAX PAYER OF THE YUKON TERRITORY SILLY, THEN ONCE AGAIN YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER THAT THIS ADMINISTRATION WON'T CONSIDER ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE AND IT HAS TO BE IN LEGISLATION AND WE WILL TRY AND PUT IT BACK IN LEGISLATION JUST LIKE WE DID IN THE TAXATION ORDINANCE BECAUSE THERE

IS JUST NO WAY THAT THAT LEVER SHOULD BE USED FOR IMPOST OF A FURTHER QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS ON THE TAX PAYERS OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

I'M SURE I GOT SUCKED IN ONCE AGAIN AND AT LEAST I'VE GOT GUTTS ENOUGH TO STAND HERE AND ADMIT IT AND I'M SURE AS HELL GOING TO FIGHT GETTING SUCKED IN ANYMORE BY THIS ADMINISTRATION AND THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PROPERTY TAXATION THE HONOURABLE MEMBER MENTIONS IS A DIFFERENT THING COMPLETELY TO WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT NOW AND IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER RECALLS THE REQUIRED AMENDMENTS WERE MADE TO THE TAXATION ORDINANCE BUT YOU MUST ALSO RECALL THE THEORIES OF ORDINANCES THAT WE WENT THROUGH WERE CHANGED TO READ THE PRESCRIBED FEE AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO IS SITTING ACROSS WAS THE MAN WHO INTRODUCED THE LEGISLATION AND WHO LED IT THROUGH THIS HOUSE. HE SHOULD HAVE TO TAKE THE CREDIT FOR IT.

I WAS A PARTY TO IT BUT TO HAVE HIM STAND UP AND CRITICIZE THE POLICY AT THIS TIME IS JUST SOMETHING THAT PEOPLE CAN'T ACCEPT. I'M PREPARED TO ACCEPT THE RESPONSIBILITY. HE HAS MADE DECISIONS LIKE THIS IN THE PAST, NOW HE CRITICIZES THE DECISIONS HE MADE IN THE PAST AND HE TRIES TO BLAME THE ADMINISTRATION FOR IT. THAT LEGISLATION WAS PASSED BY THIS HOUSE AND THAT'S WHERE THE RESPONSIBILITY LIES. THE ADMINISTRATION CAN'T DO SOMETHING THAT WE DON'T GIVE THEM THE POWER TO DO AND IF YOU READ YOUR LIQUOR ORDINANCE, IF YOU READ YOUR MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE, YOU WILL SEE THE POWER TO MAKE REGULATIONS, NOT SPECIFIC REGULATIONS IS GIVEN EVERY INSTANCE. YOU DO IT TIME AFTER TIME WHEN YOU DEAL WITH A PIECE OF LEGISLATION, AN ORDINANCE, THEN YOU COME BACK TO THIS HOUSE AND CRY WHEN THERE IS AN ORDINANCE THAT YOU DON'T APPROVE OF.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WAS A PRETTY GOOD ATTEMPT TO SAY TO MEMBERS OF COUNCIL THAT SHE HAS WRONGED COUNCIL BY DOING WHAT SHE THOUGHT WAS RIGHT AT THE TIME AND DOING WHAT SHE THINKS IS RIGHT AT THIS TIME.

MRS. WATSON: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN. A POINT OF PRIVILEGE. THAT'S A WRONG INTERPRETATION. WHAT I SAID WAS I TOOK THE DECISION, I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR IT AND I THINK IT WAS THE RIGHT DECISION. AT LEAST I'M BEING HONEST WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS SHE BELIEVES IT WAS THE RIGHT DECISION, SURELY SHE KNOWS THAT AT THE TIME OF APPROVING THE INCREASE IN THESE LICENCES THAT IT IS A WRING DECISION IN ANY EVENT. PUT AS I HAVE ALREADY SAID THAT THERE IS QUITE A LOT OF DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE APPROVING OF A PIECE OF LEGISLATION TO ALLOW FOR THE INCREASE BY REGULATION IN FEES, OF SETTING THE PRESCRIBED FEES, BUT WHEN IT COMES TO USING THAT AS AN ITEM OF TAXATION AND PUTTING IT IN A BUDGET REQUIREMENT THAT THERE IS A REQUIREMENT FIRST TO DISCUSS THAT ITEM WITH THE LEGISLATIVE BODY. NOW, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE THERE, A VERY DECIDED DIFFERENCE AND I DON'T SAY I ARGUE AGAINST THE FACT THAT THERE WAS LEGISLATION TO ALLOW, THERE IS LEGISLATION, TO ALLOW THE INCREASE IN FEES.

IT WAS QUITE CLEAR IN MY MIND AND CERTAINLY IN THE MINDS OF THIS COUNCIL AND I'M SURE IN THE MIND OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT THERE WOULD STILL BE A NEED FOR BRINGING FORWARD TO COUNCIL ANY INCREASE IN BUDGET OF AN ITEM OF \$250,000 BECAUSE IF SHE SAYS NO, IT ISN'T SO, THEN SHE IS SIMPLY SAYING WHAT SHE HAS SAID BEFORE THAT SHE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DOING A REAL GOOD SNOW JOB ON THIS TERRITORIAL COUNCIL. I'VE MADE MY POSITION VERY CLEAR, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE COMMISSIONER IS THE ONE WHO IS ALWAYS TRYING TO FIND WAYS AND MEANS OF FOOLING US AND I'VE BEEN FOOLED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND I'M NOT EASY TO FOOL. I'VE BEEN FOOLED VERY, VERY OFTEN BY HIM. VERY OFTEN INDEED.

AS A MATTER OF FACT I SHOULD HAVE KNOWN BETTER BECAUSE I'VE KNOWN THE MAN FOR 24 YEARS AND HE HASN'T CHANGED ONE BIT. HE'S STILL EXACTLY THE SAME AND AS I SAY, HE HAS MANIPULATED ME AND HE IS STILL MANIPULATING THE TWO MEMBERS HERE ALTHOUGH YOU ARE PRAISING HIM TODAY. BUT THERE WILL COME A TIME WHEN HE IS CALLING BOTH OF YOU DIRTY PEOPLE. DON'T MAKE A MISTAKE ABOUT THAT.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I AGREE WITH THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST MOST EXPLICITLY THAT HERE IS WHERE WE HAVE CREATED THE TAXATION ITEM AND THIS COUNCIL HASN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPROVE IT. NOW SUPPOSING, JUST SUPPOSING, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM MAYO MIGHT MAKE A DECISION TO OPPOSE THIS TYPE OF THING. I SAID HE MIGHT MAKE A DECISION TO OPPOSE THIS TYPE OF THING AND VOTES AGAINST A PARTICULAR AREA LIKE THAT. THEN IT WOULD REALLY BE A CASE OF WHERE THE TERRITORIAL SECRETARY HAS BEEN INCREASING THE MOTOR VEHICLES LICENCES WITHOUT THE AUTHORITY OF THE PEOPLE IN THIS COUNCIL TO DO SO. THAT

WOULD BE AN INTERESTING SITUATION BUT, YOU KNOW, WE KNOW FULL WELL THAT THIS MAY NEVER HAPPEN BUT IT MIGHT BE, IT JUST MIGHT BE AND I HOPE THAT HE WAKES UP TO THE FACT THAT HE IS BEING TAKEN FOR A DUMMY WHICH HE IS NOT,

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A FAINT RECOLLECTION THAT THIS EXTRA REVENUE WAS DISCUSSED WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS A MEMBER OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE .

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: No way, no way. This was not so and if she says this I will bring my minutes of up to the time I was on the Advisory Committee and table them here to show you.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I HAD THE DECIDED IMPRESSION THAT THEY WERE ALL SUPPOSED TO BE DESTROYED BY A COURT ORDER.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: No. Only the ones that you were sitting on that I was not sitting on. Not the ones before. So keep that in mind. I have every piece of paper that I've had. I told you that before.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS A REAL QUESTION OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND VARIOUS OATHS HAVE BEEN TAKEN. THIS MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN HAS NO RESPECT FOR THE OATH HE HAS TAKEN. HE HAS NO RESPECT FOR THE COMMITTEES HE SAT ON. HE HAS NO RESPECT FOR HIS LAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS OF COUNCIL AND HE CERTAINLY HAS NO RESPECT FOR THE LAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS HE WAS ON COMMITTEE . .

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: AND I DON'T HAVE ANY RESPECT FOR YOU EITHER, NONE AT ALL.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER, ORDER PLEASE.

Mr. TANNER: AND WITH EVERY SECOND BREATH HE KEEPS MAKING A MENTION OF SOMETHING ON A POLITICAL AREA OF MAYBE I'LL DO THIS AND MAYBE I'LL DO THAT BUT HE DOESN'T ... WHY DOESN'T HE TABLE HIS MINUTES? WHY DOESN'T HE DO THAT AND THEN WE WILL HAVE A PRIMA FACIE CASE TO SAY HE HAS BROKEN THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE OATH THAT HE TOOK. BUT HE WON'T DO THAT. HE IS ALL SUGGESTION AND INNUENDO.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, YOU KNOW, A MAN BY THE NAME OF JOHNSON ONCE MADE REFERENCE TO ABOUT WAVYING THE FLAG AND ALL THESE ROGUES ALWAYS WAVED THE FLAG AND ALWAYS HIDE BEHIND

CONFIDENTIALITY AND THIS IS WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH THESE MEMBERS. THESE MEMBERS, THEY ARE THE ONES WHO HAVE BECOME PIOUS ALL OF A SUDDEN. THEY HAVE BECOME PIOUS IN THEIR DUTIES AND THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH WHO HAS BEEN, WHO THE COMMISSIONER HAS DESTROYED COMPLETELY IN HIS CAPACITY TO BE ABLE TO THINK. ALL OF A SUDDEN HE IS NOBODY'S BUDDY.

I'LL TELL YOU THIS, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT WHEN A STATEMENT IS MADE BY THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE THAT THESE INCREASES WERE PUT ON WHILE I WAS A MEMBER OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, IT'S A TOTAL UNTRUTH BECAUSE IT WAS NEVER RAISED. DON'T FORGET I WAS NOT ON THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AFTER THE, I WAS BUT I WASN'T SITTING BECAUSE OF THE TRICKS THAT THEY PLAYED. UNTIL THE END OF SEPTEMBER AND IT NEVER ROSE BEFORE THAT TIME SO OBVIOUSLY THIS DID COME UP AT A LATER TIME.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, POSSIBLY IT WAS WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ABSENT SOME TIME, TRAVELLING ON OFFICIAL GOVERNMENT BUSINESS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT. I WONDER IF AT THIS TIME THAT WE CAN SET ASIDE THIS PERSONALITY THING AND GET BACK DOWN TO THE ISSUES,

ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE MOTOR VEHICLE ORDINANCE?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD LIKE TO PUT THAT TO A VOTE WHETHER WE APPROVE THIS OR NOT AND HAVE IT RECORDED, Mr. CHAIRMAN, ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM.

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WE ARE NOT VOTING ANYTHING UNDER REVENUE. WE ARE PERUSING ANTICIPATED REVENUES AND WE CANNOT CHANGE IT.

THE NEXT ITEM IS BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENCES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$70,000.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER IF I MAY RAISE A QUESTION. IS Mr. CHAIRMAN SUGGESTING THAT WE CANNOT VOTE ON WHETHER WE HAVE THESE DEDUCTIONS MADE BY WAY OF REVENUE?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WE CAN'T. THESE ARE JUST ANTICIPATED REVENUES.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: OF COURSE YOU CAN.

Mr. MCKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT'S THE RIGHT OF THIS HOUSE TO DELETE ANYTHING THEY WANT TO IN

THE BUDGET BY A MAJORITY VOTE. IF THE MAJORITY OF THIS COUNCIL FEEL THAT THIS IS AN UNFAIR IMPOST AND AN UNFAIR TAX UPON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. BY A MAJORITY VOTE THIS CAN BE ELIMINATED FROM THE BUDGET. WE HAVE THAT RIGHT, THAT ONLY RIGHT UNDER THE YUKON ACT. WE CAN'T ADD TO IT BUT WE SURE CAN TAKE IT OUT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: NOW THIS IS TRUE. THE WAY THIS, OF COURSE, COULD BE ACHIEVED WOULD BE THROUGH THE REGULATION ROUTE. THROUGH THE REGULATION WHICH CREATES IT WHICH HAS BEEN TABLED IN THE HOUSE. THIS IS THE WAY THIS IS DONE BUT I DON'T THINK THAT IN MY EXPERIENCE, NOW I COULD BE WRONG AND I STAND TO BE CORRECTED HERE ON THIS DECISION BUT IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT REVENUES ARE MORE A MATTER OF INFORMATION AS THEY APPEAR IN THE BUDGET. NOW, I COULD BE WRONG.
COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY POINT I WAS ABOUT TO MAKE WAS THAT EVEN THOUGH I AGREED THAT THIS WAS A MONSTER, IT WAS THE COUNCIL BY A MAJORITY VOTE THAT CREATED THE MONSTER AND BY ALLOWING THESE FEES TO BE CHANGED IN REGULATION WE CREATED THAT MONSTER. WHEN THIS INFORMATION IS PUT BEFORE US, WE HAVE ALREADY CREATED THAT MONSTER AND IT'S ENTIRELY UP TO COUNCIL TO CHANGE IT BUT YOU CAN'T CHANGE WHAT'S HAPPENED UP TO THIS POINT AFTER HAVING AGREED TO ALLOWING FEE CHANGES TO BE DONE IN REGULATIONS. UNTIL THAT'S CHANGED, THAT'S THE WAY THINGS ARE.

Mr. McKINNON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, CERTAINLY I DIS-AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER BECAUSE No. 1, IF I EVER THOUGHT FOR ONE MINUTE THAT A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS WAS GOING TO BE RAISED BY REGULATION BECAUSE AS I SAY, I SHOULD KNOW BETTER, I'VE BEEN HERE LONG ENOUGH AND I'VE SEEN THE WAY THESE MONSTERS ARE CREATED AND THE WAY THE ADMINISTRATION JUST WIGGLES IN AS SOON AS YOU LET A CRACK OPEN AT ALL AND TO GETTING SOME MORE MONEY OUT OF THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WHO ARE JUST SUFFERING UNDER THE BURDEN OF TAXATION THAT ARE STAGGERING AT THIS MOMENT IN THE YUKON.

Mr. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ONLY SAY THAT THERE IS NO POSSIBLE WAY THAT IF I HADN'T THOUGHT IT WAS JUST FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE EASE IN THE DAY TO DAY ADMINISTRATION OF CHANGING THAT THERE IS NO WAY THAT I WOULD EVER HAVE AGREED TO THE CHANGE OF ALLOWING THE ADMINISTRATION TO SET BY REGULATION OF THAT. FOR ONE SECOND THOUGHT THAT THEY WOULD USE IT TO PUT A FURTHER ONE QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLAR TAX ON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

I FURTHER SAY, Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT I WOULD ASK YOU TO LOOK INTO THIS AT YOUR LEISURE AND NOT MAKE A DECISION NOW THAT THERE IS ONLY ONE RIGHT THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAVE AS FAR AS MATTERS FISCAL GO. THAT IS THE RIGHT OF DELETING AND ELIMINATING AREAS OF THE BUDGET THEY DON'T AGREE ON. AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER CANNOT BRING IN A TAX AND IMPOSE LIKE THE ADMINISTRATION COULD UNDER THIS SECTION. WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THE ABILITY, AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER, THAT A CIVIL SERVANT HAS OF BRINGING THIS TYPE OF TAXATION UPON THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. WE HAVE ONE, ONLY ONE SMALL MEASURE OF AUTHORITY AND THAT IS TO TAKE IT OUT. WHEN A POLICY IS SO REPUGNANT TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, CUT IT OUT AND Mr. CHAIRMAN, IN THIS RESPECT LET'S AT LEAST HAVE OUR VOTE THAT WE ARE CAPABLE OF CUTTING IT OUT TO SHOW THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO ALONG BY THIS DECATORIAL RULE OF REGULATION ONE MINUTE LONGER IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: JUST A MOMENT. JUST IN DIRECTING COMMITTEE AS I SAID EARLIER, IT IS QUITE PROPER FOR ANY COMMITTEE OR ANY MEMBER THEREOF TO MOVE MOTIONS AFFECTING THE DELETION OF ANY, FOR INSTANCE, ANTICIPATED CHANGES IN FEES ACCORDING TO REGULATIONS BECAUSE REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN TABLED IN THE HOUSE AND DO DIRECTLY RELATE TO THE ITEM IN THE BUDGET BUT MY POINT WAS THAT YOU JUST CAN'T TAKE, I DON'T THINK YOU CAN, AT LEAST IN REVENUE AND TAKE ANY SECTION AND SAY DELETE IT BECAUSE IT IS AN ANTICIPATED REVENUE EN TOTO. SO, DEPENDING ON HOW ONE MAKES HIS MOTION, YOU CAN SAY YOU DIDN'T WISH ANY ADDITIONAL INCREASE OVER WHAT IT WAS LAST YEAR OR DO ANYTHING BUT I JUST SAY YOU CAN'T, WELL YOU COULD I SUPPOSE IF THE REST OF COMMITTEE SAID ANTICIPATED REVENUES ARE \$4,000 FOR FUR EXPORT, LET'S KNOCK THAT OUT OF THERE, WE'RE STILL GOING TO HAVE IT. SO, IT'S JUST A PROCEDURAL THING THAT'S ALL.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: VOTE ON IT, VOTE ON IT, GIVE THEM A VOTE.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IT'S QUITE CONFIDENT FOR ANY MEMBER TO PRESENT A MOTION IN RELATION TO THIS AS LONG AS YOU REFER TO THE SOURCE THAT'S ALL.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: COULD WE JUST HAVE A RECESS FOR JUST A FEW MINUTES?

Mr. CHAIRMAN: COMMITTEE AGREE?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, WE WILL JUST DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS.

BEGINNING OF TAPE MISSING.

MR. MILLER: SO THAT'S EFFECTIVELY WHAT'S HAPPENED. B.C.'S RATES ARE \$100.00 A YEAR MORE THAN THE ALBERTA RATES, AND WE ARE STILL \$100.00 OR \$150.00 LESS THAN THE ALBERTA RATES AT THIS POINT ON LARGE VEHICLES.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I ASK THE WITNESS ONE OTHER QUESTION? HAS THE LICENSING DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ANY NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS, AND IN PARTICULAR HAVE THEY RECEIVED ANY NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS FROM THE LARGER TRUCKING COMPANIES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, TO MY KNOWLEDGE WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY COMPLAINTS FROM THE LARGE TRUCKING OPERATIONS. THAT ISN'T TO SAY THERE HASN'T BEEN ANY, BUT TO MY KNOWLEDGE THERE HASN'T.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE ONE OTHER QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. MILLER, THAT IS HOW MUCH REVENUE WERE WE ANTICIPATING FROM TRUCKS GOING THROUGH THE TERRITORY THAT ARE NOT REGISTERED IN THE TERRITORY AS A FURTHER INCREASE IN THIS AREA?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AGAIN WE CAN'T BE VERY PRECISE ON THIS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE KNOW IF THE ALASKA PIPELINE SITUATION, THE LICENSING THAT'S GOING ON HAULING THESE TRAILERS AND THESE TYPES OF THINGS, THE REVENUE WILL PROBABLY BE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$25,000.00 AND \$50,000.00 PER ANNUM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS THAT ADDITIONAL REVENUE OVER WHAT WE HAD LAST YEAR? YOU ARE ESTIMATING I KNOW. ARE YOU ESTIMATING AN INCREASE?

MR. MILLER: YES. WE WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT MUCH INCREASE DURING THIS YEAR.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE FINAL QUESTION, THE AUTHORITY TO LEVY THIS TYPE OF FEE IN THE PROVINCES RESTS WITH WHAT AREA OF THE GOVERNMENT?

MR. MILLER: IN MOST OF THE PROVINCES, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT RESTS WITH THE CABINET OR THE LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL.

MR. MCKINNON: A FULLY ELECTED ONE, MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TANNER: I AGREE. I AGREE.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS ALL VERY INTERESTING INFORMATION; MOST ENLIGHTENING. MEMBERS ARE MISSING THE POINT OF THE ARGUMENT I'M TRYING TO MAKE. WE HAVE GOT SOURCES OF REVENUE IN VERY LIMITED FIELDS THAT THE COMMISSIONER IN COUNCIL, THE COMMISSIONER WITH THE ADVICE AND CONSENT OF COUNCIL HAS CONTROL OVER. OKAY, WE ALLOW THE ADMINISTRATION TO SET BY REGULATION THE FEES AND IMPOSTS JUST AS HE FEELS HE WANTS TO WITHOUT PRIOR COUNCIL CONSENT OR WITHOUT COUNCIL CONSENT EXCEPT AS APPEARING IN REVENUE SECTIONS OF THE BUDGET. WHEN WE COME TO THIS TABLE AND A NEW PROGRAM IS PRESENTED BY MEMBERS - YOU KNOW, NO MATTER WHAT HONOURABLE MEMBERS SITTING ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MAY BELIEVE, THEY REALLY DON'T HAVE ALL THE TALENT FOR INITIATING PROGRAMS THAT THEY MAY THINK THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WANT. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE HAS COME UP ON HIS OWN INITIATIVE WITH A TELEVISION RELAY SYSTEM OF PROVIDING FOR FIVE FURTHER COMMUNITIES IN THE TERRITORY. I DON'T THINK THERE IS A MEMBER IN COUNCIL THAT DOESN'T ADMIT THAT THAT WOULD BE A WONDERFUL THING TO SEE HAPPEN IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. OUR HANDS ARE TIED. WE CAN'T EVEN GO TO THE AREAS WHERE WE COULD GENERALLY GO AS A COUNCIL TO LOOK FOR ADDED REVENUE BECAUSE THE LICENSING FEE IS ALREADY TAKEN UP THROUGH REGULATION IN THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND FOR THE GENERAL INCREASES IN OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. OUR TOBACCO TAX HAS BEEN OUTLINED BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO GO TOWARDS AN EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN SYSTEM. GOODNESS KNOWS WITH THE PRICE INCREASE NORMAL IN THE PRICE OF LIQUOR IN THE YUKON TERRITORY - JUST TAKING THAT INTO CONSIDERATION, IT IS NOT VERY LIKELY THAT THE MEMBERS CAN GO TO THAT AREA OF TAXATION FOR FURTHER REVENUE. WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS REALLY ANY PROGRAM THAT ANY HONOURABLE MEMBER, EXCLUSIVE OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, COMES UP WITH IS ALMOST POSITIVE OF DEFEAT PRIOR TO EVEN BRINGING THE CONCEPT HERE TO THE TABLE BECAUSE EVERY ASPECT OF TAXATION HAS ALREADY BEEN GIVEN TO THE COMMISSIONER AND

HE'S USED IT TO THE FULLEST EXTENT SO THAT THE PEOPLE MAY JUST AS WELL FOLD UP THEIR TENTS AND CRAWL AWAY HOME. THERE IS JUST NO WAY THAT THEY CAN DO ANYTHING - THEY CAN INITIATE ANY PROGRAMS OR THEY CAN DO ANYTHING THAT THEY THINK THE CONSTITUENTS WHO HAVE SENT THEM HERE TO THIS TABLE WANT IN THEIR VARIOUS COMMUNITIES. IF HONOURABLE MEMBERS HADN'T REALLY TRIED TO SNEAK THIS ONE BY; IF THEY HAD AT LEAST BROUGHT IN A PAPER SAYING THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE AN INCREASE OF \$265,400.00 IN TAXATION IN THE YUKON THROUGH LICENSING UNDER THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT, AND THIS IS THE REASON FOR IT, AND THESE ARE THE REASONS WHY THE TAX IMPOSTS ARE DONE AT THIS TIME. YOU KNOW, TO GO ABOUT IT IN THIS KIND OF AN OPEN BASIS INSTEAD OF DURING THE LAST DAYS OF THE BUDGET DEBATE ON PAGE 70 TRY TO SNEAK IT THROUGH IN THIS MANNER. I REALLY DON'T THINK IT'S WORTHY OF THEM OR WORTHY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO DO IT THIS WAY.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE REASON IT IS IN THIS PAGE IS BECAUSE THIS IS THE PLACE THAT IT APPEARS IN THE REVENUE SECTION IN EVERY BUDGET DEBATE, AND THAT'S WHY IT IS INTO THE BOOK. THERE WAS NO REASON THAT WE BROUGHT IT IN THE LAST TIME OF THE BUDGET. THE MEMBER MIGHT HAVE A POINT WHEN HE SAYS THE HOUSE WAS DUE SOME EXPLANATION. PERHAPS THAT MIGHT BE TRUE. HOWEVER, THE AMOUNT OF MONEY WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IS NOTHING LIKE WHAT ALL THE OTHER HONOURABLE MEMBERS AROUND HERE ARE GETTING EXCITED ABOUT. WHEN YOU GET RIGHT DOWN TO IT IT'S A MERE \$25,000.00 OR MAYBE \$35,000.00. IT'S NOT A QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLAR IMPOST ON THE PEOPLE. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE INCREASE THAT IS GOING TO ACCRUE DIRECTLY TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE GOT TO PUT THE MOTION FIRST. THIS IS THE USUAL WAY THESE THINGS ARE USUALLY DONE. MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD MOVE, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON, THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF THIS COMMITTEE THAT THE INCREASES ON MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSES FOR THE 1974-75 FISCAL YEAR BE REMITTED TO THOSE WHO HAVE PAID THEM, AND THAT THE LICENSE FEES FOR 1974-75 REMAIN THE SAME AS FOR THE YEAR 1973-74.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECONDER?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: COUNCILLOR MCKINNON SECONDED THE MOTION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON, THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF THIS COMMITTEE THAT THE INCREASES ON MOTOR VEHICLE LICENSES FOR THE 1974-75 FISCAL YEAR BE REMITTED TO THOSE WHO HAVE PAID THEM, AND THAT THE LICENSE FEES FOR 1974-75 REMAIN THE SAME AS FOR THE YEAR 1973-74. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THOSE AGREED KINDLY SIGNIFY, WOULD THOSE OPPOSED KINDLY SIGNIFY. I DECLARE THAT THE MOTION HAS BEEN DEFEATED. ARE YOU CLEAR ON BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSES, \$70,000.00? NEXT IS GAME ORDINANCE, \$170,000.00.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: HAVE THERE BEEN INCREASES IN THE FEES ON BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSES AS WELL?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE HAVE BEEN NO OTHER CHANGES IN FEES TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL HOW ABOUT TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE OTHER TWO MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE. I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE TWO SEPARATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEES; THERE IS THE APPOINTED ONE AND THE ELECTED ONE.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE ARE QUITE AWARE THAT THIS WAS THE ONLY INCREASE IN FEE STRUCTURE AT THIS TIME.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: UNDER THE GAME ORDINANCE I'M NOT TOO CLEAR, SPEAKING FROM THE CHAIR, THAT WHEN WE DISCUSSED THE GAME ESTIMATES I BELIEVE IT WAS STATED THAT THEY COULDN'T REPORT AS YET WHAT THE INCREASES IN THE GAME LICENSES WOULD BE AND YET IT IS REFLECTED HERE.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ESTIMATE HERE IS ON THE BASIS THAT THERE IS NO CHANGE IN GAME LICENSES.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ARE YOU CLEAR? MARRIAGE LICENSES, \$1,000.00.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. NEXT ITEM IS INTEREST ON INVESTMENTS, \$330,000.00. NEXT ITEM IS FINES - TERRITORIAL COURT AND OTHERS, \$70,000.00. UNDER FEES, REGISTRATIONS, ETC., \$135,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO ASCERTAIN AS TO WHETHER THERE HAS BEEN ANY MOVEMENT TOWARDS HAVING THE FEES BEING - RATHER THE FINES THAT HAVE BEEN LEVIED AGAINST PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN CHARGED UNDER THE CRIMINAL CODE, HAVING THOSE FEES GO INTO THE TERRITORIAL COURT COFFERS. AFTER ALL, IT'S THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT THAT NOW IS PAYING - IS MEETING THE COST OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE. IS THERE ANY CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN OTTAWA SO THAT WE CAN GET THESE FINES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE CONTINUED TO ATTEMPT TO GET SOME OF THESE FINES. HOWEVER, THE SITUATION IS NO DIFFERENT HERE IN THE YUKON THAN IT IS IN THE PROVINCES. MOST OF THIS MONEY GOES TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO THE RECEIVER-GENERAL OF CANADA ON THOSE ITEMS WHICH COME UNDER THE CRIMINAL CODE OR FEDERAL LAW.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AT THE MOMENT AND FOR A LONG TIME TO COME IT SEEMS THAT WE ARE STILL AN AGENCY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HAVE WE PUT UP THE ARGUMENT THAT BEING AN AGENCY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IT WOULDN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE IF THE MONEY WAS PAID INTO THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND WHICH IS AN AGENCY FUND?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT SPECIFIC ARGUMENT HAS BEEN PUT UP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT'S A GOOD ONE. IS THERE A BREAKDOWN AT ALL OF THESE FINES IN RELATION TO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE SEND OUT TO THE FEDS. AND WHAT WE KEEP OURSELVES? PERHAPS WE SHOULD BE SPEAKING TO THE MAGISTRATES AND SEE THAT THEY CHARGE A LITTLE LESS ON CRIMINAL CODE AND CHARGE A LITTLE BIT MORE ON TERRITORIAL ORDINANCES SO THAT WE GET MORE MONEY THIS WAY IN OUR FUNDS.

MR. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M AFRAID I CAN'T TELL YOU OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD HOW

MUCH GOES TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I CAN TELL YOU THIS MUCH. IT'S A HECK OF A LOT MORE THAN WE COLLECT OURSELVES. IT SEEMS TO ME IT'S IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF \$25,000.00 A MONTH. I'M GUESSING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: A MONTH?

MR. MILLER: YES I THINK THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. MCKINNON: OH SURE. JUST LOOK AT THE 250 CLUB FOR CRYING OUT LOUD. INCREDIBLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON FINES - TERRITORIAL COURT AND OTHERS? NEXT ITEM IS REGISTRATIONS ETC., \$135,000.00, WHAT IS THE DECREASE REPRESENTED HERE?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WAS A POOR ESTIMATE IN 1973-74. WE OVER-ESTIMATED IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS CAMPGROUND USE, \$45,000.00. NEXT ITEM IS YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU KNOW, THIS IS A WAY I CAN GET IT FROM 5, I'LL LEAVE IT FOR TOMORROW. (LAUGHTER)

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS SUNDRY, \$20,000.00. THIS GIVES US A TOTAL REVENUE OF \$10,096,838.00. NEXT ITEM IS ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES UNDER RECOVERIES. THE FIRST ITEM IS HOUSING ACCOMMODATION AND RENTALS, \$495,610.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHERE WOULD WE BE GETTING - WHY WOULD WE BE GETTING MORE BACK THIS YEAR WHEN THE RENTALS THAT HAVE BEEN PAID WOULD REDUCE THE TOTAL AMOUNT, WOULDN'T THEY? THE RENTALS THAT WE GET EACH YEAR IS REDUCED ISN'T IT IN THE TOTAL?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE AMOUNT OF RENT THAT WE CHARGE OUR EMPLOYEES NORMALLY INCREASES EACH YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I UNDERSTOOD THAT THEY WERE MOVING OUT. ARE WE SAYING NOW THAT THEY ARE MOVING IN?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. THERE ARE TWO FACTORS INVOLVED IN THIS. CERTAINLY IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA, AND TAxHINI PARTICULARLY,

THEY ARE MOVING OUT. THE NUMBER OF UNITS THAT WE ARE CARRYING ARE LESS. HOWEVER, IN THE OUTLYING AREAS IT'S INCREASING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT IS THE FEDERAL INTERDEPARTMENTAL CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE SECRETARIAT, \$34,980.00. IN TREASURY, THE ELECTRIC RATE EQUALIZATION, \$220,000.00, THE SMALL BUSINESS LOANS PROGRAM, \$4,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THIS ITEM, MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST REPAYMENT ON SMALL BUSINESS LOANS. IS THAT WHAT IT'S FOR?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS IS THE RECOVERY OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, PRIMARILY THE BOARD'S EXPENSES THAT IS PAID FOR BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS NET PROCEEDS OF SALE OF SURPLUS EQUIPMENT, \$85,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH DID WE RECEIVE BACK LAST YEAR FOR THE SALE OF SURPLUS EQUIPMENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, GOING BY RECOLLECTION HERE, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT WAS APPROXIMATELY \$90,000.00 OR \$100,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WAS THERE ANY IDEA THAT WE WERE GOING TO BE RECEIVING SOME MONEY BACK?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. AT THE TIME OUR ORIGINAL BUDGET WAS PREPARED WE HADN'T DONE OUR BOARD OF SURVEY AND DECLARED ALL THIS MATERIAL SURPLUS. WE NOW HAVE A STANDARD PROCEDURE FOR A BOARD OF SURVEY. WE NOW HAVE THE ANNUAL REVIEWS. WE INTEND TO HAVE ANNUAL SALES TO DISPOSE OF THIS EQUIPMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I ASK THIS QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, BECAUSE IT NOW APPEARS THAT IN ONE AREA WE HAD A \$150,000.00 THAT WAS AN ERROR ON TAX REVENUE AND PROPERTY TAX APPROXIMATELY \$150,000.00 THAT CAME INTO THE YUKON CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND. IN THIS ITEM WE HAVE \$90,000.00 THAT DIDN'T SHOW UP IN THE 1973-74 SO THAT'S \$240,000.00. THEY ARE BOTH REVENUES. ONE'S A RECOVERY - - -

MR. STUTTER: ONE WAS OVER-ESTIMATED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO, THERE WAS AN ESTIMATE FOR 1973-74 OF \$152,525.00 ON PROPERTY TAXES.

INSTEAD WE RECEIVED ABOUT \$300,000.00 WHICH IS A DIFFERENCE OF ABOUT \$150,000.00. THIS TIME WE ARE TOLD THAT THERE WAS NO ESTIMATE FOR NO RECOVERIES YET WE RECEIVE \$90,000.00. THAT'S ANOTHER \$240,000.00 IN REVENUES OVER AND ABOVE THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED, NOT ESTIMATED, BUT WHAT WE HAVE ACTUALLY RECEIVED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THIS I UNDERSTAND, FROM MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT MR. MILLER HAD INDICATED. HE ALSO INDICATED THAT THIS HAS GONE INTO THE CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND I WOULD LIKE TO FIND OUT WHERE THAT MONEY WAS USED IN RELATION, ESPECIALLY TO THE FACT THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE A SUPPLEMENTARY WHEN WE RECEIVED AN EXTRA \$240,000, DURING THE YEAR.

WE HAD A SUPPLEMENTARY IN 1973/74, AT THE END OF /74, WE RECEIVED \$240,000 OVER AND ABOVE WHAT WAS ESTIMATED. WHAT HAPPENED TO THAT \$240,000?

MR. MILLER: SOME OF IT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WENT TO SUPPORT THE SUPPLEMENTARY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH OF IT WENT TO SUPPORT THE SUPPLEMENTARY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK I GET WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS TRYING TO GET AROUND HERE. I THOUGHT I HAD MY PAPERS WITH ME ON WORKING CAPITAL, I DON'T. I'M PREPARED TO BRING THOSE FORWARD TOMORROW AND EXPLAIN OUR WORKING CAPITAL POSITION TO OUR HONOURABLE MEMBER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. MILLER IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. IT WAS IN THE PAPER LAST NIGHT THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO SURPLUS A WHOLE BUNCH OF SECOND HAND TIRES AND IT IS STATING THAT THE BIDDER MUST PUT UP A \$50.00 DEPOSIT TO MAKE SURE THAT HE CLEANS THE AREA UP. WHEN DOES THE BOARD OR THE GOVERNMENT MAKE THE DECISION TO WHETHER STUFF SHOULD BE TAKEN TO THE DUMP OR WHETHER IN FACT IT SHOULD BE SURPLUSED? IT SEEMS TO ME THIS IS PROBABLY ONE INSTANCE WHERE THE STUFF SHOULD HAVE GONE TO THE DUMP IN THE FIRST PLACE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS ISN'T QUITE RIGHT. SURPLUS TIRES, DEPENDING UPON THE TYPE OF TIRE, ARE VERY VALUABLE. WE HAVE NEVER RUN A SALE OF THIS NATURE BEFORE, I MEAN Y.T.G. NEVER HAS BUT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OR CROWN ASSETS HAVE, AND THEY

HAVE RECEIVED VERY GOOD RETURNS. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HUGE TRACTOR AND GRADER TIRES THAT CAN BE RECAPPED. UP UNTIL THIS POINT WE HAVEN'T DEALT WITH THIS SUBJECT BEFORE. THEY HAVE JUST BEEN PILING UP IN A BIG PILE IN THE WORK YARD. WE ARE NOW ATTEMPTING TO RELIEVE OURSELVES OF THAT RESPONSIBILITY. WE ARE TRYING TO SET UP SOME NORMAL PROCESS FROM HERE ON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF THESE TYPES OF THINGS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT \$50.00 IS INSUFFICIENT TO CLEAN UP THE YARD BECAUSE IF SOMEBODY DOESN'T WANT TO CLEAN UP THE YARD IT IS GOING TO COST THEM \$50.00 JUST FOR A COUPLE OF HOURS. IT SHOULD BE A REAL GOOD AMOUNT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS A RELATIVELY SMALL AREA WHEN I SAY THE YARD. IT IS NOT ACROSS THE WHOLE YARD. IT IS AN AREA PROBABLY ABOUT 100 BY 200. I AGREE THEY MAY LEAVE SOME TIRES THERE, THAT IS WHY WE ARE ASKING FOR THE DEPOSIT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS EDUCATION, REHABILITATION, CANADA ASSISTANCE PLAN - \$33,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHY WASN'T THERE ANY MONEY COMING BACK FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR - 73/74?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WEREN'T ON THIS TYPE OF A PROGRAM, THE REHABILITATION THAT WE HAD IN THE BUDGET FOR THE PRIOR YEAR WAS PURELY AND SIMPLY THE COORDINATOR. WE ARE NOW QUALIFYING UNDER AN AGREEMENT FOR RECOVERIES UNDER CANADA ASSISTANCE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS STUDENT ACCOMMODATION - \$31,500. SALE OF SCHOOL SUPPLIES - \$2,000. NIGHT SCHOOL FEES - \$15,000. TRANSPORTATION OF SCHOOL CHILDREN - \$3,000. SALE OF MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICES - \$54,500.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: COULD WE GET A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ITEM - SALE OF SCHOOL SUPPLIES AND THEN SALE OF MATERIAL, SUPPLIES AND SERVICES? WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THESE TWO ITEMS?

MRS. WATSON: SCHOOL SUPPLIES ARE SCHOOL SUPPLIES AND IT IS A VERY MINIMAL SUM. WE ONLY SELL SCHOOL SUPPLIES IN SOME ISOLATED AREAS. SALE OF MATERIALS, SUPPLIES AND SERVICES IS THE RENTAL OF OUR FACILITIES. THE INCOME THAT WE DERIVE FROM RENTING FACILITIES - THE GYMNASIUM, THE AUDITORIUMS AND THIS TYPE OF THINGS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, WHY...

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS ONE OTHER AREA IN THERE. THESE ARE SPECIAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES FOR VOCATIONAL TYPE PROGRAMS. THERE ARE CERTAIN VOCATIONAL PROGRAMS AT THE SCHOOLS THE STUDENTS HAVE TO BUY THEIR OWN MATERIALS OR PART OF THEIR OWN MATERIALS. WOODWORKING FOR EXAMPLE. IF THEY WANT TO MAKE SPECIAL THINGS WITH SPECIAL WOOD THEY HAVE TO BUY THE MATERIAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AND DO THEY BUY THEM FROM THE SCHOOL?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN MOST CASES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: CLEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS OCCUPATIONAL TRAINING RECOVERIES - \$750,000. DORMITORY FEES - \$23,000. FITNESS AND AMATEUR SPORT - \$72,000. FRIENDSHIP CENTERS - \$15,000.

IN VIEW OF THE TIME SHALL WE CONTINUE THIS TOMORROW?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WOULD MOVE, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT MR. SPEAKER NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. TANNER: I SECOND THE MOTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I SHALL DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:30 A.M. TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS, AND MOTIONS. MR. MILLER, MR. HUBERDEAU ATTENDED COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS BILL NO. 3. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT THE PREPARED SESSIONAL PAPER DETAILING EXPANDED KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM BE TABLED IN COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION WITH THE MAIN ESTIMATES. THE MOTION WAS DEFEATED.

IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT VOTE 4 AND VOTE 5 BE CLEARED WITHOUT FURTHER QUESTION. THIS MOTION CARRIED AND THIS WAS RELATED TO BILL NO. 3, MR. SPEAKER.

COMMITTEE RECESSED AT 12 NOON, RECONVENED AT 2:00 P.M.. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF THIS COMMITTEE THAT THE INCREASES ON MOTOR VEHICLE LICENCES FOR THE 1974/75 FISCAL YEAR BE REMITTED TO THOSE WHO HAVE PAID THEM AND THAT THE LICENCE FEES FOR 1974/75 REMAIN THE SAME AS FOR THE YEAR 1973/74. THIS MOTION WAS DEFEATED. AND ALSO RELATED TO BILL NO. 3.

I CAN REPORT PROGRESS ON BILL NO. 3. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. THIS MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: WE HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES. ARE WE AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I RISE AS YOUR CHAIRMAN IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO REPORT THAT TODAY IN COMMITTEE IN DEBATE SURROUNDING A MOTION. IT WAS INFERRED BY AT LEAST SOME MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE THAT I HAD MADE A WRONG DECISION AND INDEED THERE WAS QUESTION AS TO MY PARTIALITY AS CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE. MR. SPEAKER MY FIRST DUTY IN THIS ELECTED CHAMBER IS TO THE PEOPLE WHO ELECTED ME TO SERVE ON

THIS COUNCIL. MY SECOND DUTY IN THIS HOUSE IS IN THE ACCEPTANCE AND THE FUNCTION OF DEPUTY SPEAKER AND CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES AND DOING THE WORK OF THE HOUSE ON BEHALF OF THE HOUSE. IN DEFENDING PARLIAMENTARY RULE TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, DEFENDING PARLIAMENTARY JUSTICE IN DEBATES CONSISTENT WITH THE RULES OF THE HOUSE IN AN ORDERLY AND IMPARTIAL MANNER.

THE IMPARTIALITY OF THE CHAIR IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE HAS COME INTO QUESTION. I HAD INTENDED ON RELATING TO YOU MR. SPEAKER, SOME OF THE INSULTS THAT WERE THROWN AROUND THE CHAMBERS AT THAT TIME. THE TONE OF THE DEBATE CERTAINLY INDICATED THAT THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE HAD LOST THE CONFIDENCE OF THE MEMBERSHIP.

FOLLOWING THE NOON RECESS I REPORTED TO YOUR COMMITTEE, MR. SPEAKER, ON THE MATTER AND IT APPEARS TO ME THAT IF I AM TO SERVE THE HOUSE I MUST HAVE THE CONFIDENCE OF THE HOUSE, MR. SPEAKER. IF I AM TO SERVE IT WELL. IF I DO NOT HAVE THE CONFIDENCE FOR THE HOUSE THAN, NO DOUBT, ANOTHER MEMBER SHOULD REPLACE ME IN THIS IMPORTANT TASK.

THEREFORE, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD ASK FOR A DETERMINATION BY THE HOUSE AS TO THE CONFIDENCE THEY HAVE IN MY UNDERTAKING AS DEPUTY SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE.

MR. STUTTER: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT I THINK IT IS VERY UNUSUAL FOR A MEMBER TO SEEK A VOTE OF CONFIDENCE. IT IS NORMALLY THE OTHER WAY AROUND. THAT THERE IS A VOTE OF CONFIDENCE PUT IN A MEMBER. THIS CERTAINLY HAS NOT BEEN PUT FORWARD AT THIS TIME. I THINK MOST OF US, PERHAPS ALL OF US HERE, FEEL THAT THE MEMBER IS DOING A GOOD JOB AS CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES. I THINK THAT SINCE THERE HAS BEEN NO VOTE OF NON-CONFIDENCE HE SHOULDN'T TAKE IT UPON HIMSELF TO THINK THAT THERE IS NON-CONFIDENCE IN HIS POSITION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, IN REPLY TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHO HAS JUST SPOKEN, I THANK HIM FOR HIS REMARKS BUT THE INFERENCE DURING THE DEBATES OF TODAY IN THE COMMITTEE WOULD INDICATE THAT I NO LONGER ENJOY THE CONFIDENCE OF THE HOUSE. THIS IS WHY I COME TO FIND OUT FROM COUNCIL, MR. SPEAKER, AS TO WHETHER I DO OR DO NOT. IF I DO NOT I WOULD BE VERY REMISS IN MY DUTY TO MY PEOPLE BY CONTINUING TO SERVE IN THIS CAPACITY NOT HAVING THE CONFIDENCE OF THE HOUSE.

Mr. SPEAKER: ARE WE AGREED THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES HAS THE CONFIDENCE OF THIS HOUSE?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT MOTION. I AM CERTAINLY GOING TO VOTE IN THE AFFIRMATIVE. THERE IS NO QUESTION OF THE IMPARTIALITY OR THE CHAIRMAN'S OBJECTIVENESS IN FULFILLING HIS ROLE. THERE WAS JUST ONE LITTLE THING THAT I THINK IN ALL FAIRNESS A PERSON SHOULD BRING FORWARD. THAT IS HIS BULL-DOGGED STUBBORNNESS. HE INSISTED THAT THERE WAS A, THAT A PRECEDENT HAD BEEN SET, THE BUDGET HAD ALWAYS BEEN REVIEWED TWICE IN THE PAST. I HAVE HERE BEFORE ME THE BUDGET FROM 1968, WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE EAST WAS CHAIRMAN, THE SECOND REVIEW. THE MAIN ESTIMATES WERE GONE THROUGH AND PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENT. I HAVE THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE BUDGET OF 1969, WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST WAS THE CHAIRMAN, THE MAIN ESTIMATES AT THAT TIME WERE REVIEWED ONCE AND THEN PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENT.

THIS IS THE ONLY POINT THAT I AM BRINGING UP THAT SOMETIMES, POSSIBLY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THINKS THAT THESE PRECEDENTS HAVE BEEN SET, AND HE BECOMES A LITTLE BIT UNYIELDING IN LISTENING TO OUR PLEES FOR SOME CONSIDERATION TO THE TYPE OF CHANGE THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO HAVE.

AS FAR AS HAVING CONFIDENCE IN HIS ABILITY AND HIS IMPARTIALITY AS CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE I WOULD CERTAINLY HAVE TO GIVE HIM MY AFFIRMATIVE VOTE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WASN'T MY INTENTION TO SPEAK BUT WHEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HAS BROUGHT FORWARD TWO SETS OF VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS AND JUST COMMENTS THAT THE BUDGET WAS PASSED WITHOUT AMENDMENT AND DOESN'T GO ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE DEBATE ITSELF IT SHOWS QUITE CLEARLY THAT IT IS AN ATTEMPT TO SAY THAT WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE HAS RULED AS CHAIRMAN IS INCORRECT. I AGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE THAT THE PRECEDENT HAS BEEN FOR OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS. MR. SPEAKER, WHAT HAS HAPPENED TODAY IS THAT I FIND MYSELF IN THE POSITION OF NOT BEING ABLE TO QUESTION THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER OF HEALTH WELFARE AND REHABILITATION ABOUT THE

MANNER IN WHICH HE HAS CONDUCTED HIMSELF IN LOOKING AFTER THAT PARTICULAR DEPARTMENT. I HAVE BEEN STOPPED FROM ASKING QUESTIONS RELATING TO THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THAT DEPARTMENT. I HAVE BEEN STOPPED FROM BRINGING FORWARD WAYS AND MEANS WHERE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON CAN BENEFIT BY HAVING ADDITIONAL AREAS OF FUNDS MADE AVAILABLE SO THAT THEY GET MORE BENEFITS NOW, MR. --,

Mr. SPEAKER: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE MATTER BEFORE THE HOUSE WAS REGARDING THE CONFIDENCE IN THE CHAIRMAN AND I THINK WE ALL GAVE ASSURANCE AND WE HAVE CONFIDENCE IN THE CHAIRMAN. COULD WE NOT LET THE MATTER REST THERE?

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, WITH RESPECT MR. SPEAKER, ONCE IT'S GONE INTO AN AREA OF DEBATE I COULD BE GIVEN EXACTLY THE SAME LATITUDE THAT WAS GIVEN TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE AND I'M SIMPLY INDICATING THAT THE AREAS THAT I WOULD STOP AND THE AREAS THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE WAS STOPPED IN WHEN HE DEALT WITH SPECIFIC AREAS THAT ARE INSIDE VOTE 5, AND WHAT HAPPENED WAS WRONG, CERTAINLY I SUPPORT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE AS CHAIRMAN AND I THINK THAT EVERYBODY SHOULD. HE DOES AN EFFECTIVE JOB BUT THE VERY POINT THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS IN DOING WHAT THEY HAVE DONE SHOW THAT NOW THEY SAY YES WE HAVE CONFIDENCE, BUT IN COMMITTEE THEY SHOWED THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE CONFIDENCE IN HIM IN OVERRULING HIS DECISION.

Mr. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

Mr. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER I WOULD MOVE WE CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK.

Mr. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION.

Mr. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

THIS HOUSE NOW STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING.

ADJOURNED

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 24, 1974

MR. SPEAKER READS THE DAILY PRAYER.

MR. SPEAKER: MADAM CLERK IS THERE A QUORUM PRESENT?

MADAM CLERK: THERE IS MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO RISE ON A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGE THIS MORNING. I WAS VERY SURPRISED, IN FACT AMAZED TO LEARN THAT THE GOVERNMENT WAS TAKING NO POSITION ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY BEFORE CHIEF JUSTICE TOM BERGER OF THE B.C. SUPREME COURT ON THE PRELIMINARY HEARINGS INTO THE APPLICATION FOR THE PIPE LINE ACROSS NORTHERN CANADA.

MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK WITH COUNCIL'S CONCURRENCE THAT MR. SPEAKER INVITE CHIEF JUSTICE TOM BERGER OF THE B.C. SUPREME COURT TO MEET IN COMMITTEE IF HE HAS TIME IN HIS SCHEDULE TOMORROW AFTERNOON. SO THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL MAY BE MADE AWARE OF WHAT IS TAKING PLACE AND WHAT GUIDE LINES ARE GOING TO BE SET DOWN IN THE HEARINGS WHICH ARE GOING TO FOLLOW FOR THE GAS LINE APPLICATION.

MR. SPEAKER, IT IS GOING TO BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR MEMBERS TO ATTEND THE DUTIES OF THIS HOUSE AND ALSO ATTEND THE HEARINGS THAT ARE BEING HELD ON THURSDAY. I THINK THAT AS A COURTESY TO MR. JUSTICE BERGER AND FOR INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE HOUSE, KNOWING THE REPUTATION OF MR. BERGER THAT HE SHOULD BE INVITED AS A COURTESY BEFORE COMMITTEE; IF HE HAS TIME SOMETIME THURSDAY TO ADDRESS THIS COUNCIL AS TO WHAT THE BACKGROUND OF THE PRELIMINARY HEARINGS ARE AND TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS COUNCIL MAY HAVE TO MR. BERGER.

MR. SPEAKER: IS COUNCIL AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY DOCUMENTS OR CORRESPONDENCE TO BE TABLED?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, MR. SPEAKER I HAVE FOR TABLING THIS MORNING A FILE MADE UP OF SOME FORTY DOCUMENTS RELATING TO MR. FISHER-FLEMING ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. I HAVE PROVIDED SEVEN COPIES FOR EACH MEMBER OF COUNCIL. ONE FOR EACH MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE ONE QUALIFICATION THAT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE TO THE TABLING OF THESE DOCUMENTS. BEFORE THE DOCUMENT IS TABLED IN THE HOUSE I WOULD LIKE TO INSIST THAT A LETTER BE OBTAINED FROM THE PERSON ABOUT WHOM THE FILE, MR. FLEMING, A LETTER FROM MR. FLEMING INDICATING HIS PERMISSION TO HAVE HIS PERSONAL FILE TABLED IN THE COUNCIL. IF THIS PERMISSION CAN BE OBTAINED IN WRITING FROM MR. FLEMING THEN I WOULDN'T OBJECT TO THE FILE BEING TABLED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, WITH RESPECT, NO MEMBER OF A LEGISLATIVE BODY CAN OBJECT TO THE TABLING OF ANY DOCUMENT IN ANY EVENT. BUT I CAN ASSURE, MR. SPEAKER, THAT I HAVE BEEN IN CONTINUAL DISCUSSION WITH MR. FLEMING WHO HAS GIVEN ME AUTHORITY TO PRESENT TO COUNCIL IN THIS MANNER, ALL OF THE CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO HIS SOJOURN WITH THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT DURING THE LAST YEAR OR SO. I HAVE HIS PERMISSION.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, WHETHER OR NOT THE DOCUMENTS ARE TABLED I BELIEVE WE SHOULD HAVE THAT LETTER PRESENTED TO COUNCIL WITH THE DOCUMENTS, MR. SPEAKER, OR CERTAINLY VERY SHORTLY THEREAFTER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IF YOU WANT IT I CAN GET IT.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, IN THE PAST FROM TIME TO TIME THINGS HAVE BEEN BROUGHT INTO COUNCIL WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE PERSON THAT IS INVOLVED. I THINK THIS IS A PERSONAL FILE. WHETHER THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS HE HAS THE AUTHORITY THEN BY ALL MEANS BRING US A LETTER TO SHOW US THAT YOU DO HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO TABLE THIS PERSONAL INFORMATION.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY REPORTS OF COMMITTEE? ARE THERE ANY BILLS TO BE INTRODUCED? ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTIONS?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE NOTICE OF MOTION CONCERNING SUB-SECTION 2 OF SECTION 3 OF THE TRAVEL FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT OUTSIDE THE TERRITORY REGULATIONS. SUB-SECTION 2 OF SECTION 3 OF THE TRAVEL FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT WITHIN THE TERRITORY REGULATIONS AND SUB-SECTION 2 OF SECTION 3 OF THE TRAVEL, MEDICAL EVACUATION REGULATION.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER NOTICES OF MOTION OR RESOLUTION?

MR. MCKINNON: YES, MR. SPEAKER I HAVE A FURTHER NOTICE OF MOTION CONCERNING SUBSECTION W OF SECTION 155 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ORDINANCE.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY NOTICES OF MOTION FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS? AS THERE ARE NO MOTIONS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PAPERS OR MOTIONS WE COME TO THE QUESTION PERIOD, MADAM CLERK WILL YOU ASCERTAIN IF THE ADMINISTRATOR IS AVAILABLE. WE WILL NOW HAVE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE CHILDREN NOT ATTENDING SCHOOL IN PELLY RIVER

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO THE MINISTER OF EDUCATION THIS MORNING. IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT FOR SOME TWO MONTHS OR SO NOW THAT CHILDREN HAVE NOT BEEN ATTENDING THE, OR SOME CHILDREN, HAVE NOT BEEN ATTENDING THE SCHOOL IN PELLY. I AM JUST WONDERING IF THE MINISTER OR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF EDUCATION WOULD EXPLAIN TO THE HOUSE WHY THE SITUATION HAS EXISTED SO LONG?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SAYS TWO MONTHS. IT HAS ONLY BEEN OVER A PERIOD OF THE LAST FEW DAYS THAT ONLY A PORTION OF THE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN ATTENDING THE PELLY RIVER SCHOOL. THERE HAS BEEN SOME PROBLEM WITH THE SELKIRK BAND AND THE STAFF AT THE SCHOOL.

MR. TAYLOR: SUPPLEMENTARY, MR. SPEAKER, WHAT IS THE DEPARTMENT DOING TO ENSURE THAT THE PROBLEM WILL BE RESOLVED AND ALL THE CHILDREN WILL BE BACK IN SCHOOL AGAIN AT PELLY?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, WE ARE HAVING SCHOOL AS USUAL. WE HAVE COMPETENT TEACHERS AT THE SCHOOL AT PELLY RIVER AND WE HAVE MORE AND MORE CHILDREN COMING BACK TO SCHOOL EVERY DAY.

MR. TAYLOR: THAT HASN'T ANSWERED MY QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER. I AM WONDERING IF THE EXECUTIVE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF EDUCATION WOULD ADVISE US WHAT STEPS ARE BEING TAKEN TO REMEDY THE SITUATION IN PELLY?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I JUST ANSWERED THE QUESTION. I SAID WE HAD COMPETENT TEACHERS AT PELLY, THE SCHOOL IS OPEN, THE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS HAVE BEEN OUT TALKING TO THE MEMBERS OF THE BAND AT PELLY RIVER AND MORE AND MORE CHILDREN ARE GOING BACK TO SCHOOL EVERY DAY.

MR. MCKINNON: SUPPLEMENTARY, MR. SPEAKER. WHAT IS THE NATURE OF THE PROBLEM BETWEEN THE SELKIRK BAND AND THE TEACHERS OF THE PELLY SCHOOL?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I DON'T THINK THE PROBLEM IS DIRECTLY INVOLVED WITH THE CURRICULUM OR THE TYPE OF INSTRUCTION WITHIN THE SCHOOL. PART OF THE PROBLEM MAY BE THE FACT THAT THE PUBLIC RELATIONS BETWEEN THE TEACHERS AND THE REST OF THE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OF PELLY IS UNDER QUESTION. I THINK, THAT AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS REALIZE, THAT WE ARE ONLY OBLIGED TO HAVE COMPETENT TEACHERS IN THE SCHOOL. MIND YOU WE WOULD PREFER TO HAVE PEOPLE WHO HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE PEOPLE OF THE COMMUNITY AND ARE ABLE TO RELATE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS WHY THE DEPARTMENT OFFICIALS HAVE BEEN OUT. WE ARE TRYING TO ADJUST THE CURRICULUM, MAKE THE NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS WITHIN THE SCHOOL, IN ORDER TO RESOLVE THIS PROBLEM.

THERE ARE CONVERSATIONS GOING ON AT THE PRESENT TIME, AND THERE HAVE BEEN FOR THE PAST MONTH, BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT AND THE TEACHERS AND THE BAND AND THE NATIVE BROTHERHOOD.

MR. SPEAKER: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

QUESTION RE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS PLACED TO THE ADMINISTRATOR RE: MR. FLEMING

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT IN REFERENCE TO THE ANSWERING OF 19 QUESTIONS THAT WERE PUT TO THE ADMINISTRATION IN THIS SESSION OF COUNCIL?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, WHAT 19 QUESTIONS IS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER REFERRING TO?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MR. SPEAKER, I'M SURE THAT MR. ADMINISTRATOR KNOWS TO WHAT I REFER.

THAT IS THE SERIES OF 19 QUESTIONS PUT TO THE ADMINISTRATION WITH REFERENCE TO MR. FLEMING,

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: THE ANSWER, MR. SPEAKER, IS NO.

QUESTION RE ADVISING CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER OF REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR THIS MORNING. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR, MR. SPEAKER, IF THE GOVERNMENT HAVE UNDERTAKEN TO COMMUNICATE WITH THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER, I BELIEVE HIS NAME IS MR. CASTONGUAY, ADVISING HIM OF THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY AND ADVISING HIM OF OUR CONCERN RESPECTING THE TIME TABLE WHICH WOULD PERMIT A FALL ELECTION ON SCHEDULE.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, WE HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT WITH THE CHIEF ELECTORAL OFFICER AND HE IS FULLY AWARE OF THE TIME CONSTRAINTS THAT ARE IMPOSED ON US ALL IN THIS REGARD. HE HAS A COPY OF THE ORDINANCE AS WELL.

QUESTION RE LAND TRANSACTIONS LISTED IN PAPER

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR IF THE LAND TRANSACTIONS THAT APPEARS EVERY MONTH IN THE PAPER IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF LAND TRANSACTIONS THROUGH INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT FOR THE MONTH IN WHICH IT APPEARS? THE TOTAL NUMBER, THERE ARE NONE EXCLUDED FROM THIS DIGEST THAT IS PUBLISHED IN THE PAPER?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, I WILL BE GLAD TO LOOK INTO THAT BUT I WILL HAVE TO TAKE THE QUESTION INTO NOTICE TO MAKE SURE THAT IT IS GIVEN AN ACCURATE ANSWER.

QUESTION RE HIRING OF HAINES JUNCTION RESIDENTS FOR STAFFING KLUANE NATIONAL PARK

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WHETHER SHE, AS THE ELECTED REPRESENTATIVE FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HAS MADE ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO ASSURE THAT HAINES JUNCTION RESIDENTS AND RESIDENTS OF THE AREA

WILL BE GIVEN FIRST OPPORTUNITY AS PARK WARDENS AND PARK STAFF IN THE KLUANE NATIONAL PARK.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, THE ANSWER IS YES.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, COULD I ASK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WHAT WAS THE REACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT TO THE REQUEST?

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, THE ANSWER WAS THAT IF THE RESIDENTS WERE ABLE TO MEET THE JOB QUALIFICATIONS THAT WERE REQUIRED THAT RESIDENTS IN THE COMMUNITY WOULD BE GIVEN OPPORTUNITY AT THE EMPLOYMENT IN THE KLUANE PARK.

MR. MCKINNON: SUPPLEMENTARY QUESTION, MR. SPEAKER. WAS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SATISFIED WITH THE ANSWER? THE REASON BEING THAT THESE JOB QUALIFICATIONS IN THE FORMAL EDUCATION SENSE WILL PROBABLY BE BEYOND MOST OF THE PEOPLE WHO STILL SHOULD HAVE FIRST CRACK AT THE JOBS. IT IS NOT THE FORMAL PART OF THE EDUCATION THAT IS MOST IMPORTANT IN THIS TYPE OF WORK.

MRS. WATSON: MR. SPEAKER, I COULDN'T AGREE MORE WITH THE MEMBER THAT THIS IS THE PROBLEM. THE ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS SEEM TO HAVE SO MUCH IMPORTANCE. IF WE COULD GET THIS CHANGED THEN THE OPPORTUNITIES WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PEOPLE.

QUESTION RE: WHITEHORSE GENERAL HOSPITAL ADVISORY BOARD MEETINGS

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. THE WHITEHORSE GENERAL HOSPITAL ADVISORY BOARD HAS NOT MET SINCE LAST AUGUST, CAN THE ADMINISTRATOR INDICATE WHETHER HE WILL SEEK INFORMATION AS TO WHY MEETINGS ARE NOT BEING CALLED?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I WOULD BE GLAD TO DO THAT. AS A MATTER OF FACT A MEETING, I UNDERSTOOD, WAS TO HAVE BEEN HELD THE DAY BEFORE YESTERDAY AND FOR REASONS WHICH I HAVEN'T YET BEEN ABLE TO ASCERTAIN, THERE WAS NO MEETING. BUT I WOULD CERTAINLY BE GLAD TO LOOK INTO THAT.

QUESTION RE: ALLEGED RESERVE OF LAND FOR WHITE PASS BETWEEN WHITEHORSE AND CARMACKS

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, SOME DAYS AGO I ASKED A QUESTION IN QUESTION PERIOD OF MR. ADMINISTRATOR RESPECTING THE ALLEGED RESERVE

OF LAND FOR WHITE PASS BETWEEN WHITEHORSE AND CARMACKS AND THE EFFECT IT HAD ON RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ALONG THAT ROUTE. I'M WONDERING WHEN WE MAY BE RECEIVING A REPLY TO THIS QUESTION?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: I'M NOT SURE MR. SPEAKER WHEN I CAN SAY THERE COULD BE A REPLY AVAILABLE BUT I WILL CERTAINLY MAKE ENQUIRIES IMMEDIATELY TO SEE IF I CAN SPEED UP AN ANSWER.

QUESTION RE: WHEN TO EXPECT AWAITED REPLY ON THE MATTER OF CHIROPRACTIC FACILITIES IN THE TERRITORY

MR. TAYLOR: THIS IS A QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER IN CHARGE OF HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION THIS MORNING MR. SPEAKER. AND I WOULD LIKE TO ASK HIM WHEN WE MAY EXPECT THE AWAITED REPLY ON THE MATTER OF CHIROPRACTIC FACILITIES BEING MADE AVAILABLE THROUGHOUT THE TERRITORY IN OUR NURSING STATIONS FOR CHIROPRACTORS.

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER, I APOLOGIZE TO THE MEMBER FOR THE LACK OF AN ANSWER BUT SOME OF MY OFFICERS HAVE BEEN OUT OF THE TERRITORY FOR SOME NUMBER OF DAYS, IN FACT ONE OF THEM A WEEK AND A HALF. AND I THINK THE MEMBER CAN ANTICIPATE AN ANSWER VERY SHORTLY.

QUESTION RE: BAIRDS JUNCTION LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR WHEN WE MAY EXPECT AN ANSWER CONCERNING THE BAIRDS JUNCTION LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT.

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, AGAIN I MUST SAY I'M SORRY THAT I CAN'T STATE EXACTLY WHEN AN ANSWER WILL BE AVAILABLE. AGAIN I'M HOPING THAT IT CAN BE WITHIN THE NEXT FEW DAYS. BUT I HAVEN'T ANYTHING MORE SPECIFIC THAN THAT AT THE MOMENT.

QUESTION RE: AMENDMENTS BROUGHT FORWARD TO LEGAL PROFESSIONS ORDINANCE

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. SPEAKER, EARLY IN THIS SESSION, I HAD REQUESTED THAT THERE BE SOME AMENDMENTS BROUGHT FORWARD TO SOME OF THE PROFESSIONAL ORDINANCES SPECIFICALLY TO THE LEGAL PROFESSIONS ORDINANCE. I UNDERSTOOD, MR. SPEAKER, FROM MR. LEGAL ADVISOR THAT HE WOULD BE ENDEAVOURING TO BRING OR TO HAVE

SOMETHING DONE DURING THIS SESSION. CAN WE HAVE SOME INDICATION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THESE AMENDMENTS TO THE LEGAL PROFESSIONS ORDINANCE WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD DURING THIS SESSION?

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I CAN'T SAY MR. SPEAKER AS TO JUST WHAT WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD, BUT THE MATTER IS UNDER DISCUSSION AT THE MOMENT.

QUESTION RE: MR. MORRISON OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL'S OFFICE ATTENDING MEETINGS ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSIONER IN OTTAWA

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, I HAVE A FURTHER QUESTION I WOULD DIRECT TO MR. ADMINISTRATOR. I NOTED YESTERDAY THAT MR. MORRISON OF THE CLERK OF COUNCIL'S OFFICE IS NOW IN OTTAWA ATTENDING MEETINGS ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSIONER. I'M WONDERING IF MR. ADMINISTRATOR COULD ADVISE US WHAT THOSE MEETINGS ARE ALL ABOUT?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: YES, MR. SPEAKER, I SHOULD PERHAPS EXPLAIN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE FEDERAL INTERDEPARTMENTAL CO-ORDINATING COMMITTEE WHICH IS THE COMMITTEE HERE IN THE YUKON OF ALL FEDERAL AGENCIES AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO A COMMITTEE IN OTTAWA CALLED THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT WHICH IS CHAIRED BY THE DEPUTY MINISTER OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT AND CONSISTS OF A SERIES OF SUB-COMMITTEES. THE PURPOSE OF MR. MORRISON'S TRIP TO OTTAWA IS TO ATTEND MEETINGS OF SOME OF THESE SUB-COMMITTEES.

QUESTION RE: CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE POSITIONS

MR. MCKINNON: MR. SPEAKER, I WONDER IF I COULD ASK MR. ADMINISTRATOR IF A PERSON IS SUCCESSFUL IN OBTAINING A CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE POSITION WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, WILL THEY ALL BE HANDLED ON A SALARY BASIS OR WILL SOME OF THEM BE HANDLED DEPENDING UPON THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER ON A CONTRACTUAL BASIS?

MR. ADMINISTRATOR: MR. SPEAKER, IT'S OUR OBJECTIVE IF WE CAN TO HIRE ALL THESE PEOPLE AS CASUAL EMPLOYEES. BUT IN SOME REMOTE LOCATIONS AND AT CERTAIN TIMES OF THE YEAR WHEN THE REQUIREMENT FALLS OFF, WE DO ENGAGE PEOPLE UNDER CONTRACT.

MR. SPEAKER: AS THERE ARE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS WE WISH TO THANK MR. ADMINISTRATOR FOR HIS ATTENDANCE. AS THERE ARE NO PRIVATE BILLS AND ORDERS OR PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER I WOULD MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR AND COUNCIL RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MOTION MR. SPEAKER.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE, SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW LEAVE THE CHAIR FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONVENING IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO DISCUSS PUBLIC BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION? AGREED? I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: WILL THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WATSON LAKE PLEASE TAKE THE CHAIR IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER.
AS A RESULT OF A MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED IN THE HOUSE THIS MORNING, I BELIEVE IT IS THE INTENTION OF COMMITTEE TO INVITE TO COMMITTEE THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T. R. BERGER TO ATTEND COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS WITH COMMITTEE, MATTERS RELATED TO THE MCKENZIE VALLEY PIPELINE. I WONDER MADAM CLERK IF YOU COULD EXTEND ON BEHALF OF COMMITTEE THE INVITATION TO MR. BERGER IN THIS MATTER AND WOULD COMMITTEE AGREE THAT ANY TIME SUITABLE TO MR. BERGER WOULD BE AGREEABLE TO COMMITTEE?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MADAM CLERK, THE SAME - -.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A LETTER FROM AND I'M SURE ALL THE REST OF THE MEMBERS HAVE A LETTER FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD WHO IS HOLDING THE HEARING AND IN THE LETTER HE IS REQUESTING INFORMATION FROM US. SO IF WE SO WORD OUR LETTER THAT WE ARE WANTING TO QUESTION HIM, I DON'T THINK THAT THIS WOULD REFLECT THE TRUE NATURE OF THE THING. I THINK WE SHOULD INDICATE THAT THERE COULD BE A MUTUAL DISCUSSION RATHER THAN A QUESTIONING OF HIM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL I DON'T BELIEVE IF I'D MENTIONED THE WORD QUESTION, I'M QUITE SURE

I DIDN'T, BUT SHOULD I HAVE, I SAID DISCUSSIONS RELATED TO, NOT QUESTIONS.
MADAM CLERK WOULD YOU SO LOOK AFTER THAT CHORE AND ALSO AT THE SAME TIME WOULD YOU INVITE THE WITNESSES TO ATTEND FOR DISCUSSIONS ON BILL 3? WE WILL DECLARE A SHORT RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. WE ARE DISCUSSING BILL NO. 3 AND WE HAVE WITH US MR. MILLER AND MR. HUBERDEAU TO ASSIST US IN THESE DELIBERATIONS. WE ARE NOW AT PAGE 73 - EXPENDITURE AND RECOVERY SUMMARY.

THE NEXT ITEM IS UNDER HEALTH, WELFARE AND REHABILITATION. TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL - \$10,000.

MENTAL HEALTH - \$5,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT ARE THE AMOUNTS IN THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S ESTIMATE? THEY ARE NOT SHOWN. WEREN'T THEY SEPARATED?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST A MOMENT I'LL HAVE A LOOK, BUT I DON'T THINK THEY WERE THOUGH. I THINK IT WAS ONE TOTAL.
MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WAS ONE TOTAL. THIS IS UNDER A COMMON GRANT PROGRAM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES - \$25,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH DO WE GET BACK FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE? IF I RECALL WE HAD A SEPARATE ITEM OF RECOVERIES, FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH WHAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS REFERRING TO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, I'LL HAVE TO LOOK IT UP. I KNOW THERE IS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE GET BACK UNDER GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES. IT COMES FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

MR. MILLER: UNDER GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY AMOUNT IS IN THE COMBINED GRANTS WHICH ARE PASSED EACH YEAR AS AN ORDER IN COUNCIL. OTHER THAN THAT THE ONLY COST SHARING WE HAVE WITH NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE ON GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES IS REGARDING CAPITAL FACILITIES AND THAT DEPENDS UPON WHETHER WE BUILD THEM OR THEY BUILD THEM. IF THEY BUILD THEM, WE PAY THEM AND IF WE BUILD THEM, THEY PAY US.

Mr. Chamberlist: This Watson Lake Hospital is being built by the Department, the National Department. What was the breakdown between what they are supplying by way of funds and what we are supplying by way of funds?

Mr. Tanner: \$500,000 and \$236,000 to the best of my knowledge. Excuse me Mr. Chairman, that is this year.

Mr. Chamberlist: Is that the total?

Mr. Tanner: No, Mr. Chairman, that hospital is going to run well over a million dollars and it is going to be built over two years, funded over two years.

Mr. Chairman: I believe it is related to that cost sharing schedule. I think we have a copy of it somewhere.

Mr. Chamberlist: This is one of the areas Mr. Chairman, where there has always been difficulty over the years. Although we have put up a considerable amount of the money, we have no say at all in the operation of that particular department.

Mr. Chairman: The figure of percentage figure if this is the sharing ratio should be 47.7% territorial, 52.3% federal.

Mr. Miller: That is correct Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chamberlist: That is in capital.

Mr. Miller: Its both, capital and O & M.

Mr. Chairman: Just from the chair, from all of the discussions we've had relating to general health services, do I have it correctly that there is going to be this year, a revision of the cost sharing ratio figures.

Mr. Chamberlist: It's supposed to be on this.

Mr. Miller: Well Mr. Chairman, this subject has been discussed to my knowledge for the last 15 years. We are no closer today than we were back in the '61 period when this was originally developed. I think the practical situation is with the advent of the Yukon region coming into its own. Theoretically, the takeover of this area within the next couple of years, it may just be a waste of everybody's time and

effort to try and change the cost sharing.

Mr. Chamberlist: Just be assured that you are knocking your head up against a brick wall when you are dealing with the Federal Department of Health and Welfare because what happens, is that you get a Deputy Minister agreeing, you get the Minister agreeing. It goes back for review and then it goes to the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development to have another review. By the time it comes back to the Health and Welfare Ministers, the whole position is changed. I have gone through that three times. I feel so sorry that we are at a position where we are not going to get anywhere at all with this Health and Welfare Department until such time as the Yukon comes of age and takes over everything itself. It is frustrating.

Mr. Tanner: For once in my life, I have got to agree with the Honourable Member. However, we have taken the initial first step of identifying a Yukon zone. As I have said before in the House, you will be answering directly to Ottawa and it will also identify the costs, so we will be in a better position within a year of assessing what it is going to cost the territory to take it over. As far as the previous remarks about that Federal Department, I've got to agree.

Mr. Chairman: Councillor Stutter.

Mr. Stutter: Mr. Chairman, I notice here in revenue its \$53,000 less than 73-4. Was the 73-4 figure in error in this instance.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, we never know from one year to the next, how much this is going to be because its an order in Council based on some formula. As I recall, the amount that we did receive in 73-74 was \$40,000, not the \$93,000 that we had estimated.

Mr. Chairman: Just again from the chair, there are two things that disturb me from general health services very much. One is the prolonging of the, which is now unrealistic, cost sharing formula. The other is that when we get facilities designed by the Federal Government, we are forced to pay our particular share of those facilities. They do things, like for instance, the doctors' residence in Watson Lake. That costs just about the same price as

AL KULAN'S HOUSE IN ROSS RIVER, ITS A PULL ON TYPE BUILDING, SECTIONAL BUILDING, AND IT COSTS I THINK, OVER \$125,000, THE LAST COUNT I GOT ON IT. ITS JUST AN ATCO BUILDING ON A FOUNDATION. THIS TYPE OF THING IS IMPOSED ON THE TERRITORY BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I THINK IT IS TO OUR ADVANTAGE, NOTWITHSTANDING HOW THE COST SHARING FORMULA WORKS OUT THAT WE PUT ALL THE PRESSURES POSSIBLE ON THE NORTHERN HEALTH TO GIVE US THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR HELP AS EARLY AS POSSIBLE, I WOULD THINK, IN ORDER THAT WE CAN POSSIBLY DESIGN ADEQUATE FACILITIES AT LESSER COST THAN WE'RE BEING IMPOSED UPON.

MR. MELLER: THAT MR. CHAIRMAN, IS OUR OBJECTIVE. HOW SOON WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE THAT OBJECTIVE, WE CAN'T PREDICT AT THIS POINT. BUT THAT IS OUR OBJECTIVE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES? THE NEXT ITEM IS YUKON HOSPITAL INSURANCE SERVICES, \$1,253,000. YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLANS, \$683,910. UNDER WELFARE ADMINISTRATION, \$217,000. CHILD WELFARE SERVICES, \$476,000. SOCIAL ASSISTANCE, \$285,000.

ONCE AGAIN, IS THERE ANYTHING THAT CAN OR WILL BE DONE BY THE ADMINISTRATION TO LOOK INTO THIS QUESTION OF PEOPLE WHO ARE STRANDED ON THE ALASKA HIGHWAY IN THESE LODGES AND FORCE THEMSELVES ON THESE PEOPLE. ANY ASSISTANCE THAT COULD POSSIBLY BE RENDERED TO THESE PEOPLE?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I POINTED OUT THE LAST TIME, IT IS ONE OF THOSE SITUATIONS WHERE THE GOOD WILL AND THE CHARITY OF THE PERSON WHO IS HELPING THESE PEOPLE OUT, IS GENERALLY SPEAKING, ALL THE PERSON WHO IS BEING HELPED HAS GOT TO RELY ON. I THINK IT IS OF PARTICULAR MERIT THAT LODGE-OWNERS ARE DOING THIS. AS I SUGGESTED BEFORE THAT WHEN THERE IS AN EXTREME CASE OR WHEN THERE IS A CASE OF UTTER DESTITUTION, SHALL WE SAY, THAT THEY COULD PHONE THE DEPARTMENT. I THINK THE DEPARTMENT COULD GIVE THEM SOME ASSISTANCE OR GIVE THEM SOME INFORMATION AS TO HOW THEY SHOULD PROCEED. REALLY AND TRULY TO SET UP SOME KIND OF POLICY, I THINK WOULD BE ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I AM THINKING MORE THAT THESE PEOPLE WILL HAVE THE PROBLEM OF BEING TIED UP FOR SECURITY REASONS BECAUSE THEY DON'T DARE TO GO TO SLEEP AT NIGHT WITH THESE PEOPLE

ROAMING AROUND AND HITCH-HIKING INTO THEIR PARTICULAR LODGE AND GET DUMPED OFF AND THIS TYPE OF SITUATION.

MR. TANNER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF IT WAS A SECURITY REASON, OBVIOUSLY IT WOULD BE A CONCERN TO THE R.C.M.P. OR THE LOCAL CONSTABULARY THERE. I WOULD PERSONALLY SAY, MIND YOU I DON'T TRAVEL UP AND DOWN THE HIGHWAYS AS THE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, BUT I HAVEN'T HEARD THAT CRITICISM VERY MUCH AROUND IN THE LAST TWO YEARS THAT WE HAVE HAD A LOT OF THESE PEOPLE TRAVELLING UP AND DOWN. IN FACT, IT IS MY PERSONAL OBSERVATION, AS A RETAILER ON MAIN STREET IN THE PAST OF SEEING A LOT OF THESE PEOPLE COME INTO THE STORE, I'M PERSONALLY VERY IMPRESSED BY THE TYPE AND CALIBER OF PERSON THAT THEY ARE. THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE THE ODD ONE THAT IS GOING TO MAKE IT BAD FOR EVERYONE. GENERALLY SPEAKING I THINK THE TYPE OF PEOPLE THAT ARE TRAVELLING THE HIGHWAY IN THIS DAY AND AGE ARE A REMARKABLE GROUP OF PEOPLE.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, NOT VERY OFTEN THAT I DISAGREE WITH THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE NORTH. IN THIS INSTANCE, I MUST DISAGREE WITH HIM, THAT THIS IS A PROBLEM AND IT BECOMES A GREAT PROBLEM SAY, AT BEAVER CREEK WITH THE CUSTOMS WHEN THEY CROSS AND THEY HAVE TO WAIT TO GET CLEARED OR CUSTOMS IS SENDING THEM BACK INTO ALASKA. THEY ARE SITTING THERE, THEY HAVE VERY MINIMAL FUNDING, REALLY THE LODGES ARE AT THEIR MERCY AND THEY ARE AT THE MERCY OF THE LODGES. AREAS SUCH AS WATSON LAKE, BEAVER CREEK, POSSIBLY DAWSON CITY ARE POSSIBLE AREAS THAT SHOULD BE LOOKED AT.

I HAVE SOME GREAT CONCERN BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF HOSTEL FACILITIES FOR THIS COMING SUMMER IN WHITEHORSE. THIS I THINK, THE PROBLEM WILL REALLY HIT HOME IN WHITEHORSE THIS COMING SUMMER IF YOU DON'T HAVE A HOSTEL. THIS IS THE TYPE OF SITUATION THAT THESE PLACES HAVE. I REALLY THINK THAT IT MERITS A LITTLE CLOSER REVIEW THAN WHAT WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I COULDN'T AGREE WITH THE MEMBER MORE AS FAR AS THE HOSTEL IN WHITEHORSE IS CONCERNED. I'M ASTOUNDED, HAVING COME FROM A COUNTRY WHERE A HOSTEL HAS BEEN IN USE FOR 50 OR 100 YEARS. I AM PERSONALLY ASTOUNDED THAT THERE IS NOTHING LIKE THAT IN CANADA. AS FAR AS THE YUKON IS CONCERNED, I FEEL THAT THE CITY HAS MADE AN UNFORTUNATE DECISION IN THAT IT HASN'T PROVIDED HOSTEL FACILITIES. I THINK THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER

IS RIGHT, WHITEHORSE, IF IT IS GOING TO SUFFER AT ALL FROM THESE PEOPLE, IT IS GOING TO SUFFER THIS YEAR.

AS FAR AS THE SITUATION AT WATSON LAKE AND BEAVER CREEK IS CONCERNED, THERE IS REALLY NOTHING OTHER THAN AS I SUGGESTED ON AN IMPERSONAL BASIS THAT MY DEPARTMENT CAN DO, I THINK BASICALLY IT IS AN R.C.M.P. MATTER RATHER THAN A WELFARE MATTER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WAS THINKING MORE OF THE REMOTER AREAS WHERE THERE IS NO POLICE DETACHMENT. ONE FURTHER QUESTION, ARE THE PEOPLE, THE CUSTOMS PEOPLE AT THE BOUNDARY, IN RESPECT TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE TRAVELLING BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND ALASKA, ARE THEY INSISTING THAT THE PEOPLE AS THEY COME THROUGH, SHOW PROOF OF SOME MATERIAL WEALTH IN ORDER TO CARRY ON THROUGH?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, YES, THEY ASK FOR AT LEAST \$100 OR PROOF OF EMPLOYMENT IN ALASKA. THEY ARE DOING THAT AT BEAVER CREEK FOR SURE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT IS WHEN THEY CROSS THE BORDER INTO CANADA MR. CHAIRMAN. THEY LOSE IT BEFORE THEY EVEN GET TO THE BORDER.

MR. TANNER: EXCUSE ME, MR. CHAIRMAN, PERHAPS I DIDN'T MAKE MYSELF CLEAR. WHEN, PARTICULARLY RESIDENTS OTHER THAN CANADIANS BUT CANADIANS AS WELL, GET TO THE BEAVER CREEK BORDER AND GO INTO THE AMERICAN SIDE, THE AMERICAN CUSTOMS WANT PROOF OF AT LEAST \$100 IN THEIR POCKET IN CASH OR ALTERNATIVELY THEY WANT PROOF OF EMPLOYMENT. THE EMPLOYMENT HAS GOT TO BE IN AN AREA WHERE THEY CAN GET TO IT REASONABLY CHEAPLY. AS A CONSEQUENCE OF THE DECISION, ON THE ALASKA SIDE, SOME OF THESE PEOPLE ARE, AS BOTH THE HONORABLE MEMBERS HAVE POINTED OUT, FLOATING BETWEEN THE TWO CUSTOM HOUSES. SOME OF THEM DO ACTUALLY GET BACK TO THE LODGE, THEREIN LIES THE PROBLEM. PARTLY BECAUSE I CAN'T CRITICIZE WHAT THE ALASKA DECISION IS, BUT THE PEOPLE HAVING GOT TO THE BORDER, AND QUITE FREQUENTLY TO AMERICANS TRYING TO GET INTO ALASKA SURPRISINGLY ENOUGH, THEY ARE REALLY UPSET THAT THEY CAN'T GET BACK INTO THEIR OWN COUNTRY.

THEN THERE MIGHT BE CAUSE, PARTICULARLY AT BEAVER CREEK FOR EXAMPLE, OF SOME BAD BEHAVIOR ON THEIR PART. IT IS AN UNFORTUNATE DECISION WHICH IS NOTHING WITHIN OUR JURISDICTION,

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL IS IT NOT INCUMBENT UPON THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY IN THIS CASE TO ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE STATE OF ALASKA WELFARE PEOPLE AND LET'S SAY GENERALLY AMERICAN GOVERNMENT, TO PUT AN END TO THIS SITUATION.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EXCUSE ME. FIRST OF ALL, AS I UNDERSTAND THE MEMBER'S QUESTION, IS MORE A MATTER OF POLICING AND SECURITY IN THE LODGES AT THOSE TWO POINTS, PARTICULARLY AT THE BEAVER CREEK POINT. THAT AS I SAY IS REALLY AN R.C.M.P. QUESTION. AS FAR AS THEM BEING DESTITUTE AND HAVING NO MONEY, WE DO HAVE FUNDS OBVIOUSLY AVAILABLE IN WHITEHORSE FOR THOSE PEOPLE. WHEN THEY GET THAT FAR, WHAT WOULD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER SUGGEST, THAT THEY HITCH-HIKE BACK TO WHITEHORSE TO GET MORE FUNDS. IT IS REALLY SUCH A DIFFICULT QUESTION, I DON'T THINK THERE IS A CUT AND DRIED ANSWER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHAT ABOUT CHARGING WELFARE AND CHARGING THE UNITED STATES OR THE STATE OF ALASKA AS THE CASE MIGHT BE FOR ANY CHARGES TO RETURNING THESE PEOPLE FROM WHENCE THEY CAME FROM.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE CAN SEND ANYBODY A BILL BUT THEY DON'T HAVE TO PAY IT.

MR. TANNER: WE WOULD LOVE TO DO THAT, MR. CHAIRMAN, IN FACT THERE HAVE BEEN CASES WHERE THE B.C. DEPARTMENT OF WELFARE HAS BEEN CHARGED FOR PEOPLE OF B.C. WE SEND THEM A BILL BUT THAT IS AS FAR AS IT GOES.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO INDICATE HOW CO-OPERATIVE ALASKA IS. THEY HAUL THEM BACK TO THE CANADIAN BORDER. THEY DRIVE THEM BACK THOSE TWENTY MILES AND DUMP THEM AT THE CANADIAN BORDER TO MAKE SURE THEY GET THERE. THAT IS WHERE THE PROBLEM IS, THEN THEY ARE THERE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THEN SIMPLY THE PROBLEM MUST SURROUND NEGOTIATIONS. I THINK IT IS INCUMBENT UPON THE GOVERNMENT TO ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS TO SEE WHAT CAN BE DONE TO RESOLVE THE PROBLEM.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THE MEMBER AS FAR AS NEGOTIATIONS ARE CONCERNED. PERHAPS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEND A LETTER TO ALASKA OR TALK TO ALASKA ON THAT PART. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE WILL KNOW BETTER THAN I WILL. I SUSPECT THAT THERE ARE ISOLATED AND INDIVIDUAL CASES BUT I DON'T THINK

ITS A GREAT ONSLAUGHT OF PEOPLE. I THINK THERE ARE A FEW PEOPLE, BUT I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY GREAT NUMBER OF THEM.

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN AT BEAVER CREEK, THERE ARE DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS, QUITE A NUMBER OF THEM. THEY ARE THERE AND BROKER. OFTEN IF ITS RAINING OR ITS VERY COLD, THAT HAPPENS, THE LODGES DO FEED THEM. THEY PUT THEM UP AND LET THEM WORK FOR THEIR MEALS AND THEY HAVE NO PLACE TO SLEEP. THEY ARE SLEEPING IN PEOPLES' GARAGES AND THIS TYPE OF THING.

NOW THAT THE R.C.M.P. ARE THERE AT BEAVER CREEK, IT MAY HELP ON A TRIAL BASIS, FOR 12 MONTHS, IT MAY HELP. IT IS REALLY QUITE A PROBLEM FOR THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND THE PEOPLE AT BEAVER CREEK. I THINK THAT MAYBE IT SHOULD BE LOOKED INTO JUST A LITTLE MORE THOROUGHLY. MAYBE THERE IS SOME WAY THAT IT COULD BE RESOLVED WITH SOME OF THE LOCAL BUSINESS PEOPLE.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I'LL GIVE AN UNDERTAKING TO THE HOUSE TO LOOK INTO THE SITUATION TO SEE WHAT WE CAN FIND OUT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING FURTHER ON SOCIAL ASSISTANCE? NEXT ITEM IS CATEGORICAL ALLOWANCES, \$6,000. SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES, \$16,000. CHILDREN'S GROUP HOMES, \$19,000.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT IS THIS RECOVERY? WHERE DOES THIS COME IN?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THIS IS FROM CANADA ASSISTANCE PLAN.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: IN WHAT AREA FROM CANADA ASSISTANCE PLAN? FOR WHAT PURPOSE, CHILDREN'S GROUP HOMES?

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN THIS IS OUR COST OF RUNNING THE CHILDRENS' GROUP HOMES WHICH HOLD THE WELFARE RECIPIENTS OR THE CHILDREN UNDER CARE. THAT IS CLAIMABLE UNDER CANADA ASSISTANCE PLAN.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: SINCE WHEN HAS THIS COME INTO FORCE? I DON'T RECALL, AS A MATTER OF FACT, WE DO NOT SHOW AN ITEM OF RECOVERY IN THE 1973-74 ESTIMATES.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WAS IN FACT RECOVERED IN 1973-74 AND HAS RECOVERED EVERY

YEAR BUT I THINK WHAT HAS HAPPENED, IT HAS BEEN LUMPED INTO THE CHILD WELFARE SERVICES RATHER THAN AS A SEPARATE ITEM.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: HOME AND INSTITUTIONS, IT WAS LISTED UNDER LAST YEAR. THE NEXT ITEM THEN IS LODGES AND SENIOR CITIZEN'S HOMES AND NOTHING IN ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, EXCUSE ME, THAT HAS BEEN MOVED INTO HEALTH AND THAT IS WHERE THE RECOVERY IS. IT WAS MOVED INTO HEALTH I THINK DURING THE HONOURABLE MEMBER'S TENURE IN OFFICE. IT WAS MOVED THERE FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE AS I RECALL, TO GET A RECOVERY. THAT IS WHERE IT APPEARS ABOVE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT, NOW WHERE DOES THE RECOVERY SHOW. GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES ONLY SHOW THE \$25,000 THAT IS THE ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE. IT MEANS THAT THERE IS ONLY \$15,000 UP AGAINST \$93,000 FOR THE YEAR BEFORE. CAN WE GET AN EXPLANATION OF THIS. THIS UPSETS THAT.

Mr. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT DETAILS, BUT I THINK THAT WHAT YOU'VE GOT ON THIS TOTAL PAGE IS A DIFFERENT BREAKDOWN IN SOME RESPECTS. THERE IS NOT SO MUCH GROUPING, THERE IS MORE DETAIL AND I CAN ASSURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT WE ARE GETTING THE MONEY BACK AND IT WAS A GOOD DECISION THAT HE MADE TO PUT IT INTO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. AS TO WHY THE DIFFERENCE IN THE DOLLARS AND CENTS FROM LAST YEAR IN THE HEALTH, PERHAPS THE TREASURER CAN EXPLAIN. GENERALLY SPEAKING THAT WHOLE PAGE IS A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT BREAKDOWN FROM LAST YEAR AND IS THE BASIC REASON WHY IT APPEARS THAT IN SOME AREAS WE ARE NOT GETTING AS MUCH.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE THE FIGURES BETWEEN THE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES FOR \$25,000 AND THE ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE FIGURE IS NOT SHOWN.

Mr. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DO NOT HAVE THE EXPLANATION WITH ME. I WILL HAVE TO GET IT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: PLEASE DO. ANOTHER POINT. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE WAY THESE RECOVERIES ARE SET UP, SAY, UNDER THE YUKON HOSPITAL INSURANCE SERVICES, THAT HAS BEEN SET UP IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY AS LAST YEAR. YET THE HEALTH CARE INSURANCE SERVICES, WE SHOW THE RECOVERY OF THE PREMIUMS INCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT

OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE FOR A TOTAL OF \$1,402,000 AND THIS YEAR WE ARE JUST SHOWING THE \$683,000 WHICH ARE, I TAKE IT, PREMIUMS ONLY.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE \$683,910 IS THE RECOVERY FROM NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE. THE PREMIUMS FOR THE YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PLAN ARE SHOWN UNDER REVENUE ON PAGE 71.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO NOW, ONE IS REFERRED TO AS AN OPERATING REVENUE AND NOT A RECOVERY

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WHY WOULD THERE BE A CHANGE? THEY'RE A RECOVERY, SURELY.

MR. MILLER: NO MR. CHAIRMAN, UNDER THE DEFINITIONS OF REVENUES AND RECOVERIES THAT WE HAVE ADOPTED, BASICALLY THE CANADA GOVERNMENT SYSTEM, THIS IS THE WAY THEY SHOULD BE SET UP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO YOU ARE SAYING THEN IN THE LAST TWO OR THREE YEARS WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN DOING IS WRONG. AND NOW YOU ARE CORRECTING IT.

MR. MILLER: WHAT I AM SAYING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS IN THE 73/74 ESTIMATES THERE WAS AN ERROR, YES. IT HAS SINCE BEEN CORRECTED, I MIGHT POINT OUT THAT THAT WAS AN ERROR THE AUDITOR GENERAL ASKED US TO CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HE DIDN'T ASK US TO CORRECT IT THE YEAR BEFORE THOUGH.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, PREMIUMS HAVE ALWAYS BEEN SHOWN AS REVENUE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE IT THEN THE ACTUAL ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE SHOULD BE \$25,000 IN THIS YEAR NOTWITHSTANDING WHERE YOU PUT IT.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE SHOULD BE A RECOVERY FOR THE ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM, WHERE IT HAS BEEN INCLUDED I DON'T KNOW, I WILL HAVE TO TRY AND FIND OUT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT THE POINT THAT I AM ASKING, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS TO GET AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THE ESTIMATES FOR RECOVERY IS \$93,000. THIS IS BY WAY OF GRANTS AND IF WE LEAVE OUT THE \$25,000 WHICH IS IN THE GENERAL HEALTH SERVICES, WHICH OFFSETS THE ALCOHOLISM AND DRUG ABUSE IN THE WELFARE DEPARTMENT, WE ONLY SHOW \$15,000 THIS YEAR IN RECOVERIES. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN \$25,000 AND THE \$93,000,

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL GET THAT EXPLANATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: CLEAR? NEXT IS LOCAL GOVERNMENT, EMERGENCY ORGANIZATION, \$6,000.

MUNICIPAL TAX ASSESSMENT, \$20,000.

PROTECTION SERVICES, \$4,000.

INSECT CONTROL, \$40,000.

SALE OF LOTS, RIVERDALE, NOT INDICATED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ARE WE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY RECOVERIES FROM SALE OF LOTS AT RIVERDALE WHEN I UNDERSTAND THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE SOME 90 LOTS AVAILABLE IN RIVERDALE FOR SALE?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS REFLECTS AGAIN, A CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES BROUGHT ABOUT BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL'S STAFF AND WE ARE SHOWING SALE OF LOTS FROM ALL SUB-DIVISIONS. PREVIOUSLY WE HAD SALE OF LOTS IN RIVERDALE IN THIS AREA. THE OTHER SALES OF LOTS WERE IN OTHER RECOVERY AREAS. NOW WE ARE HANDLING ALL SALE OF LOTS AS LOAN AMORTIZATION RECOVERIES BECAUSE WE ARE BORROWING THE MONEY AS THIRD PARTY LOANS TO DEVELOP THE LOTS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM IS ZONE APPEAL BOARD, \$2,000. DUST CONTROL, \$5,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHERE IS THAT RECOVERY FROM?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS WOULD BE A RECOVERY FROM LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS AND MUNICIPALITIES WHERE WE DO DUST CONTROL AND EVERYTHING.

MR. CHAIRMAN: AMBULANCE FEES, \$12,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: HOW MUCH WAS RECOVERED IN AMBULANCE FEES IN THE YEAR 73/74?

MR. MILLER: JUST GIVE ME A MOMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL GET THAT FIGURE. MR. CHAIRMAN, RECOVERY FOR THE YEAR WAS \$16,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THEN IT IS EXPECTED THERE WILL BE LESS RECOVERED THIS YEAR?

MR. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE WITH THIS PARTICULAR ITEM IS WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH HISTORY TO KNOW EXACTLY WHAT TO EXPECT FROM YEAR TO YEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS UNDER TOURISM, CONSERVATION AND INFORMATION. OVERDUE BOOK FEES \$1,000 AND ARCHIVES PHOTO SERVICES \$2,000.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAY I ASK IF THERE IS ANY RECOVERY AT ALL FROM THE, OR MAYBE I SHOULD ASK A DIFFERENT QUESTION, IS THIS THE SAME PHOTO SERVICES THAT WE HAVE APPROVED FOR \$2,000 FOR LENSES AND THINGS UNDER TOURISM?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE \$2,000 WAS FOR THE PURCHASE OF PHOTO EQUIPMENT UNDER TOURISM. THIS WAS FOR OUR PHOTOGRAPHER. THIS ARCHIVES PHOTO SERVICES WHERE WE SELL TO PEOPLE GOING INTO THE ARCHIVES, COPIES OF PHOTOS.

MR. STUTTER: BUT IS THERE NOT A RECOVERY THEN UNDER TOURISM, UNDER THE PHOTOGRAPHY PART OF TOURISM?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE DON'T SELL PICTURES FROM THE TOURISM SIDE. IT'S PROMOTIONAL MATERIAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NEXT ITEM UNDER HIGHWAYS, PUBLIC WORKS. RENTAL OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY, NIL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT RENTAL OF GOVERNMENT PROPERTY ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT RENTAL OF SCHOOL SPACE AND THINGS LIKE THAT?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS WAS, I DON'T REMEMBER WHICH BUILDING IT WAS, BUT IT WAS A GOVERNMENT BUILDING THAT CAME UNDER THE PURVIEW OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS, WHICH NOW COMES UNDER ACCOMMODATION SERVICES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ENGINEERING SERVICES, ADMINISTRATION, \$212,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN WE FIND OUT WHY THIS HAS DOUBLED ALMOST?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. UNDER THE ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT WE USED TO RECEIVE A FLAT \$110,000 PER ANNUM FOR ADMINISTERING ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT THE HIGHWAYS, THE CAPITAL PROJECTS, THIS TYPE OF THING. WITH THE ADVENT OF THE ALASKA HIGHWAY WE GOT AN AGREEMENT THAT EFFECTIVE 74/75 THE ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT WOULD PAY A PERCENTAGE, CALCULATED PERCENTAGE, OF THE ACTUAL COSTS. THE ADMINISTRATION PERCENTAGE IS 6.25% OF THE ACTUAL COST OF OUR SPENDING UNDER THE ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE NEXT ITEM IS ALASKA HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, \$335,000.
NEXT ITEM IS DAWSON CITY AIRPORT, \$8,500.

MAYO AIRPORT, \$11,500.
THIRD PARTY SERVICES, \$124,000.
100% RECOVERY, ALASKA HIGHWAY AGREEMENT \$5,152,000,
85% RECOVERY OF EXPENDITURE UNDER FEDERAL/TERRITORIAL ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT, \$3,202,000.
100% RECOVERY OF EXPENDITURE UNDER FEDERAL/TERRITORIAL ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT, \$58,000,
YUKON HOUSING CORPORATION, RENTAL/PURCHASE HOUSING SUBSIDY, OF \$111,000,
WHICH GIVES US A TOTAL RECOVERY FIGURE OF \$14,388,500.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YOU KNOW, AN INTERESTING QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK AT THIS STAGE. IN THE YEAR 73/74 THE ESTIMATED TOTAL RECOVERIES IS SHOWN AS \$14,190,000. YET, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE SHEET THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO US TODAY, WE FIND THAT THE ESTIMATE WAS \$13,300,703. NOW WHY HAVE WE GOT THE TWO DIFFERENT FIGURES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THIS REFLECTS THE TRANSFER OF YHCIP PREMIUMS FROM RECOVERIES TO REVENUE. YOU WOULD HAVE A CONVERSE SITUATION IF YOU COMPARED THE REVENUE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PERHAPS MY QUESTION WASN'T CLEAR ENOUGH. IN THE BUDGET THAT WAS GIVEN TO US IN 1973/74 IT SHOWED THAT THE ESTIMATES FOR \$14,190,000.

IN THE BUDGET THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US TODAY, IT SHOWS FOR 1974/75, RECOVERIES \$14,388,500 AND THE ESTIMATES OR RECOVERIES FOR 73/74 IS \$13,300,703. I'M AWARE OF THE EXPLANATION THAT I HAVE RECEIVED, HOW THE RECOVERIES ARE NOW BEING ADJUSTED. ONE IS BY LOAN AMORTIZATION, ALRIGHT. BUT HERE WE HAVE TWO DIFFERENT FIGURES GIVEN US FOR THE 1973/74 ESTIMATES.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MY POINT WAS THE YUKON HEALTH CARE INSURANCE PREMIUMS HAVE BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM RECOVERIES TO REVENUE. NOW IF YOU TAKE THE ESTIMATED PREMIUMS THAT WERE IN THE ESTIMATES, THEY WERE \$880,470 AND IF YOU ADD THAT TO THE \$13,300,000 YOU GET \$14,190,173 WHICH I BELIEVE IS THE FIGURE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER QUOTED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: \$190,000 DID YOU SAY?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S RIGHT, THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE AGAIN, THEN YOU ARE \$90,000, THEN YOU ARE \$82,000 OUT BECAUSE THE FIGURE, I BEG YOUR PARDON, THAT'S RIGHT. YOU'VE

GOT \$14,100,000 BUT THE POINT THAT I'M MAKING IS THAT THE RECOVERY ALTERATION IS BEING DONE FOR THIS COMING FISCAL YEAR. IS THIS NOT CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHAT WE WERE ATTEMPTING TO DO WAS TO SHOW COMPARATIVE FIGURES. NOW WE COULD HAVE LEFT UNDER THE 73/74 ESTIMATE COLUMN HERE, WE COULD HAVE SHOWN THE YHCIP PREMIUMS AND NOTHING FOR 74/75 IN THE RECOVERY SECTION AND THE SAME SITUATION ON THE REVENUE SIDE. IN OTHER WORDS, THERE IS SOMETHING FOR YHCIP PREMIUMS UNDER 74/75 BUT NOTHING UNDER 73/74.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, THIS IS BOOKKEEPING JUGGLING. NOW, MY QUESTION IS QUITE CLEAR. YOU'VE GOT IT RIGHT HERE. HERE WE HAVE TWO SPECIFIC FIGURES GIVEN FOR 1973/74 ESTIMATES. NOW, I UNDERSTAND WHAT HAS BEEN SAID THAT FOR THIS COMING YEAR THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE UPON THE ADVICE AND INSTRUCTIONS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF CANADA. I UNDERSTAND THAT. WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS WHY YOU SHOULD BRING FORWARD A DIFFERENT FIGURE IN YOUR ESTIMATES FOR 73/74 THAN WAS GIVEN TO US IN 73/74. NOW, YOU COULD HAVE JUST AS WELL HAD THE SAME FIGURES SO WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO RAISE THE QUESTION OF WHY YOU ARE DOING IT. IT'S A CASE OF BOOK JUGGLING. IT DOESN'T SEEM TO MATTER AS LONG AS THE OPPORTUNITY THAT NOBODY IS GOING TO SEE IT, BUT IT'S WRONG AND YOU SHOULDN'T DO IT. THE GOVERNMENT HAD NO RIGHT TO TRY AND FOOL COUNCIL AND THIS IS WHY I AM SAYING THIS. I CAN SEE WHAT'S BEING DONE.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS NO INTENTION TO FOOL COUNCIL. THE PREMIUMS FOR YHCIP ARE IN OUR ACTUAL REVENUE FOR 1972/73. THEY WILL BE IN REVENUE FOR 73/74. NOT IN RECOVERIES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE ARE AWARE OF THAT. BUT THE POINT THAT I MAKE AND I REPEAT AGAIN IS WHY GIVE US TWO DIFFERENT SETS OF FIGURES.

MR. TANNER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE TREASURER WHEN HE SETS UP THE BUDGET, HE COULDN'T HAVE BEEN RIGHT EITHER WAY. WHICHEVER WAY, HE HAD TO MAKE A DECISION TO GO ON THE ONGOING SYSTEM OR THE PAST SYSTEM. HE COULDN'T WIN THAT ONE. WHICHEVER WAY HE PROCEEDED I WOULD IMAGINE THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WOULD HAVE CRITICIZED HIM.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT MR. CHAIRMAN DO NOW READ THE PREAMBLE AND THE TITLE TO THE BILL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH, WE STILL HAVE ANSWERS TO COME BACK YET. DON'T HURRY, DON'T RUSH.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE SOME ANSWERS TO COME BACK.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ANSWERS WILL BE BROUGHT FORWARD TO THE COMMITTEE. THIS HAPPENED LAST YEAR, THIS HAPPENED BEFORE. THERE IS NO REASON WHY THESE ANSWERS CAN'T BE BROUGHT FORWARD AND THE BILL MOVED OUT OF COMMITTEE SO THAT WE CAN GET ON WITH THE REST OF THE WORK IN THE HOUSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, COUNCILLOR WATSON HAS GOT NO RIGHT TO TELL THE WITNESS WHAT TO DO AND WHEN TO GIVE THE ANSWERS. SHE IS GOING BEYOND HER CAPACITY.

NOW, WHAT HAS TO BE DONE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, A POINT OF PRIVILEGE, MR. CHAIRMAN, A POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. WHAT IS THE POINT OF PRIVILEGE.

MRS. WATSON: I DID NOT TELL THE WITNESS WHAT HAS TO BE DONE. I MADE THE SUGGESTION TO THE HOUSE AND TO THE CHAIRMAN. TO THE COMMITTEE AND TO THE CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE WITNESS HAS ALREADY INDICATED THAT HE HAS THE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS. LET'S HEAR THEM.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE SOME ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS RAISED YESTERDAY. TO THE QUESTION RAISED REGARDING ESTABLISHMENT 605 ON PAGE 36 OF THE ESTIMATES, THE QUESTION WAS A BREAKDOWN OF THE SALARIES AND WAGES AND FRINGE BENEFITS. THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE INCLUDED IN ESTABLISHMENT 605, TWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADVISORS, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$31,100. WE HAVE A UTILITIES SUPERINTENDENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$14,200.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN WE HAVE THAT AGAIN? TWO?

MR. MILLER: TWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADVISORS AT \$31,100. A UTILITIES SUPERINTENDENT, \$14,200. WE HAVE THE COST OF THE FIRE CHIEFS IN THE UNORGANIZED AREAS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$12,254 AND WE HAVE THE COSTS OF PROVIDING ROAD MAINTENANCE AND WORK DONE BY THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT IN THE UNORGANIZED AREAS IN THE AMOUNT \$10,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT WILL WE HAVE HERE, AS INDICATED, AS THREE MAN YEARS.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. I AGREE AND

THIS IS ONE OF THE AREAS THAT CERTAINLY GIVES US SOME CONCERN IN TRYING TO ESTIMATE MAN YEARS FOR THE FIRE CHIEFS. THEY ARE PAID A RETAINER, I THINK OF, \$50.00 A MONTH AND THEY WORK AS OF WHEN THEY ARE REQUIRED. THESE ARE AREAS WHERE WE DO HAVE CONSIDERABLE PROBLEMS IN DEALING WITH THIS TYPE OF THINK IN ESTIMATING MAN YEARS.

NOW, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I HAVE SUGGESTED WE DO FOR NEXT YEAR IS INDICATE UNDER THE MAN YEAR COLUMN THAT WE DO HAVE ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS BEING PERFORMED IN THIS AREA. WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ESTIMATE WITHIN A DEGREE OF ACCURACY THE AMOUNT OF MAN YEARS INVOLVED BUT AT LEAST WE WILL BRING FORWARD TO COUNCIL THE FACT THAT THERE ARE THOSE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THIS OPERATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST ONE THING. WHILE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THESE FIRE CHIEFS, I FIND IT INCREDIBLE THAT THEY ARE STILL GETTING \$50, A MONTH. I THOUGHT THIS HAD BEEN INCREASED A LONG TIME AGO.

MR. MILLER: I'M SORRY, MR. CHAIRMAN, I UNDERSTAND IT'S NOW \$100. I'M NOT THAT FAMILIAR WITH THE FIGURES, I REMEMBER THE \$50 FIGURE FROM SOMEWHERE SOME TIME AGO.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL IF IT IS NOT \$100 COULD WE HAVE SOME ASSURANCE THAT IT WILL BE AT LEAST \$100 FOR FIRE CHIEFS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS \$100.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PERHAPS I NEED A LITTLE MORE ADVICE ON THIS, MR. CHAIRMAN. IF THERE ARE TWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT ADVISORS AT \$31,000 AND ONE UTILITIES SUPERINTENDENT AT \$14,000, THAT'S \$45,000. NOW, WHERE DOES THAT INCREASE COME IN FROM \$36,230 WHEN I TAKE IT THAT THE ONLY ADDITION TO WHAT EXISTED LAST YEAR WAS A UTILITIES SYSTEM SUPERINTENDENT? AM I NOT CORRECT IN ASSUMING THAT?

MR. MILLER: THAT'S CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN. IN TERMS OF OVERALL MAN YEARS, THERE IS ONLY THE ONE ADDITION. NOW, THE OTHER THING THAT I FOUND WAS THAT LAST YEAR'S ESTIMATES WERE NOT CORRECT AND MEMBERS WILL RECALL WE DID VOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARY FOR THIS. BY THIS ESTABLISHMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, MY SUCCESSOR WILL HAVE TO WATCH IT FOR THE NEXT YEAR.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE NEXT QUESTION THAT WAS RAISED RELATED TO ESTABLISHMENT 701 ON PAGE 40. IT'S A SIMILAR TYPE OF QUESTION. THE BREAKDOWN OF THE SALARIES AND WAGES AND THE FRINGE BENEFITS AND THE RELATIONSHIP TO THE MAN YEARS. AGAIN I MUST POINT OUT THAT IT IS A VERY DIFFICULT AREA TO ESTIMATE MAN YEARS AND DOLLARS, MAINLY BECAUSE ALL MEMBERS KNOW A COMBINATION OF CASUAL EMPLOYEES WHERE WE CAN GET THEM OR CONTRACTORS WHERE WE CAN'T. THE MAN YEAR FIGURES THAT WE HAVE QUOTED ARE THOSE THAT WE FEEL WE CAN LIVE WITH AND THE DOLLARS WOULD RELATE THERE TOO. IN LAST YEAR, AGAIN I WOULD POINT OUT THAT WE HAD TO VOTE A SUPPLEMENTARY TO COVER THIS AREA BECAUSE OF THE EXTENSION OF THE LITTER BARREL PROGRAM AND THE EXTENSION OF THE CAMPGROUND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM THROUGH SOME OF THE SHORTER MONTHS. BUT AGAIN THIS IS A VERY DIFFICULT AREA TO ESTIMATE MAN YEARS WITH ANY PRECISION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO, YOU KNOW, IN THE NEXT SESSION OF COUNCIL NEXT YEAR TO SAY TO MR. MILLER, YOU KNOW YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOU SAID LAST YEAR, BUT I KNOW THAT I'M NOT GOING TO BE HERE AND I FEEL FAIRLY KNOWLEDGEABLE THAT MR. MILLER WILL BE BACK WITH BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY BY THAT TIME. SO IT LOOKS LIKE BOTH OF US ARE NOT GOING TO BE HERE, BUT I HOPE SOMEBODY WILL BE WATCHING THESE PARTICULAR ITEMS.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD HOPE I WON'T BE WITH BELL TELEPHONE BECAUSE I'VE NEVER BEEN WITH THEM IN MY LIFE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH, WELL WITH SOMEBODY ELSE YOU'VE BEEN WITH.

MR. MILLER: THERE ARE SOME ITEMS IN THE GAME AND IN THE LEGAL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE LAST QUESTION RELATED TO WORKING CAPITAL AND ONE OF THE MEMBERS ASKED THAT WE BRING FORWARD A WORKING CAPITAL STATEMENT. I HAVE JUST PASSED IT OUT. AND I WILL REVIEW IT JUST BRIEFLY. OUR ACTUAL WORKING CAPITAL AS OF MARCH 31st, 1973 WAS \$4,379,946. OUR 1973-74 ESTIMATED EXPENDITURE, AND THESE ARE NOT FINAL EXPENDITURES THEY ARE STILL ESTIMATED, ARE \$33,000,708 AND OUR REVENUES AND RECOVERIES AND AGAIN ARE NOT FINAL FIGURES,

ARE \$34,079,000 FOR A NET INCREASE IN WORKING CAPITAL THIS YEAR OF \$371,000.

WE ANTICIPATE OUR WORKING CAPITAL BALANCE AT MARCH 31ST, 1974 TO BE 4.7 MILLION.

THE MAIN ESTIMATES AS PRESENTED TO YOU ARE EXPENDITURES OF \$36,049,000.

REVENUES AND RECOVERIES OF \$24,485,000 AND AN OPERATING GRANT AND THIS IS BOTH THE DEFICIT GRANT AND THE GRANT IN LIEU OF TAXES - \$10,633,000 FOR A NET OPERATING DEFICIT FOR 74-75 FISCAL YEAR OF \$936,000 LEAVING OUR WORKING CAPITAL AS OF MARCH 31ST, 1975 AT \$3,814,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, BASED ON THESE FIGURES, THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF INTEREST THAT HAS BEEN INDICATED WHICH IS ABOUT \$313,000 IS A LOWER INTEREST THAN THE GOVERNMENT RECEIVES HAVING THAT TYPE OF WORKING CAPITAL IN THEIR AVERAGE FUNDING DURING EACH MONTH. ISN'T THIS RIGHT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST FOR THE MEMBERS FURTHER INFORMATION, OUT OF THIS 4.7 MILLION DOLLARS WHICH I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS REFERRING TO, WE HAVE REVOLVING FUNDS WHICH WE HAVE TO FUND AND THE REVOLVING FUNDS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

GARAGE PARTS AND MATERIALS	- \$1,000,000
CENTRAL PURCHASING	- \$500,000
DUST CONTROL	- \$1,200,000
LIQUOR CONTROL	- \$350,000

FOR A TOTAL OF \$3,000,000 WORTH OF REVOLVING FUNDS. NOW GRANTED ALL OF THOSE ARE NOT AT THEIR MAXIMUM ALL OF THE TIME.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN YOU HAVE A REVOLVING FUND, IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THIS IS JUST MONEY GOING OUT BECAUSE THERE IS MONEY COMING IN AS WELL. SO WHATEVER THE AMOUNT OF MONEY IS GOING OUT, THERE IS STILL AN EQUAL AMOUNT OF MONEY COMING IN IN A SHORT TIME SO THAT THE REVOLVING FUND IS INCLUDED IN THE ESTIMATED WORKING CAPITAL AT ANY GIVEN TIME. IT'S THE MONEY THAT'S GOING IN AS WELL. ISN'T THAT THE WAY THAT ONE OPERATES? ISN'T IT?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, A REVOLVING FUND IS EFFECTIVELY AN INVENTORY AND REALLY WHAT I'M SUGGESTING, I WASN'T SUGGESTING THAT THE \$3,000,000 WAS REQUIRED ALL THE TIME. WHAT I WAS SUGGESTING THAT THERE ARE PARTS OF THAT \$3,000,000 THAT ARE TIED UP IN INVENTORY AT ANY POINT IN TIME. FOR EXAMPLE IN THIS DUST CONTROL PROGRAM, WE HAVE ORDERED SOMETHING LIKE TEN THOUSAND TONS OF CALCIUM CHLORIDE WHICH WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR. NOW

CERTAINLY AS WE APPLY IT TO THE ROADS, IT WILL BE CLEARED OUT OF THE REVOLVING FUND AND WILL BE CHARGED TO THE ROAD MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT. NOW WHEN THAT HAPPENS, EVERYTHING IS CLEAR. THE POINT IS, FOR EXAMPLE ON DUST CONTROL, WE HAD TO PLACE OUR ORDERS IN FEBRUARY, DELIVERY STARTED IN MARCH, BY THE END OF MAY, WE WILL HAVE APPROXIMATELY \$7 OR \$800,000 WORTH OF DUST CONTROL SITTING THERE WHICH WE WON'T APPLY UNTIL JUNE OR JULY. SO THERE ARE PERIODS WHEN WE NEED CASH, THAT KIND OF CASH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT KIND OF CASH IS REPLACED AGAIN BY THE REVOLVING FUND BECAUSE MONEY GOES BACK AGAIN INTO THE WORKING CAPITAL. IT'S ONLY OUT FOR A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME WHILE YOU ARE PAYING FOR THE GOODS THAT YOU ARE HOLDING IN INVENTORY. ISN'T THIS CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT FOR EXAMPLE AGAIN USING THE DUST CONTROL AS AN EXAMPLE, WE WILL HAVE TIED UP MONEY THROUGH MARCH, APRIL, MAY, JUNE AND JULY IN BUYING CALCIUM CHLORIDE. THE RECOVERIES WON'T COME UNTIL JULY, AUGUST, SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER AND POSSIBLY DECEMBER, DEPENDING UPON HOW QUICKLY OUR BILLS GET IN. SO WHAT I'M SAYING, PART OF THAT, NOT ALL OF IT, BUT PART OF THAT MONEY IS REQUIRED. NOW IF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER IS SUGGESTING THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE 4 MILLION DOLLARS OR 5 MILLION DOLLARS IN CASH IN SHORT TERMS INVESTMENTS, WE ADMITTED YESTERDAY THAT WE WERE GOING TO HAVE APPROXIMATELY 4 MILLION DOLLARS IN SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS ALL YEAR, AND WE HAVE REFLECTED THAT IN OUR BUDGET.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I CAN'T SEE - PERHAPS, MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER COULD INDICATE HOW BASED ON \$4,000,000.00 WHICH WILL BE IN A CASH FLOW AT ALL TIMES THROUGH THE YEAR, THERE IS ONLY \$313,000.00 IN INTEREST - -

MR. MILLER: \$330,000.00.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: \$330,000.00 ALRIGHT. HOW THAT IS SHOWN - BECAUSE IT HAS ALREADY BEEN INDICATED THAT IN ONE SPECIFIC MONTH LET'S SAY FOR THIS MONTH JUST GONE, WE ARE GETTING \$46,000.00 IN INTEREST. IF WE TOOK THAT AS AN AVERAGE AMOUNT AS I INDICATED YESTERDAY, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A POSSIBILITY OF \$550,000.00 IN INTEREST. THIS HAS BEEN AGREED TO BY MR. MILLER, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE \$4,000,000.00 THAT WE ANTICIPATE HAVING THROUGHOUT THE

YEAR, WE ARE ANTICIPATING THIS. WE HAVE CALCULATED THIS ON THE BASIS OF RECEIVING INTEREST IN THE AMOUNT OF 8 TO 8 1/2% THROUGH THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS KIND ENOUGH TO TELL US YESTERDAY THAT THIRTY-DAY MONEY TODAY IS WORTH 9 3/4%.

Mr. Chamberlist: THREE MONTHS, NINETY DAYS.

Mr. Miller: My information, Mr. Chairman, is that thirty-day money is worth 9 3/4% and ninety-day money is worth 9 1/4%. They do not want long term money. The indications from that are that the interest rates will decline. When they will decline I can't tell you. How far they will decline I can't tell you. The thirty-day money today is worth 9 3/4%. Next week it may be worth 9 1/2, 9 1/4%. I don't know.

Mr. Chamberlist: It may be worth 10 1/2%.

Mr. Miller: It's quite possible. It could be 10 1/2%. To the best of our ability to estimate we are estimating, we are using an average of 8 to 8 1/2% which is a pretty high interest rate because less than one year ago it was down around 7, 7 1/4%.

Mr. Chamberlist: Let's talk about this television program. This T.V. program for the communities. We know that we are going to have more in interest money coming in than what has been estimated. We know this because of what we already know about this month. Why can't that additional interest money be used for that specific purpose that has been suggested by members of this Council?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Chairman, I can't give you any assurance that we would have more than \$330,000.00. The Honourable Member could be quite correct. Maybe we will. I couldn't give you that assurance at this time. Regarding the funding of the television program situation I have already talked to the Member who has proposed that motion. I haven't had time, quite frankly, since I have heard of the motion to try and find a source for funds or try to find a way of doing it. I have given my commitment to the Honourable Member that I will do what I can to try and find out a way and means of providing money or assurance that somehow or other the Government takes some active participation in this program. I need some time to do it. I haven't had that time.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, can I get into this a little bit. The figures that the Honourable Member from Whitehorse East is quoting are the maximum funds available at what has been up to now the maximum interest rate available. I don't think you can equate those over the prospect of the next year. When he talks about \$46,000,00 that we earned in interest in April, you can't possibly say we are going to earn the same amount of interest in May. You can take a rational guess that we probably will if the funds are still at \$6,000,000.00 as they are now. You have no idea of knowing what it's going to be in June and you certainly have no idea what it's going to be in December. I don't think you can take a specific figure and a specific amount of money and a specific interest rate right now and equate anything to it other than a rational guess, an intelligent guess, as to what's going to ensue in the next year. As I said yesterday, and it's exactly the same argument that I make today, if anything I think the Treasurer is pushing his luck a little bit at estimating the next year at 8 1/2%.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, you know normally I would agree with what has been said except the trend for the last couple of years is for a gradual increase in the interest rate. You will find that it is rare indeed now, especially in today's inflationary trend for interest rates to be reduced. Although we know that at a given time we have \$6,000,000.00, at the end of year it's proposed estimate that there was 4 3/4 million dollars it may be at any time during the year - also, it may be again that we may have \$8,000,000.00 or \$9,000,000.00 in the flow for a month. It may be for instance that we may have \$20,000,000.00. It may be that the Federal Government, I don't know to what extent, may say well here is the money to meet your commitments in the construction of the main building that is being built. The money rests with us for a month or so. That gives us another piece of interest. Anything could happen in that particular area. Really what I am trying to ascertain is a question, it may be hypothetical. Should there be an increase in the amount of interest coming in what is going to be done with that interest money? Could it not be used for the purpose of supplying the needs of particular communities so that it can be set aside for that specific purpose

IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY AS THERE IS GOING TO BE AN ATTEMPT MADE TO SET ASIDE TOBACCO TAX FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSE OF KINDERGARTEN. IF YOU CAN SAY YOU CAN SET ASIDE MONEY FOR ONE PARTICULAR PURPOSE, WHY CAN'T INTEREST BE SET ASIDE FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUPPLYING T.V. COMMUNICATION TO THOSE COMMUNITIES THAT REQUIRE IT. IT'S A LOGICAL TYPE OF HYPOTHETICAL QUESTION BECAUSE IT MAY WELL HAPPEN.

Mr. TANNER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE THE TREASURER ANSWERS, I DON'T THINK THOSE TWO THINGS ARE - YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT APPLES AND ORANGES. YOU CAN ESTIMATE WHAT THE TOBACCO SALES ARE GOING TO BE. YOU CAN ESTIMATE YOUR TAX AND YOU HAVE GOT A PRETTY REASONABLE ASSURANCE, I'M SURE IF YOU TAKE A CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE YOU HAVE GOT A PRETTY REASONABLE ASSURANCE OF BEING ABLE TO COLLECT THAT TAX. TO SAY WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO GET ON INTEREST AND TO PREDICT HOW MUCH MONEY YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO INVEST TO GET THAT INTEREST IS IN NO WAY RELATED TO HOW MUCH MONEY YOU CAN ESTIMATE ON TAX. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER KNOWS AS WELL AS I DO THAT THE TWO THINGS ARE NOT COMPARABLE IN ANY WAY AT ALL.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THEY SURE ARE,

Mr. TANNER: IF HE'S TAUGHT ME ANYTHING IN THE 3 1/2 YEARS I HAVE BEEN HERE IS THAT WHEN HE GOES WITH THIS LINE OF THINKING THEN HE'S JUST PLAYING GAMES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HIS PARTICULAR MOTIVE IS RIGHT NOW. IN FACT, I SUSPECT HE WANTS TO PLAY WITH THE CLOCK UNTIL 12 O'CLOCK, BUT OTHERWISE I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE'S GOING ON ABOUT REALLY. HE KNOWS AS WELL AS ANY OTHER MEMBER IN THIS COUNCIL THAT WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT IS NOT REALLY TRUE.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S VERY NICE - THE WORDS THAT COME OUT WITH A LITTLE BIT OF KINDNESS OCCASIONALLY FROM THE HONOURABLE MEMBER. THERE IS A PARALLEL BECAUSE IF YOU CAN SPECIFICALLY SET ASIDE CERTAIN FUNDS FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES IN ONE AREA WHEREVER YOU GET IT FROM, CERTAINLY YOU CAN SAY WELL IF WE ARE DOING IT THAT WAY IN ONE AREA, WE WILL GO ANOTHER WAY IN ANOTHER AREA. I KNOW FROM MY OWN EXPERIENCE THAT WE ARE GOING TO BE FACED WITH HAVING AN INCREASED AMOUNT OF DOLLARS AVAILABLE BY WAY OF INTEREST ON THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS GOING TO BE ON SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO GET FROM THE GOVERNMENT SOME SORT OF COMMITMENT THAT SHOULD THERE BE FUNDS OVER AND ABOVE WHAT HAS BEEN ESTIMATED; FOR INSTANCE IT HAS

BEEN ESTIMATED THAT THERE WILL BE \$330,000.00, WHAT WOULD THE FUNDS BE USED FOR. COULDN'T IT BE USED FOR THE SPECIFIC PURPOSE THAT HAS BEEN INDICATED. CAN'T WE GET AN ANSWER IN THE AFFIRMATIVE THAT THIS COULD BE THE MANNER IN WHICH THESE ADDITIONAL INTEREST FUNDS BE USED?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD CAUTION ALL MEMBERS THAT WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT SPECIFIC FUNDS FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES THAT THIS IS A VERY DANGEROUS PRECEDENT THAT YOU ARE SETTING.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: THE GOVERNMENT SAID THIS.

Mr. MILLER: ONE OF THE BASIC PHILOSOPHIES OF GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING IN CANADA - I EMPHASIZE THIS - IN CANADA, IS THAT REVENUES RAISED BY TAXATION GO INTO A CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND ALL EXPENDITURES COME OUT OF THAT CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND. THERE ARE NO FUNDS SPECIFICALLY ALLOCATED FOR A SPECIFIC PROGRAM. CONVERSELY, AND I WILL GIVE YOU A FINE EXAMPLE OF THIS IN THE STATE OF ALASKA AND UNDER THE AMERICAN SYSTEM, THEY ALLOCATE REVENUES TO SPECIFIC PROGRAM EXPENDITURES. IF THE REVENUES DON'T COME, THEY CAN'T SPEND ANY MONEY WHETHER THEY ARE NEEDED OR NOT. THEY CAN'T SPEND ANY MONEY IF THE REVENUES FALL SHORT OF THE ESTIMATES. THIS IS WHY I FOR ONE AM VERY VERY CAUTIOUS IN THIS AREA. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A CONSOLIDATED REVENUE FUND AND FUNDS FOR THE WHOLE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S USE; NOT FOR SPECIFIC PROGRAMS. NOW ADMITTEDLY THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS. COMMITMENTS CAN BE MADE FOR EXCEPTIONS TO THIS. I WOULD WARN YOU THAT IF YOU GO TO TOO MANY EXCEPTIONS YOU WILL BREAK THE GENERAL RULES OF THE GAME YOU WILL END UP IMPOSING LET'S SAY, FOR AN EXAMPLE, A FUEL OIL TAX FOR HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE. IF THE FUEL OIL TAX DOESN'T COME YOU DON'T MAINTAIN THE HIGHWAY. THAT'S ONE OF THE SERIOUS DANGERS IN SETTING FUNDS ASIDE FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I AM SO PLEASED TO HEAR Mr. MILLER SAY THIS. WHAT HAS HAPPENED NOW, WHAT IS HAPPENING, IS RIGHT WITHIN - AND THIS IS THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE THAT SET THIS UP, RIGHT WITHIN THE COMMISSIONER'S OPENING ADDRESS HE HAS INDICATED THAT THERE WILL BE A RAISING OF A SPECIFIC FUND FOR A SPECIFIC PURPOSE. THAT IS A RAISING OF A TOBACCO TAX TO PAY FOR KINDERGARTENS.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T THINK THAT IS CORRECT. I DON'T WANT TO DEBATE THIS

ISSUE, I THINK THE INTENTION OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OPENING REMARKS WERE THAT THERE WOULD BE A PAPER PRESENTED TO FORM A KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM TO A CERTAIN LEVEL. TO DO THIS THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE ADDITIONAL TAXES RAISED. THE PROPOSAL WAS THAT A TOBACCO TAX BE INTRODUCED TO RAISE PART OR ALL OF THOSE FUNDS REQUIRED; NOT FOR THE SPECIFIC PROGRAM. IT JUST HAPPENS THAT THEY HAVE TO BE INTRODUCED AT THE SAME TIME, A TAX SOURCE.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I just got a laugh out of Councillor Rivett - the first time.

Mr. Tanner: Mr. Chairman, it is very easy to say and the public I'm sure, as the Honourable Member from Dawson pointed out the other day, that that tobacco tax is going to fund kindergartens. In effect the only similarity between the two things is that they are being introduced at the same time. Those funds go into general revenue and they will go to funding the total program, one of which happens to be the kindergarten program. Next year they won't be identified in that way at all. The Honourable Member knows that too.

Mr. Chamberlist: What a switch has taken place now. The discussions all the time have been on the basis that the tobacco tax is being raised for the purpose of kindergarten. Now we are told no, it's not being raised for that. You know, it's not often that the Honourable Member from Mayo says anything or does anything except carry out his duties in the chair but one can read from looking at him occasionally with what disgust and at times with what humour he thinks of things that are being said. I'm sure that he recognized very very clearly that we have just been given another snow job. This is what has happened again. Even the Honourable Member from Dawson City, he knew full well that there was a danger in the proposal that there was going to be a specific tax for kindergarten. This is why he spoke about it. Now, of course, the whole thing is being switched.

Mr. Rivett: Mr. Chairman, I'm glad that somebody can read my mind.

Some Honourable Members: LAUGHTER.

Mr. Chairman: ORDER PLEASE, COUNCILLOR WATSON.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, going back to the fact that the Honourable Member is looking for funding for the television stations in the smaller communities in the Yukon. I have to agree with him and with all the rest of the Honourable Members here. This is a needed program. My constituency is the only constituency where not one community has television services in its community. Mine is the only one. I am very very anxious to see that this service is provided. However, I do not think since television is a responsibility of the Federal Government, I do not think that we should be using territorial funds until we have explored the possibility of getting funding for this program from Indian Affairs & Northern Development. I think strong representation should be made to the Minister to see whether we can get the funding for this program for this year. I am not so sure that the answer from the Minister would be in the negative. I also think that we do have money in our existing budget for studies. I think the administration should undertake to do the outstanding field work as was suggested in the study that was tabled. I think that the administration should also forward this Northern Telecommunications Study to a consulting firm to have it verified as the people who prepared the study wanted, and that very strong representation be made to the Minister. If funds can be made available from Indian Affairs that the territorial government co-ordinate the program to see that it is brought into effect in the year 1974-75. I listen to the Honourable Member talking about the possibility of having more interest in the revenue side. There is that possibility. There is also the possibility that we won't have \$330,000.00 as revenue.

The Honourable Member from Whitehorse East the other day was talking about the cost of fuel and the fuel tax that we now have on fuel in the Yukon Territory. Whitehorse West? Everyone of us here knows that in mid-May, when the new price of fuel comes into effect in the Yukon, that we are going to be faced with a real big problem. Are we going to be able to continue to tax the fuel in the Territory at the level at what we are doing. There are many major areas of concern that affect the people of the Territory and that affect the Councillors here. I think as far as justifying it to all people in the Territory, we should make every effort possible, the strongest representation

POSSIBLE, TO THE MINISTER TO SEE WHETHER HE CAN EITHER INTERCEDE WITH C.B.C. TO GET THE FUNDING OR TO PROVIDE THE FUNDING FROM THEIR DEPARTMENT; THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT CARRY ON THE STUDIES THAT ARE REQUIRED, AND THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT CO-ORDINATE THE INSTALLATION OF THE PROGRAM IN THE YUKON THIS SUMMER.

MR. MCKINNON: ONE QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, DID THE HONOURABLE MEMBER OBJECT TO THE SPENDING OF TERRITORIAL FUNDS IN EXTENDING THE BEAVER CREEK AIRPORT EVEN THOUGH SHE IS COMPLETELY AND FULLY AWARE THAT THAT IS A FEDERAL DEPARTMENT, THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, RESPONSIBILITY FOR BOTH THE EXTENSION AND MAINTENANCE OF AIRPORTS IN THE COUNTRY.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I BELIEVE THIS IS RECOVERABLE FROM M.O.T., IS IT NOT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE EXPENDITURE ON THE BEAVER CREEK AIRPORT FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS WERE RECOVERED FROM M.O.T.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WOULD THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT ACCEPT THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE BEAVER CREEK AIRPORT WHICH IS A FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE PAY THE COST OF THAT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE EXPENDITURES OF UPGRADING THE BEAVER CREEK AIRSTRIP IN THE LAST YEAR WAS BORNE BY M.O.T. ON A RECOVERY - - -

MR. MCKINNON: IS THE BEAVER CREEK AIRPORT A TERRITORIAL AIRPORT OR A DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AIRPORT?

MR. MILLER: IT'S OPERATED BY Y.T.G.

MR. MCKINNON: RIGHT, IT'S A TERRITORIAL AIRPORT BECAUSE THEY WON'T GO INTO THAT AREA.

MR. MILLER: MY POINT, MR. CHAIRMAN, WAS M.O.T. DID IN FACT PAY FOR THE UPGRADING OF THAT AIRSTRIP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT ABOUT THE MAINTENANCE?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I BELIEVE THERE WILL BE SOME AGREEMENT WITH M.O.T. FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE AIRSTRIP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT ABOUT THE MAINTENANCE?

MR. MCKINNON: THE FACT REMAINS, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE ONLY WAY THAT THAT TERRITORY COULD HAVE BEEN UPGRADED, THE ONLY WAY THAT THE OLD CROW AIRPORT WOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT, WAS THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT TAKING THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THOSE AIRPORTS AS TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT AIRPORTS BECAUSE THE CROWN CORPORATION WHICH IS DIRECTLY CHARGED WITH THE BUILDING AND THE MAINTENANCE REFUSED TO ACT IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. THAT IS A FACT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH RESPECT - - -

MR. MCKINNON: IT BLOODY WELL IS.

MR. MILLER: THE MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT IS NOT CHARGED WITH THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF ALL AIRPORTS IN CANADA. THERE ARE AS MANY MUNICIPAL AIRPORTS AS THERE ARE M.O.T. AIRPORTS STILL IN CANADA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE ONES HERE.

MR. MILLER: IN THE YUKON M.O.T. LOOKS AFTER CLASS A AIRPORTS. IF THE TERRITORY WANTS ANYTHING ELSE THEY HAVE TO LOOK AFTER CLASS B AND CLASS C. THEY GET THE FUNDS FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE FACILITIES.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS EXACTLY THE POINT I WAS MAKING. THERE WAS THIS ARRANGEMENT WITH M.O.T. BEFORE THE WORK WAS DONE ON THE BEAVER CREEK AIRSTRIP. IF WE HAVEN'T GONE AHEAD AND DONE THE WORK, WE WOULDN'T HAVE HAD THE EXTENSION TO THE BEAVER CREEK AIRSTRIP WHICH WAS BADLY NEEDED. IF WE CAN GET AN UNDERSTANDING WITH INDIAN AFFAIRS THAT THEY WILL FUND THIS PROGRAM, THEN WE CAN GO AHEAD AND CO-ORDINATE IT. THIS IS EXACTLY THE POINT I AM MAKING. IF WE WAIT FOR C.B.C. WE ARE NOT GOING TO GET IT. WE MUST GET SOME UNDERSTANDING TO USE FEDERAL FUNDS FOR THIS. WE CAN GO AHEAD AND DO IT, BUT LET'S TRY TO GET THE SUPPORT FROM INDIAN AFFAIRS. I DON'T CARE FROM WHAT DEPARTMENT - C.B.C. I WOULD SAY FROM THE MINISTER, TO GO DIRECTLY TO THE MINISTER AND MAKE OUR REPRESENTATION TO THE MINISTER.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FUNDING FOR THOSE AIRPORTS TOOK ABOUT FIFTEEN YEARS OF DISCUSSION IN THIS HOUSE. I WILL BRING THE VOTES & PROCEEDINGS WHERE MR. COMMISSIONER,

THE PRESENT COMMISSIONER, STOOD UP AND SAID WHAT A FARCE IT WAS TO TRY AND DEAL WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT AND M.O.T. BECAUSE HE HAD BEEN TRYING FOR ABOUT THAT NUMBER OF YEARS TO GET THE FUNDING FOR THE AIRPORTS IN THE BEAVER CREEK AND THE OLD CROW AREA,

THERE WAS NOTHING BUT DISSATISFACTION AND NOTHING BUT AN ABSOLUTE BUNCH OF BUREAUCRATIC GARBAGE IN DEALING WITH THEM. THE TERRITORY WAS GOING TO HAVE TO ACT THEMSELVES, AND I WAS MAKING THE SAME POINT AS THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT WE SHOULDN'T GET INVOLVED IN DIFFERENT GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENTS WHO ARE CHARGED WITH RESPONSIBILITY, AND THE COMMISSIONER SAID EXACTLY THE SAME THING THAT I AM SAYING NOW. WHEN YOU HAVE TO WAIT FIFTEEN YEARS TO GET ONE LITTLE PIECE OF ACTION THEN YOU ARE BETTER OFF TO GO AND DO IT YOURSELF, THAT'S WHAT IT TOOK.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST ONE COMMENT FROM THE CHAIR ON THE REMARKS BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE. I DO CONCUR WITH HER THAT WE ARE GOING TO GET NOWHERE WITH CBC. IT IS A FUTILE UTILITY TO EXPECT ANYMORE OF THEM.

HOWEVER IN RELATION TO REPRESENTATIONS TO THE MINISTER. THEY ARE ALREADY ON THEIR WAY AND I SHOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE AN ANSWER SHORTLY IN RESPECT TO THIS.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER AND I MUST CONGRATULATE HIM FOR THE WORK THAT HE HAS DONE IN THIS REGARD. I DO THINK THAT STRONG REPRESENTATION FROM THE ADMINISTRATION AND FROM THIS COUNCIL TO THE MINISTER, AS STRONG AS WE POSSIBLY CAN, SHOULD BE MADE.

I DO THINK THE ADMINISTRATION SHOULD UNDERTAKE TO HAVE THE CONSULTANT FIRM REVIEW THE STUDY OF THE NORTHERN TELECOMMUNICATION. AND ALSO TO DO THE REQUIRED, THE EXTRA REQUIRED SURVEY WORK, THAT HE FELT NEEDED TO BE DONE. I THINK THE ADMINISTRATION SHOULD UNDERTAKE THIS SO THAT EVERYTHING IS READY TO GO, I THINK THE PRESSURE SHOULD BE PUT ON THE MINISTER OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT, VERY STRONG PRESSURES. I'M SURE, I'M VERY CONFIDENT, THAT WE CAN IN THIS REGARD GET THE REQUIRED FUNDING TO DO THE, TO CARRY ON THIS PROGRAM SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE TO USE TERRITORIAL FUNDS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL, AS I SAY I PUT AN IMMEDIATE PRIORITY ON IT AND THAT IS WHY I AM ENDEAVORING TO HAVE THIS MATTER RESOLVED AT THIS SESSION, CERTAINLY IN THE CASE OF MY ELECTORAL DISTRICT IT WILL BE THERE BY FALL IF I HAVE TO PAY FOR IT MYSELF. I THINK, AS ALL MEMBERS DO, THAT GOVERNMENT ARE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS AND I THINK THAT FUNDS CAN BE FOUND AND MUST BE FOUND BY THE COUNCIL. I THINK A GREATER PRIORITY SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED ON THIS. THIS IS A TOMORROW THING IT SHOULD BE A TODAY THING.

MRS. WATSON: I COULDN'T AGREE MORE BUT I SAY LET US NOT CLOSE THE DOOR WE HAVEN'T MADE. THE COUNCIL HASN'T MADE STRONG REPRESENTATION AND THE UNITED COUNCIL THROUGH THE MINISTER FOR THIS TYPE OF FUNDING. I THINK THIS IS THE ROUTE WE SHOULD FOLLOW. WE SHOULD ALSO INSTRUCT THE ADMINISTRATION TO MAKE AS STRONG REPRESENTATION AS THEY CAN TO GET THE REQUIRED FUNDING FOR THIS PROGRAM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS WILL FOLLOW IN DUE COURSE. AT THIS TIME I WILL STAND COMMITTEE IN RECESS UNTIL 2:00 O'CLOCK.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL AT THIS TIME CALL COMMITTEE BACK TO ORDER.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, YESTERDAY I ASKED A QUESTION RE: R.C.M.P. DETACHMENT AND YESTERDAY MR. MILLER INDICATED THAT HE WOULD HAVE SOMETHING BROUGHT FORWARD AFTER LUNCH BUT HE DIDN'T SAY AFTER LUNCH OF WHICH DAY. I WONDER IF HE HAS IT FOR TODAY?

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF, WELL LETS PUT IT THIS WAY; THERE ARE THREE BASIC AREAS WHERE THE QUESTIONS STILL REQUIRE ANSWERS. SOME OF THEM I WILL HAND OUT AS PAPERS THE OTHER ONE I WILL DEAL WITH AS A VERBAL. MABYE WHILE I AM DEALING WITH THE VERBAL MADAM CLERK CAN PASS OUT THE PAPERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MADAM CLERK.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE WAS ONE REMAINING QUESTION IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT-- VOTE 6 AND IT RELATED TO A QUESTION ASKED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER. THE QUESTION WAS WHETHER THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE HAD EVER REQUESTED AN INCREASE IN THE PER CAPITA GRANTS. THE AMOUNT

OF THE PER CAPITA AID UNDER THE MUNICIPAL AID ORDINANCE. OUR RECORDS DO NOT INDICATE ANY FORMAL REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST BEFORE WE GO ON WITH THAT. IS THIS TO MEAN THAT THERE WAS NEVER ANY REQUEST OF ANY SORT, NEVER ANY MENTION OF THIS OR DOES THIS JUST MEAN FORMAL REQUEST?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE NO RECORD ON OUR FILE OF A FORMAL REQUEST. WE DO KNOW THAT ALL MUNICIPALITIES HAVE DISCUSSED THIS AND IN THE CASE OF THE TOWN OF FARO, THEY HAVE FORMALLY REQUESTED THAT THIS BE REVIEWED. THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE HAS NOT FORMALLY REQUESTED THIS. WE DO KNOW THAT THEY ARE CONCERNED ABOUT IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ISN'T IT SO THAT THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE DID COME AND ASK THAT PERSONALLY? THEY CAME AND ASKED FOR THIS AT COUNCIL AS WELL. IS THAT CONSIDERED A FORMAL REQUEST?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT WAS DISCUSSED IN THIS COUNCIL. ADMITTEDLY THAT IS A REQUEST. THE POINT I MAKE IS THAT WE HAVE NOT A LETTER ON FILE FROM THE CITY OF WHITEHORSE. YES, WE ARE AWARE OF THEIR REQUESTS ON THIS MATTER.

THE BALANCE OF QUESTIONS, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT ARE OUTSTANDING RELATE TO THE GAME DEPARTMENT AND WE HAVE TABLED ANSWERS TO THOSE QUESTIONS.

THE OTHER QUESTION, MR. CHAIRMAN, RELATED TO, BECAUSE OF COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST, A PERMANENT DETACHMENT AT CARCROSS. WE HAVE TABLED AN ANSWER ON THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS COMMITTEE TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE ONLY MANNER IN WHICH AN INCREASED DETACHMENT WOULD BE MADE IS ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE R.C.M.P. AND NOT OF THIS COUNCIL?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE ARE TWO WAYS TO GET THIS R.C.M.P. SERVICE. ONE IF THE COMMISSIONER OR THIS COUNCIL THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER ASKS FOR AN INCREASE IN WHICH CASE WE PAY 100% OF THE COST OF THAT INCREASE.

THE OTHER WAY IS FOR THE R.C.M.P., THROUGH THEIR NORMAL REVIEW PROCESS, TO RECOMMEND AN

INCREASE. IN WHICH CASE WE WOULD THEN SHARE UNDER THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT. RIGHT NOW IT IS APPROXIMATELY 50% - UP ONE THOUSAND.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHAT IS THE COST OF; WHAT WOULD BE THE ADDITIONAL COST OF HAVING THE CARCROSS DETACHMENT MAINTAINED FOR THOSE MONTHS THAT IT IS NOT OPEN AS A DETACHMENT NOW?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE THOSE FIGURES READILY AVAILABLE BUT I WILL SUGGEST THE ADDITIONAL YEAR, PART YEAR THAT IS NOT NOW BEING MAINTAINED WOULD PROBABLY COST SOMETHING IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF \$10,000 TO \$15,000.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I BEG TO CORRECT, MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING FROM WHAT I RECALL THAT THE AVERAGE COST OF A DETACHMENT IS IN THE REGION OF \$10,000 A YEAR. NOW, PART OF THIS YEAR IS ALREADY INCLUDED IN THE CARCROSS DETACHMENT SO IT WOULD BE A PORTION OF THE \$10,000. ISN'T THIS CORRECT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT DOES COST TO MAINTAIN A DETACHMENT. MY GUESS WOULD HAVE BEEN APPROXIMATELY \$20,000 BY THE TIME YOU ADDED VEHICLE EXPENSE, HOUSING AND THIS TYPE OF THING. THAT IS WHY I ASSUMED THAT IT WOULD COST AN ADDITIONAL \$10,000. I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT FIGURES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: COULD WE GET THIS INFORMATION, MR. CHAIRMAN? MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE HOUSING OF A DETACHMENT IS STILL THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE R.C.M.P.. IT IS ONLY THE POSSIBLE SERVICES OF THE CONSTABLE OR CONSTABLES AT A DETACHMENT IS WHAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO BEAR OVER AND ABOVE WHAT HAS BEEN APPROVED IN THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT. ISN'T THIS THE UNDERSTANDING OR PERHAPS I CAN BE CORRECTED ON THAT POINT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION WE BEAR 50% OF THE COST OF ANY DETACHMENT. I AM NOT PRECISE ON THIS - THE CARCROSS DETACHMENT IS CLOSED DURING THE WINTER WHICH MEANS THEY DON'T HEAT THE HOUSE, THEY DON'T HAVE ELECTRICITY IN THE HOUSE. THEY CLOSE THE HOUSE DOWN. IF THAT WAS OPEN THERE WOULD BE ADDITIONAL COSTS TO MAINTAIN THE HOUSING UNIT.

THE ADDITIONAL VEHICLE CHARGES FOR THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT THE CONSTABLE IS THERE, IN OTHER WORDS, A WHOLE YEAR INSTEAD OF ROUGHLY HALF A YEAR.

WHAT OTHER COSTS OTHER THAN THE CONSTABLE'S SALARY, I DON'T KNOW BUT THERE WOULD AT LEAST BE THE CONSTABLE'S SALARY FOR THAT PART OF THE YEAR WHICH WE HAVEN'T THERE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T WANT IT TO BE IN ANY WAY INTERFERING WITH THE BUDGET ITEM BUT COULD WE HAVE SOME ASSURANCE, MR. CHAIRMAN, FROM MR. MILLER THAT HE WILL BRING DETAILS OF THE COST OF MAINTAINING THE DETACHMENT AT CARCROSS FOR THE BALANCE OF THE YEAR OVER AND ABOVE THAT PERIOD OF TIME THAT HAS BEEN INCLUDED FOR IN THE POLICE SERVICES AGREEMENT, THE SUMMER DETACHMENT.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WILL GET THIS INFORMATION FROM THE R.C.M.P. AND TABLE IT TO COUNCIL.

MR. CHAIRMAN: FROM THE CHAIR, ON PAGE 7 OF THE ANSWERS RESPECTING THE GAME DEPARTMENT, SECTION 12. THE ANSWER YOU HAVE GIVEN, THE ANSWER THAT HAS BEEN FORTHCOMING FROM THE GAME DEPARTMENT IS IN RELATION TO THIS B.C. - YUKON FUR SITUATION, EXPORT FUR SITUATION; IS THAT THESE B.C. PERMITS ARE AVAILABLE AT TESLIN AND AVAILABLE AT MACINTOSH'S LODGE IN THE UPPER LIARD BUT THIS DOESN'T REALLY RESOLVE THE PROBLEM. THE PROBLEM IS, IT SAYS, "WE DO NOT SEE THIS AS A PROBLEM OF THE GAME BRANCH." BUT INDEED IT IS BECAUSE IT IS THE YUKON GAME WARDENS WHO ARE NAILING THE TRAPPERS AS THEY BRING THEIR FUR IN TO GET THEIR EXPORT PERMITS. THIS IS THE POINT I ATTEMPTED TO MAKE WHEN I DISCUSSED THIS IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE. THERE WOULD BE AN INSTRUCTION TO THEM OR A POLICY INSTRUCTION TO THEM TO PERMIT THE TRAPPERS TO AT LEAST GET DOWN TO WHERE THEY CAN GET A B.C. EXPORT PERMIT, OR TO A POST OFFICE TO MAIL THEIR FUR BACK TO B.C..

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I UNDERSTOOD THE QUESTION THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WAS ASKING THE OTHER DAY. IT IS OBVIOUS THAT MR. HOEFFS DID NOT GET THE INTENT OF THE QUESTION WHEN HE WAS READING THE VOTES AND PROCEEDING. I WILL DISCUSS THIS WITH THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER RESPONSIBLE AND MAKE SURE THERE IS SOME MECHANISM SET UP, OR ATTEMPT TO SET UP, TO HAVE THIS PROBLEM QUESTIONED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE OTHER QUESTION OF COURSE RELATES TO THE MEETING, PREFERABLE IN WATSON LAKE BETWEEN THE B.C. GAME DEPARTMENT AND THE YUKON GAME DEPARTMENT TO RESOLVE ALL PROBLEMS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON ITEM NO. 6 ON PAGE 3 THERE SHOWS A BREAKDOWN ON PRIMARY FM FOR RENTAL OF LANDS AND BUILDINGS. WHY IS THIS BY 13? IS THERE ANY SPECIFIC REASON?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE USE 13 FINANCIAL REPORTING PERIODS OF EQUAL LENGTHS IN OUR ACCOUNTING SYSTEM. WHAT THEY ARE REALLY SAYING HERE IS THAT IT IS NOT \$506. A MONTH, IT IS \$506. FOR FOUR WEEKS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I SEE. THESE ARE BUILDINGS THAT ARE RENTED FROM THE GOVERNMENT ITSELF BY WAY OF A BOOKKEEPING ENTRY, IS THIS WHAT I UNDERSTAND?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE GLENLYON BUILDING IS A GOVERNMENT BUILDING, THE DAWSON CITY BUILDING IS A GOVERNMENT BUILDING, THE FARO BUILDING IS RENTED SPACE, PRIVATE RENTED SPACE, THE HAINES JUNCTION SPACE IS PRIVATE OR RENTED FROM A PRIVATE SOURCE AND THE WATSON LAKE IS IN THE LYNN BUILDING SO IT IS A GOVERNMENT BUILDING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN THE TWO PRIVATELY RENTED AREN'T THERE RENTALS ON A MONTHLY BASIS OR DO YOU TAKE THE YEAR AND THEN DIVIDE IT BY 13 TO CONFORM WITH THE REST OF THE ITEM. IS THIS THE WAY IT IS BEING DONE?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHY THEN WOULD YOU NOT USE THE SAME PROCEDURE THEN FOR OLD CROW WHERE YOU HAVE PUT IN THERE ONE YEAR ONLY? WHY IS THIS DIFFERENT FROM THE OTHER MATTERS?

MR. MILLER: WELL MR. CHAIRMAN, WE DON'T HAVE FACILITIES CURRENTLY RENTED AT OLD CROW. OUR GAME GUARDIAN WILL GO IN THERE VERY SHORTLY AND WE'RE LOOKING FOR SPACE AT THE MOMENT. BUT WE DO NOT HAVE SPACE AT THE MOMENT AND WHAT WE'VE DONE IS PROVIDED \$4,825 FOR A YEAR. WE FIGURE THAT'S WHAT IT IS GOING TO COST US.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT'S FOR THE YEAR TO COME. THERE IS ONE FURTHER QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK. IN DEALING WITH INVENTORIES OF ANY SPECIFIC DEPARTMENTS, IS THERE ANY VALUATION AT ANY TIME PUT ON THE INVENTORIES OF EQUIPMENT OR SUPPLIES IN ANY PARTICULAR DEPARTMENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE EQUIPMENT IS CARRIED ON OUR BOOKS AS AN ASSET AND HAS THE

NORMAL, YOU KNOW THE ORIGINAL COST EVALUATION PLACED ON IT. SUPPLIES FOR THE MOST PARTS NOW-A-DAYS OUR SUPPLIES ARE DOWN TO A BARE MINIMUM IN MOST DEPARTMENTS. WE HAD TRAVELLING BOARD OF SURVEY LAST YEAR WHICH CLEANED OUT MOST OF THE OVER SUPPLIED AREAS AND THEY NORMALLY DRAW THEIR SUPPLIES ON A TWO TO THREE WEEKS IN TOWN AND OUT OF TOWN A ONE TO THREE MONTH BASIS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AND WHAT ABOUT THE EQUIPMENT EVALUATION. IS THERE A SET DEPRECIATION YEAR BY YEAR ON EQUIPMENT AND AT WHAT STAGE IS THAT EQUIPMENT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY EQUIPMENT THAT WE DEPRECIATE IS ROAD EQUIPMENT. ALL OTHER EQUIPMENT IS CARRIED ON THE BOOKS AT ORIGINAL PURCHASE PRICE FOR THE LIFE OF THE EQUIPMENT. WHEN WE WRITE OFF A PIECE OF EQUIPMENT THROUGH THE BOARD OF SURVEY, WE THEN REMOVE THE ENTIRE COST FROM THE BOOKS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER?

ONE QUESTION, JUST FOR THE RECORD RESPECTING THE AMOUNT, TOTAL AMOUNT OF BILL NO. 3, \$60,862,907. HOW DOES THIS RELATE TO BILL NO. 1 WHERE WE HAVE ALREADY APPROPRIATED ONE TWELFTH OF THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE INTERIM APPROPRIATION OR INTERIM SUPPLY BILL IS REALLY ONLY GIVING THE GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY TO PAY THE BILLS SUBJECT TO PASSING OF MAIN ESTIMATES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT DOESN'T SAY THAT.

MR. MILLER: NO I REALIZE IT DOESN'T SAY THAT MR. CHAIRMAN AND I LOOKED AT THAT VERY CAREFULLY MYSELF BECAUSE I THOUGHT WE WOULD HAVE TO REDUCE OUR MAIN ESTIMATES. BUT IN FACT IT'S A LEGISLATIVE MEANS WHEREBY THE LEGISLATURE GIVES THE ADMINISTRATION OR THE GOVERNMENT, THE AUTHORITY TO PAY THE NORMAL ONGOING BILLS, ALWAYS SUBJECT TO THE MAIN ESTIMATES BEING APPROPRIATED IN THEIR ENTIRETY. IN THE CASES OF THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA, THEY NORMALLY ASK FOR TWO MONTHS INTERIM SUPPLY AND THEN GO BACK AND ASK FOR THE TOTAL MAIN ESTIMATE AMOUNT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS?

Mrs. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, AT THIS TIME, UNLESS THE TREASURER HAS ANY FURTHER ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE CHAIRMAN READ THE PREAMBLE AND THE TITLE OF THE BILL SO THAT WE CAN MOVE IT OUT OF COMMITTEE.

MR. McKINNON: PRIOR TO THAT BEING DONE, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS MY INTENTION TO MOVE IF I CAN FIND A SECONDER, THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF COUNCIL THAT ESTABLISHMENT 2911, GROUND IMPROVEMENTS VARIOUS LOCATIONS BE DELETED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974-75 MAIN ESTIMATES AND THE FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THE PROVISION OF T.V. SERVICE IN THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN, CARCROSS, CARMACKS, HAINES JUNCTION AND DESTRUCTION BAY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THE MOTION?

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR McKINNON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF COUNCIL THAT ESTABLISHMENT 2911, GROUND IMPROVEMENTS VARIOUS LOCATIONS BE DELETED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974-75 MAIN ESTIMATES AND THE FUNDS BE ALLOCATED TO THE PROVISION OF T.V. SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN, CARCROSS, CARMACKS, HAINES JUNCTION AND DESTRUCTION BAY.

COUNCILLOR STUTTER?

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE MOVER AND THE SECONDER, IF IT'S THEIR PROPOSAL THAT THIS PROGRAM BE DELETED COMPLETELY OR JUST MOVED ON TO ANOTHER YEAR? IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE INTENT WAS TO TRY AND FIND THE MONEY OUT OF THE BUDGET FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM AND NOT JUST PUSH SOMETHING ASIDE FOR A FUTURE TIME. OBVIOUSLY IF IT'S JUST TO BE MOVED OUT OF THE BUDGET THIS YEAR AND INTO THE NEXT BUDGET YEAR, YOU HAVEN'T ACTUALLY FOUND THE \$100,000 AT ALL. YOU HAVE JUST PUT OFF SPENDING IT.

MR. McKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ONLY THING THAT I'VE CONSIDERED IS WHAT THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY CONSIDER TO BE A PRIORITY. I THINK THAT I HAVE MENTIONED TO THIS COMMITTEE BEFORE AND I THINK THAT HONOURABLE MEMBERS WHO SAT ON THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE WITH ME WILL AGREE THAT DURING THE PAST YEARS

THIS HAS BEEN AN ITEM IN THE BUDGET, THE GROUND IMPROVEMENTS AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS. I CAN REMEMBER ON THREE SUCCESSIVE YEARS WHERE THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND IT WAS LATER APPROVED BY COUNCIL, FELT THAT THIS WASN'T A PRIORITY AS FAR AS OTHER ITEMS FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WERE CONCERNED. I AM SAYING THAT THIS YEAR IN 1974-75 THAT THE COMPLETE PAVING OF THE PARKING AREA OF THE WATSON LAKE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, THAT THE INSTALLING OF A GRAVEL DRIVE, OF PARKING WALKWAYS, GRASS AREAS, TREE PLANTING AND ERECTION OF SIGN AND FLAGPOLES AT THE WATSON LAKE TOURIST CHECK POINT AND WEIGHT SCALE.

THE INSTALLING OF WALKWAYS, GRASS AREAS, TREE PLANTING AND UPGRADING OF THE GRAVEL DRIVE AND PARKING SERVICES AT THE WATSON LAKE JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL, THAT THE FLAGPOLE INSTALLATION TO WAVE THE FLAG ON HIGH AT 21 GRADER STATIONS AROUND THE YUKON COSTING \$8,400. TO INSTALL CHAIN LINK FENCING AT ABOUT SIX DIFFERENT LOCATIONS AROUND THE YUKON TERRITORY DOES NOT HAVE THE PRIORITY THAT THIS COUNCIL DOES NOT THINK IT HAS THE PRIORITY AND THIS COUNCIL WILL NOT VOTE THAT THESE ITEMS HAVE THE PRIORITY OVER BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE A T.V. SIGNAL TO TESLIN, CARCROSS, CARMACKS, HAINES JUNCTION AND DESTRUCTION BAY DURING THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR. THERE IS ONLY ONE HOLDUP AND ONE HOLDUP ONLY THAT WOULD NOT ALLOW IF THE MONEY WERE PROVIDED IN THE 74-75 BUDGET FOR THIS TO TAKE PLACE AND THAT WOULD BE A CROWN ORIENTATED ORGANIZATION NAMELY THE CANADIAN RADIO TELEVISION COMMISSION COULDN'T SIT FAST ENOUGH AND RECEIVE THE APPLICATIONS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO ALLOW THE INSTALLATIONS TO TAKE PLACE DURING THE SUMMER AND FALL MONTHS. THE BACKGROUND CAN BE PREPARED. THE SUPPORTING DATA BY PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING GROUPS AS TO WHETHER THE PROJECT IS FEASIBLE CAN BE DONE IN SHORT ORDER. THE APPLICATION CAN BE FILLED OUT AND SENT TO THE CANADIAN RADIO TELEVISION COMMISSION IN SHORT ORDER AND DEPENDING UPON THE SPEED OF THAT COMMISSION, TELEVISION CAN BE PROVIDED TO THOSE COMMUNITIES THIS YEAR. I'M SAYING THAT IT IS A BOLD, IT'S AN IMAGINATIVE PROGRAM FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT THE CIVIL SERVANTS, THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ARE SCARED SILLY OF INITIATING ANY BOLD, IMAGINATIVE PROGRAMS FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. WE CAN PROVIDE TELEVISION SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF THESE COMMUNITIES BY THIS FALL AND THAT THE CHAIN LINK FENCES AND THE FLAG POLES AND THE PAVEMENT AND THE WALKWAYS AND THE GRAVEL PATHS

CAN WAIT ONE MORE YEAR. COME ON, LET'S SHOW THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY FOR ONCE IN THIS COUNCIL'S LIFETIME WHERE WE SET THE PRIORITIES AND THAT WE ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO DO SOMETHING FOR THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY THAT THEY WANT, NOT WHAT THE BUREAUCRATS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY WANT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: COUNCILLOR STUTTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHEN IT COMES TO PRIORITIES, IF THIS HAD COME BEFORE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AT THE TIME OF PREPARATION OF THE BUDGET, THAT'S ONE TIME THAT I COULD HAVE LOOKED AT THIS AND DECIDED THAT IT WAS PERHAPS OF MORE IMPORTANCE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE TERRITORY THAN THE BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM. I WOULD LIKE TO SUPPORT THIS. I REALLY WOULD. I'M COMPLETELY IN SYMPATHY AND I WISH THE PROGRAM COULD BE EXPANDED FURTHER TO TAKE IN MANY MANY OTHER AREAS. JUST ABOUT ALL OF THE COMMUNITIES IN THE AREA. BUT I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THAT CAN BE DONE AND BEFORE THE VOTE IS CALLED I WOULD ASK THAT COMMITTEE HAVE A BRIEF RECESS IF AT ALL POSSIBLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DOES COMMITTEE AGREE?
I DECLARE A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER. MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE HAVE A COPY BEFORE THEM OF THE MOTION; I'LL REREAD IT. MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST. IT IS THE OPINION OF COUNCIL THAT ESTABLISHMENT 2911, GROUND IMPROVEMENTS, VARIOUS LOCATIONS BE DELETED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974-75 MAIN ESTIMATES AND THE FUNDS TO BE ALLOCATED TO THE PROVISION OF T.V. SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN, CARCROSS, CARMACKS, HAINES JUNCTION AND DESTRUCTION BAY.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I THINK ACTUALLY THIS MOTION IS OUT OF ORDER BUT I'M NOT GOING TO ARGUE ON THAT POINT. IT IS VERY SIMILAR TO MOTION 10 THAT COUNCILLOR MCKINNON MOVED IN THE HOUSE AND IT CARRIES THE SAME PRINCIPLE IN THAT MOTION. IN MOTION 18, HE WAS DELETING THE FIRST TEN POSITIONS AND THIS TIME THEY ARE DELETING, HOPING TO DELETE, ESTABLISHMENT 2911.

I WOULD LIKE TO SAY AGAIN THAT I DO NOT THINK THE TERRITORIAL FUNDS SHOULD BE USED FOR THIS. I THINK IT IS A FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY AND SOME-

HOW OR OTHER WE HAVE TO GET FEDERAL FUNDS TO FUND THIS TYPE OF PROGRAM.

THEREFORE, I WOULD MOVE AN AMENDMENT TO HIS MOTION. THIS IS MY AMENDMENT: IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION OF THIS COUNCIL IF THE ADMINISTRATION, (1) CARRY OUT THE OUTSTANDING FIELD-WORK STILL REQUIRED TO DETERMINE PATHS AND SITE SUITABILITY FOR THE PROVISION OF TELEVISION SERVICES TO THE COMMUNITIES OF BEAVER CREEK, CARMACKS, CARCROSS, DESTRUCTION BAY, HAINES JUNCTION, PELLY RIVER AND TESLIN. (2) FORWARD THE RESULTS OF THIS STUDY TOGETHER WITH A TECHNICAL BRIEF OF TOTAL NORTH COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED OF WHITEHORSE ON THE SAME SUBJECT TO A CONSULTANT FIRM FOR STUDY AND VERIFICATION. AND THAT THIS COUNCIL AND THE ADMINISTRATION MAKE STRONG REPRESENTATION TO THE HONOURABLE JEAN CHRETIEN, MINISTER OF NORTHERN AFFAIRS AND NORTHERN DEVELOPMENT TO INSURE THAT FUNDS ARE MADE AVAILABLE TO CARRY OUT THE PROJECT IN THE YEAR 1974-75 AND THAT THE YUKON TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT UNDERTAKES TO CO-ORDINATE AND SUPERVISE THE INSTALLATIONS AND ONGOING MAINTENANCE OF THE PROJECT.

MR. CHAIRMAN, I DO HAVE COPIES OF THAT AMENDMENT ALREADY TYPED UP FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST FROM THE CHAIR, I'M NOT SO SURE THAT THIS AMENDMENT CAN BE CONSIDERED. THE AMENDMENT IS NOT RELATED DIRECTLY, TO THE MOTION UNDER CONSIDERATION BUT I WOULD SUGGEST TO THE HONOURABLE MEMBER THAT THERE IS A MOTION UNDER CONSIDERATION IN COMMITTEE TO WHICH THAT PARTICULAR MOTION WOULD LEND ITSELF.

THIS MOTION INVOLVES THE DELETION OF AN ITEM IN BILL NO. 3 AND THAT IS THE SUBJECT MATERIAL OF THE MOTION AND REFERS IN THE SECOND PART OF THE MOTION TO HOW, IF THIS MOTION IS CARRIED, HOW THE COUNCIL WOULD WISH THESE MONIES TO BE SENT. I COULD NOT ACCEPT THE AMENDMENT AS GIVEN BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER UNDER THIS MOTION.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, BUT THEN THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS WOULD HAVE NO TROUBLE DEFEATING THE MOTION BEFORE THE HOUSE AT THE PRESENT TIME AND SUPPORTING THE AMENDMENT TO MOTION 18. IS THIS MY UNDERSTANDING?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE AMENDMENT THAT HAS BEEN STATED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE WOULD BE MORE FITTINGLY ATTACHED AND PERMISSIBLE UNDER MOTION 18 THAN IT WOULD BE UNDER THIS PARTICULAR MOTION.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN I WOULD ACCEPT YOUR RULING BUT ON THE OTHER HAND, WOULD I TAKE IT THEN THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBERS REALIZE THAT THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE AND THEY WOULDN'T HAVE ANY TROUBLE TO DEFEATING THE MOTION THAT IS BEFORE THE HOUSE NOW.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: (LAUGHTER)

MR. TANNER: IT WAS A GOOD TRY HILDA.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN IT WAS A VERY, VERY GOOD TRY BUT CERTAINLY IT IS A NEW MOTION, IN RELATION TO THIS PARTICULAR MOTION THAT IS BEFORE THE HOUSE, OR THAT WOULD BE A SOUND AMENDMENT TO MOTION 18. YES, IT IS AN ALTERNATIVE BUT NOT AN AMENDMENT TO THIS BECAUSE WE ARE DEALING SPECIFICALLY WITH AN ITEM TO BE REMOVED FROM THE MAIN ESTIMATES.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN THAT CASE, I WILL CALL A QUESTION ON THE PRESENT MOTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL WE'VE GOT DISCUSSIONS FIRST.

MR. TANNER: HOW LONG?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I UNDERSTAND THAT OCTOBER THE 4TH IS THE NEXT ELECTION.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I REALLY THINK THAT HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY ESPECIALLY FOR THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR CARMACKS-KLUANE TO SHOW CLEARLY AND SPECIFICALLY THAT SHE HAS REALLY HAD THE PEOPLE IN HER OWN CONSTITUENCY AT HEART. RIGHT NOW IT IS A MATTER OF WHETHER SHE IS PREPARED TO SAY, THE CONSTITUENTS OF HER CONSTITUENCY, AND IN FACT, THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON COME BEFORE ANY, AND I USE THE WORDS AGAIN, ANY ADMINISTRATIVE CONVENIENCE THAT CAN OCCUR.

HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY, I HAVE ALWAYS SUPPORTED, AND I'LL SAY THIS QUITE OPENLY, I HAVE ALWAYS SUPPORTED GENERAL GROUND IMPROVEMENTS OVER PUBLIC BUILDINGS. AT THE SAME TIME, I HAVE ALSO INDICATED THAT WHERE THERE IS PRIORITY IN OTHER MATTERS, AND YOU HAVE TO LOOK FOR AN AREA WHERE YOU CAN DELETE, THIS IS ONE OF THE AREAS WHERE YOU CAN DELETE. THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE KNOWS FULL WELL THAT ON OCCASION WE HAVE STOPPED CARRYING OUT CERTAIN WORK WHERE GROUND IMPROVEMENTS

HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED IN THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND GONE TO SOMETHING ELSE WHERE THERE IS MORE PRIORITY.

TO SOME EXTENT, THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON HAVING HIS MIND I WOULD SUGGEST, I AM NOT TRYING TO READ HIS MIND. I THINK HE HAS IN HIS MIND, PERHAPS THERE IS REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THIS IS AN AREA THAT HAS MORE PRIORITY THAN GROUND IMPROVEMENTS. HE HAS A DOUBT. I THINK THAT IF ANY BENEFIT OF DOUBT HAS GOT TO BE GIVEN, IT HAS GOT TO BE GIVEN TO THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. NOT TO BE GIVEN TO THE ADMINISTRATION BECAUSE IT HAS ALREADY BEEN SET UP AND AT THE SAME TIME, A MEMBER OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE HAS ALSO THE FUNCTION OF REMEMBERING THAT HE IS A MEMBER OF TERRITORIAL COUNCIL AS WELL.

WHEN HE GOES INTO ADVISORY COMMITTEE, HE DOESN'T STOP BEING A MEMBER OF TERRITORIAL COUNCIL AND HE DOESN'T STOP SERVING THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON. IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA, I THINK WE WOULD ALL BE DOING A SOUND THING TO APPROVE THE MOTION BECAUSE IT WOULD SHOW QUITE CLEARLY THAT ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL ARE CONCERNED WITH PEOPLE REQUIREMENTS.

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE, I'M SURE, IF SHE GOES AGAINST IT SHE WILL SHOW TO THE PEOPLE SHE REPRESENTS THAT SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THEM. ALREADY, MANY PEOPLE FROM HER CONSTITUENCY, ARE ALREADY EXPRESSING THAT POINT, THAT SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THEM, BUT SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THEM. ALREADY MANY PEOPLE FROM HER CONSTITUENCY ARE ALREADY EXPRESSING THAT POINT. BUT SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THEM BECAUSE IF SHE VOTES AGAINST IT THEY DON'T NEED TO THINK ANY MORE THAN THEY KNOW THAT SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THEM. IF THE OTHER EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER, COUNCILLOR TANNER, HE VOTES AGAINST IT, WELL, HE IS GOING TO VOTE AGAINST IT ON THE BASIS THAT HE DOESN'T CARE IN ANY EVENT ABOUT PEOPLE WHO LIVE OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE.

IT'S WONDERFUL TO NOTE THAT TWO MEMBERS FROM THE WHITEHORSE AREA; COUNCILLOR MCKINNON AND MYSELF SHOW THAT WE DO CARE ABOUT OTHER PEOPLE AND THAT'S WHY WE ARE PREPARED TO GIVE UP ANY TYPE OF GROUND IMPROVEMENTS IN THE WHITEHORSE AREA SO THAT PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF WHITEHORSE CAN BENEFIT FROM THIS PARTICULAR VOTE AND THIS PARTICULAR MOTION AND THEREFORE I WOULD SUGGEST, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THOSE MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE THAT HAVE HAD ANY DOUBTS SHOULD NOW

RECONSIDER WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN THINKING ABOUT AND SAY NOW THAT THEY ARE PREPARED TO SUPPORT THE MOTION AND HAVE THIS ITEM DELETED FOR GROUND IMPROVEMENTS AND HAVE THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF \$100,000 WHICH, AS HAS BEEN SHOWN, CAN BE FOUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING TO COMMUNITIES WHO HAVEN'T THE BENEFITS THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE WHITEHORSE AREA HAVE OF DECENT TV VIEWING. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AFTER THE LAST POLITICAL SPEECH COULD WE CALL THE QUESTION?

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY FURTHER --

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, EVERYBODY KNOWS I'M NOT RUNNING FOR ELECTION SO IT'S NOT A POLITICAL SPEECH. BUT CERTAINLY I STILL HAVE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON AT HEART AND I'M SHOWING IT. THIS IS OBVIOUSLY WHAT THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE AND THE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH IS NOT SHOWING. THAT THEY HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE PEOPLE FROM OUTSIDE WHITEHORSE AND I HOPE THEY CHANGE THEIR MINDS AND RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT THEY STILL ARE MEMBERS OF THE YUKON COMMUNITY SO SHOULD SUPPORT THE PEOPLE FROM SMALL COMMUNITIES AND TO GET THIS PARTICULAR AREA OF COMMUNITY IDEA THAT IT'S NEEDED. THEY NEED THIS THING AND THEY SHOULD BE GIVEN TV AS A NORMAL MATTER OF COURSE IN THIS DAY AND AGE.

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE THE QUESTION IS CALLED, JUST A FEW POINTS I WANT TO MAKE. ONE OF THEM IS, OBVIOUSLY THE MOTION IS GOING DOWN IN DEFEAT AS MOST OF THE MOTIONS THAT THIS HONOURABLE MEMBER BRINGS ON BEHALF OF THE PEOPLE DO AND I THINK THAT ONE THING WE SHOULD NOTE THAT WITH THE MOTION'S PASSAGE, TV COULD HAVE BEEN PROVIDED IN THESE SMALLER COMMUNITIES THIS YEAR. OF THAT I HAVE NO DOUBT.

I THINK THERE IS ONE OTHER THING THAT SHOULD BE NOTED. WHEN ONE HAS BEEN IN POLITICS AS LONG AS SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS HAVE BEEN IN THIS HOUSE THEY LEARN THAT THE ART OF COMPRISE AND THE CONCEPT OF HALF A LOAF IS BETTER THAN NONE ARE SOMETIMES THE ONLY ACCEPTABLE THINGS IN POLITICS. I THINK IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THE OPPOSITION IN THIS HOUSE HAS FORCED AT LEAST THROUGH WHAT THE AMENDMENT WILL BE TO THE MOTION IN MOTION No. 18. THAT AT LEAST WE ARE FINALLY FORCING THE GOVERNMENT INTO A COURSE OF ACTION THAT NOT THIS YEAR BUT AT LEAST FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, WE WILL BE SEEING TELEVISION SERVICES BEING PROVIDED TO THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES SO I THINK

THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR DAWSON AND OTHER HONOURABLE MEMBERS WHO FORM THE OPPOSITION IN THIS HOUSE CAN TAKE SOME PRIDE IN THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE AT LEAST FORCED THE GOVERNMENT'S HAND INTO THINKING OF HAVING THE PROVISION OF TELEVISION TO SMALLER COMMUNITIES AS PART OF PRIORITY, AS PART OF FUNDING OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT IN FUTURE YEARS.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY A FEW WORDS BECAUSE I SEEM TO BE THE OGRE.

SOME MEMBER: CORRECT.

Mrs. WATSON CONTINUES: THAT OGRE WHO ALWAYS HAS TO BE SENSIBLE AND RESPONSIBLE AND IF THIS MOTION IS NOT DEFEATED THEN YOUR CHANCES OF GETTING TV ARE REALLY OUT THE WINDOW BECAUSE TV IS A FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY AND I'M SURE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT LET US INCLUDE IT WITHIN THE BUDGET OR GIVE THE CAPITAL MONEY TO EXPEND THE \$100,000 BY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT. IF WE GO THE OTHER ROUTE WHERE THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT UNDERTAKES THE FUNDING, I THINK OUR CHANCES ARE MUCH BETTER OF GETTING IT THAN THIS WAY. THIS IS WHY I AM GOING TO BE VOTING AGAINST IT.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: Mr. CHAIRMAN, JUST ONE FINAL WORD I'D LIKE TO SAY. I'M NOT GIVING UP HOPE YET BECAUSE I THINK THAT SOME IMAGINATION IN THE MINDS OF COUNCILLOR STUTTER AND CERTAINLY COUNCILLOR RIVETT FROM MAYO. THEY'RE THINKING A LITTLE BIT ON THIS NOW AND I WANT THEM TO THINK ABOUT IT BECAUSE THEY MUST RECOGNIZE THAT BOTH THE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE ARE REALLY POLITICAL BABES IN THE WOOD. PERHAPS ON SECOND THOUGHT, THEY ARE SO INFLEXIBLE, PERHAPS I SHOULD SAY POLITICAL WOODEN BABES BECAUSE THEY JUST DON'T WANT TO BEND IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. I'M JUST NOT GOING TO GIVE UP, I HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF CONFIDENCE IN THE MEMBER FROM DAWSON AND MAYO AND SEE THAT IF THERE IS A REQUIREMENT, THIS PARTICULAR THING TAKE PLACE.

I'M STILL HOPING. I NEVER GIVE UP HOPE.

Mr. STUTTER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I ASKED FOR THAT RECESS BECAUSE IF I COULD HAVE RECONCILED IN MY OWN MIND THAT THERE WAS A WAY TO DO IT. THE WAY THAT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED IN THE MOTION, I WAS MORE THAN WILLING TO GO ALONG WITH IT. IF THAT COULDN'T HAVE BEEN DONE I WAS GOING TO COME BACK IN WITH AN AMENDMENT. UP TO THAT POINT I

FOUND OUT THAT THE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE HAD ALREADY HAD AN AMENDMENT SUGGESTED AND ALTHOUGH I DON'T AGREE WITH EVERY SINGLE WORD IN HER AMENDMENT, NEVERTHELESS I DO AGREE WITH THE INTENT OF IT AND I SUPPORT THAT AMENDMENT FAR EASIER THAN I CAN IN DELETING PART OF THE BUDGET.

SOME MEMBER: QUESTION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: JUST IN RESPECT OF THE AMENDMENT. FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE IN PROPOSING AMENDMENTS IT IS AN IMPERATIVE RULE THAT EVERY AMENDMENT MUST BE RELEVANT TO THE QUESTION ON WHICH THE AMENDMENT IS PROPOSED. EVERY AMENDMENT PROPOSED TO BE MADE EITHER TO A QUESTION OR TO A PROPOSED AMENDMENT SHOULD BE SO FRAMED THAT IF AGREED TO BY THE HOUSE THE QUESTION OR AMENDMENT AS AMENDED WOULD BE INTELLIGIBLE AND CONSISTENT WITH ITSELF.

Mrs. WATSON: QUESTION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: QUESTION HAS BEEN CALLED, ARE YOU AGREED?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREED.

SOME MEMBERS: DISAGREED.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THOSE WHO AGREED WITH THE MOTION KINDLY SIGNIFY. WOULD THOSE IN DISAGREEMENT WITH THE MOTION KINDLY SIGNIFY.

I SHALL DECLARE THAT THE MOTION HAS BEEN DEFEATED.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: I NOTICE THAT Mr. MILLER DID NOT AGREE WITH IT.

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD NOW MOVE THAT Mr. CHAIRMAN READ THE PREAMBLE AND THE TITLE TO BILL No. 3.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD THINK THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS BEEN AROUND THIS COMMITTEE LONG ENOUGH TO REALIZE THAT NO MOTION IS..

Mrs. WATSON: Mr. CHAIRMAN, I WAS JUST GOING TO ENSURE THAT IT BE READ.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. ORDER. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER ON THIS BILL BEFORE WE READ THE PREAMBLE?

Mr. TANNER: PROCEED, Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. McKinnon: Oh, shit.

Mr. Chamberlist: Yea, go ahead.

Mr. Chairman: This is the First Appropriation Ordinance 1974/75.

WHEREAS IT APPEARS BY MESSAGE FROM JAMES SMITH, Esq., Commissioner of the Yukon Territory, and in the Estimates accompanying the same that the sums hereinafter mentioned in Schedule "A" of this Ordinance are required to defray certain expenses of the public service of the Yukon Territory and for the purpose relating thereto, for the twelve months ending the thirty-first day of March, 1975.

THEREFORE, THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, BY AND WITH THE ADVICE AND CONSENT OF THE COUNCIL OF THE SAID TERRITORY, ENACTS AS FOLLOWS.

Mrs. Watson: Mr. Chairman, I would move that Bill No. 3, the First Appropriation Ordinance of 1974/75 be moved out of Committee without amendment.

Mr. Tanner: I second that motion.

Mr. Chairman: It has been moved by Councillor Watson, seconded by Councillor Chamberlist, or pardon me, Councillor Tanner, that's the wrong line here.

IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON, SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT BILL NO. 3 BE REPORTED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENT. GEE, I WAS THINKING BACK TO THE GOOD OLD DAYS. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SOME MEMBERS: QUESTION.

Mr. McKinnon: BEFORE THE QUESTION IS CALLED, Mr. Chairman, I HAVE MADE MY POINT CLEAR DURING THE STUDY OF THE BUDGET THAT FOR SEVERAL AREAS OF IT, I WILL BE VOTING AGAINST THE BILL. OF COURSE THE AREA IN WHICH I FEEL MOST STRONGLY ABOUT WAS THE INCREASE OF SOME QUARTER OF A MILLION DOLLARS IN THE LICENSING REVENUE SECTION OF THE BUDGET WHICH WAS NOT BROUGHT IN BY IMPOST OF THIS COUNCIL BUT WAS BROUGHT IN BY COMMISSIONER'S ORDER. I THINK THAT'S A COMPLETE NEGATION OF WHAT THIS COUNCIL SHOULD BE DOING AND I WILL HAVE MORE TO SAY ON A MOTION THAT I WILL BE PRESENTING TO THE HOUSE TOMORROW AND HOPEFULLY, WILL BE GAINING NOT A MAJORITY, BUT UNANIMOUS SUPPORT FOR IT.

I REALLY THINK WE NEGATED THE DUTIES THAT THE MEMBERS OF THIS HOUSE SHOULD HAVE. I DO FIND IT EXTREMELY DIFFICULT, Mr. Chairman, IN A BUDGET OF \$60,000,000 AND THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT THERE HAS BEEN UNANIMOUS ACCORD AROUND THIS TABLE THAT THERE SHOULD BE A POLICY OF SOMEHOW BRINGING TELEVISION SERVICES TO THE SMALLER COMMUNITIES AND WE CAN'T FIND A \$100,000 OUT OF \$60,000,000 TO DO A PROJECT THAT WE KNOW AND ALL MEMBERS OF COUNCIL AGREED THAT THEY WANT TO SEE IN OPERATION IN THE YUKON TERRITORY.

WHAT I SEE, Mr. Chairman, EVERY YEAR, THE ADMINISTRATION LEADING THE BUDGET THROUGH THE HOUSE AND NO AMENDMENTS BEING MADE TO THE BUDGET WHATSOEVER. IT REALLY PROVES, Mr. Chairman, THAT WE HAVE NOT PROGRESSED ONE IOTA TOWARDS ANY SEMBLANCE OF A RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ANY SAY WHATSOEVER IN WHO PULLS THE FINANCIAL PURSE STRINGS IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT'S THE FEDS ALL THE WAY. LET'S JUST MAKE NO BONES ABOUT IT AND REALIZE THERE IS AN AWFUL LOT OF WORK AHEAD OF US BEFORE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON TERRITORY HAVE SOME MEANINGFUL INPUT INTO THE BUDGET OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

Mr. Chamberlist: Mr. Chairman, I INTEND TO VOTE AGAINST FOR THE SIMPLE REASON THAT OVER AND ABOVE WHAT HAS BEEN SAID BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NEST, I THINK THAT THE WORST POSSIBLE THING THAT HAPPENED IS THAT MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REFUSE TO ALLOW QUESTIONS ON TWO PARTICULAR VOTES PRIOR TO THE ESTIMATES BEING PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE.

BY SO DOING, THEY DEPRIVE ME IN PARTICULAR, OF MY RIGHT TO ASK QUESTIONS ON THE HEALTH AND WELFARE BUDGET WHICH I COULD WELL SAY THAT I COULD WELL BE TERMED THE CRITIC IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA, HAVING HAD MUCH EXPERIENCE IN IT. BUT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE WAS REFUSED THE RIGHT TO ASK QUESTIONS RELATING TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF A HOSPITAL WHERE ALMOST A THIRD OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION WAS COMING FROM TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT FUNDS AND BY THAT METHOD THE MEMBERS WHO NOW SIT ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE HAVE SHOWN THEIR UNFITNESS IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA TO BE ON THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPRESENTING THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON, REPRESENTING THOSE PEOPLE WHO ELECTED THEM TO OFFICE BECAUSE THEY HAVE SHOWN THAT THEY ARE NOT INTERESTED IN ALLOWING MEMBERS OF COUNCIL TO QUESTION THE VALIDITY OF AREAS OF THE BUDGET AND BY CLOSING OFF THE DEBATE IN THE MANNER THAT THEY DEPRIVED ALL THE PEOPLE IN THE YUKON OF GETTING AREAS ANSWERED IN THE BUDGET WHICH NOW WILL REMAIN UNANSWERED. NO ONE CAN BE ASKED

NOW, AFTER THE BUDGET BECOMES A FACT ACCOMPLI, THIS IN ITSELF IS SO BAD THAT I HOPE THE PEOPLE OF THE YUKON WILL REMEMBER WHAT HAS TAKEN PLACE AND WILL MAKE SURE THAT THESE SAME PEOPLE WILL NEVER HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO IT OVER AGAIN. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SOME MEMBERS: QUESTION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: DO YOU AGREE?

SOME MEMBERS: AGREE.

SOME MEMBERS: DISAGREE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THOSE WHO ARE AGREED, KINDLY SIGNIFY? I MUST DECLARE THAT THE MOTION IS CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WHAT IS YOUR PLEASURE AT THIS TIME? DO YOU WISH TO GO ON TO SESSIONAL PAPERS, BILLS, MOTIONS?

WE HAVE BILL NO. 4, FINANCIAL AGREEMENT ORDINANCE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER IF NOW, WHETHER NOW WE COULD GET THE PAPER ON KINDERGARTEN AND THE PROPOSED TAX FROM.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD THIS BE AGREEABLE TO THE ADMINISTRATION?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. CHAIRMAN'S SUGGESTION THAT WE GO TO BILL NO. 4 SEEMS LIKE A REASONABLE ONE. I THINK ALL MEMBERS WOULD LIKE TO CLEAR THAT ONE UP TOO.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF WE CAN, IF EITHER ONE OF THESE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WILL INDICATE NOW THAT THEY WILL BE BRINGING FORWARD THE PROGRAM FOR THE PROPOSED KINDERGARTENS.

MRS. WATSON: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL BE BRINGING IT FORWARD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHEN?

MRS. WATSON: ON A DAY FOLLOWING, MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ON A DAY FOLLOWING WHEN? NEXT CHRISTMAS, MR. CHAIRMAN?

MR. CHAIRMAN: COULD THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM CARMACKS-KLUANE INDICATE WHEN THEY WILL BE BRINGING THIS KINDERGARTEN BILL IN?

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS SOON AS THIS IS POSSIBLE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MRS. WATSON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WILL BE DEALT WITH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHEN?

MR. TANNER: VERY SHORTLY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT WE WILL PROCEED FROM THIS GAME TO BILL NO. 4. THIS IS THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT ORDINANCE 1974.

MR. CHAIRMAN READS BILL NO. 4. CLEAR?

MR. MCKINNON: MR. CHAIRMAN, PRIOR TO GOING AND FURTHER, I WONDER WHETHER WE COULD HAVE SOME EXPLANATION FROM MR. MILLER ON THE 30% OF PERSONAL, THE GRANT IN LIEU OF INCOME TAX IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,046,000. THIS REPRESENTS 30% OF PERSONAL AND 10% OF CORPORATE INCOME TAXES ESTIMATED TO BE PAID BY YUKONERS. HOW CLOSE AN ESTIMATE DOES MR. MILLER FEEL THAT THAT AMOUNT IS?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN'T GIVE YOU ANY DEGREE OF CERTAINTY THAT THOSE FIGURES ARE CORRECT. THE FIRST YEAR THAT THIS WAS PUT INTO PLAY FOR THE YUKON TERRITORY WAS THE 1972-73 FISCAL YEAR. I HAVE JUST ASKED THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE TO GIVE US A COMPARISON BETWEEN THEIR ESTIMATES AND THE ACTUAL BECAUSE THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THEY ARE AVAILABLE. INCOME TAX FIGURES ARE NOT AVAILABLE UNTIL TWO YEARS AFTER FILING OF THE RETURNS. NOW I'M ASSURED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE THAT THE FORMULA THAT THEY USE TO CALCULATE THIS GRANT IN LIEU OF INCOME TAX IS IDENTICAL TO THE FORMULA THAT THEY USE IN THE PROVINCES, TO CALCULATE THEIR INCOME TAX ABATEMENT WHICH IS THEN ADJUSTED TWO YEARS AFTER THE TAX YEAR.

MR. MCKINNON: THE POINT, MR. CHAIRMAN, I AGREE THAT THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE A SENSIBLE

FORMULA AS FAR AS THE ABATEMENT IN REGARDS TO PERSONAL INCOME TAX, THE AMOUNT COMING BACK WOULD BE PRETTY CLOSE, BUT CERTAINLY IT'S A WHOLE NEW BALL GAME IN THE FIELD OF CORPORATION TAX WHERE MOST OF THE CORPORATIONS IN THE PROVINCE WOULD BE PAYING THAT CORPORATE TAX IN THE PROVINCE AND THAT WOULD BE REFLECTED BY THE AMOUNT OF REBATE COMING BACK FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. OF COURSE THIS IS NOT TRUE IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM IN THE YUKON TERRITORY WHERE ALL THE MAJOR CORPORATE STRUCTURES ARE PAYING TAX IN OTHER PROVINCES. SO REALLY THE PROVINCES ARE BENEFITING FROM THE FORMULA TO OUR ABATEMENTS AND WE ARE SUFFERING BECAUSE OF IT. AND THE POINT IS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS MAKING THIS TYPE OF DELINIATION THAT THEY WANT TO BE ABLE TO TREAT THE YUKONERS AS ANY OTHER TERRITORY. IF THEY AREN'T MR. CHAIRMAN, THEN THEY HAD BETTER GET SOME ESTIMATED FIGURES THAT ARE PRETTY CLOSE TO FACT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX ABATEMENT THAT APPLIES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY IS CALCULATED ON THE SAME BASIS AS CORPORATE INCOME TAX ABATEMENTS FOR THE PROVINCES. I THINK AS ALL MEMBERS KNOW, CORPORATIONS FILE THEIR INCOME TAX STATEMENT SHOWING THE PROVINCE OF EARNING. PERSONAL INCOME TAXES ARE FILED ON THE BASIS OF RESIDENTS ON DECEMBER 31ST OF THE TAX YEAR. SO CORPORATE INCOME TAX, I'M REASONABLY SURE MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT SUBJECT TO A COMPARISON BETWEEN ESTIMATE AND ACTUAL THAT WE ARE GETTING WHAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO. WHERE WE HAVE OUR MAJOR PROBLEM IS THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS EARNED IN THE YUKON TERRITORY BY SUMMER SEASONAL PEOPLE WHO THEN LEAVE THE TERRITORY TO RESIDE IN ALBERTA OR BRITISH COLUMBIA FOR THE PERIOD OF THE WINTER AND FILE THEIR INCOME TAX RETURNS DOWN THERE. WE DON'T GET CREDIT FOR THAT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: PERHAPS, MR. CHAIRMAN, I WILL PUT IT TO MR. MILLER THIS WAY AND I THINK THE POINT THAT HAS BEEN RAISED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST IS SOMETHING THAT HAS DISTURBED ME FOR MANY MANY YEARS. TAKE FOR INSTANCE THE WHITE PASS CORPORATION. THEIR OPERATIONS ARE BOTH IN B.C. AND THE YUKON. THEY DO NOT SEPARATE THEIR EARNINGS BECAUSE THEY CANNOT SEPARATE THEIR EARNINGS. THEY CAN'T SEPARATE THEIR EARNINGS BECAUSE PART OF THE TRAFFIC THAT TAKE PLACE, TAKES PLACE COMMENCING FROM VANCOUVER, B.C. AND PART OF IT GOES WHEN IT'S EARNINGS ARE FROM SKAGWAY INTO THE YUKON. NOW THAT'S ONE COMPANY. NOW THERE ARE MINING

COMPANIES WHO HAVE OPERATIONS AND DO BUSINESS IN VARIOUS PROVINCES AND THE YUKON AND THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES. AND CONSEQUENTLY WHEN THEY FILE THEIR RETURNS, THEY FILE THEIR RETURNS FROM THEIR HOME OFFICE. NOW I KNOW THIS, I'VE TAKEN THIS UP WITH VARIOUS PEOPLE IN OTTAWA AND THEY HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY HAVE NO WAY OF SEPARATING THESE ITEMS AND IT IS BECAUSE THIS SITUATION HAS DEVELOPED OVER MANY MANY YEARS THAT THERE WAS A REQUEST MADE AND I'M NOT SURE WHETHER I HAVE THE LETTER IN PARTICULAR BUT I THINK I WROTE THE LETTER MYSELF POINTING OUT THE ONLY WAY TO OVER COME THIS IS TO MAKE EVERY CORPORATION THAT DOES BUSINESS IN THE YUKON A YUKON CORPORATION AND THAT THEY FILE THEIR RETURNS SEPARATELY FOR THE YUKON AND IN THAT WAY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT KNOW WHAT INCOME TAX HAS BEEN PAID. AT THE MOMENT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE WEST IS QUITE CORRECT. WE TAKE A BEATING BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT CORPORATION TAXES ARE BEING PAID TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FROM EARNINGS DISTINCTLY FROM THE YUKON. AND THE ANVIL MINING CORPORATION IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH OF IT GOES TO THEIR PARENT COMPANY AS WELL. AND THIS IS SOMETHING. THEY HAVE A PARENT COMPANY. AND THIS IS SOMETHING AS WELL THAT HAS TO BE LOOKED INTO. I THINK THAT THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE PRESSURING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE LEGISLATION THAT ALL CORPORATIONS WHETHER THEY BE CORPORATIONS WITH A NATIONAL CHARTER OR NOT, BE REGISTERED AS CORPORATIONS WITHIN THE MEANING OF OUR LEGISLATION AND FILE THEIR RETURNS ON THEIR PROFIT EARNINGS AND TAX PAYMENTS IN THE YUKON.

MR. MILLER: WELL, MR. CHAIRMAN, I CAN ONLY REITERATE WHAT I SAID EARLIER. CORPORATE INCOME TAX IS FILED BY PROVINCE OF EARNING AND CERTAINLY I'M SURE THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE DOING BUSINESS IN THE YUKON PARTICULARLY WHEN YOU GET TO AGENTS AND THESE TYPES OF PEOPLE, WHERE THE YUKON DOESN'T GET CREDIT FOR THE AMOUNT EARNED BY THE SENIOR CORPORATIONS. BUT WHITE PASS WOULD HAVE TO FILE AN INCOME TAX SHOWING EARNINGS IN B.C., IN ALASKA AND IN THE YUKON TOO. ANVIL MINING CORPORATION FILES AN INCOME TAX SHOWING EARNINGS IN THE YUKON.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY, MR. CHAIRMAN. TAKE THE WHITE PASS CORPORATION WHO HAVE THEIR EARNINGS BULKED. THEIR FINANCIAL STATEMENT EACH YEAR SHOW A BULK EARNING IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY AS ALL THE CORPORATIONS

THAT HAVE THEIR HEAD OFFICES, THEY SHOW A BULK EARNING AND I HAVEN'T SEEN A BREAKDOWN OF THE WHITE PASS. AND I UNDERSTAND THE WHITE PASS ARE GOING TO HAVE A SHAREHOLDERS MEETING HERE AND I HAVE 24 LITTLE OLD SHARES WITH WHITE PASS, YOU KNOW BECAUSE OF SOME REASON THAT I WANTED TO GET TO SPEAK TO PEOPLE AND THEY DIDN'T WANT TO TALK TO ME AND THE BEST WAY WAS TO BUY SOME SHARES AND THEN I CAN GO TO A SHAREHOLDERS MEETING AND THEN TALK.

AND I'M GOING TO GO THERE AND ASK THEM TO DELINEATE THE EARNINGS THAT THEY GET IN B.C. AND THE EARNINGS HERE AND YOU WILL FIND THAT THE ANSWER WILL BE THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE A BREAKDOWN IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA. THEY CAN'T HAVE A BREAKDOWN IN THIS AREA. AND THIS IS THE SAME WITH ALL CORPORATIONS.

MR. MILLER: I SUBMIT MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THEY CAN HAVE A BREAKDOWN. I CAN DO IT FOR THEM IF THEY GIVE ME THE FIGURES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WHEN THEY CHARGE A RATE STRUCTURE YOU ONLY HAVE TO LOOK AT THEIR RATE BOOK AND THEIR RATE BOOK WILL SHOW A FREIGHT CHARGE, LET'S SAY FROM VANCOUVER TO WHITEHORSE. THEN THEIR RATE STRUCTURE WILL SHOW A RATE STRUCTURE FROM WHITEHORSE TO ALL THE OTHER COMMUNITIES. NOW THIS IS EARNING POWER WITHIN THE YUKON. THEY CAN RELATE THAT, BUT HOW CAN THEY BREAK DOWN THEIR EARNINGS WHICH ARE FROM VANCOUVER ALL THE WAY IN?

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, BEFORE THE MEMBER ANSWERS THE QUESTION, WE'VE GOT AN EXPERT HERE I THINK. HE'S WORKED FOR THE COMPANY WHICH IS EARNING FUNDS ALL OVER THE WORLD. PERHAPS HE CAN GIVE US SOME INDICATION MR. HUBERDEAU HOW HIS COMPANY WOULD HAVE DONE IT IN THE PAST.

MR. HUBERDEAU: CORPORATIONS FILE TAX. HARD AIR FOR EXAMPLE FILES TAX AND GIVES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT A NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES AND THE GROSS SALES BURNED IN EACH JURISDICTION. AND THAT'S USED TO APPORTION THE TAX.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: JUST A MINUTE. NOW THAT'S THE GROSS EARNED. ALRIGHT NOW, DO THEY GIVE FOR EACH AREA THE NET EARNINGS AS A RESULT OF OPERATIONS IN THAT AREA?

MR. HUBERDEAU: NO. THE THE TAXES ARE APPORTIONED ON THE BASIS OF WEIGHTED EMPLOYEES AND GROSS SALES IN THE JURISDICTION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: JUST ENLIGHTEN ME A LITTLE BIT MORE. WHEN YOU SAY ON GROSS SALES IN THE JURISDICTION, IS IT MEANT MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IN SOME AREAS WHERE YOU CAN HAVE GROSS SALES HIGHER THAN OTHERS, THE COST OF OPERATING AND GETTING THOSE GROSS SALES MAY BE HIGHER, ON THE OTHER HAND THEY MAY BE LOWER. SO THAT THE NET PROFITS CAN BE GREATER IN ONE AREA THAN ANOTHER.

MR. TANNER: WELL THAT'S JUST BUSINESS.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THAT AGREED WITH?

MR. HUBERDEAU: IT CAN BE AGREED WITH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. NOW I'M SUGGESTING THEREFORE THAT THE EARNINGS IN THE YUKON BECOME FAR GREATER EARNINGS THAN WHAT THEY ARE IN OTHER PROVINCES WHERE THEY FILE THEIR RETURNS AND THEREFORE EVEN IF IT'S BASED, MR. CHAIRMAN, ON WHAT HAS BEEN SAID BY THE TREASURER, IT MAY WELL BE THAT THE TRUE NET RESULT OF INCOME TAX PAYABLE IS NOT SHOWN BUT AN AVERAGE BASED OVER THE NUMBER OF AREAS THE GROSS SALES ARE OBTAINED FROM. IS THIS CORRECT?

MR. HUBERDEAU: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE JUST INDICATED THE BASIS ON WHICH THE RETURNS ARE FILED BY A CORPORATION. I WON'T TRY TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S FAIR AND EQUITABLE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: AH! WELL ALRIGHT, O.K. LET'S GO ON FROM THERE. SO AT LEAST WE'VE GOT NOW AN ADMISSION, I WOULD SAY THAT THE METHOD THAT'S BEING USED IS NOT NECESSARILY FAIR AND EQUITABLE. AND I'M SUGGESTING MR. CHAIRMAN THAT THE ONLY FAIR AND EQUITABLE MANNER FOR US IN THE YUKON TO KNOW WHAT CORPORATION TAX IS BEING PAID IS THAT THE CORPORATION FILE IT'S RETURN FOR IT'S GROSS EARNINGS AND ACCORDINGLY FOR THE TAXES THAT IT PAYS WITHIN THE YUKON. WOULDN'T THAT BE A FAIR AND EQUITABLE MANNER OF ASSESSING THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S BEING PAID FROM EARNINGS IN THE YUKON?

MR. HUBERDEAU: I WOULD THINK THAT IF ALL THE SALES ARE MADE OUT OF THE YUKON AND ALL THE COSTS ARE INCURRED IN THE YUKON, THAT IN THAT INSTANCE ALL THE PROFITS WOULD BE ALLOCATED TO THE YUKON.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS JUST ONE THING WRONG WITH THE THEORY THAT THE HONOURABLE MEMBER HAS PUT FORWARD AND THAT'S THE FACT THAT EACH JURISDICTION IS GOING TO BE LOOKING AFTER IT'S OWN AND EACH ONE IS GOING TO BE IN THERE

MAKING SURE THAT THEY GET THEIR FAIR SHARE AND BY THAT METHOD ALONE, OBVIOUSLY THE RETURNING CORPORATION IS GOING TO BE VERY CAREFUL IT DOESN'T TREAD ON ANY ONE OF THE THREE JURISDICTION'S TOES. SO OBVIOUSLY, IF THEY INFLATE IN ONE AREA, IT'S GOING TO APPEAR AS A DEFICIT IN THE OTHER AREA AND SO THE ONE THAT HAS THE DEFICIT IS GOING TO ACCUSE THE WHITE PASS AS NOT PUTTING IN A FAIR RETURN AND CONSEQUENTLY, IT IS GOING TO TAKE THEM TO COURT,

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SO YOUR ARGUMENT DOESN'T HOLD WATER.

MR. TANNER: I DON'T UNDERSTAND IT. DO YOU MERV?

MRS. WATSON: YES, I DO.

MR. MCKINNON: OUR ONLY HOPE IS THAT YOU GO DOWN TO WHITE PASS MEETINGS AND STRAIGHTEN THEM OUT.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I UNDERSTOOD THE LAST EXPLANATION AS WELL AS I UNDERSTOOD THE EXPLANATION OF THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FOR WHITEHORSE - -.

SOME MEMBERS: CONFUSION AND LAUGHTER.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAYBE I COULD JUST MAKE ONE POINT THAT WOULD HELP CLARIFY THIS. THIS FORMULA THAT IS USED TO CALCULATE INCOME TAX ABATEMENT IS A FORMULA THAT HAS BEEN AGREED UPON BETWEEN THE FEDERAL AND TEN PROVINCIAL PROVINCES. NOW CERTAINLY WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY INPUT INTO IT BUT WE DON'T HAVE A STAFF OF 200 PEOPLE WHO ARE WORKING ON IT EITHER, WHICH MOST OF THE PROVINCES HAVE. SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT INPUT WE COULD PUT INTO IT AT THIS STAGE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES BUT THE POINT THAT'S BEING MADE!! MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER IS SO CORRECT. HE HAS SAID THAT THE FORMULA WAS CREATED BETWEEN TEN PROVINCIAL JURISDICTIONS AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND NOT US! AND WE'RE SAYING WHY AREN'T WE CONSIDERED WHEN THE EARNING POWER IS RIGHT HERE? THAT'S THE POINT. I AGREE THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN CONSIDERED IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA, AND THAT'S WHAT WRONG. THAT'S THE THING THAT'S WRONG WITH IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE ONLY POINT THAT I HAD NOTICED DURING THIS DEBATE IS THAT IN 1972, THE TOTAL ABATEMENT WOULD HAVE AMOUNTED TO 3.68 MILLION. AND THEN IN '73 IT AMOUNTED

TO A TOTAL ABATEMENT OF 24.6 WHICH IS ALMOST A ONE MILLION DOLLAR INCREASE. BUT NOW IN PROJECTING FROM '73 TO '74, WE'RE PROJECTING LESS THAN A MILLION DOLLAR INCREASE. WE'RE GOING FROM 4.6 TO 5.4. I'M WONDERING WHY? HOW DOES THAT RELATE? A LESSER SHARE OF THE POT?

MR. MILLER: NO IT'S NOT A LESSER SHARE OF THE POT. I THINK WHAT THAT INDICATES BETWEEN THE 72-73 AND 73-74 FISCAL YEARS, IT WAS A LARGER RATE OF GROWTH THEN WHAT'S BEING EXPERIENCED BETWEEN THE YEAR BEFORE.

NOW YOU MUST ALL RECOGNIZE THAT NONE OF THESE FIGURES INCLUDE TAX FROM ANVIL MINING CORPORATION OR WHITEHORSE COPPER OR ANY OF THE MAJOR MINING OPERATIONS BECAUSE THEY DON'T PAY TAX AS YET. THEY ARE STILL IN THEIR TAX FREE PERIOD.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ONE MORE YEAR ISN'T IT?

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS IS THEIR LAST YEAR.

MR. MILLER: WELL ANVIL MINING CORPORATION LAST YEAR SET UP FIVE MILLION DOLLARS ON THEIR BOOKS AS DEFERRED TAX WHICH MEANS THEY ARE OUT OF THE THREE YEAR TAX FREE PERIOD, BUT THEY'VE STILL GOT AN ADDITIONAL TWO YEARS OF ACCUMULATED CAPITAL COST ALLOWANCE AND DEPRECIATION TO USE UP.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: A QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK MR. MILLER. DOES MR. MILLER CONSIDER MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE YUKON IS GETTING IT'S FAIR SHARE OF CREDIT FOR THE TAX THAT IS PAID BY WAY OF INCOME TAX VIA PERSONAL TAXES AND CORPORATION TAXES?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MAINTAIN THAT WE ARE GETTING OUR FAIR SHARE UNDER THE SYSTEM THAT IS BEING USED. I QUALIFIED IT. PERSONAL INCOME TAX WE HAVE DETERMINED, THAT THERE IS ROUGHLY 25% OF THE MONEY EARNED IN THE YUKON BY PEOPLE WORKING HERE IS NOT REPORTED AS INCOME TAX IN THE YUKON TERRITORY. UNFORTUNATELY THE CANADIAN PARLIAMENT HAS NOT DEEMED IT ADVISEABLE TO, ON PERSONAL INCOME TAX, HAVE ON THE REPORT WHERE THE INCOME WAS EARNED. THEY STILL USE THE RESIDENCE AS OF DECEMBER 31ST. OF THAT AREA, I AGREE WE ARE BEING SHORT SHIFTED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN WHAT AMOUNT OF DOLLARS APPROXIMATELY WOULD YOU SAY?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE'RE PROBABLY TALKING SOMEWHERE IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF EIGHT HUNDRED THOUSAND TO A MILLION DOLLARS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: JUST FROM THE CHAIR AGAIN, I'M WONDERING IF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBER COULD ADVISE ME AS TO WHAT THE GRANT IN LIEU OF TAX WAS IN FACT IN LAST YEAR'S FISCAL AGREEMENT WITH OTTAWA?

MR. MILLER: SORRY MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T HAVE THE EXACT FIGURE. IT WAS APPROXIMATELY 4.5 MILLION DOLLARS I BELIEVE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'LL JUST ASK MADAM CLERK TO SEE IF SHE COULD SCARE UP A COPY OF THE BILL. I'LL TELL YOU THE REASON WHY. I'M CURIOUS BECAUSE - 4.5? IF IT WAS 4.5 IN THE AGREEMENT WE HAD A CORPORATE INCOME ABATEMENT OF A TOTAL OF 4.6. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE OTHER, YOU KNOW, DO WE AT ANY POINT GET CREDIT FOR THE OVERAGE.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FIGURE, I THINK IF YOU'RE READING OFF THE SESSIONAL PAPER THAT WAS TABLED ON THIS MATTER, THAT WAS THE EXACT FIGURE, THAT WAS THE AMOUNT THAT WAS IN THE LAST YEAR'S FISCAL AGREEMENT.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE FIGURE I THINK, THAT YOU ARE READING OFF THE SESSIONAL PAPER THAT WAS TABLED ON THIS MATTER. THAT WAS THE EXACT FIGURE. THAT WAS THE AMOUNT THAT WAS IN THE LAST YEAR'S FISCAL AGREEMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WELL HOW COULD IT BE IN THE FISCAL AGREEMENT. WAS THAT STRICTLY COINCIDENCE?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THE GRANT IN LIEU OF INCOME TAX, WAS AN ESTIMATED CALCULATED FIGURE. IT IS NOT THE ACTUAL FIGURE. THE ACTUALS WON'T BE KNOWN FOR TWO YEARS AFTER THAT DATE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: BUT IF THERE IS ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE ACTUAL AND THE ESTIMATED LIKE IN THIS AGREEMENT, FOR INSTANCE IN THIS AGREEMENT, WE ARE GETTING A GRANT IN LIEU FOR 5.4 AND IT IS THEN SUBSEQUENTLY FOUND, THAT INDEED IT WAS 6.4. WOULD WE AT SOME POINT GET THE CREDIT OF THAT EXTRA MILLION.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, OUR AGREEMENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, NO WE WILL GET THE BENEFIT OF THAT EXTRA MILLION. BUT CONVERSELY IF IT IS ONLY 4.4, WE WON'T GET STUCK TO PAY BACK THE MILLION DOLLARS. THIS IS A VERY

REAL SITUATION MR. CHAIRMAN. THE PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN LAST YEAR WAS STUCK WITH PAYING BACK 21 MILLION DOLLARS OF MONEY THEY HAD TWO YEARS BEFORE. IT IS A REAL LIVE SITUATION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER MR. CHAIRMAN, WHILE MR. CHAIRMAN IS LOOKING AT THE DOCUMENTS, COULD WE GET SOME EXPLANATION AS TO WHY THERE WAS A REDUCTION FROM 10% TO 5% OF THE POSSIBLE TRANSFER FROM PROJECT CAPITAL TO, RATHER FROM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TO PROJECT CAPITAL. WHAT WAS THE BACKGROUND OF THAT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS IS AN INSTANCE WHERE THE OLD AGREEMENT USED TO SAY 10%. WE HAVE ONLY USED THAT SECTION OF THE AGREEMENT, ONCE. IT WAS FOR A MATTER OF \$400,000. THE PROBLEM THAT CAME ABOUT WHICH CAUSED THE CHANGE, HAPPENED WITH OUR FRIENDS ACROSS THE MOUNTAINS WHO USED THE THING INDESCRIMINATELY. I MAY USE THAT TERM. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FELT THEY HAD TO CUT BACK FROM 10% TO 5% TO CONTROL THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES NOT THE YUKON.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WON'T CREATE A PROBLEM.

MR. MILLER: QUITE FRANKLY MR. CHAIRMAN, WE DIDN'T OPOSE THE CHANGE BECAUSE AS FAR AS WE ARE CONCERNED, THAT SECTION OF THE AGREEMENT COULD BE DROPPED ALTOGETHER. BUT FOR CONFORMITY OF AGREEMENTS, THEY WANTED THE THING CHANGED. WE HAD NO OBJECTION TO IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IF WE CAN, IF WE NEEDED TO DO A TRANSFER FROM O & M TO CAPITAL, WE COULD DO IT IN THE OVERALL BUDGET I THINK.

MR. TANNER: IT WOULD BE MORE LIKELY THE OTHER WAY.

MR. MILLER: ACCORDANCE WITH THIS AGREEMENT, THAT IS CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IN THAT CASE, CAN WE GO FROM PROJECT CAPITAL TO O & M? IN OTHER WORDS CAN WE TRANSFER FROM ONE TO THE OTHER?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IT IS A ONE SIDED AGREEMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: UNFORTUNATELY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I'M STILL CONFUSED ON THIS QUESTION OF THESE FIGURES. WHEN WE TALK IN THE BILL BEFORE US OF A FIGURE EQUAL TO \$5,446,000, IS THAT IN FACT THE ESTIMATED FIGURE FOR LAST YEAR?

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT REFLECTS THE ESTIMATED INCOME TAX THAT WILL BE PAID IN THE YUKON ON THE ABATEMENT THAT WE WOULD BE INTITULED TO ON INCOME TAX PAID IN 1974.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: SO THIS CURRENT FISCAL YEAR.

Mr. MILLER: THE CURRENT TAXATION YEAR, 1974.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHICH IS A CALENDAR YEAR.

Mr. MILLER: WHICH IS A CALENDAR YEAR EXCEPT FOR CORPORATION.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHAT I AM HAVING DIFFICULTY EQUATING IS THE FIGURES, HOW YOU CAN FORGET IN YOUR BILL OF ONE YEAR AGO IN 1973, YOU.. THERE IS SOMETHING WRONG HERE SOMEWHERE BECAUSE WHAT YOU DO IS, YOU SAY, SOMETHING IS WRONG EITHER THIS BILL OR I SHOULD SAY THE SESSIONAL PAPER IS OUT OF WHACK. THIS IS WHAT I AM GETTING AT, FOR THIS REASON, YOU SAID THIS BILL WAS ASSENTED TO OF 1973, YOU SAID, AS PAYMENT IN LIEU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY LEVYING PERSONAL CORPORATE INCOME TAX, AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO \$4,637,000. THIS WAS, AS YOU SAY, PROJECTED. THIS IS WHAT WAS ASSUMED WOULD BE RAISED BY CORPORATE IN INCOME TAX. IS THIS CORRECT?

Mr. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: ALRIGHT, IN YOUR PAPER THOUGH, YOU SAY THAT YOU TAKE PERSONAL INCOME ABATEMENT IN A 1973. WHAT YOU ARE SAYING HERE IS THE ACTUAL INCOME FOR BOTH PERSONAL AND CORPORATE INCOMES WAS \$4,637,196 AGAIN.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN THAT IS THE ESTIMATED AMOUNT. THE ACTUAL AMOUNTS OF 1973 WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL JUNE OF 1975. FOR PERSONAL INCOME TAX AND CORPORATE INCOME TAX IT WILL JANUARY OF 1976 BEFORE THE ACTUAL TAX ABATEMENT WILL BE KNOWN FOR 1973.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: SO THESE FIGURES IN FACT ARE BASED ON.....

Mr. MILLER: THAT IS THE BEST INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE Mr. CHAIRMAN.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: BUT IT IS NOT THE ACTUALS?

Mr. MILLER: IT IS NOT THE ACTUALS.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: THAT IS GOOD TO KNOW, I WAS BASING THAT ON ACTUALS.

Mr. CHAMBERLIST: DOES THIS MEAN AS WELL Mr. CHAIRMAN, THAT THERE IS AT LEAST TWO YEARS BEFORE WE KNOW WHETHER WE ARE ABLE TO MEET OUR WAY, THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT IS ABLE TO MEET ITS OWN WAY, ITS OWN COMMITMENTS WITHOUT BEING SUBSIDIZED IN ANY WAY ON O & M. IS THIS RIGHT?

Mr. MILLER: NO I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE QUITE CORRECT Mr. CHAIRMAN. TO BE PRECISE ABOUT IT, YES IT WOULD TAKE TWO YEARS AFTER THE DAY WE THOUGHT WE COULD MAKE IT. BUT IN MY CONVERSATIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO WHILE I WAS IN OTTAWA, I HAVE ASKED THEM TO HAVE A LOOK AT THEIR CALCULATION, PARTICULARLY WITH RESPECT TO MAJOR MINING CORPORATIONS WITHIN THE TERRITORY COMING ON THE TAX STREAM, EITHER IN 75 OR IN 76 SO THEY TAKE THAT INTO THEIR CALCULATIONS FOR ESTIMATING PURPOSES RATHER THAN HAVING TO WAIT TWO TO TWO AND A HALF YEARS AFTER THEY GO ON STREAM BEFORE WE GET THAT REFLECT.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: WHEN THE FINANCIAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE HAVE CONCLUDED THEIR DISCUSSIONS RELATED TO THE BUDGET, WHO IN ACTUAL FACT GOES AND SITS DOWN AND NEGOTIATES THAT BUDGET WITH THE TREASURY BOARD FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY? WHO ARE COMPOSED OF THAT?

Mr. MILLER: NOBODY GOES AND SITS WITH THE TREASURY BOARD. THE PROCEDURE THAT HAS BEEN FOLLOWED FOR SOME NUMBER OF YEARS, EVER SINCE I'VE BEEN HERE AT LEAST, I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED BEFORE THAT, THERE IS AN INTER DEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL TERRITORIAL FINANCIAL RELATIONS. THE COMMITTEE IS COMPRISED OF MEMBERS OF THE INDIAN AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT, MEMBERS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE TREASURY BOARD SECRETARIAT. THE COMBINED BOARD SITS AND ASKS QUESTIONS OF THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT, EITHER THE COMMISSIONER OR MYSELF.

Mr. CHAIRMAN: IS THIS AN INDIVIDUAL OR DO WE SEND DOWN A TEAM OF NEGOTIATORS.

Mr. MILLER: Mr. CHAIRMAN, IT VARIES. I'VE BEEN THERE WITH THE COMMISSIONER, I'VE BEEN THERE AS TREASURER, I'VE BEEN THERE WITH THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF ADMINISTRATION, I'VE BEEN THERE WITH FIVE OR SIX DEPARTMENTS HEADS AND THE COMMISSIONER. SO IT VARIES FROM YEAR TO YEAR. RECENTLY, IT HAS BEEN EITHER THE COMMISSIONER AND MYSELF AS TREASURER OR ALTERNATELY THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER AND MYSELF AS TREASURER. RECENTLY WE WERE DOWN, I WAS THERE AND FRANK FINGLAND WAS THERE AND JOHN HUBERDEAU WAS THERE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WOULD IT NOT SEEM REASONABLE, WHAT I AM REALLY GETTING AT, I CAN RECALL SERVING ON SEVERAL FINANCE COMMITTEES IN THE PAST AND IT SEEMS THAT WHEN WE GOT THE INPUT AT THE OTTAWA LEVEL BEFORE THE FINANCIAL COMMITTEE THAT YOU HAVE REFERRED TO, THAT WE OFTEN GOT THEM TO CHANGE THEIR MINDS AND WE CAME OUT BETTER FOR IT. WE GOT A BETTER APPROPRIATION THAN WHAT INITIALLY WAS ASKED FOR. I AM JUST WONDERING IF IT WOULDN'T BE ADVISABLE TO HAVE ON THAT COMMITTEE, ONE OF THE ELECTED MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AT ALL TIMES, WHEN NEGOTIATING AGREEMENTS WITH OTTAWA.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN IN THE LAST THREE YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN ATTENDING THE INTER DEPARTMENTAL COMMITTEE MEETINGS, WE HAVE GOT EVERY SINGLE CENT THAT WE HAVE ASKED FOR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: OH YES, BUT WE WENT FURTHER THAN THAT. WE TALKED THEM INTO MORE MONEY ON CERTAIN PROJECTS AND THIS TYPE OF THING.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE ABILITY TO GET MONEY IN THE LAST THREE YEARS HAS ONLY BEEN LIMITED BY OUR ABILITY TO SPEND AND THINK OF NEW PROGRAMS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: WE CERTAINLY CERTAINLY HAVE FOUND SOME NEW ONES FOR THIS TRIP.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T DISAGREE.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IN SERIOUSNESS I THINK THAT SOME ELECTED MEMBER SHOULD BE ON THAT BUT OF COURSE THAT IS ONE OPINION.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THAT ITS THE MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE THEMSELVES, THEY SHOULD BE PRETTY FIRM AND SAY THAT IN FUTURE THERE SHOULD BE SOME POLITICAL INPUT, AT LEAST ONE OF THEM, INTO THE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE TREASURY BOARD ON FINANCE. WHEN I SAY THE TREASURY BOARD, I AM TALKING ABOUT THOSE PARTICULAR OFFICERS WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR AGREEING TO THE BUDGET BECAUSE HOW CAN THERE REALLY BE POLITICAL INPUT SIMPLY, AT AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE LEVEL. I KNOW, I WILL SAY THIS THAT I WAS VERY LAX IN NOT INSISTING THAT THIS TAKE PLACE BECAUSE IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN. UP UNTIL ABOUT FIVE YEARS AGO, THERE WERE ALWAYS MEMBERS OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FINANCE THAT WERE ABLE TO PUT SOME POLITICAL INPUT IN JUST BY BEING THERE.

IT SHOWS THAT IF YOU MOVE TOWARD A RESPONSIBLE AREA OF GOVERNMENT, THE ONLY WAY THAT YOU CAN REALLY GET RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT IS THROUGH THE PURSE STRINGS. AT LEAST HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT TAKES PLACE THERE WOULD BE VERY IMPORTANT. I THINK THERE SHOULD BE SOME CHANGES AND IT IS UP TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO MAKE THAT RECOMMENDATION.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HAVE YOU ANYTHING FURTHER ON PART 2? PART 3 (1) SUBJECT TO THIS PART, THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO AND EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AN AGREEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA WHICH WILL PROVIDE, (A) THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA WILL PAY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY (1) AS AN OPERATING GRANT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1974-75, AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO \$5,187,000. (2) AS A PAYMENT IN LIEU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY LEVYING PERSONAL AND CORPORATE INCOME TAXES AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO \$5,446,000 AND, (3) IN RESPECT OF THE PERIOD FROM THE FIRST DAY OF APRIL, 1974 THE 31ST DAY OF MARCH, 1975 AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO ALL MONIES OWED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA AND BECOMING DUE IN THAT YEAR UNDER A LOAN AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO, PURSUANT TO AN ORDINANCE LISTED IN THE SCHEDULE TO THIS ORDINANCE, MINUS ALL MONIES PAID TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY IN THAT YEAR IN RESPECT OF SELF-LIQUIDATING LOANS MADE BY THE TERRITORY WITH MONIES BORROWED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA PURSUANT TO ANY SUCH LOAN AGREEMENT AS CERTIFIED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND (B) CONSIDERATIONS THEREOF, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL SUSPEND AND REFRAIN AND WILL REQUIRE LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICTS IN THE TERRITORY TO SUSPEND AND REFRAIN FROM THE IMPOSITION, LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES IN RESPECT OF THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 1974 AND ENDING ON THE 31ST DAY OF DECEMBER, 1974.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I'VE GOT TWO QUESTIONS...

MR. CHAIRMAN: I BELIEVE THAT SHOULD BE DECEMBER, 1975 SHOULDN'T IT? NO, 31ST DAY OF DECEMBER 19...

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I'VE TWO QUESTIONS.

MR. CHAIRMAN: YES, OK.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: FIRSTLY, THIS LIMITS TO A MAXIMUM OF \$5,446,000 BECAUSE THE WAY IT READS, A PAYMENT IN LIEU OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY LEVYING PERSONAL, CORPORATE INCOME TAXES, AN AMOUNT EQUAL TO. SO THAT DOESN'T GO BEYOND THAT AMOUNT, DOES IT?

MR. MILLER: THAT IS CORRECT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: SUPPOSING THAT THE ACTUAL PAYMENTS ARE MORE, WHY DO WE NOT GET THE BENEFIT?

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN AT THE MOMENT WE ARE GETTING A GRANT IN LIEU OF INCOME TAX. IT IS A CALCULATED AMOUNT. IF IT IS MORE, WE DON'T GET THE BENEFIT OF IT. IF IT IS LESS, WE DON'T HAVE TO PAY IT BACK.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT ANSWERS ONE QUESTION. THE OTHER QUESTION IS, I WONDER IF MR. MILLER, MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD GIVE AN INTERPRETATION OF THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK THE INTENT, I WOULDN'T SEE ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THOSE TWO ITEMS, BUT THE INTENT IS THAT WE COULDN'T IMPOSE OR ANYBODY ELSE IN THE TERRITORY COULD IMPOSE A TAX CALLED CORPORATION TAXES WHICH WOULD BE BASED ON INCOME OR ANY OTHER MEANS. THAT IS THE INTENT OF IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: DON'T WORRY ABOUT THE INTENT. LET'S TAKE WHAT IS WRITTEN IN HERE. THERE IS A DEFINITE DIFFERENCE HAS BEEN MADE IN THIS LEGISLATION BECAUSE IF THERE WAS NO DIFFERENCE, IT WOULDN'T BE SAID. IT SAYS CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES. I'M TRYING TO ASCERTAIN BECAUSE IT IS IN THE LEGISLATION, WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE. SURELY THERE MUST BE A REASON FOR SOMETHING LIKE THAT BEING PUT IN THERE. UNLESS WE KNOW THE REASON IT IS PRETTY DIFFICULT TO JUST AGREE TO IT.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN I CAN THINK OF ONE INSTANCE WHERE ONE COULD IMPOSE A CORPORATION TAX. IT WOULD PERTAIN PRIMARILY IN THE MINING INDUSTRY. IN B.C. FOR EXAMPLE, JUST RECENTLY THEY IMPOSED A MINING TAX OR A TAX ON CORPORATION IN THE MINING FIELD WHICH IS NOT A CORPORATION INCOME TAX UNDER THE CANADA INCOME TAX ACT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THERE A SUGGESTION THAT NO CORPORATIONS PAY TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN THAT ISN'T THE POINT AT ALL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT ARE THERE CORPORATIONS THAT PAY TAXES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY OTHER THAN INCOME TAX?

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN THAT ISN'T THE POINT AT ALL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: BUT ARE THERE CORPORATIONS THAT DO PAY TAXES IN THE YUKON TERRITORY? OTHER THAN INCOME TAX?

MR. MILLER: SURE, CORPORATIONS PAY PROPERTY TAX.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT AND THIS IS WHY I'M SAYING THAT THE LANGUAGE IS NOT CLEAR BECAUSE IT SAYS HERE THAT IN CONSIDERATION THEREOF THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL SUSPEND AND REFRAIN AND WILL REQUIRE LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DISTRICTS IN THE TERRITORY TO SUSPEND AND REFRAIN FROM THE IMPOSITION, LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES.

I THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN: THERE SHOULD BE A REAL EXAMINATION OF THIS PARTICULAR AREA TAKEN BECAUSE IT WOULD APPEAR WITH THE PASSAGE OF THIS LEGISLATION, IT COULD BE SAID THAT THE LEVYING OF A CORPORATION TAX OF ANY DESCRIPTION WOULD BE IMPROPER. MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. MILLER IS SHAKING HIS HEAD. THERE SHOULD BE AN EXPLANATION GIVEN OF THIS PARTICULAR AREA.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT IS NOT THE INTENT OF THIS BILL AT ALL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: ITS THE SAME THING BUT ITS JUST DAWNED UPON ME IN READING THIS.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE CHAIRMAN HAS A COPY OF LAST YEAR'S BILL. DOESN'T IT READ EXACTLY THE SAME WAY?

MR. CHAIRMAN: ITS THE SAME THING.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN IT HAS JUST DAWNED UPON ME BECAUSE THE WAY I READ IT, I HAVEN'T SEEN IT BEFORE, BUT IT JUST DAWNED ON ME. BECAUSE WE PASSED IT LAST TIME, IT DOESN'T MEAN TO SAY THAT IF WE FIND SOMETHING WRONG WITH IT, THAT WE SHOULDN'T TRY AND CORRECT IT THIS TIME.

MR. TANNER: WERE THERE ANY PROBLEMS WITH IT?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE IS NO PROBLEM. ITS NEVER BEEN RAISED BUT THE POSSIBILITY IS THAT IT CAN BE RAISED NOW.

MR. MILLER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS NO INTENT IN THIS AGREEMENT OR IN THIS ORDINANCE TO DISALLOW ANY PROPERTY TAXES AGAINST CORPORATIONS OR ANYTHING THAT WE WOULD NORMALLY TAX UNDER A TERRITORIAL TAX. ITS JUST THAT WE ARE NOT ALLOWED TO IMPOSE CORPORATION TAXES.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HATE TO BE INSISTANT ON THIS BUT IN THE INTERPRETATION SECTION OF PART I, ALL WE HAD IS FOR INTERPRETATION, IS AGREEMENT, FISCAL YEAR, LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT. WE HAVE NOTHING BEYOND THAT. I THINK THIS IS A QUESTION THAT WE SHOULD GET SOME LEGAL ADVICE ON BECAUSE OF THE MANNER IN WHICH IT RULES. I WOULD ASK MR. CHAIRMAN IF WE HAVE MR. LEGAL ADVISOR TO GIVE US A CLEAR ENUNCIATION OF WHAT IS MEANT IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA. SOME SMART LAWYER MIGHT GET HOLD OF THIS AND SAY THE TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT CANNOT TAX A CORPORATION IN ANY MANNER. IT MAY BE AND I WOULD LIKE TO GET MR. LEGAL ADVISOR IN, COULD WE HAVE MR. LEGAL ADVISOR IN MR. CHAIRMAN? THAT IS WHAT HE IS HERE FOR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MAYAM CLERK IF YOU ARE LISTENING WOULD YOU SEND IN MR. LEGAL ADVISOR? WE WILL JUST CALL A BRIEF RECESS.

RECESS

MR. CHAIRMAN: I NOW CALL COMMITTEE TO ORDER.

(PART OF TAPE MISSING)

MR. CHAMBERLIST: . . . IT SEEMS TO INDICATE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO TAX IN ANY WAY THE CORPORATION BECAUSE IT READS SPECIFICALLY "THAT IN CONSIDERATION THEREOF", AND I WILL INTERJECT THAT MEANS THE MONEY THAT IS COMING BY WAY OF A GRANT, "THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WILL SUSPEND AND REFRAIN, AND WILL REQUIRE LOCAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICTS IN THE TERRITORY TO SUSPEND AND REFRAIN, FROM THE IMPOSITION LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAXES, CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES DURING THE SPECIFIC PERIOD", THAT IS JANUARY, 1974, TO DECEMBER, 1974. I SAY, MR. CHAIRMAN, TO MR. LEGAL ADVISER THAT THERE IS A DISTINCT DIFFERENCE IMPLIED BETWEEN CORPORATION TAXES AND CORPORATION INCOME TAXES. WHAT WOULD THE MEANING OF CORPORATION TAXES BE IN THIS CONTEXT AS IT IS WRITTEN NOW? WOULD WE NOT BE IN A BETTER POSITION TO AMEND THIS PARTICULAR SECTION SO THAT IT'S CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD WHAT WE ARE REFERRING TO AND WHAT WE ARE NOT REFERRING TO.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: PERHAPS, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK EVERYONE KNOWS WHAT INCOME TAXES ARE, BOTH IN RESPECT OF INDIVIDUALS AND IN RESPECT OF CORPORATIONS. I DON'T THINK ANYONE CAN SAY IN GENERAL TERMS WHAT IS MEANT BY THE EXPRESSION "CORPORATION TAXES". I WOULD THINK, HAVING REGARD TO THE NATURE OF THE CLAUSE, THAT IT WILL BE TERMED SOME SORT OF TAX EQUIVALENT TO AN INCOME TAX. IT DOESN'T SAY SO. IT'S A GENERAL WORD AND IT'S NOT DEFINED IN THE INTERPRETATION SECTION. PERHAPS IT SHOULD BE. PERHAPS IT SHOULD BE EXPLAINED. IT MAY BE EXPLAINED BY REFERENCE TO THE AGREEMENT ITSELF AS OPPOSED TO THE ORDINANCE ENABLING US TO SET UP THE AGREEMENT. I WOULDN'T LIKE TO GIVE A QUICK ANSWER TO WHAT CORPORATION TAXES EMBRACES. IT'S SET UP HERE AS A GENERAL WORD, CORPORATION TAXES, AND PERHAPS NEEDS DEFINITION.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I JUST ASK THE LEGAL ADVISER THEN WHO PREPARED THIS ORDINANCE AND WHY IS IT IN THERE THEN?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: I DON'T KNOW WHO PREPARED IT. IT'S AN ONGOING THING AND THE FIRST

PERSON WHO PREPARED IT WHILE HE'S PROBABLY DIFFICULT TO COME BY AT THE MOMENT, IT'S HARD TO GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM, BUT I COULD DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT ON SUNDAY AT MASS I SUPPOSE.

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: LAUGHTER.

MR. STUTTER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WONDER IF HE COULD ANSWER MY SECOND QUESTION. WHY IS IT IN HERE? WHAT IS THE INTENTION OF THOSE THREE WORDS BEING IN THERE?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: THERE MUST BE A REASON FOR IT BECAUSE THERE'S CLEARLY A DIFFERENTIATION BETWEEN CORPORATION INCOME TAX AND CORPORATION TAXES. ANYTHING I WOULD SAY AS TO THE REASON IT BEING IN WOULD BE HAZARDING A GUESS. PERHAPS THERE IS SOME BASIC CORPORATION TAX THAT IS EASY ASCERTAINABLE IF WE KNEW WHERE TO LOOK. I MUST CONFESS I DON'T.

MR. CHAIRMAN: POSSIBLY IS THERE ANY WAY THAT WE COULD HAVE SOME INTERPRETATION ON THIS, MR. MILLER.

MR. MILLER: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, I KNOW PERFECTLY WELL WHAT THE INTENT OF THE THING IS. THE INTENT IS SO THAT WE OR NONE OF THE LOCAL ADMINISTRATION DISTRICTS CAN IMPOSE A GENERAL TYPE TAX ON A CORPORATION, WHETHER IT'S TIED TO INCOME OR OTHERWISE. BY THE SAME TOKEN IN CANADA YOU CANNOT IMPOSE A PAYROLL TAX WHICH IS VERY PERMISSABLE IN EVERY STATE IN THE UNITED STATES. THIS IS THE BASIC INTENT OF IT. NOW I'LL GO BACK TO THE AGREEMENT AND FIND OUT WHAT THE REAL WORDS WERE THAT THEY USED AND THE LOGIC BEHIND IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I WONDER IF WE COULD HAVE A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT WHILE WE ARE DEALING WITH THIS. AFTERALL WE ARE BEING ASKED TO APPROVE - NO THIS IS NOT THE AGREEMENT, THIS IS THE ORDINANCE. THIS IS THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT ORDINANCE. I WONDER IF WE CAN HAVE THE AGREEMENT THAT THIS ORDINANCE IS DEALING WITH BROUGHT BEFORE US. THE ONE THAT WILL BE FOR THIS - FOR THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT ORDINANCE FOR 1974, RATHER THE FINANCIAL AGREEMENT FOR 1974-75.

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO BRING FORWARD THE AGREEMENT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. THANK YOU. I WONDER

IF MR. LEGAL ADVISER WILL GET AN OPINION ON THIS BECAUSE WHAT I AM AFRAID OF, AND PERHAPS MR. LEGAL ADVISER MIGHT RECOGNIZE THIS, IS THAT ALTHOUGH THIS HAS BEEN A CONTINUING THING, I PERSONALLY HAVE NOT NOTICED THE DIFFERENCE IN IT. I HAVE JUST NOTICED IT. IT MAY BE THAT AN ACTION COULD BE TAKEN TO THE EFFECT THAT ANY TAX THAT A CORPORATION PAYS TO THE MUNICIPALITY IS A CORPORATION TAX WITHIN THE MEANING OF THIS SUBSECTION. NOW THERE'S A DANGER THAT THIS MIGHT BE INTERPRETED IN THIS MANNER.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: I AGREE THAT THERE IS. WE CAN SEE A SKELETON BEHIND EVERY BUSH. I THINK THIS MEANS CORPORATION TAXES, THE TYPE MENTIONED BY THE TREASURER. A TAX, SUCH AS MENTIONED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER, IS A PROPERTY TAX WHICH IS APPLIED TO CORPORATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS ALIKE. THAT WOULDN'T COME UNDER THE EXPRESSION CORPORATION TAX. A CORPORATION TAX WOULD BE LIMITED TO A TAX WHICH IS IMPOSED ON A CORPORATION BECAUSE IT IS A CORPORATION AND NOT AN INDIVIDUAL.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL I DON'T KNOW WHETHER MR. LEGAL ADVISER WOULD AGREE OR NOT THAT A LICENSE HAS BEEN INTERPRETED AS A TAX IN SOME AREAS. NOW COULD IT NOT BE THEN SAID THAT A CORPORATION THAT PAYS A LICENSE IS PAYING A TAX? I'M JUST TRYING TO ESTABLISH THAT THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT WOULD CREATE A DIFFICULTY AT A LATER DATE. WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO CLARIFY IT PROPERLY AND WE SHOULD GET IT CLARIFIED. THIS IS WHAT I AM CONCERNED ABOUT.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: PERHAPS, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE SHOULD CLARIFY IT. IT HASN'T CAUSED ANY PROBLEMS SINCE 1898, AND I WOULDN'T ANTICIPATE THAT IT'S GOING TO CAUSE ANY PROBLEMS IN THE FUTURE. BUT ONCE THE POINT HAS BEEN RAISED IT'S GOT TO BE FACED AND DEALT WITH.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I KNOW A VERY HEALTHY MAN. HE WAS 75 BUT THERE WAS NOTHING WRONG WITH HIM. HE WALKED ACROSS THE ROAD AND HAD A HEART ATTACK. YOU KNOW HE WAS ALRIGHT FOR 75 YEARS.

MR. TANNER: WHAT WAS THAT GOT TO DO WITH IT?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE HONOURABLE MEMBER WANTS TO KNOW WHAT THAT HAS GOT TO DO WITH IT. THE SAME THING CAN HAPPEN. YOU KNOW IT

MIGHT HAVE BEEN FINE FOR YEARS AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN SOMETHING GOES WRONG.

MR. TANNER: YOU MEAN THE CORPORATION MIGHT GO BANKRUPT?

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE MEMBER HAS MADE HIS POINT. WE'VE HAD A LEGAL OPINION. CAN WE CONTINUE READING THE BILL AND GET SOME ADVICE ON IT SO WE CAN GET TO THE END OF IT.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: RIGHT. AGREED ABSOLUTELY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: YES. THE CHAIR HAS IT THAT THE INFORMATION WILL BE COMING IN RESPECT OF CORPORATE INCOME TAX, AND ALSO COPIES OF THE AGREEMENT WILL BE FORTHCOMING. ALRIGHT, THE NEXT IS SECTION 4. (THE CHAIRMAN CONTINUES READING THE BILL).

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IS THIS SAME SECTION IN THERE ALL THE TIME? I WONDER IF WE COULD - IS THAT THE SAME - BY THE COMMISSIONER?

MR. MILLER: YES.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN CONTINUES READING THE BILL, ". . . ASSUMED BY IN UNDER THE AGREEMENT".

SOME HONOURABLE MEMBERS: SHOULDN'T THAT BE "IT", MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT SHOULD BE "BY IN AND UNDER THE AGREEMENT".

MR. TANNER: NO, MR. CHAIRMAN, I THINK IT SHOULD BE "IT", "ASSUMED BY IT".

MR. CHAIRMAN: THE LEGAL ADVISER IS GONE.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS FOLLOWING IT WITH LAST YEAR'S ORDINANCE AND IT SHOULD BE "IT".

MR. CHAMBERLIST: A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR THEN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN CONTINUES READING THE BILL, "15. THE COMMISSIONER IS EMPOWERED TO DO EVERY ACT AND EXERCISE EVERY POWER FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTING EVERY OBLIGATION ASSUMED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY UNDER THE AGREEMENT."

MR. CHAMBERLIST: OH. THERE'S SOMETHING HERE. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY CANNOT ASSUME ANYTHING UNDER THE AGREEMENT. IT HAS TO BE THE COMMISSIONER.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WAS JUST ABOUT TO MAKE THE POINT THAT I THINK THAT THIS BILL IS OUT OF ORDER AS THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THIS HAS ALREADY BEEN RULED ON THAT YOU CAN'T ENTER AN AGREEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT HAS TO BE THE COMMISSIONER.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD POINT OUT TO HONOURABLE MEMBERS THAT THAT'S THE SAME WAY THAT IT WAS WRITTEN LAST YEAR.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THAT DOESN'T MAKE IT RIGHT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THAT DOESN'T MAKE IT RIGHT. IN FACT THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT'S A NON-LEGAL ENTITY. IT CAN NEITHER SUE NOR BE SUED, AND THE COMMISSIONER IS THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR OF THE TERRITORY UNDER THE YUKON ACT.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I RECALL, I THINK THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WATSON LAKE MADE EXACTLY THE SAME POINT LAST YEAR.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT'S STILL NOT WITHSTANDING - THE BILL IS OUT OF ORDER INASMUCH AS THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: THE BILL IS NOT OUT OF ORDER. IT'S THAT PARTICULAR - - -

MR. CHAIRMAN: UNLESS THE LARGE "G" IS CHANGED TO A SMALL "g".

MR. MILLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA HAVE BEEN APPROVING THIS BILL FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS. I DON'T KNOW WHY THEY WOULD NOW DECIDE TO CHANGE IT. THEY HAVE RECOGNIZED THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO THEY HAVEN'T. THE COURTS WON'T RECOGNIZE IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF MADAM CLERK COULD GET MR. LEGAL ADVISER BACK.

MR. TANNER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T DISAGREE WE SHOULD GET THE LEGAL ADVISER, BUT SURELY IN AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO PARTIES THEY CAN RECOGNIZE EACH OTHER FOR WHATEVER THEY LIKE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: NO THEY CAN'T.

MR. CHAIRMAN: NOT ON BEHALF OF THE TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: IT WOULD BE THE COMMISSIONER ON BEHALF OF THE SMALL "G" GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT'S UNDER THE YUKON ACT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WILL COMPLETE THE READING OF THE BILL. THE CHAIRMAN CONTINUES THE READING OF THE BILL AS WELL AS A LIST OF THE SCHEDULES. WE HAVE WITH US MR. LEGAL ADVISER. MR. LEGAL ADVISER, THE QUESTION OF THIS BILL BEING IN ORDER IS IN QUESTION OVER THE FACT THAT THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE IS A GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. IT DEPENDS ON THE PARTICULAR CONTEXT IN WHICH ONE IS SPEAKING; WHAT ONE MEANS BY THE GOVERNMENT. YES, THE GOVERNMENT OF THE TERRITORY IN ITS GENERAL TERM EMBRACES THE COMMISSIONER, HIS OFFICERS, THE CIVIL SERVANTS, THIS COUNCIL, THAT IS THE GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THAT A SMALL "G" GOVERNMENT OR A LARGE "G" GOVERNMENT, MR. LEGAL ADVISER.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: IF ONE IS USING IT IN RESPECT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE TERRITORY, IT'S A LARGE "G" GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HOW COULD THIS BE, FROM THE CHAIR MR. LEGAL ADVISER, HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE?

MR. CHAIRMAN: IS THAT A SMALL 'G' GOVERNMENT OR A LARGE 'G' GOVERNMENT?

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: WHEN ONE IS USING IT IN RESPECT TO THE GOVERNMENT OF THE TERRITORY IT IS A LARGE 'G' GOVERNMENT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: HOW CAN THIS BE, FROM THE CHAIR MR. LEGAL ADVISER, HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE WHEN THE YUKON ACT DOES NOT PROVIDE FOR A LARGE 'G'. THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT STYLED AND KNOWN AS THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY IN LAW. THERE HAVE BEEN JUDICIAL RULINGS ON THIS. CONSTITUTIONALLY IT DOES NOT EXIST. THERE IS A SMALL 'G' GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY WHICH IS ADMINISTERED

BY THE COMMISSIONER ON INSTRUCTIONS TIME TO TIME GIVEN HIM BY THE MINISTER. THERE IS NO QUESTION IN THE CHAIR'S MIND THAT THERE IS NO LARGE 'G' GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY STYLED OR KNOWN AS SUCH.

MR. LEGAL ADVISER: A CAPITAL 'G' AT THE START OF A WORD OR A SMALL 'G' IS MERELY APPLICABLE RULES OF NORMAL ENGLISH GRAMMER AND CUSTOM. WHEN YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT AN INDIVIDUAL GOVERNMENT A SINGLE GOVERNMENT ONE CUSTOMARILY USES A LARGE 'G'. WHEN IT IS TALKING ABOUT GOVERNMENTS IN GENERAL OF MORE THAN ONE OR GOVERNMENTS OF A CLASS ONE USES A SMALL 'G'. SO THAT IF YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT GOVERNMENTS OF THE PROVINCES YOU USE A SMALL 'G' IN RESPECT OF ALL THOSE GOVERNMENTS IN THAT PARTICULAR SENTENCE.

THE QUESTION IS WHAT DOES THE WORD MEAN, THE WORD MEANS THE ADMINISTRATION, CIVIL SERVICE, EXECUTIVE CONTROL AND LEGISLATIVE CONTROL, AND JUDICIAL CONTROL OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND GOVERNMENT OF THIS TERRITORY.

WHERE THE CONFUSION ARISES TO SOME EXTENT IS THAT IN THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE, AT ONE TIME DO THE DEFINITION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY, AND IT SAID, "HIS MAJESTY KING GEORGE V IN RIGHT OF YUKON TERRITORY," FOR SOME REASON THIS WAS REPEALED MANY YEARS AGO SO THAT THERE WAS NO SPECIFIC DEFINITION IN THE INTERPRETATION ORDINANCE.

IT IS UNNECESSARY TO DEFINE IT BECAUSE WHEN THE COMMISSIONER SIGNS HE SIGNS ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT. HE MAKES AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT WHICH BINDS THIS HOUSE WHEN HE ACTS PROPERLY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: CAN I CONTINUE? MR. CHAIRMAN, THE QUESTION IS IN SECTION 15 OF THE BILL. IT READS, "THE COMMISSIONER IS EMPOWERED TO DO EVERY ACT AND EXERCISE: EVERY POWER FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTING; EVERY OBLIGATION ASSUMED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY."

THE POSITION THAT I TAKE IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY DOESN'T ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITIES. IT IS THE COMMISSIONER OF THE YUKON TERRITORY AS THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND THE PERSON IN CHARGE OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY. THE SMALL 'G' THAT HAS BEEN INDICATED IN THE YUKON ACT, AND THE YUKON ACT TALKS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY

AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION. THE QUESTION THAT IS BEING RAISED IS THIS, ESPECIALLY IN VIEW OF SECTION 16, WHERE SECTION 16 SAID, "NO AMOUNT SHALL BE BORROWED BY THE COMMISSIONER PURSUANT TO THIS ORDINANCE." SO IT IS THE COMMISSIONER WHO IS DOING THE BORROWING. IT IS THE COMMISSIONER THAT HAS TO COMPLETE THE OBLIGATIONS. ALL THAT IT NEEDS IN THIS ORDINANCE IS JUST HAVE THE 'G' TRANSFERRED TO A SMALL 'G'. THEN WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS THAT THE COMMISSIONER IS OBLIGATED FOR.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION WHATSOEVER TO REMOVING THE CAPITAL 'G' AND MAKING IT A SMALL 'G'. IT IS MERELY A MATTER OF CHANGING A SYLLABLE. IT DOESN'T CHANGE THE FACTS, THE FACTS OF LIFE.

THE HONOURABLE CHAIRMAN MENTIONED ABOUT NO GOVERNMENT COMES FROM RULING BY MR. JUSTICE'S ASSISTANT WHO WAS TALKING ABOUT THERE IS NO SEPARATE GOVERNMENT INDEPENDANTLY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF CANADA.

IT MATTERS NOT FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS ORDINANCE WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS OR WHO IT IS, THE MAIN QUESTION IS THAT THIS ORDINANCE BINDS THAT GOVERNMENT. THE COMMISSIONERS SIGNATURE IN RESPECT TO THE AGREEMENT ALSO BINDS THE GOVERNMENT WHOEVER IT IS. IT IS NOT REALLY NECESSARY FOR US AT THIS POINT TO ENQUIRE WHO MAKES UP THAT GOVERNMENT. I HAVE NO OBJECT TO PUTTING A SMALL 'G'. BUT, OF COURSE, IT WILL MORE THAN LIKELY BE CONVERTED TO A LARGE 'G' ONCE IT LEAVES THIS HOUSE BECAUSE TYPISTS AND SUCH LIKE WILL BE CARRYING ON GOVERNMENT AND SPELLING OF GOVERNMENT IN BOOKS AND IN PAMPHLETS AND EVERY THING ELSE BECAUSE OF THE RULE OF ENGLISH WHICH REQUIRES WHEN YOU ARE USING A SINGLE ENTITY YOU USE A CAPITAL AS THE INITIAL LETTER IN ORDER TO INDICATE THAT FACT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WOULD THINK THAT IN CONSTITUTIONAL SENSE THE CHAIR WOULD HAVE TO TAKE THE POSITION THAT THE BILL IS OUT OF ORDER WITH THE LARGE 'G' GOVERNMENT. CONSTITUTIONALLY THIS IS QUITE INCORRECT.

IF IT IS CONSIDERED THAT THIS WILL BE RETYPED AND THAT THERE WILL BE NO REFERENCE TO A LARGE 'G' GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: I DON'T THINK THAT IS NECESSARY. I THINK WE RECOGNIZE THE FACT THAT

IT IS AN ADMINISTRATIVE SITUATION. WE WILL JUST TREAT THIS AS A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR AND JUST PUT THE SMALL 'G'. IT IS THE COMMISSIONER WHO SIGNS THE CONTRACT FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. WE JUST DON'T WANT TO BE LED TO BELIEVE THAT IT IS A POLITICAL GOVERNMENT INSTEAD OF A DEFACTO ADMINISTRATION.

MR. LEGAL ADVISOR: I WOULD BE HAPPY TO, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF ALL OUR PROBLEMS WOULD BE SOLVED.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: YES, IT WOULD BE A SIMPLE WAY OF DOING IT.

MR. CHAIRMAN: THIS WOULD BE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE YUKON ACT. BECAUSE THERE IS NO GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY STYLED OR KNOWN AS SUCH.

IS THIS AGREED.

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I WONDER IF THE WITNESSES COULD BE EXCUSED AT THIS TIME? THANK YOU GENTLEMEN.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, ON THE MATTER OF EXCUSING THE WITNESSES WITHOUT ASKING THE CONSENT OF THE HOUSE.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: WELL, HE KNOWS WHAT HE IS DOING.

MRS. WATSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WAS DISCIPLINED FOR THIS YESTERDAY AND THE HONOURABLE MEMBER DID THE SAME THING TODAY.

MR. CHAIRMAN: ORDER PLEASE. THANK YOU. I JUST ASKED, " I WONDER IF THE WITNESSES COULD BE EXCUSED AT THIS TIME" AND IT WAS AGREED. NOW DOES SOMEONE DISAGREE.

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: NO, WE AGREE WITH YOU MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAMBERLIST: MR. CHAIRMAN I MOVE THAT MR. SPEAKER NOW RESUME THE CHAIR.

MR. STUTTER: I SECOND THAT MR. CHAIRMAN.

MR. CHAIRMAN: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: QUESTION,

MR. CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED,

MR. CHAIRMAN: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED.

MOTION CARRIED

MR. SPEAKER: COUNCIL WILL NOW COME TO ORDER. MAY WE HAVE A REPORT FROM THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES.

MR. TAYLOR: MR. SPEAKER, COMMITTEE CONVENED AT 10:25 A.M. TO DISCUSS BILLS, SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS. MR. MILLER AND MR. HUBERDEAU ATTENDED COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS BILL NO. 3. MR. HONOURABLE JUSTICE T. R. BERGER WAS INVITED TO ATTEND COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS MATTERS RELATED TO THE MACKENZIE VALLEY PIPELINE INQUIRY. MADAM CLERK WAS ASKED TO CONVEY THE INVITATION TO JUSTICE BERGER. COMMITTEE RECESSED AT TWELVE NOON AND RECONVENED AT 2:30 P.M., IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR MCKINNON SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST THAT IT IS THE OPINION OF COUNCIL THAT ESTABLISHMENT 29-11 - GROUND IMPROVEMENT, VARIOUS LOCATIONS BE DELETED FROM THE GOVERNMENT OF THE YUKON TERRITORY 1974/75 MAIN ESTIMATES. THE FUNDS TO BE ALLOCATED TO THE PROVISION OF T.V. SERVICE TO THE COMMUNITIES OF TESLIN, CARCROSS, CARMACKS, HAINES JUNCTION AND DESTRUCTION BAY. THIS MOTION WAS DEFEATED. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR WATSON SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR TANNER THAT BILL NO 3 BE REPORTED OUT OF COMMITTEE WITHOUT AMENDMENT. THIS MOTION CARRIED.

I WILL REPORT PROGRESS ON BILL NO. 4. IT WAS MOVED BY COUNCILLOR CHAMBERLIST SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR STUTTER THAT MR. SPEAKER DO NOW RESUME THE CHAIR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

MR. SPEAKER: WE HAVE HEARD THE REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEES. ARE WE AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE.

MR. TAYLOR: I BELIEVE TOMORROW IT IS THE INTENTION OF YOUR COMMITTEE TO PROCEED WITH BILLS AND SESSIONAL PAPERS AND MOTIONS.

MR. SPEAKER: MAY I HAVE YOUR FURTHER PLEASURE?

MR. TANNER: MR. SPEAKER I MOVE THAT WE CLOSE AT 5:00 O'CLOCK.

MR. SPEAKER: IS THERE A SECONDER?

MR. STUTTER: YES, MR. SPEAKER I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION.

MR. SPEAKER: IT HAS BEEN MOVED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM WHITEHORSE NORTH SECONDED BY THE HONOURABLE MEMBER FROM DAWSON THAT WE NOW CALL IT 5:00 O'CLOCK. ARE YOU PREPARED FOR THE QUESTION?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBER: QUESTION.

MR. SPEAKER: AGREED?

SEVERAL HONOURABLE MEMBERS: AGREED.

MR. SPEAKER: I DECLARE THE MOTION CARRIED. THIS COUNCIL STANDS ADJOURNED UNTIL 10:00 A.M. TOMORROW MORNING.

MOTION CARRIED

COUNCIL ADJOURNED